0-Bisimple Inverse Semigroups

W. D. MUNN

Mathematics Department, University of Stirling, Stirling, Scotland

Communicated by G. B. Preston

Received September 2, 1969

In this paper a structure theory for 0-bisimple inverse semigroups is given in terms of groups and semilattices. The semilattices concerned are 0-uniform; that is, they are of the form $E = E^0$, where any two nonzero principal ideals of E are isomorphic.

Let S^* be a 0-bisimple inverse semigroup with semilattice E and let μ denote the greatest congruence on S^* contained in \mathscr{H} . Then $S = S^*/\mu$ is a 0-bisimple inverse semigroup with semilattice isomorphic to E and with no nontrivial congruences contained in \mathscr{H} (Theorem 1.1). Moreover, E is 0-uniform and S can be expressed as a certain semigroup of partial isomorphisms of E (Theorems 1.2, 1.3). These results are taken from an earlier paper [10]. Now let G be any μ -class of S^* containing a nonzero idempotent. Then G is a subgroup of S^* . The main problem is to express the structure of S^* in terms of G and S. A solution is provided by Theorem 2.2, which generalises the Schreier extension theorem for groups. To conclude, the theory is applied to a class of 0-bisimple inverse semigroups characterised by a particular type of semilattice (Theorem 4.2).

From the results for 0-bisimple inverse semigroups we can easily deduce corresponding results for bisimple inverse semigroups. An alternative structure theory for the latter has already been developed by Reilly and Clifford [14]; this rests on the notion of an RP-system introduced by Reilly [12], in a paper generalising the work of Clifford [2] on bisimple inverse semigroups with an identity. The principal result of [14] gives a representation of the elements of a bisimple inverse semigroup S^* by equivalence classes of $\overline{R} \times G \times \overline{R}$, where G is a group consisting of any idempotent μ -class of S^* and \overline{R} is a certain RP-system. Moreover, these equivalence classes are singletons if and only if $\mu = \mathcal{H}$ on S^* .

Finally, Reilly [13] makes explicit the connection between the approach of [14] and that of the present paper.

1. FUNDAMENTAL 0-BISIMPLE INVERSE SEMIGROUPS

We shall follow the notation and terminology of [3].

By an *inverse semigroup* we mean a semigroup S in which to each element a there corresponds a unique element a^{-1} (the inverse of a) such that

$$a a^{-1}a = a, \quad a^{-1}a a^{-1} = a^{-1}$$

[3, Section 1.9]. Any two idempotents of S commute and so the set of all idempotents is a subsemigroup of S; we call this *the semilattice of* S and denote it by E_S . For $a, b \in S$ and $e \in E_S$ we have that

$$(a^{-1})^{-1} = a,$$
 $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1},$ $e^{-1} = e.$

It is easy to verify that an inverse semigroup $S = S^0$ is 0-bisimple if and only if, to each pair $(e, f) \in E_S \setminus 0 \times E_S \setminus 0$, there corresponds $a \in S$ such that

$$aa^{-1} = e, \quad a^{-1}a = f.$$

Let ρ be a congruence on an inverse semigroup S. Then every idempotent ρ -class contains an idempotent of S and so S/ρ is an inverse semigroup; moreover, $(x\rho)^{-1} = x^{-1}\rho$ for all $x \in S$ [3, Theorem 7.36]. We shall be concerned only with those congruences ρ such that $\rho \subseteq \mathscr{H}$; these are precisely the *idempotent-separating congruences* on S. There is a greatest such congruence μ , which can be described as follows [4]:

$$(a, b) \in \mu \Leftrightarrow a^{-1} ea = b^{-1} eb$$
 for all $e \in E_S$.

As in [10], we say that S is *fundamental* if and only if the only congruence on S contained in \mathcal{H} is the identity congruence ι . Thus S is fundamental if and only if $\mu = \iota$.

In this section we restate several results from [10] concerning fundamental 0-bisimple inverse semigroups. Green's relations $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}$ on a semigroup S will be denoted by $\mathcal{R}_S, \mathcal{L}_S, \mathcal{H}_S$ when we want to emphasise the particular semigroup involved. Likewise, the identity relation ι on S will sometimes be denoted by ι_S .

The first theorem lists some of the basic properties of idempotentseparating congruences.

THEOREM 1.1. Let S^* be an inverse semigroup, let ρ be an idempotentseparating congruence on S^* and let μ be the greatest such congruence. Let S denote the inverse semigroup $S^* | \rho$. Then

(i)
$$E_s \cong E_{s^*}$$

481/15/4-9

- (ii) $(a\rho, b\rho) \in \mathscr{R}_{S}[\mathscr{H}_{S}] \Leftrightarrow (a, b) \in \mathscr{H}_{S^{*}}[\mathscr{H}_{S^{*}}](a, b \in S^{*});$
- (iii) S is fundamental $\Leftrightarrow \rho = \mu$;

(iv)
$$\mathscr{H}_{S} = \iota_{S} \Leftrightarrow \rho = \mathscr{H}_{S^{*}}(=\mu);$$

(v) S is 0-bisimple \Leftrightarrow S* is 0-bisimple.

Proof. (i) This is immediate from [3, Lemma 7.34].

(ii) It is clear that if $(a, b) \in \mathscr{R}_{S^*}$, then $(a\rho, b\rho) \in \mathscr{R}_S$. Assume, conversely, that $(a\rho, b\rho) \in \mathscr{R}_S$. Then there exist $x, y \in S^*$ such that $(ax)\rho = b\rho, a\rho = (by)\rho$. Hence, since $\rho \subseteq \mathscr{H}_{S^*} \subseteq \mathscr{R}_{S^*}$, there exist $u, v \in S^*$ such that (ax)u = b, a = (by)v and this shows that $(a, b) \in \mathscr{R}_{S^*}$. A similar argument applies to \mathscr{L} and the result for \mathscr{H} then follows.

(iii) If $\rho = \mu$, then S is fundamental [4, p. 75; 10, Theorem 2.4]. Now suppose, conversely, that S is fundamental. Since $\rho \subseteq \mu$ we can define a congruence μ/ρ on S by the rule that

$$(a\rho, b\rho) \in \mu/\rho \Leftrightarrow (a, b) \in \mu$$
 $(a, b \in S^*).$

Let $(a\rho, b\rho) \in \mu/\rho$. Then $(a, b) \in \mu \subseteq \mathscr{H}_{S^*}$ and so, from (ii), $(a\rho, b\rho) \in \mathscr{H}_S$. Thus $\mu/\rho \subseteq \mathscr{H}_S$ and so $\mu/\rho = \iota_S$, since S is fundamental. Hence $\rho = \mu$.

(iv) This is readily deduced from (ii).

(v) Let S^* be 0-bisimple. Since the homomorphism ρ^{\ddagger} is 0-restricted, it follows that S is also 0-bisimple. Conversely, let S be 0-bisimple and let $(e, f) \in E_{S^*} \setminus 0 \times E_{S^*} \setminus 0$. Then $e\rho$, $f\rho$ are nonzero idempotents of S and so there exists $a \in S^*$ such that

$$(a\rho)(a\rho)^{-1} = e\rho, (a\rho)^{-1}(a\rho) = f\rho.$$

Hence

$$(aa^{-1}, e) \in \rho, (a^{-1}a, f) \in \rho.$$

Thus, since ρ is idempotent-separating, we have that $aa^{-1} = e$, $a^{-1}a = f$ and this shows that S^* is 0-bisimple.

Note, in particular, that if S^* is a 0-bisimple inverse semigroup, then S^*/μ is a fundamental 0-bisimple inverse semigroup with semilattice isomorphic to E_{S^*} .

A semilattice $E = E^0$ is said to be 0-uniform if and only if $Ee \cong Ef$ for any two nonzero idempotents e, f of E. The connection between such semilattices and 0-bisimple inverse semigroups is given by the following result [10, Theorem 3.1].

THEOREM 1.2. A semilattice is 0-uniform if and only if it is isomorphic to the semilattice of a 0-bisimple inverse semigroup. The proof of Theorem 1.2 depends on the following concept. Let E be a semilattice and let T_E denote the subset of \mathscr{I}_E , the symmetric inverse semigroup on E [3, p. 29], consisting of all isomorphisms between principal ideals of E. Then T_E is an inverse subsemigroup of \mathscr{I}_E and $E_{T_E} \cong E$; moreover, if E is 0-uniform, then T_E is 0-bisimple [7, Lemma 2.1; 10, Theorem 3.1].

Let $E = E^0$. An inverse subsemigroup S of T_E is said to be 0-transitive [10] if and only if the following two conditions hold:

(i) S contains the zero of T_E (the identity mapping of the zero ideal of E),

(ii) for all $(e, f) \in E \setminus 0 \times E \setminus 0$ there exists an element α in S whose domain is *Ee* and whose codomain is *Ef*.

It is clear that if T_E contains a 0-transitive inverse subsemigroup, then T_E itself is 0-transitive and E is 0-uniform.

The following theorem provides a characterisation of fundamental 0-bisimple inverse semigroups in terms of mappings [10, Theorem 3.1].

THEOREM 1.3. Let $S = S^0$ be an inverse semigroup. Then S is fundamental and 0-bisimple if and only if it is isomorphic to a 0-transitive inverse subsemigroup of T_{E_S} .

Let E be any 0-uniform semilattice. Then, in particular, T_E itself is a fundamental 0-bisimple inverse semigroup. The problem of finding all fundamental 0-bisimple inverse semigroups with semilattices isomorphic to E is reduced, by Theorem 1.3, to that of finding all 0-transitive inverse subsemigroups of T_E . A sufficient condition for T_E to contain no proper inverse subsemigroups of this type is that $\mathscr{H} = \iota$ on T_E : for two elements of T_E are \mathscr{H} -equivalent if and only if they have the same domain and the same codomain [10, Lemma 1.2]; hence if $\mathscr{H} = \iota$ and $(e, f) \in E \setminus 0 \times E \setminus 0$ then there exists exactly one element of T_E with domain Ee and codomain Ef.

A semilattice F is said to be *inversely well-ordered* if and only if, with respect to the natural ordering on $F(e \ge f \Leftrightarrow ef = f)$, every subset of F has a greatest element. Such a semilattice is necessarily a chain.

A slightly modified version of the proof of [7, Theorem 3.2] then establishes the following result.

THEOREM 1.4. Let S^* be an inverse semigroup and let $E = E_{S^*}$. Suppose that every principal ideal of E is inversely well-ordered. Then $\mathcal{H} = \iota$ on T_E and $\mu = \mathcal{H}$ on S^* . Moreover, if S^* is 0-bisimple, then $S^*/\mathcal{H} \cong T_E$.

It follows, in particular, that, if S is a fundamental 0-bisimple inverse semigroup such that E_S contains a nonzero inversely well-ordered principal

MUNN

ideal, then $S \simeq T_{E_S}$; for, since E_S is 0-uniform (Theorem 1.2), every principal ideal of E_S is inversely well-ordered and so $\mathscr{H} = \mu = \iota$ on S. An example is given in Section 4.

2. The Extension Theorem

We turn now to the problem of recovering a 0-bisimple inverse semigroup S^* from the fundamental 0-bisimple inverse semigroup S^*/μ and the group $e^*\mu$, where e^* is any nonzero idempotent of S^* . An answer is provided by Theorem 2.2 below. This differs from the extension theorem of D'Alarcao [1] in that we do not require to use the whole kernel of μ .

Let S be a 0-bisimple inverse semigroup, let e be a nonzero idempotent of S and let X be a transversal (cross-section) of the \mathscr{H} -classes of S that are contained in R_e . For each $a \in S \setminus 0$ let a_1 denote the unique element of X lying in $L_{aa^{-1}}$. Since $a_1 a_1^{-1} \in R_e$ and $a_1^{-1} a_1 \in L_{aa^{-1}}$ it follows that

$$a_1 a_1^{-1} = e, \qquad a_1^{-1} a_1 = a a^{-1}.$$

Hence

$$a_1 a a^{-1} = a_1, \qquad a a^{-1} a_1^{-1} = a_1^{-1}.$$

These properties of a_1 will be used without further comment.

Let P_e be defined by

$$P_e = R_e \cap eSe = \{x \in R_e : xe = x\}.$$

This is the right unit subsemigroup of eSe [12, Lemma 1.2]. It is therefore a right cancellative subsemigroup of S.

The following technical lemma (first given in [9], Appendix) is required for the proof of Theorem 2.2.

LEMMA 2.1. Let a, b be elements of S such that $ab \neq 0$. Define p, q by

$$p = (ab)_1 a_1^{-1}, \qquad q = (ab)_1 ab_1^{-1}.$$

Then

(i) $pa_1 = (ab)_1$, (ii) $qb_1 = (ab)_1a$, (iii) $p, q \in P_e$.

Proof. We first note that $(ab)_1 = (ab)_1(ab)(ab)^{-1} = (ab)_1 abb^{-1}a^{-1}$; this is used in all three parts below.

(i)
$$pa_1 = (ab)_1 a_1^{-1} a_1 = (ab)_1 ab b^{-1}a^{-1} \cdot aa^{-1} = (ab)_1 ab b^{-1}a^{-1} = (ab)_1 \cdot (ab)_1 ab_1^{-1} = (ab)_1 ab b^{-1}a^{-1} \cdot abb^{-1} = (ab)_1 ab b^{-1}a^{-1}a = (ab)_1 ab b^{-1$$

(iii) From (i), $pp^{-1} = pa_1(ab)_1^{-1} = (ab)_1(ab)_1^{-1} = e$.

Also $qq^{-1} = (ab)_1 ab_1^{-1} b_1 a^{-1} (ab)_1^{-1} = (ab)_1 abb^{-1} a^{-1} (ab)_1^{-1} = (ab)_1 (ab)_1^{-1} = e$. Hence $p, q \in R_e$. Further, $e = a_1 a_1^{-1} = b_1 b_1^{-1}$ and so pe = p, qe = q. Thus $p, q \in P_e$.

We now state the main result of this section.

THEOREM 2.2. (A) Let S be a 0-bisimple inverse semigroup and let G be a group. Let e be a nonzero idempotent of S and let $P_e = R_e \cap eSe$, the right unit subsemigroup of eSe. Let X be a transversal of the \mathcal{H} -classes of S that are contained in R_e and, for all $a \in S \setminus 0$, let a_1 denote the unique element of X in L_{aa-1} . For all $p \in P_e$ let θ_p be an endomorphism of G and for all $(p, r) \in P_e \times R_e$ let $f_{p,r}$ be an element of G. Suppose, further, that the θ_p and the $f_{p,r}$ satisfy the following four conditions:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(C1)} \ f_{p,r}\theta_q = f_{q,p}f_{qp,r}f_{q,pr}^{-1} & (p,q \in P_e; r \in R_e), \\ \text{(C2)} \ g\theta_p\theta_q = f_{q,p}(g\theta_{qp})f_{q,p}^{-1} & (p,q \in P_e; g \in G), \\ \text{(C3)} \ g\theta_e = g & (g \in G), \\ \text{(C4)} \ f_{p,e} = f_{e,r} = 1, \ the \ identity \ of \ G \ (p \in P_e; r \in R_e). \end{array}$

Let $S^* = (G \times S \setminus 0) \cup 0$ and define a multiplication in S^* by the rule that, for all $(g, a), (h, b) \in G \times S \setminus 0$,

$$0(g, a) = (g, a)0 = 0^2 = 0,$$

and

where $p = (ab)_1 a_1^{-1}$ and $q = (ab)_1 a b_1^{-1}$. (By Lemma 2.1 (iii), $p, q \in P_e$.) Then S^* is a 0-bisimple inverse semigroup. Moreover, there exists an idempotentseparating congruence ρ on S^* such that $S^*/\rho \cong S$ and $e^*\rho \cong G$ for any nonzero idempotent e^* in S^* .

(B) Conversely, if S^* is a 0-bisimple inverse semigroup and ρ an idempotentseparating congruence on S^* then, to within isomorphism, S^* has the structure described above, where $S = S^*/\rho$ and $G = e^*\rho$ for any nonzero idempotent e^* of S^* .

Proof. (A) We first show that S^* is a semigroup under the prescribed multiplication. Consider the elements (g, a), (h, b), (k, c) of $G \times S \setminus 0$. To establish associativity it is enough to show that

$$[(g, a)(h, b)](k, c) = (g, a)[(h, b)(k, c)].$$

Clearly this holds if abc = 0; hence we assume that $abc \neq 0$.

The G-component of [(g, a)(h, b)](k, c) is

$$f_{r,(ab)}^{-1}[f_{p,a_1}^{-1}(g\theta_p)f_{p,a_1a}f_{q,b_1}^{-1}(h\theta_q)f_{q,b_1b}]\theta_r f_{r,(ab)_1ab}f_{s,c_1}^{-1}(k\theta_s)f_{s,c_1c}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$p = (ab)_1 a_1^{-1}, \quad q = (ab)_1 ab_1^{-1}, \quad r = (abc)_1 (ab)_1^{-1}, \quad s = (abc)_1 ab c_1^{-1}.$$

From (C1), (C2) and Lemma 2.1 (i), (ii) we have that

$$(f_{p,a_1}\theta_r)^{-1} = (f_{r,p}f_{rp,a_1}f_{r,pa_1}^{-1})^{-1} = f_{r,(ab)_1}f_{rp,a_1}^{-1}f_{r,p}^{-1},$$

$$g\theta_p\theta_r = f_{r,p}(g\theta_{rp})f_{r,p}^{-1},$$

$$f_{p,a_1a}\theta_r = f_{r,p}f_{rp,a_1a}f_{r,pa_1a}^{-1} = f_{r,p}f_{rp,a_1a}f_{r,(ab)_1a}^{-1},$$

$$(f_{q,b_1}\theta_r)^{-1} = (f_{r,q}f_{rq,b_1}f_{r,ab_1}^{-1})^{-1} = f_{r,(ab)_1a}f_{rq,b_1}^{-1}f_{r,q}^{-1},$$

$$h\theta_q\theta_r = f_{r,q}(h\theta_{rq})f_{r,q}^{-1},$$

$$f_{q,b_1b}\theta_r = f_{r,q}f_{rq,b_1b}f_{r,qb_1b}^{-1} = f_{r,q}f_{rq,b_1b}f_{r,(ab)_1ab}^{-1}.$$

Substituting the expressions on the right in (1) we find that the G-component of [(g, a)(h, b)](k, c) reduces to

$$f_{rp,a_1}^{-1}(g\theta_{rp})f_{rp,a_1a}f_{rq,b_1}^{-1}(h\theta_{rq})f_{rq,b_1b}f_{s,c_1}^{-1}(k\theta_s)f_{s,c_1c}.$$
 (2)

Similarly it can be shown that the G-component of (g, a)[(h, b)(k, c)] is

$$f_{v,a_1}^{-1}(g\theta_v)f_{v,a_1a}f_{wt,b_1}^{-1}(h\theta_{wt})f_{wt,b_1b}f_{wu,c_1}^{-1}(k\theta_{wu})f_{wu,c_1c},$$

where

$$t = (bc)_1 b_1^{-1}, \quad u = (bc)_1 bc_1^{-1}, \quad v = (abc)_1 a_1^{-1}, \quad w = (abc)_1 a(bc)_1^{-1}.$$

The proof of associativity will therefore be complete if we can show that

rp = v, rq = wt, s = wu.

Now, by Lemma 2.1 (i), $r(ab)_1 = (abc)_1$. Hence

$$rp = r(ab)_1 a_1^{-1} = (abc)_1 a_1^{-1} = v.$$

Similarly,

$$rq = r(ab)_1 ab_1^{-1} = (abc)_1 ab_1^{-1}.$$

But, by Lemma 2.2 (ii), $w(bc)_1 = (abc)_1 a$. Hence

$$wt = w(bc)_1 b_1^{-1} = (abc)_1 ab_1^{-1} = rq.$$

Furthermore,

$$wu = w(bc)_1 bc_1^{-1} = (abc)_1 abc_1^{-1} = s.$$

Thus S^* is a semigroup.

Next we show that S^* is regular. Consider the element $(g, a)(h, a^{-1})(g, a)$, where $g, h \in G$ and $a \in S \setminus 0$. Taking $b = a^{-1}$, c = a above, we have that

$$rp = (aa^{-1}a)_{1}a_{1}^{-1} = a_{1}a_{1}^{-1} = e,$$

$$rq = (aa^{-1}a)_{1}a(a^{-1})_{1}^{-1} = a_{1}a(a^{-1})_{1}^{-1},$$

$$s = (aa^{-1}a)_{1}aa^{-1}a_{1}^{-1} = a_{1}a_{1}^{-1}a_{1}a_{1}^{-1} = e$$

Hence, using (C3) and (C4), we see from (2) that the G-component of $(g, a)(h, a^{-1})(g, a)$ is

$$gf_{d,b_1}^{-1}(h\theta_d)f_{d,b_1b}g,$$

where $b = a^{-1}$, $d = a_1 a b_1^{-1}$. Thus to show that (g, a) is a regular element of S^* it will be enough to prove that we can choose h such that

$$h\theta_d = f_{d,b_1} g^{-1} f_{d,b_1 b}^{-1} \,. \tag{3}$$

Now $d \in P_e$ and

$$d^{-1}d = b_1 a^{-1} a_1^{-1} a_1 a b_1^{-1} = b_1 a^{-1} a a^{-1} a b_1^{-1} = b_1 b_1^{-1} = e;$$

hence $d \in H_e$ and so $d^{-1} \in H_e$. Define h by

$$h = (f_{d,d^{-1}}^{-1} f_{d,b_1} g^{-1} f_{d,b_1 b}^{-1} f_{d,d^{-1}}) \theta_{d^{-1}}.$$

Then from (C2) and (C3) we see that (3) holds. Hence S^* is regular.

We now examine the idempotents of S^* . Let $(g, a) \in S^* \setminus 0$ and suppose that $(g, a)^2 = (g, a)$. Then $a^2 = a (=a^{-1})$ and

$$f_{p,a_1}^{-1}(g\theta_p)f_{p,a_1a}f_{q,a_1}^{-1}(g\theta_q)f_{q,a_1a} = g,$$

where

$$p = (a^2)_1 a_1^{-1} = a_1 a_1^{-1} = e, \qquad q = (a^2)_1 a a_1^{-1} = a_1 (a a^{-1}) a_1^{-1} = a_1 a_1^{-1} = e.$$

Hence, from (C3) and (C4), we have that $g^2 = g$ and so g = 1. Conversely, if $a^2 = a$, then $(1, a)^2 = (1, a)$. Thus

$$E_{S^*} \setminus 0 = \{(1, a) : a^2 = a\}.$$

We prove next that the idempotents of S^* commute. Let $a, b \in E_S \setminus 0$. Suppose first that $ab \neq 0$. Then

$$(1, a)(1, b) = (f_{p,a_1}^{-1} f_{p,a_1a} f_{q,b_1}^{-1} f_{q,b_1b}, ab),$$

where

$$p = (ab)_1 a_1^{-1}, \qquad q = (ab)_1 a b_1^{-1}$$

Since $a^2 = a$ it follows that $a_1a = a_1 aa^{-1} = a_1$. Similarly $b_1b = b_1$. Hence (1, a)(1, b) = (1, ab). But the idempotents of S commute and so $ba = ab \neq 0$. A similar argument then shows that (1, b)(1, a) = (1, ba). Thus (1, a)(1, b) = (1, b)(1, a). Further, this result still holds if ab = 0. Hence S^* is an inverse semigroup. Note if $(g, a) \in S^* \setminus 0$, then the S $\setminus 0$ -component of $(g, a)^{-1}$ must be a^{-1} .

To see that S^* is 0-bisimple, consider any two nonzero idempotents (1, a), (1, b) of S^* . It will suffice to show that there is an element $(1, c) \in S^*$ for which

$$(1, c)(1, c)^{-1} = (1, a), \qquad (1, c)^{-1}(1, c) = (1, b)$$

Since $a, b \in E_S \setminus 0$ and S is 0-bisimple we can find $c \in S \setminus 0$ such that

$$cc^{-1} = a, \qquad c^{-1}c = b.$$

Now $(1, c)(1, c)^{-1}$ is a nonzero idempotent of S^* and its S'0-component is cc^{-1} . Hence

$$(1, c)(1, c)^{-1} = (1, cc^{-1}) = (1, a).$$

Similarly,

$$(1, c)^{-1}(1, c) = (1, c^{-1}c) = (1, b).$$

Thus S^* is 0-bisimple.

Define an equivalence ρ on $S^* \setminus 0$ by the rule that

$$((g, a), (h, b)) \in \rho \Leftrightarrow a = b$$

and extend this to an equivalence on S^* by taking $\{0\}$ to be a ρ -class. Clearly ρ is a congruence on S^* . Also, if $((1, a), (1, b)) \in \rho$, then (1, a) = (1, b); that is, ρ is idempotent-separating. Further, $S^*/\rho \cong S$.

Let $e^* = (1, a) \in E_{S^*} \setminus 0$. Then

$$e^*\rho = \{(g, a) : g \in G\}.$$

Then since $a^2 = a$, it follows, as in the discussion of the idempotents of S^* , that

$$(g, a)(h, a) = (f_{e,a_1}^{-1}(g\theta_e)f_{e,a_1a}f_{e,a_1}^{-1}(h\theta_e)f_{e,a_1a}, a)$$

= $(gh, a).$

Hence the mapping $g \mapsto (g, a)$ is an isomorphism from G to $e^*\rho$. This completes the proof of (A).

(B) Let S^* be a 0-bisimple inverse semigroup and let ρ be an idempotentseparating congruence on S^* . Denote S^*/ρ by S. By Theorem 1.1 (v) S is a 0-bisimple inverse semigroup. Let e^* be any nonzero idempotent of S^* . For brevity, write $R^* = R_{e^*}$, $H^* = H_{e^*}$ (the \mathscr{R} - and \mathscr{H} -classes of S^* containing e^*). Since $\rho \subseteq \mathscr{H}_{S^*}$ it follows that $e^*\rho$ is a normal subgroup of the group H^* . Write

$$e = e^* \rho = G.$$

We shall denote $e^*\rho$ by e when we regard it as an element of S and by G when we regard it as a subgroup of S^* .

Now select a transversal of those ρ -classes of S^* that are contained in R^* . By Theorem 1.1 (ii) we can label the elements of this transversal by the elements of R_e . Let u_r denote the representative of the ρ -class r for all $r \in R_e$. We also adopt the convention that $u_e = e^*$. Note that $u_r u_r^{-1} = e^*$ for all $r \in R_e$.

Let $P_e = R_e \cap eSe = \{x \in R_e : xe = x\}$ and let $p \in P_e$, $r \in R_e$. Then

$$pr(pr)^{-1} = prr^{-1}p^{-1} = p^{-1}ep = pp^{-1} = e$$

and so $pr \in R_{e}$; thus u_{pr} is defined. Hence

$$(u_p u_r) \rho^{\natural} = pr = u_{pr} \rho^{\natural}$$

But $\rho \subseteq \mathscr{H}_{S^*}$ and so there is an element x in S^* such that $u_p u_r = x u_{pr}$. Write $f_{p,r} = xe^*$. Then, since $x u_{pr} = x(e^* u_{pr}) = f_{p,r} u_{pr}$, we have that

$$u_{p} u_{r} = f_{p,r} u_{pr} . (4)$$

Moreover, $f_{p,r} = f_{p,r} e^* = f_{p,r} u_{pr} u_{pr}^{-1} = u_p u_r u_{pr}^{-1}$ and so

$$f_{p,r}\rho^{\natural} = pr(pr)^{-1} = e.$$

Thus, $f_{p,r} \in G$.

We now show that (C4) holds. First let $r \in R_e$. Then $u_r = u_e u_r = f_{e,r} u_{er} = f_{e,r} u_r$ and so

$$f_{e,r} = f_{e,r}u_r u_r^{-1} = u_r u_r^{-1} = e^*.$$

Next let $p \in P_e$. Then $u_p e^* = u_p u_e = f_{p,e} u_{pe} = f_{p,e} u_p$. Hence $f_{p,e} = u_p e^* u_p^{-1}$, which is an idempotent. Thus $f_{p,e} = e^*$, the identity of G. Therefore (C4) holds (with $1 = e^*$).

Let $P^* = R^* \cap e^*S^*e^* = \{x \in R^* : xe^* = x\}$ and let $p \in P_e$. Then, from above,

$$u_p e^* - f_{p,e} u_{pe} - u_p$$

and so $u_p \in P^*$. It follows that $u_p G \subseteq G u_p$ [14, Section 2; also 8, Lemma 3]; moreover, we can define an endomorphism θ_p of G by the rule that, for all $g \in G$,

$$u_p g = (g\theta_p) u_p$$
.

We now verify that (C1), (C2) and (C3) hold. Let $p, q \in P_e$ and let $r \in R_e$. Then

$$u_{q}(u_{p}u_{r}) = u_{q}(f_{p,r}u_{pr}) = (f_{p,r}\theta_{q})u_{q}u_{pr} = (f_{p,r}\theta_{q})f_{q,pr}u_{qpr};$$

also

$$(u_{q} u_{p}) u_{r} = (f_{q,p} u_{qp}) u_{r} = f_{q,p} f_{qp,r} u_{qpr}$$

Hence, equating these expressions and postmultiplying by u_{qpr}^{-1} , we find that $(f_{p,r}\theta_q)f_{q,pr} = f_{q,p}f_{qp,r}$. Thus (C1) holds. In the same way, from the equation $u_q(u_pg) = (u_qu_p)g$ $(p, q \in P_r; g \in G)$ we deduce that (C2) holds. Further, for all $g \in G$,

$$g\theta_e = (g\theta_e) u_v = u_e g = g$$

and this establishes (C3).

Let X be a transversal of those \mathscr{H} -classes of S that lie in R_e . For each a in S\0 define a_1 to be the (unique) element of X in $L_{aa^{-1}}$. Since

$$a_1 a (a_1 a)^{-1} = a_1 a a^{-1} a_1^{-1} = a_1 a_1^{-1} a_1 a_1^{-1} = e$$

it follows that $a_1a \in R_e$ and so u_{a_1a} is defined. The next stage in the proof consists of showing that each element of $S^* \setminus 0$ is uniquely expressible in the form

$$u_{a_1}^{-1} g \ u_{a_1 a} \qquad (a \in S \backslash 0; \ g \in G).$$

Let $a \in S \setminus 0$, $g \in G$. Then

$$(u_{a_1}^{-1}g \, u_{a_1a})\rho^{\natural} = a_1^{-1} \, e \, a_1a = a_1^{-1} \, a_1 \, a = a \, a^{-1}a = a. \tag{5}$$

Hence, in particular, $u_{a_1}^{-1} g u_{a_1 a} \neq 0$. This enables us to define a mapping $\phi: G \times S \setminus 0 \rightarrow S^* \setminus 0$ by the rule that

$$(g, a)\phi = u_{a_1}^{-1}g u_{a_1a}$$
.

We now show that ϕ is bijective.

580

First let $(g, a)\phi = (h, b)\phi$. Then, taking images under ρ^{\natural} , we see from (5) that a = b. Hence

$$g = u_{a_1}(u_{a_1}^{-1} g u_{a_1 a}) u_{a_1 a}^{-1} = u_{b_1}(u_{b_1}^{-1} h u_{b_1 b}) u_{b_1 b}^{-1} = h$$

and so ϕ is injective.

Let $a^* \in S^* \setminus 0$. Since X is a transversal of the \mathcal{H} -classes of S in R_e it follows from Theorem 1.1 (ii) that $\{u_x : x \in X\}$ is a transversal of the \mathcal{H} -classes of S^* in R^* . Hence, by [3, Theorem 3.4],

$$a^* = u_x^{-1} \, k \, u_y$$

for some $x, y \in X$ and some $k \in H^*$. Now, by Theorem 1.1 (ii), $\{u_z : z \in H_e\}$ is a transversal of those ρ -classes of S^* that are contained in H^* , that is, a transversal of the cosets of G in H^* . Thus $k = h u_z$ for some $h \in G$, $z \in H_e$. Hence

$$a^* = u_x^{-1} h u_z u_y = u_x^{-1} h f_{z,y} u_{zy} = u_x^{-1} g u_{zy},$$

where $g = hf_{z,y} \in G$. Write $a = x^{-1}zy$. Then $aa^{-1} = x^{-1}zy y^{-1}z^{-1}x = x^{-1}z \ e \ z^{-1}x = x^{-1}z \ z^{-1}x = x^{-1}ex = x^{-1}x$. Since $x^{-1}x \neq 0$ is follows that $a \neq 0$ and so $aa^{-1} = a_1^{-1}a_1$. Thus $x^{-1}x = a_1^{-1}a_1$ and so $x = a_1$, since both x and a_1 lie in X. Furthermore,

$$zy = (ez)y = (xx^{-1})zy = x(x^{-1}zy) = a_1a$$
.

Hence $a^* = u_{a_1}^{-1} g \, u_{a_1 a}$. This shows that ϕ is surjective.

Now extend ϕ to a bijection from $(G \times S \setminus 0) \cup 0$ to S^* by taking $0\phi = 0$. Define a multiplication on $(G \times S \setminus 0) \cup 0$ as in (A). We complete the proof of (B) by showing that ϕ is an isomorphism. Let (g, a), $(h, b) \in G \times S \setminus 0$. It will be enough to show that

$$(g, a)\phi(h, b)\phi = [(g, a)(h, b)]\phi.$$

We separate two cases.

Suppose first that ab = 0. Then

$$(u_{a_1a} u_{b_1}^{-1})\rho^{\natural} = a_1 a b_1^{-1} = a_1 a b b^{-1} b_1^{-1} = 0$$

and so $u_{a_1a} u_{b_1}^{-1} = 0$ since ρ^{\natural} is 0-restricted. Thus

$$(g, a)\phi(h, b)\phi = 0 = 0\phi = [(g, a)(h, b)]\phi.$$

Now suppose that $ab \neq 0$. Then

$$a_{1} a b_{1}^{-1} = a_{1} a a^{-1} a b b^{-1} b_{1}^{-1}$$
$$= a_{1} a b b^{-1} a^{-1} a b_{1}^{-1}$$
$$= a_{1} a b (a b)^{-1} a b_{1}^{-1}$$
$$= a_{1} (a b)_{1}^{-1} (a b)_{1} a b_{1}^{-1}$$
$$= p^{-1} q,$$

where $p = (ab)_1 a_1^{-1}$, $q = (ab)_1 a b_1^{-1}$. By Lemma 2.1 (iii), $p, q \in P_e$ and so u_p , u_q are defined. Hence

$$(u_{a_1a} u_{b_1}^{-1}) \rho^{\natural} = a_1 a b_1^{-1} = p^{-1}q = (u_p^{-1} u_q) \rho^{\natural}.$$

Then since $\rho \subseteq \mathscr{H}_{S^*}$ there exist elements x, y in S^* such that $u_{a_1a} u_{b_1}^{-1} = u_p^{-1} u_q x = y u_p^{-1} u_q$. It follows that

$$u_{a_{1}a} u_{b_{1}}^{-1} = (u_{p}^{-1} u_{p}) u_{a_{1}a} u_{b_{1}}^{-1} (u_{q}^{-1} u_{q})$$

$$= u_{p}^{-1} (f_{p,a_{1}a} u_{pa_{1}a}) (u_{qb_{1}}^{-1} f_{q,b_{1}}^{-1}) u_{q}$$

$$= u_{p}^{-1} f_{p,a_{1}a} f_{q,b_{1}}^{-1} u_{q}, \qquad (6)$$

since $pa_1a = qb_1$ by Lemma 2.1 (i), (ii). Further,

$$g u_p^{-1} = (u_p g^{-1})^{-1} = [(g^{-1} \theta_p) u_p]^{-1} = u_p^{-1} (g^{-1} \theta_p)^{-1} - u_p^{-1} (g \theta_p).$$
(7)

Finally,

$$u_{a_{1}}^{-1} g \, u_{a_{1}a} \cdot u_{b_{1}}^{-1} h \, u_{b_{1}b} = u_{a_{1}}^{-1} g \, u_{p}^{-1} f_{p,a_{1}a} f_{q,b_{1}}^{-1} \, u_{q} h \, u_{b_{1}b} \quad \text{by (6),}$$

$$= u_{a_{1}}^{-1} u_{p}^{-1} (g\theta_{p}) f_{p,a_{1}a} f_{q,b_{1}}^{-1} (h\theta_{q}) \, u_{q} \, u_{b_{1}b} \quad \text{by (7),}$$

$$= u_{pa_{1}}^{-1} f_{p,a_{1}}^{-1} (g\theta_{p}) f_{p,a_{1}a} f_{q,b_{1}}^{-1} (h\theta_{q}) f_{q,b_{1}b} \, u_{qb_{1}b}$$

$$= u_{(ab)_{1}}^{-1} [f_{p,a_{1}}^{-1} (g\theta_{p}) f_{p,a_{1}a} f_{q,b_{1}}^{-1} (h\theta_{q}) f_{q,b_{1}b}] u_{(ab)_{1}ab},$$

since $pa_1 = (ab)_1$, $qb_1 = (ab)_1a$ by Lemma 2.1 (i), (ii). Thus

$$(g, a)\phi(h, b)\phi = [(g, a)(h, b)]\phi,$$

as required. This completes the proof of (B).

We call S^* an extension of G by S.

Remarks on Theorem 2.2

(2.3). In part (A) let $S = K^0$, where K is a group, and let e be the identity of K. Then $P_e = R_e = H_e = K$. Choose $X = \{e\}$; thus $a_1 = e$ for all $a \in K$. Since S is a group with zero so also is S^* , by Theorem 1.1 (i). Write $K^* = S^* \setminus 0$. For all $a, b \in K$ we have that

$$p = (ab)_1 a_1^{-1} = e, \qquad q = (ab)_1 a b_1^{-1} = a$$

and so the multiplication in K^* is according to the rule that

$$(g, a)(h, b) = (g(h\theta_a)f_{a,b}, ab).$$

In this case K^* is an extension of G by K in the usual group-theoretic sense [5, Section 48]. Conversely, every extension of G by K is, to within isomorphism, of this type.

(2.4). Let S be a fundamental 0-bisimple inverse semigroup and let E be a semilattice isomorphic to E_S . By Theorem 1.2, E is 0-uniform and by Theorem 1.3 we can regard S as a 0-transitive inverse subsemigroup of T_E . For all $e \in E$ let ϵ_e denote the identity mapping of Ee. Then $E_S = E_{T_E} = \{\epsilon_e : e \in E\}$ [7, 10]. Denote the domain and codomain of an element α of S by $\Delta(\alpha)$ and $\nabla(\alpha)$, respectively. Choose $e \in E \setminus 0$. Then it is easily verified [10, Lemma 1.2] that

$$\begin{split} R_{\epsilon_e} &= \{ \alpha \in S : \varDelta(\alpha) = Ee \}, \\ H_{\epsilon_e} &= \{ \alpha \in S : \varDelta(\alpha) = Ee, \nabla(\alpha) = Ee \}, \\ P_{\epsilon_e} &= \{ \alpha \in S : \varDelta(\alpha) = Ee, \nabla(\alpha) \subseteq Ee \}. \end{split}$$

Take S as above in part (A) and let ϵ_e be the chosen nonzero idempotent of S. For each x in $E \setminus 0$ select one element ξ_x of S such that $\Delta(\xi_x) = Ee$, $\nabla(\xi_x) = Ex$. (This is possible since S is 0-transitive.) Then the set

$$X = \{\xi_x : x \in E \setminus 0\}$$

is a transversal of the \mathscr{H} -classes of S that are contained in R_{ϵ_e} and every such transversal can be obtained in this way. We now interpret the mapping $\alpha \mapsto \alpha_1$, where α is a nonzero element of S. Let $\Delta(\alpha) = Ey$ ($y \in E \setminus 0$); then $\alpha \alpha^{-1} = \epsilon_y$ and so $\alpha_1 = \xi_y$. Finally, the congruence ρ defined in (A) must coincide with μ , since S is fundamental [Theorem 1.1 (iii)]. Note that if we make the further assumption that $\mathscr{H}_S = \iota_S$, then $\mu = \mathscr{H}_{S^*}$ [Theorem 1.1 (iv)]: in this case the set X coincides with R_{ϵ_s} .

3. The Splitting Case

A considerable simplification occurs in Theorem 2.2(A) if we choose each $f_{p,r}$ to be the identity of G. The conditions (C1) and (C4) become redundant, while (C2) asserts that the mapping $\theta : p \mapsto \theta_p$ of P_e into end G, the semigroup of endomorphisms of G, is an antihomomorphism. The multiplication in S^* then reduces to the following: for $(g, a), (h, b) \in S^* \setminus 0$,

and

$$0(g, a) = (g, a)0 = 0^{2} = 0,$$

$$(g, a)(h, b) = \begin{cases} (g\theta_{p} h\theta_{q}, ab) & \text{if } ab \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } ab = 0 \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

where p, q are defined as before. In this case the set

$$S' = \{(1, a) : a \in S \setminus 0\} \cup 0$$

is a transversal of the ρ -classes of S^* and is clearly a subsemigroup of S^* isomorphic to S.

Conversely, if we add to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2(B) the additional requirement that there exists a transversal S' of the ρ -classes of S* which is also a subsemigroup of S* then, by selecting the set $\{u_r : r \in R_e\}$ to be a subset of S', we see that Eq. (4) in the proof can be replaced by

$$u_p u_r = u_{pr}$$

It follows that $f_{p,r} = 1$ for all $p \in P_e$, $r \in R_e$ and so S^* is isomorphic to $(G \times S \setminus 0) \cup 0$, with multiplication defined as in (3.1).

In this case we say that S^* splits over ρ and that S^* is a split extension of G by S. The result corresponding to the above for bisimple inverse semigroups is given in [9, Theorem 7].

(3.2). It is clear that a necessary and sufficient condition for S^* to split over ρ is that there exists a transversal $\{u_r : r \in R_e\}$ of the ρ -classes of S^* contained in R^* with the property that $u_r \rho^{\natural} = r$ and $u_p u_r = u_{pr}$ for all $p \in P_e$ and $r \in R_e$.

4. AN APPLICATION

In this final section we apply the foregoing results to a particular class of 0-bisimple inverse semigroups first discussed in [6]. The set of all non-negative integers will be denoted throughout by N.

DEFINITION. Let I be a nonempty set. A semilattice $E = E^0$ will be said to be of type (ω, I) if and only if $E \setminus 0 = \{e_{m,i} : m \in N, i \in I\}$ and

$$e_{m,i} e_{n,j} = \begin{cases} e_{t,i} & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

where $t = \max\{m, n\}$.

Such a semilattice is 0-uniform and each of its principal ideals is inversely well-ordered.

DEFINITION. An inverse semigroup $S = S^0$ is an (ω, I) inverse semigroup if and only if its semilattice E_S is of type (ω, I) .

In particular, if $S = S^0$ is an (ω, I) inverse semigroup with |I| = 1, then E_S is a chain and so S has no proper divisors of zero; in this case S is an inverse ω -semigroup (in the sense of Reilly [11]) with a zero element adjoined.

The structure of 0-bisimple (ω , I) inverse semigroups has been determined by Lallement and Petrich [6, Corollary 5.7, also Section 6]. Their method makes use of Reilly's structure theorem for bisimple inverse ω -semigroups [11]. In Theorem 4.2 below we give a proof based on Theorem 2.2. Reilly's theorem can then be deduced by taking |I| = 1.

We require a lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. Let I be a nonempty set and let $E = E^0$ be a semilattice of type (ω, I) . Then T_E is a fundamental 0-bisimple (ω, I) inverse semigroup on which \mathcal{H} is trivial. Further, to within isomorphism, $T_E = [(N \times N) \times (I \times I)] \cup 0$, with multiplication according to the following rule: 0 is the zero element and the product of nonzero elements is given by

$$[(m, n), (i, j)][(r, s), (k, l)] = \begin{cases} [(m - n + t, s - r + t), (i, l)] & \text{if } j = k, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq k, \end{cases}$$

where $t = \max\{n, r\}$.

Proof. Let $E \setminus 0 = \{e_{m,i} : m \in N, i \in I\}$, as in the definition. Since E is 0-uniform it follows from Theorem 1.3 that T_E is a fundamental 0-bisimple (ω, I) inverse semigroup. Moreover, every principal ideal of E is inversely well-ordered and so, by Theorem 1.4, $\mathscr{H} = \iota$ on T_E . There is therefore one and only one element of T_E with domain $Ee_{m,i}$ and codomain $Ee_{n,j}$; denote this by [(m, n), (i, j)]. Let 0 denote the identity mapping of the zero ideal of E. It is then easy to verify that the multiplication in T_E is a stated.

Note that $E_{T_E} = \{[(m, m), (i, i)] : m \in N, i \in I\}$ and that $[(m, n), (i, j)]^{-1} = [(n, m), (j, i)].$

MUNN

We then have

THEOREM 4.2. (A) Let G be a group, let α be an endomorphism of G and let I be a nonempty set. Let $S^* = [G \times (N \times N) \times (I \times I)] \cup 0$ and define a multiplication in S^* by the following rule: 0 is the zero element and the product of nonzero elements is given by

$$[g, (m, n), (i, j)][h, (r, s), (k, l)]$$

= $\begin{cases} [g\alpha^{t-n} h\alpha^{t-r}, (m-n+t, s-r+t), (i, l)] & \text{if } j = k \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq k, \end{cases}$

where $t = \max\{n, r\}$ and α^0 denotes the identity automorphism of G. Then S^* is a 0-bisimple (ω, I) inverse semigroup.

(B) Conversely, every 0-bisimple (ω, I) inverse semigroup is, to within isomorphism, of the type described in (A).

Proof. (A) Let $E = E^0$ be a semilattice of type (ω, I) . By Lemma 4.1, T_E is a fundamental 0-bisimple (ω, I) inverse semigroup on which \mathscr{H} is trivial. Take $S = T_E$ and suppose that it is represented in terms of N and I as in the lemma. We shall establish the result by showing that S^* is a split extension of G by S (Section 3).

Choose an element 0 in I and keep it fixed. Let $e = [(0, 0), (0, 0)] \in S$. Then $e^2 = e$ and it is easily seen that $R_e = \{[(0, n), (0, i)] : n \in N, i \in I\}$, $P_e = \{[(0, n), (0, 0)] : n \in N\}$. Since $\mathscr{H}_S = \iota_S$ it follows that the only transversal X of the \mathscr{H} -classes of S in R_e is R_e itself; hence if $a \in S \setminus 0$, then a_1 is the unique element of R_e such that $a_1^{-1} a_1 = aa^{-1}$.

Write y = [(0, 1), (0, 0)] and take $y^0 = e$. Then $y^n = [(0, n), (0, 0)]$ for all $n \in N$ and so $P_e = \{y^n : y \in N\}$. Define $\theta : p \mapsto \theta_p$ of P_e into end G by the rule that

$$\theta_{y^n} = \alpha^n \qquad (n \in N).$$

Then θ is an antihomomorphism and $\theta_e = \alpha^0$, the identity automorphism of G. To complete the proof of (A) we show that the multiplication in S^* is as in (3.1). Let

$$a = [(m, n), (i, j)], \quad b = [(r, s), (j, k)] \in S \setminus 0$$

and let $p = (ab)_1 a_1^{-1}$, $q = (ab)_1 a b_1^{-1}$. We need only prove that

$$heta_p = lpha^{t-n}, \qquad heta_q = lpha^{t-r},$$

where $t = \max\{n, r\}$. First, $aa^{-1} = [(m, m), (i, i)]$ and so $a_1 = [(0, m), (0, i)]$. In the same way we obtain b_1 , $(ab)_1$ and a simple calculation then shows that

$$p = [(0, t - n), (0, 0)] = y^{t-n},$$

$$q = [(0, t - r), (0, 0)] = y^{t-r}.$$

This gives the required result.

(B) Let S^* be a 0-bisimple (ω, I) inverse semigroup. Write $E = E_{S^*}$. By Theorem 1.4, \mathscr{H} is a congruence on S^* and $S^*/\mathscr{H} \cong T_E$. Take $S = S^*/\mathscr{H}$ and assume that S is represented in terms of N and I as in Lemma 4.1. We show that S^* splits over \mathscr{H} .

Let e, y be defined as in part (A) above. Write $z_i = [(0, 0), (0, i)]$ for all $i \in I$; in particular, $z_0 = e$. Then

$$y^n z_i = [(0, n), (0, 0)][(0, 0), (0, i)] = [(0, n), (0, i)]$$

and so

$$R_e = \{y^n z_i : n \in N, i \in I\}, \qquad P_e = \{y^n z_0 : n \in N\}.$$

Now let e^* be that idempotent of S^* whose image under \mathscr{H}^{\natural} is e. Let $R^* = R_{e^*}$. Choose elements v, w_i in R^* such that

$$v\mathscr{H}^{
atural}=y, \qquad w_{i}\mathscr{H}^{
atural}=z_{i} \qquad (i\in I)$$

and take $w_0 = e^*$. Then

$$(v^nw_i)\mathscr{H}^
atural=y^nz_i\in R_e$$
 .

Hence $\{v^n w_i : n \in N, i \in I\}$ is a transversal of the \mathcal{H} -classes of S^* contained in R^* and $\{v^n w_0 : n \in N\}$ is the subset of this transversal that maps onto P_e under \mathcal{H}^{\natural} . But

$$(v^m w_0)(v^n w_i) = v^{m+n} w_i$$

for all $m, n \in N$ and all $i \in I$. Hence, by (3.2), S^* splits over \mathscr{H} . Let $G = H_{e^*}$. It follows that S^* is isomorphic to $(G \times S \setminus 0) \cup 0$ with multiplication defined as in (3.1), where $\theta : p \mapsto \theta_p$ is an antihomomorphism of P_e into end G with the property that θ_e is the identity automorphism of G. Write $\alpha = \theta_y$, $\alpha^0 = \theta_e$. Then $\theta_{y^n} = \alpha^n$ for all $n \in N$. Further, for $a \in S \setminus 0$, a_1 is the unique element of R_e such that $a_1^{-1} a_1 = aa^{-1}$. Finally, let

$$a = [(m, n), (i, j)], \quad b = [(r, s), (j, k)] \in S \setminus 0$$

and let $p = (ab)_1 a_1^{-1}$, $q = (ab)_1 a b_1^{-1}$. Then, as in (A), it follows that

$$heta_p = lpha^{t-n}, \qquad heta_q = lpha^{t-r},$$

where $t = \max\{n, r\}$. This completes the proof.

481/15/4-10

MUNN

References

- H. D'ALARCAO, Idempotent-separating extensions of inverse semigroups, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 9 (1969), 211-217.
- 2. A. H. CLIFFORD, A class of *d*-simple semigroups, Amer. J. Math. 75 (1953), 547-556.
- 3. A. H. CLIFFORD AND G. B. PRESTON, "The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups," Math. Surveys of the Amer. Math. Soc., 7, Vols. I and II, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1961 and 1967.
- 4. J. M. HOWIE, The maximum idempotent-separating congruence on an inverse semigroup, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 14 (1964), 71-79.
- 5. A. G. KUROSH, "The Theory of Groups," Vol. II, 2nd English edn., Chelsea, New York, 1960.
- 6. G. LALLEMENT AND M. PETRICH, A generalization of the Rees theorem in semigroups, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 30 (1969), 113-132.
- W. D. MUNN, Uniform semilattices and bisimple inverse semigroups, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 17 (1966), 151–159.
- 8. W. D. MUNN, The idempotent-separating congruences on a regular 0-bisimple semigroup, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 15 (1967), 233-240.
- W. D. MUNN, Some recent results on the structure of inverse semigroups, "Semigroups: Proceedings of a symposium," Academic Press, New York, 1969.
- W. D. MUNN, Fundamental inverse semigroups, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 21 (1970), 157–170.
- 11. N. R. REILLY, Bisimple ω -semigroups, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. 7 (1966), 160–167.
- 12. N. R. REILLY, Bisimple inverse semigroups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (1968), 101-114.
- 13. N. R. REILLY, An isomorphism for two extension theorems for bisimple inverse semigroups (unpublished).
- N. R. REILLY AND A. H. CLIFFORD, Bisimple inverse semigroups as semigroups of ordered triples, *Canad. J. Math.* 20 (1968), 25–39.