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The antipodal graph of a graph $G$, denoted by $A(G)$, is the graph on the same vertices as of $G$, two vertices being adjacent if the distance between them is equal to the diameter of G. A graph is said to be antipodal if it is the antipodal graph $A(H)$ of some graph $H$. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to be an antipodal graph.

The next three statements were easily proved in [1].
Proposition 1. $A(G)=G$ if and only if $G$ is complete.
Proposition 2. $A(G)=\bar{G}$ if and only if $G$ is of diameter 2 or $G$ is disconnected and the components of $G$ are complete graphs.

Proposition 3. $A(G) \subseteq \bar{G}$ for any graph $G$ other than the complete graph.
The next two assertions are also obvious.
Proposition 4. If both $G$ and $\bar{G}$ have diameter 3, then $A(G)$ and $A(\bar{G})$ are disconnected.

Proposition 5. If $G$ is disconnected, then $A(G)$ is of diameter $\leqslant 2$ and the components of $\overline{\mathrm{A}(G)}$ are complete graphs.

We need the following lemmas for our main theorem.

Lemma 1. If $\bar{G}$ is a disconnected graph with at least one noncomplete component, then $G$ is not an antipodal graph.

[^0]Proof. Let us assume that there exists a graph $H$ such that $A(H)=G$ and let $d$ be the diameter of $H$. By Proposition 1, $d \neq 1$. Therefore $A(H) \subseteq \bar{H}$ by Proposition 3. That is $H \subseteq \bar{G}$. Now $H$ cannot be connected as $\bar{G}$ is disconnected. If $H$ is disconnected then by Proposition 5, the components of $\overline{A(H)}$ are complete graphs, a contradiction.

Lemma 2. Let $G$ and $\bar{G}$ be of diameter 3. Then $G$ is not an antipodal graph.
Proof. Let $G$ be the antipodal graph of a graph $H$. Since both $G$ and $\bar{G}$ are of diameter 3, there exists at least a vertex say $u$ with eccentricity 2 in $G$. Then the eccentricity of $u, e(u)$, in $\bar{G}$ is 2 or 3 .

Let us suppose that $e(u)$ is 3 in $\bar{G}$. Let $\left\{N_{i}\right\}=\left\{v_{i} \in H \mid d_{H}\left(u, v_{i}\right)=i\right\}$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, d$. There exists at least a vertex say $y$ which belongs to $\left\{N_{d}\right\}$ and adjacent to all the vertices of $\left\{N_{i}\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, d-1$ as $e(u)$ is 3 in $\bar{G}$. Hence for every $v \notin\left\{N_{d}\right\}, d_{H}(y, v)=d$, a contradiction, as there exists at least a $v \notin\left\{N_{d}\right\}$ which lies on a $d$-path joining $y$ and $u$.

If $e(u)$ is 2 in $\bar{G}$, then $\bar{G}$ is of diameter 2, again a contradiction.
Lemma 3. $A(G)$ is of diameter 2 if and only if both $G$ and $\bar{G}$ are of diameter 2 or $G$ is disconnected but not totally disconnected.

Proof. If $G$ is disconnected which is not totally disconnected or if both $G$ and $\bar{G}$ are of diameter 2 then the diameter of $A(G)$ is obviously 2.

Conversely let $A(G)$ be of diameter 2 . Let $d$ be the diameter of $G$. By Proposition 1, $d \neq 1$. Let $d=2$. Then $A(G)=\bar{G}$ by Proposition 2. If $\bar{G}$ is of diameter not equal to 2 then we get a contradiction. Let $G$ be connected and let $d \geqslant 3$. Consider a vertex $u \in G$. Since $d_{G}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \leqslant 2, d_{A(G)}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=2$ where $v_{i} \in$ $\{N(u)\}_{G}$, the set of all vertices adjacent to $u$ in $G$ such that $i \neq j$ and $i, j=$ $1,2, \ldots$, deg $_{G}(u)$. This implies there exists a vertex $x \notin\{N(u)\}_{G}$ such that $u$ and its adjacent vertices $\{N(u)\}_{G}$ belong to $\{N(x)\}_{A(G)}$. That is $d_{G}(u, x)=d=d_{G}\left(x, v_{i}\right)$ which is a contradiction as all $v_{i}$ 's are adjacent to $u$ where $i=1,2, \ldots, \operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)$. If $G$ is totally disconnected then $A(G)$ is complete, a contradiction. Hence either $G$ is disconnected but not totally disconnected or both $G$ and $\bar{G}$ are of diameter 2.

Lemma 4. If $\bar{G}$ is a connected graph of diameter $>2$, then $G$ is not an antipodal graph.

Proof. Let there exist a graph $H$ such that $A(H)=G$ and let $d$ be the diameter of $H$.

Case (i). Let $\bar{G}$ be a connected graph of diameter $>3$. Then $G$ is of diameter 2 . By Lemma 3, either both $H$ and $\bar{H}$ are of diameter 2 or $H$ is disconnected but not
totally disconnected. If $d=2$, then $A(H)=\bar{H}$ which implies $\bar{G}=H$, a contradiction. If $H$ is disconnected, then the components of $\overline{A(H)}$ are complete graphs, again a contradiction.

Case (ii). Let $\bar{G}$ be of diameter 3. If $G$ is of diameter 2 then the proof follows as in Case (i). If $G$ is of diameter 3 then by Lemma 2, $G$ is not antipodal.

The proof of our main theorem now follows from Lemmas 1,2 and 4.
Theorem. A graph $G$ is an antipodal graph if and only if it is the antipodal graph of its complement.
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