



A fixed point theorem and a norm inequality for operator means

Jaspal Singh Aujla

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Regional Engineering College, Jalandhar-144011,
Punjab, India*

Received 27 August 1997; accepted 28 September 1998

Submitted by L. Rodman

Abstract

There is one to one correspondence between positive operator monotone functions on $(0, \infty)$ and operator connections. For a symmetric connection σ , it is proved that the map $X \rightarrow (A\sigma X)\sigma^\perp(B\sigma X)$ from positive operators on a Hilbert space to itself, has a unique fixed point. Here σ^\perp denotes the dual of σ . It is also proved that $\| \|A\sigma B\| \| \leq \| \|A\| \| \sigma \| \|B\| \|$ for all unitarily invariant norms $\| \cdot \|$ and for all positive operators A, B . © 1999 Elsevier Science Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Throughout H denotes the complex Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is the space of bounded linear operators on H , while $\mathcal{L}_+(H)$ is the cone of positive semidefinite operators on H and $\mathcal{P}(H)$ is the cone of positive operators on H .

The study of operator means began with the work of Anderson and Duffin [1]. They first studied the arithmetic and harmonic means and proved the arithmetic–harmonic inequality. Ando [5] defined the geometric mean and proved the arithmetic–geometric inequality. The axiomatic theory for connections and means for pairs of positive operators has been developed by Nishio and Ando [17] and Kubo and Ando [13]. Let A, B, C, \dots , denote elements of $\mathcal{L}_+(H)$. An operator connection σ is a binary operation on $\mathcal{L}_+(H)$ satisfying the following axioms:

Monotonicity

$$A \leq C, B \leq D \text{ imply } A\sigma B \leq C\sigma D,$$

Transformer inequality

$$C(A\sigma B)C \leq (CAC)\sigma(CBC),$$

Upper continuity

$$A_n \downarrow A \text{ and } B_n \downarrow B \text{ imply } (A_n\sigma B_n) \downarrow (A\sigma B).$$

A mean is a connection with normalization condition

$$I\sigma I = I.$$

Kubo and Ando [13] showed the existence of an affine order isomorphism between the class of connections and the class of positive operator monotone functions on \mathbb{R}_+ . This isomorphism $\sigma \leftrightarrow f$ is characterized by the relation

$$A\sigma B = A^{1/2}f(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})A^{1/2} \tag{1}$$

for $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(H)$. The operator monotone function f is called the representing function of σ . The following inequality holds.

Let $A, B, C, D \in \mathcal{P}(H)$. Then for any connection σ ,

$$(A + C)\sigma(B + D) \geq (A\sigma B) + (C\sigma D). \tag{2}$$

The transpose σ' of a connection σ is defined by

$$A\sigma' B = B\sigma A.$$

For a connection σ its dual σ^\perp is defined by

$$A\sigma^\perp B = (B^{-1}\sigma A^{-1})^{-1},$$

$A, B \in \mathcal{P}(H)$. If f is the representing function of σ then $f'(t) = tf(t^{-1})$ is the representing function of σ' and $f^\perp(t) = t(f(t))^{-1}$ is the representing function of σ^\perp . A connection σ is called symmetric if $\sigma' = \sigma$ and is called selfdual if $\sigma^\perp = \sigma$.

The operator mean corresponding to the operator monotone function $t \rightarrow t^{1/2}$ is called the geometric mean and is denoted by $\#$. For $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(H)$, their parallel sum is defined by

$$A:B = (A^{-1} + B^{-1})^{-1}.$$

In his unpublished thesis F. Kubo considered the following problem.

Given a pair σ, τ of operator means and $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(H)$, define a map θ by

$$\theta(X) = (A\sigma X)\tau(B\sigma X),$$

$X \in \mathcal{P}(H)$. Then the iterates converges to a fixed point of θ

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta^n \left(\frac{A + B}{2} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta^n (2(A:B))$$

which is a unique fixed point among X 's such that $X \geq c(A:B)$ for some $c > 0$.

In Ref. [8], Arlinskii proved that $A\#B$ is the unique fixed point of the map $X \rightarrow (A + X):(B + X)$.

The operator connections : and + are symmetric and are duals of each other. In Section 2, we shall prove a generalization of this result for all symmetric connections. Our Theorem 2.5 gives a characterization of symmetric connections. In Section 3, we shall prove that for all connections σ and for all unitarily invariant norms $\|\cdot\|$,

$$\|A\sigma B\| \leq \|A\| \sigma \|B\|$$

for $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(H)$.

2. A fixed point theorem

Theorem 2.1. *Let σ be a symmetric connection and let $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(H)$. Then $A\#B$ is the unique fixed point of the map $\Phi_{A,B} : \mathcal{P}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ defined by*

$$\Phi_{A,B}(X) = (A\sigma X)\sigma^\perp(B\sigma X),$$

$X \in \mathcal{P}(H)$.

Proof. Let f be the representing function of σ . We shall prove that $A\#B$ is a fixed point of $\Phi_{A,B}$, that is, $\Phi_{A,B}(A\#B) = A\#B$. Indeed, using the representation (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{A,B}(A\#B) &= [A\sigma(A\#B)]\sigma^\perp[B\sigma(A\#B)] \\ &= [A^{1/2}f(A^{-1/2}(A\#B)A^{-1/2})A^{1/2}]\sigma^\perp[B^{1/2}f(B^{-1/2}(A\#B)B^{-1/2})B^{1/2}] \\ &= [A^{1/2}f(A^{-1/2}(A\#B)A^{-1/2})A^{1/2}]\sigma^\perp[B^{1/2}f(B^{-1/2}(B\#A)B^{-1/2})B^{1/2}] \\ &= [A^{1/2}f((A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2})A^{1/2}]\sigma^\perp[B^{1/2}f((B^{-1/2}AB^{-1/2})^{1/2})B^{1/2}] \\ &= A^{1/2}\{f((A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2})\}\sigma^\perp\{A^{-1/2}B^{1/2}f((B^{-1/2}AB^{-1/2})^{1/2})B^{1/2}A^{-1/2}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{*}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \{f((A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2})\}\sigma^\perp\{A^{-1/2}B^{1/2}f((B^{-1/2}AB^{-1/2})^{1/2})B^{1/2}A^{-1/2}\} \\ &= \{f((A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2})\}\sigma^\perp\{A^{-1/2}B^{1/2}(I\sigma(B^{-1/2}AB^{-1/2})^{1/2})B^{1/2}A^{-1/2}\} \\ &= \{f((A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2})\}\sigma^\perp\{(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})\sigma(A^{-1/2}(B\#A)A^{-1/2})\} \\ &= \{f((A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2})\}\sigma^\perp\{(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})\sigma(A^{-1/2}(A\#B)A^{-1/2})\} \\ &= \{f((A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2})\}\sigma^\perp\{(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})\sigma(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2}\} \\ &= \{f((A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2})\}\sigma^\perp\{(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2}[(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2}]\sigma I\} \\ &= \{f((A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2})\}\sigma^\perp\{(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2}[I\sigma(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2}]\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \{f((A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2})\}\sigma^\perp\{(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2}f((A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2})\} \\
&= f((A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2})[I\sigma^\perp\{(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2}\}] \\
&= f((A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2})f^\perp((A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2}) \\
&= f((A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2})(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2}[f((A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2})]^{-1} \\
&= (A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows from (*) that

$$\Phi_{A,B}(A\#B) = A\#B.$$

To prove the uniqueness, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ and σ be a symmetric connection. Then*

$$(A\sigma I)\sigma^\perp(B\sigma I) = I \tag{3}$$

if and only if $B = A^{-1}$.

Proof. Observe that if $B = A^{-1}$ then $A\#B = I$ and hence (3) follows from the proof given in above theorem. Conversely, suppose (3) holds. Let f be the representing function of σ . Then

$$f(A)\sigma^\perp f(B) = I,$$

which implies

$$(f(A))^{-1}\sigma(f(B))^{-1} = I.$$

Thus

$$f((f(A))^{1/2}(f(B))^{-1}(f(A))^{1/2}) = f(A).$$

Since a non-constant operator monotone function is strictly increasing and hence is one to one. Thus for a non-constant operator monotone function g , $g(C) = g(D)$, implies $C = D$. The function f is non-constant operator monotone, we have from the above equality

$$(f(A))^{1/2}(f(B))^{-1}(f(A))^{1/2} = A,$$

which implies

$$f(B) = A^{-1}f(A). \tag{4}$$

Now using that $f(t) = tf(t^{-1})$, we have

$$A^{-1}f(A) = f(A^{-1}).$$

Thus from Eq. (4), we get

$$f(B) = f(A^{-1}),$$

which further implies

$$B = A^{-1}. \quad \square$$

Proof of uniqueness. Suppose $\Phi_{A,B}(X) = X, X \in \mathcal{P}(H)$. We shall prove that $X = A\#B$. We have

$$(A\sigma X)\sigma^\perp(B\sigma X) = X,$$

which implies

$$X^{1/2}\{[(X^{-1/2}AX^{-1/2})\sigma I]\sigma^\perp\{(X^{-1/2}BX^{-1/2})\sigma I\}X^{1/2} = X,$$

so

$$[(X^{-1/2}AX^{-1/2})\sigma I]\sigma^\perp[(X^{-1/2}BX^{-1/2})\sigma I] = I.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.2,

$$X^{-1/2}BX^{-1/2} = [X^{-1/2}AX^{-1/2}]^{-1}.$$

Consequently,

$$(X^{-1/2}AX^{-1/2})\#(X^{-1/2}BX^{-1/2}) = I.$$

Hence

$$X = A\#B,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.3 ([8], Theorem 2). *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(H)$. Then the maps*

$$\Psi_{A,B}^{(1)}, \Psi_{A,B}^{(2)} : \mathcal{P}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$$

defined by

$$\Psi_{A,B}^{(1)}(X) = (A + X):(B + X)$$

and

$$\Psi_{A,B}^{(2)}(X) = (A:X) + (B:X)$$

have the unique fixed point $A\#B$.

Remark 2.4. Theorem 2.1 need not be true if σ is not symmetric. Indeed, if σ is the operator mean corresponding to the operator monotone function $f(t) = 1$, then

$$X \rightarrow (A\sigma X)\sigma^\perp(B\sigma X) = B$$

is a constant map. If $f(t) = t$ then

$$X \rightarrow (A\sigma X)\sigma^\perp(B\sigma X) = X,$$

so every point of this map is a fixed point. Infact our next result gives a characterization of the symmetric connections.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ and let σ be a connection. Then σ is symmetric if and only if $A\#B$ is the unique fixed point of the map $\Phi_{A,B} : \mathcal{P}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ defined by*

$$\Phi_{A,B}(X) = (A\sigma X)\sigma^\perp(B\sigma X),$$

$X \in \mathcal{P}(H)$.

Proof. Suppose $A\#B$ is the unique fixed point of $\Phi_{A,B}$. Therefore, for $X, Y \in \mathcal{P}(H)$

$$(X\sigma I)\sigma^\perp(Y\sigma I) = I \text{ implies } Y = X^{-1}.$$

Let g be the representing function of σ^\perp . Note that g is non-constant operator monotone function. Let $t > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (t\sigma 1)\sigma^\perp(t^{-1}\sigma 1) &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow (g(t^{-1}))^{-1}\sigma^\perp(g(t))^{-1} &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow g((g(t))^{-1}g(t^{-1})) &= g(t^{-1}) \\ \Rightarrow (g(t))^{-1}g(t^{-1}) &= t^{-1} \\ \Rightarrow g(t) &= tg(t^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus σ^\perp is symmetric and hence σ is symmetric. The other part of the theorem is Theorem 2.1. \square

3. A norm inequality

For an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, its singular values are denoted by $s_j(A)$ and its eigen values are denoted by $\lambda_j(A)$. The Schatten p -norms on $\mathcal{L}(H)$ are defined as

$$\|A\|_p = \left[\sum (s_j(A))^p \right]^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

$$\|A\|_\infty = \|A\| = s_1(A),$$

$A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$.

A norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is called symmetric or unitarily invariant, if $\|A\| = \|UAV\|$ for all $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and for all unitary operators $U, V \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. The operator norm $\|\cdot\|$ and the Schatten p -norms are such norms. A basic property

of the unitarily invariant norms is that they are symmetric gauge functions of the singular values of the operator. For a positive operator T its singular values are the same as its eigen values. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and let its singular values be enumerated as

$$s_1(T) \geq s_2(T) \geq \dots \geq s_n(T).$$

The *generalized spectral norms* have been introduced in Ref. [14].

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ be such that $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n$. Then

$$\| \| T \| \|_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j s_j(T)$$

is a unitarily invariant norm and is called the generalized spectral norm.

In Ref. [8], Arlinskii proved that for all connections σ

$$\| A\sigma B \|_p \leq \| A \|_p \sigma \| B \|_p$$

for all p -norms. We shall extend this inequality for all unitarily invariant norms. We need some lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ and let σ be a connection. Then*

$$\langle (A\sigma B)x, x \rangle \leq \langle Ax, x \rangle \sigma \langle Bx, x \rangle$$

for all $x \in H$.

Proof. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ and $x \in H$. Using the fact that an operator monotone function f on $(0, \infty)$ can be represented as an integral

$$f(s) = a + bs + \int_0^\infty (1+t) \frac{s}{t+s} d\mu(t),$$

where $a, b \geq 0$ and μ is a finite positive measure, one can show

$$A\sigma B = aA + bB + \int_0^\infty \frac{1+t}{t} \{ (tA): B \} d\mu(t).$$

(see Ref. [13], Theorem 3.4 for details). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (A\sigma B)x, x \rangle &= a\langle Ax, x \rangle + b\langle Bx, x \rangle + \int_0^\infty \frac{1+t}{t} \langle \{ (tA): B \} x, x \rangle d\mu(t) \\ &\leq a\langle Ax, x \rangle + b\langle Bx, x \rangle + \int_0^\infty \frac{1+t}{t} \{ t\langle Ax, x \rangle : \langle Bx, x \rangle \} d\mu(t) \\ &= \langle Ax, x \rangle \sigma \langle Bx, x \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

using that $\langle (C:D)x, x \rangle \leq \langle Cx, x \rangle : \langle Dx, x \rangle$ for $C, D \in \mathcal{P}(H), x \in H$, [3]. \square

The following lemma is known as *Fan Maximum Principle* and can be found in Ref. [10].

Lemma 3.2. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}_+(H)$. Then for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j(A) = \max \sum_{j=1}^k | \langle Ax_j, y_j \rangle |,$$

$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$, where the maximum is taken over all choices of the orthonormal vectors $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ and $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k\}$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $h : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be non-decreasing in each component, and let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. If

$$\| \|A\|_\alpha \leq h(\| \|B\|_\alpha, \| \|C\|_\alpha)$$

for all $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$; $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n$, then

$$\| \|A\| \leq h(\| \|B\|, \| \|C\|)$$

for all unitarily invariant norms $\| \| \cdot \| \|$.

For a proof of the above lemma the reader may refer to ([12], Corollary 3.5.11).

Theorem 3.4. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ and let σ be a connection. Then

$$\| \|A\sigma B\| \leq \| \|A\| \sigma \| \|B\|$$

for all unitarily invariant norms $\| \| \cdot \| \|$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$; $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n$. Choose orthonormal vectors x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , such that, we have, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \lambda_j(A\sigma B) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \langle (A\sigma B)x_j, x_j \rangle \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \{ \langle Ax_j, x_j \rangle \sigma \langle Bx_j, x_j \rangle \} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \langle Ax_j, x_j \rangle \right) \sigma \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \langle Bx_j, x_j \rangle \right) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \lambda_j(A) \right) \sigma \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \lambda_j(B) \right), \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 3.1, the inequality (2) and Fan Maximum Principle, respectively. Thus

$$\| \|A\sigma B\| \|_x \leq \| \|A\| \|_x \sigma \| \|B\| \|_x .$$

The function $h : \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by $h(s, t) = s\sigma t$ is non-decreasing in each component. Consequently, by Lemma 3.3

$$\| \|A\sigma B\| \| \leq \| \|A\| \| \sigma \| \|B\| \| . \quad \square$$

Corollary 3.5. *Let f be a positive operator monotone function on $(0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\| \|f(T)\| \| \leq \| \|I\| \| f(\| \|I\| \|^{-1} \| \|T\| \|)$$

for all unitarily invariant norms $\| \cdot \|$ and for all $T \in \mathcal{P}(H)$.

Proof. Taking $A = I$, $B = T$ and σ to be the connection corresponding to the operator monotone function f and then using representation (I), we get the desired result. \square

For related subjects see Refs. [2,4,6,7,9,11,15–16].

Acknowledgements

The author is thankful to the referees for valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] W.N. Anderson Jr., R.J. Duffin, Series and parallel addition of matrices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 26 (1969) 576–594.
- [2] W.N. Anderson, Jr., T.D. Morley, G.E. Trapp, Ladder networks, fixpoints, and the geometric means, *Cir. Sys. Sig. Proc.* 3 (1983) 259–268.
- [3] W.N. Anderson Jr., G.E. Trapp, Shorted operators II, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 28 (1975) 60–71.
- [4] W.N. Anderson Jr., G.E. Trapp, Operator means and electrical networks, in: *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems 1980*, pp. 523–527.
- [5] T. Ando, *Topics on Operator Inequalities*. Lecture notes, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, 1978.
- [6] T. Ando, Fixed points of certain maps on positive semidefnite operators, *Funct. Anal. Appro. ISNM* 60 (1981) 29–38.
- [7] T. Ando, Comparison of norms $\| \|f(A) - f(B)\| \|$ and $\| \|f(|A - B|)\| \|$, *Math. Z.* 197 (1988) 403–409.
- [8] Yu.M. Arlinskii, On the theory of operator means, *Ukrain Mat. Zh.* 42 (1990) 723–730.
- [9] J.S. Aujla, Some operator equalities involving connections and means, *Linear Alg. Appl.* 259 (1997) 223–228.
- [10] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [11] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, Hadamard and conventional submultiplicativity for unitary invariant norms on matrices, *Linear Multilinear Alg.* 20 (1987) 91–106.

- [12] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1991.
- [13] F. Kubo, T. Ando, Means of positive linear operators, *Math. Ann.* 240 (1980) 205–224.
- [14] C.-K. Li, N.-K. Tsing, The generalized spectral radius, numerical radius and spectral norm, *Linear Alg. Appl.* 16 (1984) 215–237.
- [15] R. Mathias, Concavity of monotone matrix functions of finite order, *Linear Multilinear Alg.* 27 (1990) 129–138.
- [16] T.D. Morley, Parallel summation, Maxwell's principle and the infimum of projections, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 70 (1979) 33–41.
- [17] K. Nishio, T. Ando, Characterizations of operations derived from network connections, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 53 (1976) 539–549.