EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF CANCER 47 (2011) 1938-1945



# Type I interferons as radiosensitisers for pancreatic cancer

Marjolein J.M. Morak <sup>a</sup>, Peter M. van Koetsveld <sup>b</sup>, Roland Kanaar <sup>c,d</sup>, Leo J. Hofland <sup>b</sup>, Casper H.J. van Eijck <sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Surgery, Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>b</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Division Endocrinology, Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>c</sup> Department of Cell Biology and Genetics, Cancer Genomics Centre, Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>d</sup> Department of Radiation Oncology, Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 November 2010 Accepted 8 March 2011 Available online 7 April 2011

Keywords: Pancreatic cancer cell lines Radiosensitivity Colony forming assay Interferon alpha Interferon beta

## ABSTRACT

*Background*: Radiotherapy is an established treatment for malignant localised disease. Pancreatic cancer however seems relatively insensitive to this form of therapy.

Methods: Pancreatic cancer cell lines MiaPaca-2 and Panc-1 were pre-treated with 3000 IU/ml IFN $\alpha$  or 100 IU/ml IFN $\beta$  followed by 0, 2, 4, or 6 Gray (Gy) irradiation. Colony forming assay was used to assess the effects on cellgrowth. To measure the surviving fraction at the clinically relevant dose of 2 Gy (SF2), cells were pre-treated with 1000–10.000 IU/ml IFN $\alpha$  or 50–500 IU/ml IFN $\beta$  followed by 2 Gy irradiation.

Results: The plating efficiency was 49% for MiaPaca-2 and 22% for Panc-1. MiaPaca-2 was more radiosensitive than Panc-1 (surviving fraction of 0.28 versus 0.50 at 4 Gray). The SF2 of MiaPaca-2 was 0.77 while the SF2 of Panc-1 was 0.70. The SF2 significantly decreased after pretreatment with IFN $\alpha$  1000 IU/ml (p < 0.001) and IFN $\beta$  100 IU/ml (p < 0.001) in MiaPaca-2 and with IFN $\alpha$  5000 IU/ml (p < 0.001) and IFN $\beta$  100 IU/ml (p < 0.001) in Panc-1. The sensitising enhancement ratio (SER) for IFN $\alpha$  3000 IU/ml was 2.15 in MiaPaca-2 and 1.90 in Panc-1. For IFN $\beta$  100 IU/ml the SER was 1.72 for in MiaPaca-2 and 1.51 in Panc-1.

Conclusions: Type I interferons have radiosensitising effects in pancreatic cancer cell lines. This radiosensitising property might lead to an improved response to treatment in pancreatic cancer. Interferon  $\beta$  is the most promising drug due to its effect in clinically obtainable doses.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. Open access under the Elsevier OA license.

## 1. Introduction

Radiotherapy is an important treatment in cancer, especially for patients with advanced localised disease, with proven efficacy in many tumours.<sup>1–5</sup> Based on several randomised controlled trials studying the effect of adjuvant (chemo)radiotherapy, pancreatic and periampullary cancers are fairly radiotherapy resistant.<sup>6–10</sup> Often chemotherapeutics (5-fluorouracil (5-FU), gemcitabine) are used as radiosensitisers. Besides their direct cytotoxic effects caused by incorporation of the drugs as modified nucleotides into the DNA, even low doses of these drugs can be effective in radiosensitisation. Interference with normal repair of radiation-induced DNA damage with an inappropriate progression through S phase is key in their radiosensitising properties causing late, unmanageable toxicities. A

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Address: Department of Surgery, Erasmus Medical Centre Rotterdam's, Gravendijkwal 230, 3015 CE Rotterdam, The Netherlands. Tel.: +31 10 7033854; fax: +31 10 7033350.

E-mail address: c.vaneijck@erasmusmc.nl (C.H.J. van Eijck). 0959-8049 © 2011 Elsevier Ltd. Open access under the Elsevier OA license. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2011.03.009

favourable side effect of their direct cytotoxicity is a relative increase in oxygenation, leading to an increased vulnerability for radiotherapy.<sup>11,12</sup> However, as mentioned, results of chemoradiotherapy are disappointing in pancreatic cancer.<sup>6–10</sup>

In several tumours radiosensitising properties of interferon alpha (IFN $\alpha$ ) and interferon beta (IFN $\beta$ ) have been demonstrated in vitro<sup>13–17</sup> as well as in vivo.<sup>18,19</sup> In pancreatic cancer cell lines, IFN $\alpha$  has already shown to act as radiosensitiser<sup>20</sup> and in vivo promising therapy results have been reported combining 5-FU, cisplatinum, and radiation therapy with IFN $\alpha$  alone (5-year survival rate of 55%) or followed by 2 cycles of gemcitabine (median survival 25 months) in patients with resected pancreatic adenocarcinoma.<sup>21,22</sup> These results are currently reinvestigated in the phase III CapRI study.<sup>23</sup>

Type I interferons such as IFN $\alpha$  and IFN $\beta$ , sort their effect through the same interferon receptor (IFNAR) with IFN $\beta$  having a higher affinity. In vivo studies showed that approximately 20% of pancreatic cancers express IFNARs and that expression of the interferon receptor correlates with a significant survival benefit in patients with resected pancreatic cancer.<sup>24</sup>

The exact mechanism by which type I interferons cause radiosensitisation is unclear. Possibly, concomitant treatment with IFNs causes an inappropriate progression of cells into S-phase, thereby interfering with repair of radiation-induced damage or increasing the proportion of lethal to sublethal damage.<sup>17,25</sup>

In this study we aim to gain insight in the radiosensitising abilities of type I interferons, especially IFN $\beta$ , in pancreatic cell lines. We decided to address these issues in colony forming assays, because besides the apoptotic effects of radiation and IFNs, the reproductive integrity of tumour cells (i.e. the capacity to produce an expanding colony of descendants, and therefore to regrow the tumour if left intact at the end of treatment) is of pivotal importance.

# 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Cell lines and culture conditions

The human pancreatic cell lines MiaPaCa-2 and Panc-1 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection. The cells were cultured in a humidified incubator containing 5%  $CO_2$  at 37°C. MiaPaca-2 was cultured in RPMI 1640 and Panc-1 in DMEM both supplemented with 10% FCS, penicillin  $(1 \times 10^5 \text{ U/l})$ , fungizone (0.5 mg/l) and L-glutamine (2 mmol/l). Periodically, the cells were tested for Mycoplasma contamination, which was not detected. Cells were harvested with trypsin (0.05%), EDTA (0.02%) and resuspended in medium. Before plating, the cells were counted microscopically using a standard haemocytometer. Tryphan Blue staining was used to assess cell viability, which always exceeded 95%. Media and supplements were obtained from GIBCO Bio-cult Europe (Invitrogen, Breda, The Netherlands).

## 2.2. Drugs and Reagents

Human recombinant IFN-α-2b (Intron-A) was obtained from Schering-Plough Corporation (Utrecht, The Netherlands), while human recombinant IFN-β-1a (Rebif) was acquired from Serono Benelux BV (Den Haag, The Netherlands). All compounds were stored at -20 °C, and the stock solution was constituted in distilled water according to the manufacturer instructions. Doses of 1000–10.000 IU/ml for IFN $\alpha$  and 50–500 IU/ml for IFN $\beta$  were used.

### 2.3. Irradiation

Cells were exposed to gamma radiation from a <sup>137</sup>Cs source at 70.9 cGy/min at room temperature under aerobic conditions. For radiation survival studies, cells were irradiated with 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, or 10 Gray. In the combined modality treatment, the IFN treatment was given before irradiation for 72 hours. Cells were irradiated with 0, 2, 4, or 6 Gray in the presence of the drug.

#### 2.4. Colony forming assay

Cells were plated onto poly-L-lysine coated, 60-mm Petridishes (6–12 cells/cm<sup>2</sup>) and cultured in complete medium for 2 weeks. Poly-L-lysine (10  $\mu$ g/ml; Sigma–Aldrich, Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands) inhibited cells from dispersing from the growing colonies.

Dose response curves for IFN $\alpha$ , IFN $\beta$  and irradiation were established for both cell lines using a colony-forming assay. Therefore, seeded cells were allowed to attach for 24 hour prior to treatment with 1000–10.000 IU/ml IFN $\alpha$ , 50–500 IU/ ml IFN $\beta$  or 0–10 Gray irradiation. Cell lines were treated with IFNs continuously and medium plus agents were replaced every three or four days. Fourteen days after seeding, colonies were fixed with 100% ethanol and stained with hematoxicilline to allow calculation of their average colony-forming efficiency. Colonies containing >50 cells were counted automatically with the MultiImage Light Cabinet from HpH Innitech Corporation.

Plating efficiency was defined as the mean number of colonies divided by the number of inoculated cells for control cultures not exposed to interferons or radiation. The surviving fraction (SF) was calculated as (mean number of colonies)/(number of inoculated cells × plating efficiency). The curve was plotted using X–Y log scatter (Graph Prism 3.0). Curve-fitting parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  were determined.

### 2.5. Radiation enhancement by type I interferons

To asses radiation enhancement by type I interferons, cells were pretreated with IFN $\alpha$  3000 IU/ml or IFN $\beta$  100 IU/ml (doses resulting in approximately 50% decrease in surviving fraction in both cell lines) for 72 hours. Cell lines were irradiated with 0, 2, 4, or 6 Gray. Control plates without IFNs were irradiated simultaneously. Cell lines were treated with IFNs continuously and medium plus agents were replaced every three or four days. After 2 weeks, the formed colonies were fixed and stained to allow counting.

SF2 is the surviving fraction of cells that were irradiated at the clinically relevant dose of 2 Gray.

The sensitising enhancement ratio (SER) for interferon was calculated at the 37% survival level. The radiation dose that reduced the surviving colonies to 37% of the non-treated controls was divided by the radiation dose that reduced survival to 37% after interferon pre-treatment.

# 2.6. Cell proliferation assay

Measurement of total DNA contents, representative for the number of cells, was performed using the bisbenzimide fluorescent dye (Hoechst<sup>™</sup> 33258, Boehring Diagnostics, La Jolla, CA) as previously described.<sup>26</sup>

# 2.7. Measurement of DNA fragmentation (apoptosis)

10.000 cells/dish, depending on the length of the incubation period, were plated on 24-well plates and the cells were allowed to adhere overnight. The next day the cell culture medium was replaced with 1ml/well medium containing 3000 IU/ml IFN- $\alpha$  or 100 IU/ml IFN- $\beta$ . Each treatment was performed in quadruplicate. After an additional incubation of 3 days, apoptosis was assessed using a commercially available ELISA kit (Cell Death Detection ELISAPlus, Roche Diagnostic GmbH, Penzberg, Germany). The standard protocol supplied by the manufacturer was used. Relative apoptosis was determined by calculating the ratio of the average absorbance of the treatment dishes to the average absorbance of the control dishes. The data were corrected for the effect on cell number after 3 days of treatment. Intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were 4.2% and 6.3%, respectively.

## 2.8. Statistical analyses

All experiments were carried out in duplicates and gave comparable results. For statistical analysis GraphPad Prism<sup>™</sup> 3.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, USA) was used. The comparative statistical evaluation amongst groups was firstly performed by the ANOVA test. When significant differences were found, a comparison between groups was made using the Newman–Keuls test. The unpaired Student t-test was used to analyse the differences in surviving fraction for each dose point.

In all analyses, values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Data are reported as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was made after logarithmic transformation.

## 3. Results

In vitro, the control plating efficiency (mean  $\pm$  SD) was measured and amounted to 49  $\pm$  3% for MiaPaca-2 and 22  $\pm$  3% for Panc-1.

# 3.1. Effect of type I interferons on relative clonogenic survival

Both IFN $\alpha$  and IFN $\beta$  inhibited colony formation for both Mia-Paca-2 and Panc-1 cells in a dose dependent manner (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1 – Effect of IFN $\alpha$  and IFN $\beta$  treatment on colony forming ability in MiaPaca-2 (A,B) and Panc-1 (C,D). Pancreatic cancer cells were treated with IFN $\alpha$  (A,C) or IFN $\beta$  (B,D) during fourteen days and both number and size of colonies were counted automatically. Data are the mean ± SEM. \*p < 0.05 versus control.

For all doses analysed, MiaPaca-2 was significantly more vulnerable to both IFN $\alpha$  and IFN $\beta$  than Panc-1.

Besides inhibiting colony formation, type I interferon had growth inhibitory effects on both cell lines; after interferon treatment, colonies were significantly smaller than colonies from untreated cells (Fig. 1). This finding is consistent with Vitale's manuscript<sup>27</sup> demonstrating both growth inhibitory as well as pro-apoptotic properties of type I interferons with IFN $\beta$  being the more potent proapoptotic drug than IFN $\alpha$ , where apoptosis only occurs in higher doses.

## 3.2. Effect of radiation on relative clonogenic survival

MiaPaca-2 was more radiosensitive than Panc-1 for 4, 6 and 8 Gray (Fig. 2a). The surviving fraction at 2 Gy (SF2) of Mia-Paca-2 was 0.77 while the SF2 of Panc-1 was 0.70. At 4 Gy however, the SF was 0.28 for MiaPaca-2 and 0.50 for Panc-1. The size of the colonies was not considerably influenced by radiotherapy (Fig. 2b).

## 3.3. Effect of type I Interferons on apoptosis

Significant apoptosis corrected for DNA content occurred after 72 hours (Fig. 3). Apoptosis increased to  $802 \pm 219\%$  for IFN $\alpha$  3000 IU/ml and 575 ± 119% for IFN $\beta$  100 IU/ml. In Panc-1 apoptosis after 72 hours was  $154 \pm 14\%$  for IFN $\alpha$  3000 IU/ml and 139 ± 7% for IFN $\beta$  100 IU/ml.

## 3.4. Surviving fraction at 2 Gray (SF2)

The SF2 of MiaPaca-2 was 0.77 while the SF2 of Panc-1 was 0.70.

Pre-incubation with IFN $\alpha$  for 72 hours at doses of 1000, 2000 or 5000 IU/ml changed the SF2 in MiaPaca-2 to 0.56, 0.47 and 0.18, respectively. In Panc-1 pre-incubation with IFN $\alpha$  at doses of 2000, 5000, or 10.000 IU/ml changed the SF2 to 0.66, 0.22 and 0.18, respectively.

Pre-incubation with IFN $\beta$  for 72 hours at doses of 50, 100, or 200 IU/ml changed the SF2 in MiaPaca-2 to 0.70, 0.33 and 0.22, respectively. In Panc-1 pre-incubation with IFN $\beta$  at doses of 100, 200 or 500 IU/ml changed the SF2 to 0.48, 0.24 and 0.23, respectively.

The decrease of SF2 compared to the SF2 without pre-incubation was significant after IFN $\alpha$  2000 IU/ml (p < 0.01) and IFN $\alpha$  5000 IU/ml (p < 0.001) and IFN $\beta$  100 IU/ml and IFN $\beta$  200 IU/ml (p < 0.001) in MiaPaca-2. In Panc-1 concentrations of IFN $\alpha\alpha$  2000 IU/ml (p < 0.01), IFN $\alpha$  5000 IU/ml (p < 0.001) and IFN $\alpha$  10.000 IU/ml (p < 0.001) and IFN $\beta$  100 IU/ml (p < 0.001) IFN $\beta$  200 IU/ml (p < 0.001) IFN $\beta$  500 IU/ml (p < 0.001) IFN $\beta$  200 IU/ml (p < 0.001) IFN $\beta$  500 IU/ml (p < 0.001) resulted in significant improved radiosensitivity (Fig. 4).

### 3.5. Effect of type I interferon on radiosensitivity

The radiotherapy dose required to reduce the surviving fraction to 37% was 3.98 Gy in MiaPaca-2 for the non-treated controls. After IFN $\alpha$  3000 IU/ml, the required dose was 1.85 Gy



Fig. 2 – Effect of irradiation on colony forming ability in MiaPaca-2 and Panc-1. Pancreatic cancer cells were treated with irradiation during 14 days and both number and size of colonies were counted automatically. Data are the mean ± SEM. \*p < 0.05 versus control.



Fig. 3 – Effect of 3000 IU/ml IFN $\alpha$  and 100 IU/ml IFN $\beta$  treatment on apoptosis (DNA fragmentation) in MiaPaCa-2 and Panc-1 cell lines. Cells were incubated for 3 days without (control) or with the drugs indicated. Values are absorbance units and are expressed as percent of the control. Data are the mean ± SEM. \*p < 0.05 versus control.



Fig. 4 – Effect of combined treatment with type I interferons and 2 Gy irradiation on colony forming ability in MiaPaCa-2 (A,B) and Panc-1 (C,D) cell lines. Cells were pretreated with IFN $\alpha$  (A,C) or IFN $\beta$  (B,D) for 72 hours followed by irradiation with 2 Gy. The formed colonies were automatically counted after 2 weeks. Data are the mean ± SEM. \*p < 0.05 versus control.

and after IFN $\beta$  100 IU/ml, the required dose was 2.32 Gy. This leads to a sensitising enhancement ratio (SER) of 2.15 for IFN $\alpha$  3000 IU/ml and a SER of 1.72 for IFN $\beta$  100 IU/ml in MiaPaca-2.

In Panc-1 the required radiotherapy dose to reduce the SF to 37% was 4.65 Gy for the non-treated controls. After IFN $\alpha$  3000 IU/ml, the required dose was 2.45 Gy and after IFN $\beta$  100 IU/ml, the required dose was 3.07 Gy. This leads to a sensitising enhancement ratio (SER) of 1.90 for IFN $\alpha$  3000 IU/ml and a SER of 1.51 for IFN $\beta$  100 IU/ml in Panc-1.

The shape of the survival curves changed after treatment resulting in an increased steepness of the survival curve with an increase of the  $\alpha$ -component after curve fitting (Fig. 5). For MiaPaca-2 this increase was significant for both IFN $\alpha$  as IFN $\beta$ 

compared to the non-treated controls. In the Panc-1, we found no significant difference between the  $\alpha$ -component after neither IFN $\alpha$  nor IFN $\beta$  and the non-treated controls. In this cell line, after comparison of the radiosensitivity between groups using the Newman–Keuls test, only the SF after 6 Gy of irradiation combined with IFN $\alpha$  or IFN $\beta$  was significantly lower than after irradiation alone. All other SFs were similar after treatment or no treatment.

# 4. Discussion

Our in vitro study shows a radiosensitising effect of type I interferons on pancreatic cancer cell lines MiaPaca-2 and



Fig. 5 – Effect of combined treatment with 3000 IU/ml IFN $\alpha$ and 100 IU/ml IFN $\beta$  and irradiation on colony forming ability in MiaPaCa-2 and Panc-1 cell lines. Cells were pretreated with IFN $\alpha$  or IFN $\beta$  for 72 hours followed by 0, 2, 4 or 6 Gy irradiation. The formed colonies were automatically counted after 2 weeks. Data are the mean ± SEM. \*p < 0.05 versus control.

Panc-1. Miapaca-2 was 2.15 times more sensitive to radiotherapy after treatment with IFN $\alpha$  3000 IU/ml and 1.72 times more sensitive after IFN $\beta$  100 IU/ml. The sensitivity to radiotherapy of Panc-1 increased 1.90 times after treatment with IFN $\alpha$ 3000 IU/ml and 1.51 times after IFN $\beta$  100 IU/ml. Radiosensitisation was dose dependently, with higher doses of interferons resulting in a higher radiosensitivity, i.e. a lower surviving fraction at 2 Gray (SF2). Both overall radiosensitivity and sensitivity to interferon therapy was higher in MiaPaca-2 than in Panc-1. Previously, IFN receptor expression (mRNA and protein) was shown by Vitale et al.<sup>27</sup> with MiaPaCa-2 expressing more plasma membrane located IFN receptors than Panc-1. Furthermore, treatment with type I interferons results in more apoptosis in MiaPaca-2 than in Panc-1, although only extremely high doses (>1000 IU/ml) of IFN $\alpha$  cause apoptosis.<sup>27</sup>

Tumours are most vulnerable for radiotherapy in case of adequate vascular supply (proper oxygenation) and appropriate cell cycling.<sup>11,28</sup> To enhance the effect of radiotherapy, effort has been made to find radiation sensitisers. Because hypoxic cells are known to be up to three-fold more resistant to radiotherapy than well-oxygenated cells,<sup>29</sup> several strategies have been investigated to find ways to sensitise hypoxic cells to radiation. These strategies focus at reducing tumour hypoxia by increasing the delivery of oxygen to the tumour, administering oxygen mimetics and mimicking the effect of oxygen in the radiochemical process or by selective destruction of hypoxic cells, for instance by tirapazimine.<sup>30,31</sup> Trials show that reducing hypoxia by any means, leads to a better locoregional control and an improved survival, especially in head and neck cancer and cervical cancer.<sup>30</sup>

Resistance to apoptosis is the key factor for poor responses to therapies in pancreatic cancer. Ionising radiation alone causes a range of lesions in the DNA of target cells such as base damage, single-strand and double-strand breaks. Doublestrand breaks are generally considered the lethal event but can be repaired by DNA repair mechanisms. Inadequately repaired DNA damage causes activation of the mitochondrial pathway of apoptosis by p53 resulting in activation of the caspase cascade. Membrane damage activates the stress-activated protein kinase pathway leading to activation of the mitochondrial pathway as well as a direct activation of caspases. Furthermore radiotherapy activates apoptosis due to stimulation of the death receptor pathway, consisting of the tumour necrosis factor (TNF) receptor superfamily (for instance TRAIL-R1 and TRAIL-R2). Activation causes direct activation of the caspase cascade. These cell death pathways are regulated by numerous signalling molecules, such as nuclear factor- $\kappa$ B (NF- $\kappa$ B), phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K), inhibitor of apoptosis proteins (IAPs) and members of the Bcl-2 protein family.<sup>32,33</sup>

Pancreatic cancer cells have developed multiple resistance mechanisms to therapy-induced apoptosis. The mitochondrial pathway of apoptosis is less activated due to inactivating p53 mutations, present in >70% of the pancreatic cancers. If activated, this pathway has a diminished ability to activate the caspase cascade. Furthermore, pancreatic cancer cells overexpress anti-apoptotic proteins, have inactivated proapoptotic genes and express decoy receptors to prevent activation of the death receptor pathway.<sup>34,35</sup>

In pancreatic cancer cell lines, IFN $\alpha$  has already shown to be able to avoid these resistance mechanisms and act as radiosensitiser<sup>20</sup> The exact mechanism by which IFN exerts this radiosensitising activity is unclear. Both IFN $\alpha$  and IFN $\beta$  have direct anti-tumour effects including apoptosis, cell damage, upregulation of cancer antigens and a growth inhibitory effect with accumulation of cells in S phase. Indirect anti-tumour effects are caused by modulation of the immune system, mainly through activation of T-cells, macrophages and natural killer cells, and anti-angiogenesis activity by downregulation of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptor and an alteration in the expression of various oncogenes.<sup>27,36–39</sup>

In pancreatic cancer cell lines, type I interferons cause both direct apoptosis (at lower doses in IFN $\beta$ ) and radiosensitisation. The exact mechanism for enhancing radiosensitivity is unclear. Apparently the accumulation of cells in S phase caused by interferons, which is the most radioresistant part of the cell cycle, does not prevent radiosensitisation. A possible mechanism is the inability of cells to accumulate sublethal DNA damage with interferon interfering in the repair of this kind of DNA damage. Furthermore alteration in oncogene expression levels might sensitise radioresistant cells to radiotherapy. Because not only the pro-apoptotic effect of IFNs combined with radiotherapy but especially the reproductive capacity of the treated cells is related to treatment efficacy, we chose colony forming assays for our study.

Beneficial effects of IFN $\alpha$  combined with chemoradiotherapy were already demonstrated in phase II studies in patients with resectable pancreatic cancer.<sup>21,22</sup> However, treatment is associated with considerable toxicity<sup>21,22,40</sup> and the optimal combination therapy considering efficacy as well as tolerability is yet to be determined. In conclusion, our in vitro study shows that both IFN $\alpha$  and IFN $\beta$  have radiosensitising effects in pancreatic cancer cell lines that are not based on immunomodulatory properties. Radiosensitising effects are dose dependent, and lower doses of IFN $\beta$  than IFN $\alpha$  cause similar radiosensitisation. The effect of interferon seems related to the receptor status. The radiosensitising property of type I interferons might lead to an improved response to treatment in pancreatic cancer with interferon  $\beta$  being the most promising drug. Therefore, further clinical trials involving combination therapy of type I IFNs and radiotherapy are promising.

# **Conflict of interest statement**

None declared.

#### REFERENCES

- Overgaard J, Hansen HS, Specht L, et al. Five compared with six fractions per week of conventional radiotherapy of squamous-cell carcinoma of head and neck: DAHANCA 6 and 7 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2003;**362**(9388): 933–40.
- Picardi M, De Renzo A, Pane F, et al. Randomized comparison of consolidation radiation versus observation in bulky Hodgkin's lymphoma with post-chemotherapy negative positron emission tomography scans. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2007;48(9):1721–7.
- 3. Reed NS, Mangioni C, Malmstrom H, et al. Phase III randomised study to evaluate the role of adjuvant pelvic radiotherapy in the treatment of uterine sarcomas stages I and II: an European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Gynaecological Cancer Group Study (protocol 55874). Eur J Cancer 2008;44(6):808–18.
- Regine WF, Winter KW, Abrams R, et al. RTOG 9704 a phase III study of adjuvant pre and post chemoradiation (CRT) 5-FU versus gemcitabine (G) for resected pancreatic adenocarcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2006;24:4007 (2006 ASCO Annual Meeting Proceedings (Post-Meeting Edition).
- Trock BJ, Han M, Freedland SJ, et al. Prostate cancer-specific survival following salvage radiotherapy vs observation in men with biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy. Jama 2008;299(23):2760–9.
- Klinkenbijl JH, Jeekel J, Sahmoud T, et al. Adjuvant radiotherapy and 5-fluorouracil after curative resection of cancer of the pancreas and periampullary region: phase III trial of the EORTC gastrointestinal tract cancer cooperative group. Ann Surg 1999;230(6):776–82 (discussion 782–4).
- 7. Neoptolemos JP, Dunn JA, Stocken DD, et al. Adjuvant chemoradiotherapy and chemotherapy in resectable pancreatic cancer: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2001;**358**(9293):1576–85.
- 8. Neoptolemos JP, Stocken DD, Friess H, et al. A randomized trial of chemoradiotherapy and chemotherapy after resection of pancreatic cancer. N Engl J Med 2004;**350**(12):1200–10.
- Stocken DD, Buchler MW, Dervenis C, et al. Meta-analysis of randomised adjuvant therapy trials for pancreatic cancer. Br J Cancer 2005;92(8):1372–81.
- Morak MJ, van der Gaast A, Incrocci L, et al. Adjuvant intraarterial chemotherapy and radiotherapy versus surgery alone in resectable pancreatic and periampullary cancer: a prospective randomized controlled trial. Ann Surg 2008;248(6):1031–41.

- Hennequin C, Favaudon V. Biological basis for chemoradiotherapy interactions. Eur J Cancer 2002;38(2): 223–30.
- Shewach DS, Lawrence TS. Antimetabolite radiosensitizers. J Clin Oncol 2007;25(26):4043–50.
- Angioli R, Sevin BU, Perras JP, et al. In vitro potentiation of radiation cytotoxicity by recombinant interferons in cervical cancer cell lines. *Cancer* 1993;71(11):3717–25.
- Chang AY, Keng PC. Potentiation of radiation cytotoxicity by recombinant interferons, a phenomenon associated with increased blockage at the G2-M phase of the cell cycle. *Cancer* Res 1987;47(16):4338–41.
- Gould MN, Kakria RC, Olson S, Borden EC. Radiosensitization of human bronchogenic carcinoma cells by interferon beta. J Interferon Res 1984;4(1):123–8.
- Hoffmann W, Blase MA, Santo-Hoeltje L, et al. Radiation sensitivity of human squamous cell carcinoma cells in vitro is modulated by all-trans and 13-cis-retinoic acid in combination with interferon-alpha. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1999;45(4):991–8.
- Schmidberger H, Rave-Frank M, Lehmann J, et al. The combined effect of interferon beta and radiation on five human tumor cell lines and embryonal lung fibroblasts. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1999;43(2):405–12.
- Basu P, Biswas J, Mandal R, Choudhury P. Is interferonalpha and retinoic acid combination along with radiation superior to chemo-radiation in the treatment of advanced carcinoma of cervix? *Indian J Cancer* 2006;43(2): 54–9.
- Holsti LR, Mattson K, Niiranen A, et al. Enhancement of radiation effects by alpha interferon in the treatment of small cell carcinoma of the lung. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1987;13(8):1161–6.
- Ma JH, Patrut E, Schmidt J, et al. Synergistic effects of interferon-alpha in combination with chemoradiation on human pancreatic adenocarcinoma. World J Gastroenterol 2005;11(10):1521–8.
- Linehan DC, Tan MC, Strasberg SM, et al. Adjuvant interferon-based chemoradiation followed by gemcitabine for resected pancreatic adenocarcinoma: a single-institution phase II study. Ann Surg 2008;248(2):145–51.
- Picozzi VJ, Kozarek RA, Traverso LW. Interferon-based adjuvant chemoradiation therapy after pancreaticoduodenectomy for pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Am J Surg* 2003;**185**(5):476–80.
- Knaebel HP, Marten A, Schmidt J, et al. Phase III trial of postoperative cisplatin, interferon alpha-2b, and 5-FU combined with external radiation treatment versus 5-FU alone for patients with resected pancreatic adenocarcinoma– CapRI: study protocol ISRCTN62866759. BMC Cancer 2005;5(1):37.
- Saidi RF, Williams F, Silberberg B, et al. Expression of interferon receptors in pancreatic cancer: identification of a novel prognostic factor. Surgery 2006;139(6): 743–8.
- Dritschilo A, Mossman K, Gray M, Sreevalsan T. Potentiation of radiation injury by interferon. Am J Clin Oncol 1982;5(1):79–82.
- 26. Hofland LJ, van Koetsveld PM, Lamberts SW. Percoll density gradient centrifugation of rat pituitary tumor cells: a study of functional heterogeneity within and between tumors with respect to growth rates, prolactin production and responsiveness to the somatostatin analog SMS 201–995. Eur J Cancer 1990;26(1):37–44.
- Vitale G, van Eijck CH, van Koetsveld Ing PM, et al. Type I interferons in the treatment of pancreatic cancer: mechanisms of action and role of related receptors. Ann Surg 2007;246(2):259–68.

- Pawlik TM, Keyomarsi K. Role of cell cycle in mediating sensitivity to radiotherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2004;59(4):928–42.
- Sprong D, Janssen HL, Vens C, Begg AC. Resistance of hypoxic cells to ionizing radiation is influenced by homologous recombination status. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2006;64(2):562–72.
- Overgaard J. Hypoxic radiosensitization: adored and ignored. J Clin Oncol 2007;25(26):4066–74.
- Wardman P. Chemical radiosensitizers for use in radiotherapy. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 2007;19(6): 397–417.
- Hunter A, Hendrikse A, Renan M, Abratt R. Does the tumor microenvironment influence radiation-induced apoptosis? *Apoptosis* 2006;11(10):1727–35.
- Lindsay KJ, Coates PJ, Lorimore SA, Wright EG. The genetic basis of tissue responses to ionizing radiation. Br J Radiol 2007;80(Spec No 1):S2–6.

- Gukovskaya AS, Pandol SJ. Cell death pathways in pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer. *Pancreatology* 2004;4(6):567–86.
- 35. Igney FH, Krammer PH. Death and anti-death: tumour resistance to apoptosis. Nat Rev Cancer 2002;2(4):277–88.
- Platanias LC. Interferons: laboratory to clinic investigations. Curr Opin Oncol 1995;7(6):560–5.
- Ota H, Nagano H, Doki Y, et al. Expression of type I interferon receptor as a predictor of clinical response to interferon-alpha therapy of gastrointestinal cancers. Oncol Rep 2006;16(2):249–55.
- Pfeffer LM, Dinarello CA, Herberman RB, et al. Biological properties of recombinant alpha-interferons: 40th anniversary of the discovery of interferons. *Cancer Res* 1998;58(12):2489–99.
- Platanias LC, Fish EN. Signaling pathways activated by interferons. Exp Hematol 1999;27(11):1583–92.
- 40. Marten A, Schmidt J, Ose J, et al. BMC Cancer 2009;9:160.