



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

J. Differential Equations 202 (2004) 158–182

<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jde>**Journal of
Differential
Equations**

Existence of homoclinic solutions to periodic orbits in a center manifold

V. Coti Zelati^{*,1} and M. Macrì*Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni “R. Caccioppoli”, Università degli Studi di Napoli “Federico II”, via Cintia, Monte S. Angelo, IT-80126 Napoli, Italy*

Received April 23, 2003; revised October 31, 2003

Abstract

Consider a Lagrangian of the form

$$L(x, \dot{x}, q, \dot{q}) = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}^2 - x^2) + \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^2 + (1 + \delta(x))V(q),$$

where $x, q \in \mathbb{R}$. Assuming that δ is bounded and V , periodic in q , is such that $V'(0) = 0$, we prove existence of infinitely many solutions homoclinic to periodic orbits in the center manifold $q = 0, \dot{q} = 0$ of the corresponding system.

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: 37J45; 37C37; 37C29; 58E05

Keywords: Homoclinic solutions; Center manifold; Saddle center fixed points

1. Introduction

Consider the Lagrangian

$$L(x, \dot{x}, q, \dot{q}) = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}^2 - x^2) + \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^2 + (1 + \delta(x))V(q), \quad x, q \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Corresponding author. Fax: +39-081-766-2106.

E-mail addresses: zelati@unina.it (V. Coti Zelati), macri@unina.it (M. Macrì).

¹Supported by MIUR, project “Variational Methods and Nonlinear Differential Equations”.

Under the assumption that V has a strict global minimum at $q = 0$ and it is periodic in $q \in \mathbb{R}$ one knows that the point $P_0 = (x = 0, \dot{x} = 0, q = 0, \dot{q} = 0)$ is a saddle-center stationary point for the associated Hamiltonian system.

Such a stationary point has a one-dimensional stable and unstable manifold which in general do not cross in the three-dimensional energy surface. So one does not expect to find solutions homoclinic to P_0 .

On the other hand, associated to such a P_0 , there is also a center manifold, which in this particular situation is simply the manifold $q = 0, \dot{q} = 0$, which is foliated by the periodic orbits $x_R(t) = R \cos(t + \varphi), \dot{x} = -R \sin(t + \varphi)$. Such orbits are hyperbolic with respect to their energy surface.

A model of this kind (actually a rather more general one, when (q, \dot{q}) belongs to the tangent bundle of a compact manifold M of dimension $k \geq 1$) has been recently studied by Patrick Bernard [2], who has shown that there is at least one solution of the associated Lagrangian system homoclinic to one of the periodic solution in the center manifold. In the paper [2], upper estimates are also given on the energy of the solution found (that is, on the values of R for which there is a homoclinic solution to x_R).

On the other hand, one expects to find a lot of homoclinic solutions in this setting. Indeed, in a perturbative setting, assuming that the system has a homoclinic solution to P_0 , existence of many homoclinic solutions and even chaotic behavior has been shown in the papers [4,5,10,12–14]. Another interesting result is contained in paper [3], where it is shown that there are systems which have solutions homoclinic to x_R for all $R > 0$ and small (even if there might be no homoclinic to P_0).

A global, non-perturbative results has been obtained, always by Patrick Bernard, who has shown [1], for a class of first-order Hamiltonian systems in \mathbb{C}^n having a saddle-center stationary point, that homoclinic solution to x_R can be found for a dense subset of $R \geq R_0 > 0$.

In this paper, we obtain existence of infinitely many homoclinic solutions for the above Lagrangian system. To be more precise, we look for solutions $(x(t), q(t))$ of

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{q} = (1 + \delta(x))V'(q), \\ \ddot{x} + x = \delta'(x)V(q) \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

such that, for some $R > 0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in [0, 2\pi)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} |x(t) - R \cos(t + \varphi_1)| &= 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |x(t) - R \cos(t + \varphi_2)| &= 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} q(t) &= 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} q(t) &= 2\pi. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

We assume that V and $\delta \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ are such that

(V1) $V(q + 2\pi) = V(q)$ for all $q \in \mathbb{R}$;

(V2) $0 = V(0) < V(q)$ for all $q \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$;

(V3) $V''(0) = \mu > 0$;

(V4) $V'(q) > 0$ for all $q \in (0, \bar{\eta}]$ and $V'(q) < 0$ for all $q \in [2\pi - \bar{\eta}, 2\pi)$;

($\delta 1$) $-1 < \underline{\delta} \leq \delta(x) \leq \bar{\delta}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$;

($\delta 2$) $|\delta'(x)x| \leq 2\delta^*$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ where $1 + \underline{\delta} - \delta^* > 0$;

($\delta 3$)

$$\bar{\delta} - \underline{\delta} + \delta^* \leq \frac{\bar{\eta}(1 + \underline{\delta} - \delta^*)^{3/2}}{1 + 2\pi^2 + (1 + \bar{\delta})\|V\|_\infty} \sqrt{\frac{V_{\bar{\eta}/2}}{2}},$$

where $V_{\bar{\eta}/2} = \min\{V(s), s \in [\frac{\bar{\eta}}{2}, 2\pi - \frac{\bar{\eta}}{2}]\}$.

Remark 1. Let us point out, for future reference, that (V3) implies that there is a $\eta_0 \in (0, \bar{\eta}/2)$ such that

$$\frac{\mu}{2} \leq V''(q) \leq 2\mu \quad \text{for all } |q| \leq \eta_0. \tag{3}$$

Remark 2. The above assumptions are satisfied, for example, by $V(q) = 1 - \cos q$ and $\delta(x) = \delta_\infty \arctan x$ provided $\delta_\infty < 0.02$.

Remark 3. If $\delta(x) \equiv \delta_0$ is a constant, then under assumptions (V1)–(V2) there is a solution $q_0(t)$ of $\ddot{q} = (1 + \delta_0)V'(q)$ homoclinic to 0. (See, for example, [6,15]) and hence $(R \cos(t + \varphi_1), q_0(t))$ is a solution of our problem for all $R \geq 0$ and $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 \in [0, 2\pi)$.

Remark 4. If $\delta'(0) = 0$, then, denoting by $q_0(t)$ a solution of $\ddot{q} = (1 + \delta(0))V'(q)$ homoclinic to 0, we have that $(0, q_0(t))$ is a solution of our problem with $R = 0$.

Our main result (see Theorem 17) holds provided (1) has no solution homoclinic to $q = 0, x = 0$. We prove that, given any $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (0, 2\pi)$, one can find a solution of (1) satisfying (2) for some $\varphi_2 - \varphi_1 \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and $R > 0$. An immediate consequence (see Corollary 18) is that we have infinitely many solutions of (1) satisfying (2).

Our result, although in a simpler setting, improves that of Bernard since, besides finding infinitely many solutions of (1)–(2), we also show that the solutions we find are such that $q(t) \in [0, 2\pi]$ for all t and that $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} q(t) = 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} q(t) = 2\pi$.

Solutions of our problem will be found using variational methods as limit of solutions of the following boundary value problem as $T \rightarrow +\infty$:

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{q} = (1 + \delta(x))V'(q), \\ \ddot{x} + x = \delta'(x)V(q), \\ q(0) = 0, \quad q(T) = 2\pi, \\ x(0) = x(T), \quad \dot{x}(0) = \dot{x}(T). \end{cases} \tag{PT}$$

The method we use is close to the one used by Bernard in [2], and uses in an essential way Struwe’s monotonicity trick (see [18] and also [11]). For other global

(i.e. non-perturbative) results on existence of solutions homoclinic to periodic, let us quote [7–9,16,17].

2. Variational setting

Let

$$E = \{x \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}) \mid x \text{ is 1-periodic}\}$$

with scalar product $(x, y) = \int_0^1 (\dot{x}\dot{y} + xy)$ and

$$\Gamma = \{q \in H^1(0, 1) \mid q(0) = 0, q(1) = 2\pi\}$$

and

$$\Gamma^* = \{q \in \Gamma \mid q(s) \in [0, 2\pi] \quad \forall s \in [0, 1]\},$$

Γ^* is a closed subset of Γ . Take $T > 0$ and let, for all $(x, q) \in E \times \Gamma$

$$f_T(x, q) = \frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^1 \frac{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{q}^2}{2} - \int_0^1 \left[\frac{x^2}{2} - (1 + \delta(x))V(q) \right].$$

Lemma 5. *Under assumptions (V1)–(V3) and $(\delta 1)$ $f_T \in C^1(E \times \Gamma; \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, if $(x, q) \in E \times \Gamma$ is a critical point for f_T , then, letting, for all $t \in [0, T]$*

$$\tilde{x}(t) = x(t/T), \quad \tilde{q}(t) = q(t/T)$$

we have that (\tilde{x}, \tilde{q}) is a solution of (PT).

Proof. It is well known that $f_T \in C^1$ and that critical points of f_T are solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{T^2} \ddot{q}(s) = (1 + \delta(x(s)))V'(q(s)), \\ \frac{1}{T^2} \ddot{x}(s) + x(s) = \delta'(x(s))V(q(s)), \\ q(0) = 0, \quad q(1) = 2\pi, \\ x(0) = x(1), \quad \dot{x}(0) = \dot{x}(1). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\ddot{\tilde{q}}(t) = \frac{1}{T^2} \ddot{q}(t/T) = (1 + \delta(x(t/T)))V'(q(t/T)) = (1 + \delta(\tilde{x}(t)))V'(\tilde{q}(t)),$$

etc. \square

We now assume that $T = 2\pi N + \varphi$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi \in (0, 2\pi)$. Associated with the quadratic form

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{T^2} \dot{x}^2 - x^2 \right) ds$$

on E there is a splitting of $E = E_N^- \oplus E_N^+$. More precisely, let

$$E_N^- = \left\{ x(s) = a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^N (a_k \cos 2\pi ks + b_k \sin 2\pi ks) \right\},$$

$$E_N^+ = \left\{ x(s) = \sum_{k=N+1}^{+\infty} (a_k \cos 2\pi ks + b_k \sin 2\pi ks) \right\}.$$

Then, for all $x \in E$, $x = x^+ + x^-$, $x^+ \in E_N^+$, $x^- \in E_N^-$ and $\int_0^1 x^+ x^- = 0$, $\int_0^1 \dot{x}^+ \dot{x}^- = 0$.

For all $x(s) = a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^N (a_k \cos 2\pi ks + b_k \sin 2\pi ks) \in E_N^-$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{T^2} \dot{x}^2 - x^2 \right) &= -a_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{T^2} - 1 \right) (a_k^2 + b_k^2) \\ &= -a_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{(2\pi N + \varphi)^2} - 1 \right) (a_k^2 + b_k^2) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remark also that, for such a $x \in E_N^-$

$$\|x\|^2 = \int_0^1 (\dot{x}^2 + x^2) = a_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N (4\pi^2 k^2 + 1) (a_k^2 + b_k^2),$$

so that, for all $x \in E_N^-$

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{T^2} \dot{x}^2 - x^2 \right) &\geq \frac{1}{T^2} \frac{(2\pi N + \varphi)^2 - (2\pi N)^2}{1 + 4\pi^2 N^2} \int_0^1 (\dot{x}^2 + x^2) \\ &= \lambda^-(T) \|x\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Similarly, for all $x \in E_N^+$

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{T^2} \dot{x}^2 - x^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=N+1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{4\pi^2 k^2}{(2\pi N + \varphi)^2} - 1 \right) (a_k^2 + b_k^2) > 0$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{T^2} \dot{x}^2 - x^2 \right) \geq \frac{1}{T^2} \frac{(2\pi(N+1))^2 - (2\pi N + \varphi)^2}{1 + 4\pi^2(N+1)^2} \int_0^1 (\dot{x}^2 + x^2) = \lambda^+(T) \|x\|^2.$$

Lemma 6. Assume V and δ satisfy assumptions (V1)–(V3), $(\delta 1)$ and $(\delta 2)$. Let $T = 2\pi N + \varphi$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi \in (0, 2\pi)$. Then f_T satisfies the Palais Smale condition (PS), that is for all $(x_n, q_n) \in E \times \Gamma$ such that

$$f_T(x_n, q_n) \rightarrow c \quad \nabla f_T(x_n, q_n) \rightarrow 0$$

there is a subsequence $(x_{n_k}, q_{n_k}) \rightarrow (x, q)$. Moreover

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{T^2} \dot{q}_n^2 + (1 + \underline{\delta} - \delta^*) \int_0^1 V(q_n) \leq c + \frac{1}{T}$$

for all n large enough.

Proof. Using $(\delta 2)$ we have, for n large

$$\begin{aligned} c + \frac{1}{2T} + \varepsilon_n \|x_n\| &\geq f_T(x_n, q_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla f_T(x_n, q_n), (x_n, 0) \rangle \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{q}_n^2 + \left(1 + \delta(x_n) - \frac{1}{2} \delta'(x_n)x_n \right) V(q_n) \right] \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{q}_n^2 + (1 + \underline{\delta} - \delta^*) V(q_n) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Let $x_n = x_n^+ + x_n^-$, $x_n^+ \in E_N^+$, $x_n^- \in E_N^-$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_n \|x_n^-\| &\geq | \langle \nabla f_T(x_n, q_n), (x_n^-, 0) \rangle | \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{T^2} \dot{x}_n^{-2} - x_n^{-2} + \delta'(x_n) V(q_n) x_n^- \right] \right| \\ &\geq \left| \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{T^2} \dot{x}_n^{-2} - x_n^{-2} \right] \right| - \left| \int_0^1 \delta'(x_n) V(q_n) x_n^- \right| \end{aligned}$$

and, using (4),

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^-(T) \|x_n^-\|^2 &\leq \varepsilon_n \|x_n^-\| + \left| \int_0^1 \delta'(x_n) V(q_n) x_n^- \right| \\ &\leq \left[\varepsilon_n + \|\delta'\|_\infty \left(\int_0^1 V(q_n)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \|x_n^-\| \\ &\leq [\varepsilon_n + \|\delta'\|_\infty \|V\|_\infty] \|x_n^-\| \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|x_n^-\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^-(T)} [\varepsilon_n + \|\delta'\|_\infty \|V\|_\infty]. \tag{6}$$

Similarly,

$$\varepsilon_n \|x_n^+\| \geq |\langle \nabla f_T(x_n, q_n), (x_n^+, 0) \rangle|$$

implies that

$$\|x_n^+\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^+(T)} [\varepsilon_n + \|\delta'\|_\infty \|V\|_\infty]. \tag{7}$$

Eq. (6) and (7) imply that

$$\|x_n\| \leq \|x_n^+\| + \|x_n^-\| \leq 2 \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda^+(T)}, \frac{1}{\lambda^-(T)} \right\} [\varepsilon_n + \|\delta'\|_\infty \|V\|_\infty]$$

and $\|x_n\|$ is bounded. Inserting this in (5), we find that

$$\int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{q}_n^2 + (1 + \underline{\delta} - \delta^*) V(q_n) \right] \leq c + \frac{1}{2T} + \varepsilon_n \rho(T) [\varepsilon_n + \|\delta'\|_\infty \|V\|_\infty].$$

Since V is bounded, we immediately deduce that, for all n large enough

$$\int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{q}_n^2 + (1 + \underline{\delta} - \delta^*) V(q_n) \right] \leq c + \frac{1}{T}.$$

This implies that $\|q_n\|_{H^1(0,1)}$ is bounded and it is then a standard fact to show that (PS) holds. \square

3. The min–max procedure

As in the previous section, we fix $T = 2\pi N + \varphi$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi \in (0, 2\pi)$. We say that $h \in \mathcal{H}_N$ if:

- (1) $h: E_N^- \rightarrow E \times \Gamma$ is continuous;
- (2) there are $R > 0$ and $q_h \in \Gamma$ such that,

$$h(x) = (x, q_h) \quad \forall \|x\| \geq R.$$

We define

$$c(T) = \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}_N} \sup_{x \in E_N^-} f_T(h(x)). \tag{8}$$

Lemma 7. *Assume V and δ satisfy assumptions (V1)–(V3), $(\delta 1)$ and $(\delta 2)$.*

Let $T = 2\pi N + \varphi$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi \in (0, 2\pi)$ and $c(T)$ be defined as in (8). Then the following hold:

(a) $\exists 0 < \underline{c} \leq \bar{c}$ such that

$$\frac{\underline{c}}{T} \leq c(T) \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{T} \quad \forall T \geq 1.$$

(b) $c(2\pi N + \varphi)$ is non-increasing in $\varphi \in (0, 2\pi)$.

(c) Let $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (0, 2\pi)$. Then for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $\varphi_N \in (\alpha, \beta)$ such that $c(T)$ is differentiable at $T = 2\pi N + \varphi_N$ and

$$|c'(T)| \leq \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} \left(\frac{\bar{c}}{2\pi N + \alpha} - \frac{\underline{c}}{2\pi N + \beta} \right).$$

Proof. For all $T = 2\pi N + \varphi$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi \in (0, 2\pi)$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{c}(T) &= \min_{q \in \Gamma} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{q}^2 + (1 + \delta)V(q) \right] dt, \\ \bar{c}(T) &= \min_{q \in \Gamma} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{q}^2 + (1 + \bar{\delta})V(q) \right] dt \end{aligned}$$

and let $\bar{q}_T \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{\bar{q}}_T^2 + (1 + \bar{\delta})V(\bar{q}_T) \right] dt = \bar{c}(T).$$

Let us show that

$$\underline{c}(T) \leq c(T) \leq \bar{c}(T).$$

Let $\bar{h}(x) = (x, \bar{q}_T)$ for all $x \in E_N^-$. Then $\bar{h} \in \mathcal{H}_N$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}_N} \sup_{x \in E_N^-} f_T(h(x)) &\leq \sup_{x \in E_N^-} f_T(\bar{h}(x)) = \sup_{x \in E_N^-} f_T(x, \bar{q}_T) \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in E_N^-} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{\bar{q}}_T^2 + (1 + \delta(x))V(\bar{q}_T) \right] dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{\bar{q}}_T^2 + (1 + \bar{\delta})V(\bar{q}_T) \right] dt = \bar{c}(T). \end{aligned}$$

Take now $h \in \mathcal{H}_N$ and consider

$$\bar{h}: E_N^- \rightarrow E_N^-, \quad \bar{h}(x) = \pi_{E_N^-}(\pi_E(h(x))),$$

where $\pi_E(x, q) = x$, $\pi_{E_N^-}(x) = x^-$. Since $\bar{h}|_{\partial B(0,R)} = Id$ for all R large enough, there is $\bar{x} \in E_N^-$ such that $\bar{h}(\bar{x}) = 0$, i.e.

$$\pi_E(h(\bar{x})) \in E_N^+.$$

Then, letting $q = \pi_\Gamma(h(\bar{x}))$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in E_N^-} f_T(h(x)) &\geq f_T(h(\bar{x})) \geq \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{q}^2 + (1 + \delta)V(q) \right] dt \\ &\geq \min_{q \in \Gamma} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{q}^2 + (1 + \delta)V(q) \right] dt = \underline{c}(T). \end{aligned}$$

Now to estimate $\bar{c}(T)$, let

$$\tilde{p}_T(t) = \begin{cases} \bar{q}_1(t), & t \in [0, 1], \\ 2\pi, & t \in [1, T] \end{cases}$$

and $p_T(s) = \tilde{p}_T(sT) \in \Gamma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{\bar{q}}_T^2 + (1 + \delta)V(\bar{q}_T) \right] dt &\leq \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{p}_T^2 + (1 + \delta)V(p_T) \right] dt \\ &= \int_0^T \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\tilde{p}}_T^2 + (1 + \delta)V(\tilde{p}_T) \right] \frac{dt}{T} \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\bar{q}}_1^2 + (1 + \delta)V(\bar{q}_1) \right] dt \\ &= \frac{\bar{c}(1)}{T} \leq \frac{1}{T} [2\pi^2 + (1 + \delta)\|V\|_\infty]. \end{aligned}$$

Let us note, for future reference, that

$$\bar{c} = \bar{c}(1) \leq 2\pi^2 + (1 + \delta)\|V\|_\infty. \tag{9}$$

Let us show that $\underline{c}(T) \geq \underline{c}/T$. Indeed, since for all $q \in \Gamma$ we have that $q(0) = 0$, $q(1) = 2\pi$, for all $\eta \in (0, \pi)$ there is an interval $[a, b] \subset [0, 1]$ such that

$$0 < \eta \leq q(t) \leq 2\pi - \eta \quad \forall t \in [a, b], \quad q(a) = \eta, \quad q(b) = 2\pi - \eta.$$

Let $V_\eta = \min_{s \in [\eta, 2\pi - \eta]} V(s) > 0$. Then

$$2\pi - 2\eta = q(b) - q(a) \leq \int_a^b |\dot{q}(s)| ds \leq T \sqrt{2(b-a)} \left(\int_a^b \frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{q}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$\int_a^b V(q) \geq (b - a) V_\eta$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{q}^2 + (1 + \delta)V(q) &\geq \left(\frac{2\pi - 2\eta}{T\sqrt{2(b-a)}} \right)^2 + (1 + \delta)(b - a) V_\eta \\ &\geq \frac{4(\pi - \eta)}{T} \sqrt{\left(\frac{1 + \delta}{2} \right)} V_\eta \equiv \frac{c}{T} \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

which proves (a).

(b) follows from the fact that f_T is a decreasing function of T and the min–max procedure does not depend on $T \in (2\pi N, 2\pi(N + 1))$.

To prove (c) we notice that the fact that $c(T)$ is a.e. differentiable is a consequence of (b). Then for $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (0, 2\pi)$ we have that

$$-c(2\pi N + \beta) + c(2\pi N + \alpha) \geq \int_\alpha^\beta |c'(2\pi N + \varphi)| d\varphi$$

so we can find $\varphi_N \in (\alpha, \beta)$ such that c is differentiable at $2\pi N + \varphi_N$ and

$$|c'(2\pi N + \varphi_N)|(\beta - \alpha) \leq c(2\pi N + \alpha) - c(2\pi N + \beta) \leq \frac{\bar{c}}{2\pi N + \alpha} - \frac{c}{2\pi N + \beta}. \quad \square$$

Proposition 8. Assume V and δ satisfy assumptions (V1)–(V3), $(\delta 1)$ and $(\delta 2)$.

Let $T = 2\pi N + \varphi$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi \in (0, 2\pi)$ and $c(T)$ be defined as in (8). Then there is a critical point for f_T at level $c(T)$, to which corresponds a solution of problem (PT).

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 6 and 7. \square

In order to pass to the limit as $T \rightarrow \infty$ we will need some more information on (at least some of) the critical points at level $c(T)$.

We want to show that one can find, at least for some T 's, critical points at level $c(T)$ such that

- (1) $q(t) \in [0, 2\pi]$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$;
- (2) $\int_0^1 \frac{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{q}^2}{2T^2} \leq B$ for some B not depending on T .

To prove the first claim, we introduce

$$\Gamma^* = \{q \in \Gamma \mid q(t) \in [0, 2\pi] \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_N^* = \{h \in \mathcal{H}_N \mid h(x) \in E \times \Gamma^* \quad \forall x \in E_N^-\},$$

$$c^*(T) = \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}_N^*} \sup_{x \in E_N^-} f_T(h(x)).$$

Lemma 9. *For all $T \neq 2\pi N$,*

$$c^*(T) = c(T).$$

Proof. $\mathcal{H}_N^* \subset \mathcal{H}_N$ implies that $c^*(T) \geq c(T)$.

To prove the other inequality take $h \in \mathcal{H}_N$ and let $h^* \in \mathcal{H}_N^*$ be defined as $h^*(x) = h(x)^*$ where $(x, q)^* = (x, q^*)$ and

$$q^*(t) = \begin{cases} q(t) & \text{if } 0 \leq q(t) \leq 2\pi, \\ 2\pi & \text{if } q(t) > 2\pi, \\ 0 & \text{if } q(t) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, since

$$(1 + \delta(x(t)))V(q^*(t)) \leq (1 + \delta(x(t)))V(q(t)) \quad \forall t \in [0, 1],$$

we immediately have that

$$f_T(h^*(x)) \leq f_T(h(x)) \quad \forall x \in E_N^-, \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}_N$$

and

$$c^*(T) \leq c(T)$$

follows. \square

Proposition 10. *Assume V and δ satisfy assumptions (V1)–(V3), $(\delta 1)$ and $(\delta 2)$.*

Let $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (0, 2\pi)$ and $\varphi_N \in [\alpha, \beta]$ be as in (c) of Lemma 7.

Then there is a critical point $(x, q) \in E \times \Gamma^$ of $f_{2\pi N + \varphi_N}$ at level $c(2\pi N + \varphi_N)$ such that*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{q}^2}{2T^2} \leq \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha}(2\bar{c} - \underline{c}) + \frac{1}{3} \equiv B. \tag{11}$$

Proof. Fix N and φ_N as in Lemma 7, let $T = 2\pi N + \varphi_N$. Suppose there are no points in the closed set

$$A = \left\{ (x, q) \in E \times \Gamma^* \mid \int_0^1 \frac{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{q}^2}{2T^2} \leq B \right\}$$

at level $c(T) = c^*(T)$ which are critical points for f_T . Let K_T be the set of critical points at level $c(T)$. Let $N_\delta(K_T)$ be the neighborhood of K_T of radius δ . Since (PS) holds we can find $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$A \cap N_{\delta_0}(K_T) = \emptyset.$$

Moreover, there exists a deformation $\eta : [0, 1] \times E \times \Gamma \rightarrow E \times \Gamma$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

- $s \mapsto f_T(\eta(s, x, q))$ is not increasing;
- for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, we have that

$$\eta(1, x, q) \in f_T^{c(T)-\varepsilon} \quad \text{for all } (x, q) \in f_T^{c(T)+\varepsilon} \setminus N_{\delta_0}(K_T),$$

where $f_T^\alpha = \{(x, q) \in E \times \Gamma : f_T(x, q) \leq \alpha\}$;

- $\eta(s, x, q) = (x, q)$ if $f_T(x, q) < 0$.

Let us now consider a sequence $T_n \nearrow T$, $T_n > 2\pi N$ for all n . Since $(c(T_n) - c(T))/(T_n - T) \rightarrow c'(T)$, we can find $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ we have

$$c(T_n) - c(T) \leq \left(|c'(T)| + \frac{1}{10T} \right) (T - T_n), \tag{12}$$

$$\left(|c'(T)| + \frac{1}{10} \right) (T - T_n) < \varepsilon_0. \tag{13}$$

Then, for all $n \geq n_0$, let $h_n \in \mathcal{H}_N^*$ be such that

$$\sup_{E_N^-} f_{T_n}(h_n(x)) \leq c(T_n) + \frac{1}{10T}(T - T_n)$$

(recall that $c(T_n) = c^*(T_n)$). Then, using (12), we have for all $n \geq n_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in E_N^-} f_T(h_n(x)) &\leq \sup_{x \in E_N^-} f_{T_n}(h_n(x)) \leq c(T_n) + \frac{1}{10T}(T - T_n) \\ &\leq c(T) + \left(|c'(T)| + \frac{1}{10T} \right) (T - T_n) + \frac{1}{10T}(T - T_n) \\ &< c(T) + \left(|c'(T)| + \frac{1}{5T} \right) (T - T_n) \\ &< c(T) + \left(|c'(T)| + \frac{1}{10} \right) (T - T_n) < c(T) + \varepsilon_0, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$h_n(x) \in f_T^{c(T)+\varepsilon_0} \quad \text{for all } x \in E_N^-, \quad n \geq n_0. \tag{14}$$

Take now $n \geq n_0$ and $(\bar{x}, \bar{q}) \in h_n(E_N^-)$ such that

$$f_T(\bar{x}, \bar{q}) \geq c(T) - \frac{1}{10T}(T - T_n).$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{T_n}(\bar{x}, \bar{q}) - f_T(\bar{x}, \bar{q}) &\leq c(T_n) + \frac{1}{10T}(T - T_n) - c(T) + \frac{1}{10T}(T - T_n) \\ &\leq \left(|c'(T)| + \frac{1}{10T} \right) (T - T_n) + \frac{1}{5T}(T - T_n) \\ &\leq \left(|c'(T)| + \frac{1}{2T} \right) (T - T_n). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$f_{T_n}(\bar{x}, \bar{q}) - f_T(\bar{x}, \bar{q}) = \left(\frac{1}{T_n^2} - \frac{1}{T^2} \right) \int_0^1 \frac{\dot{\bar{x}}^2 + \dot{\bar{q}}^2}{2}$$

which implies

$$\frac{T^2 - T_n^2}{T_n^2 T^2} \int_0^1 \frac{\dot{\bar{x}}^2 + \dot{\bar{q}}^2}{2} \leq \left(|c'(T)| + \frac{1}{2T} \right) (T - T_n),$$

that is

$$\frac{T + T_n}{T_n^2 T^2} \int_0^1 \frac{\dot{\bar{x}}^2 + \dot{\bar{q}}^2}{2} \leq |c'(T)| + \frac{1}{2T} \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Finally, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^1 \frac{\dot{\bar{x}}^2 + \dot{\bar{q}}^2}{2} &\leq \frac{T_n^2}{T + T_n} \left(|c'(T)| + \frac{1}{2T} \right) \leq \frac{2}{3} T \left(|c'(T)| + \frac{1}{2T} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{3} T \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} \left(\frac{\bar{c}}{2\pi N + \alpha} - \frac{\underline{c}}{2\pi N + \beta} \right) + \frac{1}{3} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} (2\bar{c} - \underline{c}) + \frac{1}{3} = B, \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that, for all $n \geq n_0$ and $x \in E_N^-$,

$$h_n(x) \in f_T^{c(T)-(T-T_n)/10} \cup A. \tag{15}$$

Using now (14) and (15) and applying the deformation η we find that, for all $n \geq n_0$ and $x \in E_N^-$

$$\eta(1, h_n(x)) \in f_T^{c(T)-(T-T_n)/10}.$$

Since $x \mapsto \eta(1, h_n(x))$ is a map in \mathcal{H}_N , we have reached a contradiction. \square

Lemma 11. Assume V and δ satisfy assumptions (V1)–(V4), and $(\delta 1)$ – $(\delta 3)$.

Let $T \neq 2\pi N$. Let $(x, q) \in E \times \Gamma^*$ be a critical point of f_T such that

$$f_T(x, q) = c(T).$$

Then for all $0 < \eta \leq \eta_0$ (η_0 given by (3)) one can find $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq q(t) \leq \eta \quad \forall t \in [0, \tau_1], \\ q(t) &\geq \eta \quad \forall t \in [\tau_1, 1], \\ q(t) &\leq 2\pi - \eta \quad \forall t \in [0, \tau_2], \\ 2\pi - \eta &\leq q(t) \leq 2\pi \quad \forall t \in [\tau_2, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall that (x, q) is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{q}(s) = T^2(1 + \delta(x(s)))V'(q(s)), \\ \ddot{x}(s) + T^2x(s) = T^2\delta'(x(s))V(q(s)), \end{cases}$$

in $[0, 1]$ such that $q(0) = 0$, $q(s) \in [0, 2\pi]$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$, $q(1) = 2\pi$.

Take $\eta \leq \eta_0$ and let $\tau_1 = \inf\{s \in [0, 1] \mid q(s) > \eta\}$ and $\tau_2 = \sup\{s \in [0, 1] \mid q(s) < 2\pi - \eta\}$. If the lemma does not hold, then there is $\tau_1' \in (\tau_1, 1]$ such that $q(\tau_1') = \eta$ (or there is $\tau_2' \in [0, \tau_2)$ such that $q(\tau_2') = 2\pi - \eta$; we will only discuss the first case). Then $q(t)$ has a max $\tau_1'' \in (\tau_1, \tau_1')$, hence $\ddot{q}(\tau_1'') \leq 0$. But

$$\ddot{q}(\tau_1'') = T^2(1 + \delta(x(\tau_1'')))V'(q(\tau_1''))$$

implies, by (V4), that $q(\tau_1'') \geq \bar{\eta}$. An estimate very similar to the (10) in Lemma 7 shows then that

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_1'} \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{q}^2 + (1 + \delta - \delta^*)V(q) \right] dt \geq \frac{2\bar{\eta}}{T} \sqrt{\frac{1 + \delta - \delta^*}{2}} V_{\bar{\eta}/2}.$$

Now we define a new function $\bar{q} \in \Gamma^*$, setting

$$\bar{q}(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq t \leq \tau_1' - \tau_1, \\ q(t - \tau_1' + \tau_1), & \tau_1' - \tau_1 \leq t \leq \tau_1', \\ q(t), & \tau_1' \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

We also let $\bar{h}(\bar{x}) = (\bar{x}, \bar{q})$ for all $\bar{x} \in E_N^-$. Clearly $\bar{h} \in \mathcal{H}_N^*$, so that, since $f_T(x, q) = c(T)$

$$0 \leq \sup_{\bar{x} \in E_N^-} f_T(\bar{h}(\bar{x})) - f_T(x, q).$$

We will now estimate, for all $\bar{x} \in E_N^-$

$$\begin{aligned} f_T(x, q) - f_T(\bar{x}, \bar{q}) &= \int_0^1 \left[\frac{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{q}^2}{2T^2} - \frac{x^2}{2} + (1 + \delta(x))V(q) \right] \\ &\quad - \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{T^2} \dot{\bar{x}}^2 - \bar{x}^2 \right] + \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{\bar{q}}^2 + (1 + \delta(\bar{x}))V(\bar{q}) \right] \right\} \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{q}^2 + \left(1 + \delta(x) - \frac{1}{2} \delta'(x)x \right) V(q) \right] \\ &\quad - \int_{\tau_1' - \tau_1}^1 \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{\bar{q}}^2 + (1 + \delta(\bar{x}))V(\bar{q}) \right] \\ &\geq \int_0^{\tau_1} (\delta - \delta^* - \bar{\delta})V(q) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_1'} \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{q}^2 + (1 + \delta - \delta^*)V(q) \right] \\ &\quad + \int_{\tau_1'}^1 (\delta - \delta^* - \bar{\delta})V(q) \\ &\geq \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_1'} \left[\frac{1}{2T^2} \dot{q}^2 + (1 + \delta - \delta^*)V(q) \right] + \int_0^1 (\delta - \delta^* - \bar{\delta})V(q) \\ &\geq \frac{2\bar{\eta}}{T} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta - \delta^*)V_{\bar{\eta}/2}} - \frac{\bar{\delta} + \delta^* - \bar{\delta} \bar{c} + 1}{1 + \delta - \delta^*} \frac{1}{T}. \end{aligned}$$

We have used here the fact that, being x a 1-periodic solution of

$$\ddot{x} + T^2x = T^2\delta'(x)V(q),$$

one has

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{T^2} \dot{x}^2 - x^2 \right] = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \delta'(x)xV(q)$$

and also the estimate on $\int_0^1 V(q)$ of Lemma 6 and that of $c(T)$ given by Lemma 7.

From estimate (9) and assumption (δ3) one deduces that

$$f_T(x, q) - f_T(\bar{x}, \bar{q}) \geq \frac{\bar{\eta}}{T} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta - \delta^*)V_{\bar{\eta}/2}}$$

and the lemma follows. \square

4. The limiting procedure

Recall that we want to pass to the limit as $T \rightarrow + \infty$. To do this, we go back to the interval $[0, T]$. As a consequence of the results of the previous sections (see in particular, Proposition 10 and Lemma 11) we have

Proposition 12. Assume V and δ satisfy assumptions (V1)–(V4), and $(\delta 1)$ – $(\delta 3)$.

Then for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (0, 2\pi)$ we can find $\varphi_N \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and a solution (x_N, q_N) of

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{q}_N = (1 + \delta(x_N))V'(q_N), \\ \ddot{x}_N + x_N = \delta'(x_N)V(q_N) \end{cases}$$

in $[0, 2\pi N + \varphi_N]$ such that (letting $T_N = 2\pi N + \varphi_N$)

- (a) $q_N(0) = 0, q_N(T_N) = 2\pi, q_N(t) \in [0, 2\pi]$ for all $t \in [0, T_N]$;
- (b) $x_N(0) = x_N(T_N), \dot{x}_N(0) = \dot{x}_N(T_N)$;
- (c) $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T_N} \dot{q}_N^2 + \int_0^{T_N} (1 + \delta - \delta^*)V(q_N) \leq \bar{c} + 1$;
- (d) $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T_N} (\dot{x}_N^2 + \dot{q}_N^2) \leq BT_N$;
- (e) for all $0 < \eta \leq \eta_0$ one can find $\tau_N^1, \tau_N^2 \in [0, T_N]$ such that

$$0 \leq q_N(t) \leq \eta \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \tau_N^1],$$

$$q_N(t) \geq \eta \quad \text{for all } t \in [\tau_N^1, T_N],$$

$$q_N(t) \leq 2\pi - \eta \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \tau_N^2],$$

$$2\pi - \eta \leq q_N(t) \leq 2\pi \quad \text{for all } t \in [\tau_N^2, T_N];$$

- (f) $\dot{q}_N(0) = \dot{q}_N(T_N) > 0$.

Proof. The only point which needs some comment is (f). This is a consequence of the fact that the total energy is conserved, that is

$$\frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}_N^2(t) + x_N^2(t)) + \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}_N^2(t) - (1 + \delta(x_N(t)))V(q_N(t)) = E_N$$

for some $E_N \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $t \in [0, T_N]$. Since $V(q_N(0)) = V(0) = 0$, we have that $E_N > 0$ (it cannot be $E_N = 0$ otherwise $q(t) \equiv 0, x(t) \equiv 0$). Since x_N is T_N periodic, we also deduce that

$$\dot{q}_N^2(0) = \dot{q}_N^2(T_N)$$

and, since $0 = q_N(0) \leq q_N(t) \leq q_N(T_N) = 2\pi$ we have that

$$\dot{q}_N(0) = \dot{q}_N(T_N) > 0$$

and q_N can be seen as a periodic function on S^1 . \square

Lemma 13. Assume V and δ satisfy assumptions (V1)–(V4), and $(\delta 1)$ – $(\delta 3)$.

Let (x_N, q_N) be as in Proposition 12. Let, for $0 < \eta \leq \eta_0$, τ_N^1 and τ_N^2 be given by (e) of Proposition 12. Then

$$\tau_N^2 - \tau_N^1 \leq \frac{\bar{c} + 1}{(1 + \underline{\delta} - \delta^*)V_\eta}, \tag{16}$$

where $V_\eta = \min\{V(s), s \in [\eta, 2\pi - \eta]\}$;

$$\eta \frac{e^{\sqrt{\bar{a}}t} - e^{-\sqrt{\bar{a}}t}}{e^{\sqrt{\bar{a}}\tau_N^1} - e^{-\sqrt{\bar{a}}\tau_N^1}} \leq q_N(t) \leq \eta \frac{e^{\sqrt{\bar{a}}t} - e^{-\sqrt{\bar{a}}t}}{e^{\sqrt{\bar{a}}\tau_N^1} - e^{-\sqrt{\bar{a}}\tau_N^1}} \equiv y(t)$$

for all $t \in [0, \tau_N^1]$, where $\bar{a} = 2\mu(1 + \bar{\delta})$ and $\underline{a} = \frac{\mu}{2}(1 + \bar{\delta})$.

Proof. From

$$\bar{c} + 1 \geq \int_{\tau_N^1}^{\tau_N^2} (1 + \bar{\delta} - \delta^*)V(q_N) \geq (1 + \bar{\delta} - \delta^*)V_\eta(\tau_N^2 - \tau_N^1)$$

we immediately deduce that (16) holds.

Recall that $V'(q) \geq \frac{\mu}{2}q$ for all $0 \leq q \leq \eta_0$. Let y be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{y} - \underline{a}y = 0, \\ y(0) = 0, \\ y(\tau_N^1) = q(\tau_N^1) = \eta, \end{cases}$$

that is

$$y(t) = \eta \frac{e^{\sqrt{\bar{a}}t} - e^{-\sqrt{\bar{a}}t}}{e^{\sqrt{\bar{a}}\tau_N^1} - e^{-\sqrt{\bar{a}}\tau_N^1}}.$$

Then, for all $t \in [0, \tau_N^1]$

$$\begin{aligned} -(\ddot{y} - \underline{a}y) + \underline{a}(y - q_N) &= \ddot{q}_N - \underline{a}q_N \\ &= (1 + \delta(x_N(t)))V'(q_N(t)) - \underline{a}q_N(t) \\ &\geq \underline{a}q_N(t) - \underline{a}q_N(t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By maximum principle, $y(t) - q_N(t) \geq 0$, that is

$$0 \leq q_N(t) \leq y(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, \tau_N^1].$$

Similarly, if $z(t) = \eta \frac{e^{\sqrt{\bar{a}}t} - e^{-\sqrt{\bar{a}}t}}{e^{\sqrt{\bar{a}}\tau_N^1} - e^{-\sqrt{\bar{a}}\tau_N^1}}$, then

$$-(\ddot{q}_N - \ddot{z}) + \bar{a}(q_N - z) \geq 0$$

and we deduce that

$$0 \leq z(t) \leq q_N(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, \tau_N^1]. \quad \square$$

Remark 14. Clearly we also have for all $t \in [\tau_N^2, T_N]$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{z}(t) &\equiv \eta \frac{e^{\sqrt{a}(T_N-t)} - e^{-\sqrt{a}(T_N-t)}}{e^{\sqrt{a}(T_N-\tau_N^2)} - e^{-\sqrt{a}(T_N-\tau_N^2)}} \leq 2\pi - q_N(t) \\ &\leq \eta \frac{e^{\sqrt{a}(T_N-t)} - e^{-\sqrt{a}(T_N-t)}}{e^{\sqrt{a}(T_N-\tau_N^2)} - e^{-\sqrt{a}(T_N-\tau_N^2)}} \equiv \tilde{y}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 15. Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 13 there exists K such that

$$\frac{1}{K} \tau_N^1 \leq T_N - \tau_N^2 \leq K \tau_N^1$$

Proof. Since $T_N \rightarrow +\infty$, as a consequence of Lemma 13, either $\tau_N^1 \rightarrow +\infty$ or $T_N - \tau_N^2 \rightarrow +\infty$. We want to show that both diverge. Suppose $\tau_N^1 \rightarrow +\infty$. Then, using the results of Lemma 13 and Remark 14 we deduce that,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}(0) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{q(t) - q(0)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{q(t)}{t} \\ &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{y(t)}{t} = \dot{y}(0) \\ &= \frac{2\eta\sqrt{a}}{e^{\sqrt{a}\tau_N^1} - e^{-\sqrt{a}\tau_N^1}}. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}(0) = \dot{q}(T_N) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{q(T_N) - q(T_N - t)}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{2\pi - q(T_N - t)}{t} \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\tilde{z}(T_N - t)}{t} \\ &= -\dot{\tilde{z}}(T_N) = \frac{2\eta\sqrt{a}}{e^{\sqrt{a}(T_N-\tau_N^2)} - e^{-\sqrt{a}(T_N-\tau_N^2)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above estimates, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\eta\sqrt{a}}{e^{\sqrt{a}\tau_N^1} - e^{-\sqrt{a}\tau_N^1}} &\geq \frac{2\eta\sqrt{a}}{e^{\sqrt{a}(T_N-\tau_N^2)} - e^{-\sqrt{a}(T_N-\tau_N^2)}}, \\ \sinh(\sqrt{a}(T_N - \tau_N^2)) &\geq \sqrt{\frac{\tau_N^1}{a}} \sinh(\sqrt{a}\tau_N^1) \geq \sinh(\sqrt{a}\tau_N^1). \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows by monotonicity of the function \sinh . \square

Theorem 16. *Assume V and δ satisfy assumptions (V1)–(V4), and $(\delta 1)$ – $(\delta 3)$. Choose $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (0, 2\pi)$ and let (x_N, q_N) be as in Proposition 12.*

Then for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $\tau_N \in [\tau_N^1, \tau_N^2]$ such that, up to a subsequence,

$$q_N(\cdot - \tau_N) \rightarrow \bar{q}, \quad x_N(\cdot - \tau_N) \rightarrow \bar{x},$$

where (\bar{x}, \bar{q}) is a solution of problem (1) satisfying (2).

Proof. We know that

$$0 \leq q_N(t) \leq 2\pi, \quad \int_0^{T_N} \dot{q}_N^2 \leq 2(\bar{c} + 1),$$

hence $q_N \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Let us fix $\eta \leq \eta_0$ and sufficiently small. Then we can find τ_N^1, τ_N^2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} |q_N(\tau_N^1)| &= \eta, \\ |q_N(t)| &< \eta \quad \forall t \in [0, \tau_N^1], \\ |q_N(t) - 2\pi| &< \eta \quad \forall t \in [\tau_N^2, T_N], \\ |\tau_N^2 - \tau_N^1| &\leq \frac{\bar{c} + 1}{(1 + \delta - \delta^*)V_\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Let τ_N be the τ_N^0 corresponding to η_0 , and

$$\tilde{q}_N(t) = q_N(t + \tau_N), \quad t \in [-\tau_N, T_N - \tau_N].$$

Then $\tilde{q}_N(0) = \eta_0$ for all N and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\tau_N}^{T_N - \tau_N} |\dot{\tilde{q}}_N|^2 &\leq 2(\bar{c} + 1), \\ \tilde{q}_N(t) &\in [0, 2\pi] \quad \forall t \in [-\tau_N, T_N - \tau_N]. \end{aligned}$$

We also have that

$$\tau_N^1 \leq \tau_N \leq \tau_N^2.$$

Fix $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $-\tau_N \rightarrow -\infty, T_N - \tau_N \rightarrow +\infty$, we have that $\tilde{q}_N \in H^1(a, b)$ for all N large and $\|\tilde{q}_N\|_{H^1(a,b)}^2 \leq 2(\bar{c} + 1) + (b - a)4\pi^2$ so that, up to a subsequence,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_N &\rightharpoonup q \in H^1(a, b), \\ \tilde{q}_N &\rightarrow q \quad \text{uniformly in } [a, b]. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\|q\|_\infty \leq 2\pi$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\dot{q}|^2 = \sup_{a < b} \int_a^b |\dot{q}|^2 \leq \sup_{a < b} \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b |\dot{\tilde{q}}_N|^2 \leq 2(\bar{c} + 1),$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} V(q) = \sup_{a < b} \int_a^b V(q) \leq \sup_{a < b} \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b V(\tilde{q}_N) \leq \frac{\bar{c} + 1}{1 + \underline{\delta} - \delta^*}.$$

Moreover,

$$|q(t)| \leq \eta \quad \forall t < -\frac{\bar{c} + 1}{(1 + \underline{\delta} - \delta^*)V_\eta} < \tau_N^1 - \tau_N^2 < \tau_N^1 - \tau_N,$$

$$|q(t) - 2\pi| \leq \eta \quad \forall t > \frac{\bar{c} + 1}{(1 + \underline{\delta} - \delta^*)V_\eta} \geq \tau_N^2 - \tau_N^1 \geq \tau_N^2 - \tau_N.$$

We immediately deduce that

$$q(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow -\infty, \quad q(t) \rightarrow 2\pi \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Since $(\tilde{x}_N, \tilde{q}_N)$ is a solution we have that for some constant $E_N > 0$ and for all $t \in [-\tau_N, T_N - \tau_N]$

$$E_N = \frac{\dot{\tilde{q}}_N^2(t)}{2} - (1 + \delta(\tilde{x}_N(t)))V(\tilde{q}_N(t)) + \frac{\dot{\tilde{x}}_N^2(t) + \tilde{x}_N^2(t)}{2}.$$

We deduce

$$\frac{\dot{\tilde{x}}_N^2(t) - \tilde{x}_N^2(t)}{2} + \frac{\dot{\tilde{q}}_N^2(t)}{2} + (1 + \delta(\tilde{x}_N(t)))V(\tilde{q}_N(t)) = \dot{\tilde{x}}_N^2(t) + \dot{\tilde{q}}_N^2(t) - E_N.$$

Since

$$T_N c(T_N) = \int_{-\tau_N}^{T_N - \tau_N} \left[\frac{\dot{\tilde{x}}_N^2 - \tilde{x}_N^2}{2} + \frac{\dot{\tilde{q}}_N^2}{2} + (1 + \delta(\tilde{x}_N))V(\tilde{q}_N) \right]$$

$$= \int_{-\tau_N}^{T_N - \tau_N} (\dot{\tilde{x}}_N^2 + \dot{\tilde{q}}_N^2) - E_N T_N,$$

we have that

$$E_N = \frac{1}{T_N} \int_0^{T_N} (\dot{\tilde{x}}_N^2 + \dot{\tilde{q}}_N^2) - c(T_N)$$

is bounded by (d) and $0 \leq c(T_N)T_N \leq \bar{c}$. This immediately implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \frac{\tilde{x}_N^2 + \tilde{x}_N'^2}{2} &= (b-a)E_N - \int_a^b \frac{\tilde{q}_N^2}{2} + \int_a^b (1 + \delta(\tilde{x}_N))V(\tilde{q}_N) \\ &\leq (b-a)E_N + \frac{(\bar{c} + 1)(1 + \bar{\delta})}{1 + \bar{\delta} - \delta^*} \end{aligned}$$

so that $\|\tilde{x}_N\|_{H^1(a,b)}^2$ is bounded. This implies that $\tilde{x}_N \rightarrow x$ in $H^1(a, b)$ and $\tilde{x}_N \rightarrow x$ uniformly. We can now pass to the limit in the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{q}_N &= (1 + \delta(\tilde{x}_N))V'(\tilde{q}_N), \\ \ddot{\tilde{x}}_N + \tilde{x}_N &= \delta'(\tilde{x}_N)V(\tilde{q}_N), \end{aligned}$$

to deduce that (x, q) is a solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{q} &= (1 + \delta(x))V'(q), \\ \ddot{x} + x &= \delta'(x)V(q), \end{aligned}$$

in the interval $[a, b]$ and hence also in \mathbb{R} . From what we have seen above we also have that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} q(t) = 2\pi \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} q(t) = 0;$$

which also implies that $\dot{q}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \pm \infty$.

Let E_∞ be the energy of such a solution. We know that $E_\infty \geq 0$. To show that there exists $R \geq 0$ and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} |x(t) - R \cos(t + \varphi_1)| = 0,$$

we simply remark that, for $k \rightarrow +\infty$, $x_k(t) = x(\cdot - 2\pi k)$ converges uniformly on compact set to a solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x} + x &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{2}\dot{x}^2 + \frac{1}{2}x^2 &= E_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since the solutions of such a limit problem are

$$y(t) = \sqrt{E_\infty} \cos(t + \varphi_1),$$

one can find $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in [0, 2\pi)$ and, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $t_0, t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t) - \sqrt{E_\infty} \cos(t + \varphi_1)| &< \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \leq t_0, \\ |x(t) - \sqrt{E_\infty} \cos(t + \varphi_2)| &< \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_1. \quad \square \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Theorem 17. Assume V and δ satisfy assumptions (V1)–(V4), and $(\delta 1)$ – $(\delta 3)$. Suppose, moreover, that system (1) has no solutions satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} |x(t)| &= 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} q(t) &= 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} q(t) &= 2\pi, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

that is having zero energy.

Choose $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (0, 2\pi)$ and let (x_N, q_N) and $\varphi_N \in [\alpha, \beta]$ be as in Proposition 12. By Theorem 16 there is a subsequence such that $(\tilde{x}_N, \tilde{q}_N) = (q_N(\cdot - \tau_N), x_N(\cdot - \tau_N))$ converges to a solution of (1) satisfying, for suitable φ_1 and φ_2 , the boundary condition (2).

Let, up to subsequence, $\varphi = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_N \in [\alpha, \beta]$. Then

$$\varphi_2 - \varphi_1 \equiv \varphi \pmod{2\pi}.$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\eta \leq \eta_0$ be such that

$$\|\delta'\|_\infty \frac{4\mu\eta^2}{\sqrt{a}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

and consider the corresponding τ_N^1, τ_N^2 . By Theorem 16 (see in particular (17)) we can find $t_0 < 0$ and $t_1 > \tau_N^2 - \tau_N^1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t) - \sqrt{E_\infty} \cos(t + \varphi_1)| &< \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \leq t_0, \\ |x(t) - \sqrt{E_\infty} \cos(t + \varphi_2)| &< \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_1, \\ t_0 + \varphi_1 &\in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Choose N_0 such that for all $N \geq N_0$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t) - \tilde{x}_N(t)| &< \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \in [t_0 - 2\pi, t_0 + 2\pi], \\ |x(t) - \tilde{x}_N(t)| &< \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \in [t_1 - 2\pi, t_1 + 2\pi]. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Let k_1 be such that $2\pi(k_1 + 1) \leq \tau_N^1$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then, using the exponential estimates of q (see Lemma 13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |-x_N(2\pi k_1 + \theta) + x_N(\theta)| &= \left| \int_\theta^{2\pi k_1 + \theta} (\tilde{x}_N(t) + x_N(t)) \sin(t - \theta) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_\theta^{2\pi k_1 + \theta} \delta'(x_N(t)) V(q_N(t)) \sin(t - \theta) dt \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|\delta'\|_\infty \int_\theta^{2\pi k_1 + \theta} V(q_N(t)) dt \\ &\leq \|\delta'\|_\infty \frac{4\mu\eta^2}{\sqrt{a}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \end{aligned} \tag{21a}$$

and

$$|\dot{x}_N(2\pi k_1 + \theta) - \dot{x}_N(\theta)| \leq \|\delta'\|_\infty \frac{4\mu\eta^2}{\sqrt{a}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \tag{21b}$$

Similarly, if $2\pi k_2 \leq T_N - \tau_N^2$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$

$$|x_N(T_N - 2\pi + \theta) - x_N(T_N + \theta - 2\pi k_2)| \leq \|\delta'\|_\infty \frac{4\mu\eta^2}{\sqrt{a}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \tag{21c}$$

$$|\dot{x}_N(T_N - 2\pi + \theta) - \dot{x}_N(T_N + \theta - 2\pi k_2)| \leq \|\delta'\|_\infty \frac{4\mu\eta^2}{\sqrt{a}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \tag{21d}$$

We also recall that

- (1) $x_N(t)$ solves $\ddot{x}_N + x_N = \delta'(x_N)V(q_N)$;
- (2) $q_N(t)$ is exponentially small in $[0, 2\pi]$;
- (3) $q_N(t) - 2\pi$ is exponentially small in $[T_N - 2\pi, T_N]$;
- (4) $\frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}_N^2(t) + x_N^2(t)) + \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}_N^2(t) - (1 + \delta(x_N(t)))V(q_N(t)) = E_N$ for some $E_N > 0$ and for all $t \in [0, T_N]$;
- (5) $x_N(0) = x_N(T_N)$, $\dot{x}_N(0) = \dot{x}_N(T_N)$.

Then there is φ_1^N such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find $N_1 \geq N_0$ such that, for all $N \geq N_1$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} |x_N(\theta) - \sqrt{E_N} \cos(\theta + \varphi_1^N)| < \varepsilon/4, \\ &\sup_{\theta \in [T_N - 2\pi, T_N]} |x_N(\theta) - \sqrt{E_N} \cos(\theta + \varphi_1^N)| < \varepsilon/4. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence,

$$\sup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} |x_N(\theta) - x_N(T_N - 2\pi + \theta)| < \varepsilon/2 \quad \forall N \geq N_1. \tag{22}$$

Using (21) and (22) we deduce that for all $N \geq N_1$, for all $k_1 \leq \tau_N^1/(2\pi) - 1$ and $k_2 \leq (T_N - \tau_N^2)/(2\pi)$

$$\sup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} |x_N(\theta + 2\pi k_1) - x_N(T_N - 2\pi k_2 + \theta)| < \varepsilon$$

i.e.

$$\sup_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} |\tilde{x}_N(\theta + 2\pi k_1 - \tau_N^1) - \tilde{x}_N(T_N - 2\pi k_2 + \theta - \tau_N^1)| < \varepsilon. \tag{23}$$

Since $t_0 < 0$ and $t_1 > \tau_N^2 - \tau_N^1$ we can write $t_0 = \theta_1 + 2\pi k_1 - \tau_N^1$ with $\theta_1 \in [0, 2\pi)$, $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_1 \leq \tau_N^1 / (2\pi) - 1$ and $t_1 = T_N - 2\pi k_2 + \theta_2 - \tau_N^1$ with $\theta_2 \in (0, 2\pi]$, $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_2 \leq (T_N - \tau_N^2) / (2\pi)$. Then

$$T_N - 2\pi k_2 + \theta_1 - \tau_N^1 = t_1 - \theta_2 + \theta_1,$$

so that, using (23)

$$|\tilde{x}_N(t_0) - \tilde{x}_N(t_1 - \theta_2 + \theta_1)| < \varepsilon$$

and (using (19) and (20))

$$|\sqrt{E_\infty} \cos(t_0 + \varphi_1) - \sqrt{E_\infty} \cos(t_1 - \theta_2 + \theta_1 + \varphi_2)| < 5\varepsilon. \tag{24}$$

Recall that $\theta_1 + 2\pi k_1 - \tau_N^1 + \varphi_1 = t_0 + \varphi_1 = 2\pi\ell$ (see (19)) and that $t_1 = T_N - 2\pi k_2 + \theta_2 - \tau_N^1$, $k_2 \leq (T_N - \tau_N^2) / 2\pi$, $\theta_2 \in (0, 2\pi]$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 - \theta_2 + \theta_1 + \varphi_2 &= T_N - 2\pi k_2 + \theta_2 - \tau_N^1 - \theta_2 \\ &\quad + (-\varphi_1 + 2\pi\ell - 2\pi k_1 + \tau_N^1) + \varphi_2 \\ &= 2\pi N + \varphi_N - 2\pi k_2 - \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 + 2\pi\ell - 2\pi k_1 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\cos(t_1 - \theta_2 + \theta_1 + \varphi_2) = \cos(\varphi_N - \varphi_1 + \varphi_2).$$

From this and (24) we deduce that

$$|1 - \cos(\varphi_N - (\varphi_1 - \varphi_2))| < \frac{5\varepsilon}{\sqrt{E_\infty}}$$

so that, passing to the limit we have that

$$|1 - \cos(\varphi - (\varphi_1 - \varphi_2))| < \frac{5\varepsilon}{\sqrt{E_\infty}}$$

and since ε can be chosen arbitrarily small, the theorem follows. \square

Corollary 18. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 17 there are infinitely many solutions of (1) satisfying condition (2).*

Proof. An application of Theorem 17 with $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (0, 2\pi)$ gives a solution (x, q) characterized by a phase shift $\varphi \in [\alpha, \beta]$. Choose $[\alpha_1, \beta_1] \subset (0, 2\pi) \setminus \{\varphi\}$. Then applying again Theorem 17 gives a second solution, different from the first one. A repeated application of the theorem gives the result. \square

References

- [1] P. Bernard, Homoclinic orbits in families of hypersurfaces with hyperbolic periodic orbits, *J. Differential Equations* 180 (2) (2002) 427–452.
- [2] P. Bernard, Homoclinic orbit to a center manifold, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 17 (2) (2003) 121–157.
- [3] P. Bernard, C. Grotta Ragazzo, P.A.S. Salomao, Homoclinic orbits near saddle-center fixed points of Hamiltonian systems with two degrees of freedom, 2002, preprint.
- [4] M. Berti, L. Biasco, P. Bolle, Drift in phase space: a new variational mechanism with optimal diffusion time, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 82 (6) (2003) 613–664.
- [5] M. Berti, P. Bolle, A functional analysis approach to Arnold diffusion, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 19 (4) (2002) 395–450.
- [6] S.V. Bolotin, The existence of homoclinic motions, *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.* 6 (1983) 98–103.
- [7] S.V. Bolotin, Homoclinic trajectories of invariant sets of Hamiltonian systems, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* 4 (1997) 359–389.
- [8] S.V. Bolotin, P.H. Rabinowitz, A variational construction of chaotic trajectories for a reversible Hamiltonian system, *J. Differential Equations* 148 (2) (1998) 364–387.
- [9] E. Bosetto, E. Serra, A variational approach to chaotic dynamics in periodically forced nonlinear oscillators, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 17 (6) (2000) 673–709.
- [10] C. Grotta Ragazzo, Irregular dynamics and homoclinic orbits to Hamiltonian saddle centers, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 50 (2) (1997) 105–147.
- [11] L. Jeanjean, On the existence of bounded Palais–Smale sequences and application to a Landesman–Lazer-type problem set on \mathbb{R}^N , *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 129 (4) (1999) 787–809.
- [12] O.Y. Kol'tsova, L.M. Lerman, Periodic and homoclinic orbits in a two-parameter unfolding of a Hamiltonian system with a homoclinic orbit to a saddle-center, *Internat. J. Bifurcat. Chaos Appl. Sci. Eng.* 5 (2) (1995) 397–408.
- [13] L.M. Lerman, Hamiltonian systems with loops of a separatrix of a saddle-center (translation of methods of the qualitative theory of differential equations (Russian), Gor'kov. Gos. University, Gorki (1987), pp. 89–103.), *Selecta Math. Soviet.* 10 (3) (1991) 297–306 (selected translations).
- [14] A. Mielke, P. Holmes, O. O'Reilly, Cascades of homoclinic orbits to, and chaos near, a Hamiltonian saddle-center, *J. Dynamic Differential Equations* 4 (1) (1992) 95–126.
- [15] P.H. Rabinowitz, Periodic and heteroclinic orbits for a periodic Hamiltonian systems, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré. Anal. Non Linéaire* 6 (1989) 331–346.
- [16] P.H. Rabinowitz, Heteroclinics for a reversible Hamiltonian system, 2, *Differential Integral Equations* 7 (1994) 1557–1572.
- [17] P.H. Rabinowitz, Heteroclinics for a reversible Hamiltonian system, *Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems* 14 (4) (1994) 817–829.
- [18] M. Struwe, *Variational Methods*, 2nd Edition, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Vol. 34 (3), Springer, Berlin, 1996.