[Physics Letters B 747 \(2015\) 551–555](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.06.050)

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com/)

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

Positronium energy levels at order *mα*7: Vacuum polarization corrections in the two-photon-annihilation channel

Gregory S. Adkins ∗, Christian Parsons, M.D. Salinger, Ruihan Wang

Franklin & Marshall College, Lancaster, PA 17604, United States

A R T I C L E I N F O A B S T R A C T

Article history: Received 11 June 2015 Accepted 19 June 2015 Available online 23 June 2015 Editor: M. Cvetič

Keywords: Quantum electrodynamics (QED) Positronium Hyperfine splitting (hfs)

We have calculated all contributions to the energy levels of parapositronium at order *mα*⁷ coming from vacuum polarization corrections to processes involving virtual annihilation to two photons. This work is motivated by ongoing efforts to improve the experimental determination of the positronium ground-state hyperfine splitting.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP3.

1. Introduction

Positronium, the electron–positron bound state, is a particularly simple and interesting system. The constituents of positronium have no known internal structure. The properties of positronium are governed almost completely by QED – strong and weak interaction corrections are below the level of current interest due to the small value of the electron mass. On the other hand, some features of positronium tend to complicate the analysis compared to, say, hydrogen or muonium. The no-recoil approximation is not relevant for positronium – the mass ratio for positronium takes its maximum value of one. Also, because positronium is composed of a particle and its antiparticle, it exhibits real and virtual annihilation into photons. The states of positronium can be taken to be eigenstates of the discrete symmetries charge parity and spatial parity, making positronium useful in searches for new, symmetry breaking interactions. Because of its unique properties and accessibility to high-precision experiments, positronium is an ideal system for tests of the bound state formalism in quantum field theory and for searches for new physics in the leptonic sector.

Since its discovery in 1951 [\[1\],](#page-4-0) positronium has been the object of increasingly precise measurements of the ground state hyperfine splitting (hfs), orthopositronium (spin-triplet) and parapositronium (spin-singlet) decay rates and branching ratios, $n = 2$ fine structure, and the 2*S*–1*S* interval. This progress is reviewed in Refs. [\[2–10\]](#page-4-0)

Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* gadkins@fandm.edu (G.S. Adkins).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.06.050>

with citations to the original literature. The most precise hfs measurements were performed by two groups in the 70s and early 80s [\[11–13\]:](#page-4-0)

$$
\Delta E(Brandeis) = 203387.5(1.6)
$$
 MHz,

$$
\Delta E(Yale) = 203\,389.10(74)\,\text{MHz.}\tag{1}
$$

Both of these results are based on the observation of Zeeman mixing of ortho and para states in the presence of a static magnetic field. More recently, a great deal of work has been done both with the Zeeman approach and with other indirect and direct methods of measurement [\[14–21\].](#page-4-0) A new high-precision measurement utilizing the Zeeman method with improved control of systematics was recently reported [\[22\]](#page-4-0)

$$
\Delta E(\text{Tokyo}) = 203\,394.2(1.6)_{\text{stat}}(1.3)_{\text{sys}}\,\text{MHz.}\tag{2}
$$

Theoretical work on the positronium hfs involves calculating the energy splitting by use of bound-state methods in QED. The principal modern approach involves the definition of an effective non-relativistic theory through matching with full QED followed by a bound-state perturbation calculation in the effective theory. The result has the form of a perturbation series in the fine structure constant *α* augmented by powers of $\ell = \ln(1/\alpha)$. This series has the form

$$
\Delta E = m\alpha^4 \Big\{ C_0 + C_1 \frac{\alpha}{\pi} + C_{21} \alpha^2 \ell + C_{20} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 + C_{32} \frac{\alpha^3}{\pi} \ell^2 + C_{31} \frac{\alpha^3}{\pi} \ell + C_{30} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^3 + \cdots \Big\}
$$
(3)

0370-2693/© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.

where *m* is the electron mass. The coefficients $C_0 - C_{31}$ are known analytically as reviewed in Ref. [\[23\].](#page-4-0) The most recent result,

$$
C_{31} = -\frac{17}{3} \ln 2 + \frac{217}{90},\tag{4}
$$

was obtained by three groups in 2000 [\[24–26\].](#page-4-0) The numerical value of the theoretical prediction, including terms through C_{31} , is

$$
\Delta E(\text{th}) = 203\,391.69\,\text{MHz}
$$
 (5)

with an uncertainty due to uncalculated terms that has been estimated as 0.16 MHz [\[25\],](#page-4-0) 0.41 MHz [\[24\],](#page-4-0) or 0.6 MHz [\[23\].](#page-4-0) Theory and the older experiments are separated by 2*.*6*σ* and 3*.*5*σ* in terms of the experimental uncertainties, but theory and the new experiment are consistent with one another.

The naive size of $O(m\alpha^7)$ corrections is only $m\alpha^4(\alpha/\pi)^3$ = 4*.*39 kHz, but contributions as large as several tenths of a MHz have been found coming from "ultrasoft" energy scales [\[27,28\].](#page-4-0) Additional $O(m\alpha^7)$ contributions have recently been obtained [\[23,](#page-4-0) [29–31\].](#page-4-0) The present work is a contribution to a systematic calculation of all corrections at *O(mα*⁷*)* begun in anticipation of yet more precise measurements of the positronium hfs.

Contributions to the positronium hfs at $O(m\alpha^7)$ can be classified as either annihilation or exchange depending on the presence or not of virtual annihilation $e^+e^- \rightarrow n\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$ in the description of the process. Among annihilation contributions, ones that involve virtual annihilation to an odd number of photons only affect orthopositronium according to charge conjugation symmetry, while ones involving an even number of photons only affect parapositronium. We consider here two-photon-annihilation processes affecting parapositronium and focus specifically on processes containing a vacuum polarization correction to one or both of the annihilation photons. This set of contributions forms a gauge invariant set, and furthermore is insensitive to the particular bound-state formalism used in its evaluation, and consequently it comprises a reasonable set of contributions to be evaluated in isolation from other types of terms. Most of the two-, three-, and four-photon annihilation contributions have non-vanishing imaginary parts, as can be seen from Cutkosky analysis [\[32\].](#page-4-0) However, the vacuum polarization function vanishes for small k^2 (where k^{μ} is the photon momentum), so the vacuum polarization corrections discussed here vanish for annihilation to on-shell virtual photons. These contributions to the energy shift ΔE are purely real and that fact simplifies their evaluation considerably.

2. Pure vacuum polarization corrections

The "pure vacuum polarization" corrections involving either the two-loop vacuum polarization function or a product of two oneloop functions are shown in Fig. 1. The effect of a vacuum polarization (VP) correction is to modify a photon propagator according to

$$
\frac{1}{p^2} \to \frac{1}{1 + \Pi_R(p^2)} \frac{1}{p^2} = \frac{1}{p^2} - \Pi_R(p^2) \frac{1}{p^2} + \cdots
$$
 (6)

where the renormalized scalar vacuum polarization function can be expressed in a spectral form as

$$
\Pi_R(p^2) = \int_0^1 dv g(v) \frac{p^2}{p^2 - 4m^2/(1 - v^2)}.
$$
\n(7)

At one-loop order the spectral function $g(v)$ takes the form

$$
g_1(v) = -\frac{v^2(1 - v^2/3)}{1 - v^2}\frac{\alpha}{\pi}.
$$
\n(8)

Fig. 1. The three types of $O(m\alpha^7)$ pure vacuum polarization corrections in the two-photon-annihilation channel. Graph (a) represents the contribution of the onephoton-irreducible two-loop vacuum polarization function. This function is represented by a single diagram, but there are two additional contributions that can be described as self-energy corrections inside the vacuum polarization loop that are not shown. Graph (b) gives the one-photon-reducible contribution. Graph (c) shows a final two-loop vacuum polarization correction, one with a one-loop correction on each virtual photon. Graphs with crossed photons are not displayed – the crossed photon graphs give energy contributions equal to those of the graphs that are displayed. In addition, the contributions of graphs (a) and (b) must be doubled since the correction could occur on either virtual photon.

The two-loop function has been given by Källén and Sabry [\[33\],](#page-4-0) Schwinger [\[34\],](#page-4-0) and in a form using "standard" notation for the dilogarithm function [\[35\],](#page-4-0) by Eides, Grotch, and Shelyuto [\[36\].](#page-4-0) One must note that the Källén–Sabry form is for the reducible vacuum polarization function (Figs. 1a plus 1b), not the irreducible function of Fig. 1a alone. The Schwinger and Eides–Grotch–Shelyuto forms are for the irreducible function.

The energy shift due to the vacuum polarization graphs considered in this article is insensitive to the particular bound state formalism we employ because the energy and momentum values that contribute are purely "hard" – of order *m*, not *m* α or $m\alpha^2$. We choose to use the formalism of Ref. [\[37\],](#page-4-0) in which the energy shift is an expectation value

$$
\Delta E = i \bar{\Psi} \delta K \Psi. \tag{9}
$$

The two-body states Ψ , $\overline{\Psi}$ carry information about the positronium spin state *χ*:

$$
\Psi \to \phi_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \chi \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \bar{\Psi}^T \to \phi_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \chi^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}
$$

where χ is the two-by-two two-particle singlet spin matrix χ = $1/\sqrt{2}$. The positronium states, in this approximation, have vanishing relative momentum, and $\phi_0 = \sqrt{m^3 \alpha^3 / (8 \pi n^3)}$ is the wave function at spatial contact for a state of principal quantum number *n* and orbital angular momentum $\ell = 0$.

The explicit expression for the energy shift for the vacuum polarization diagram of Fig. 1a is

$$
\Delta E_{1a} = (-1)4i\phi_0^2 \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \left(-\Pi_R(p^2) \right) \frac{-i}{p^2} \frac{-i}{(P-p)^2} \times \text{tr} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \chi^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left(-ie\gamma^\mu \right) \frac{i}{\gamma(P/2 - p) - m} \left(-ie\gamma^\nu \right) \right] \times \text{tr} \left[\left(-ie\gamma^\nu \right) \frac{i}{\gamma(P/2 - p) - m} \left(-ie\gamma^\mu \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \chi \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \tag{11}
$$

where $P = (2m, \vec{0})$ is the positronium 4-momentum in the centerof-mass frame, *p* is the 4-momentum of the vacuum polarization corrected photon, the initial *(*−1*)* is a fermionic minus sign, and the factor of 4 accounts for the graph with crossed photons and for the fact that the vacuum polarization correction could act on either photon. After evaluating the traces and some simplifications, the energy shift above takes the form

$$
\Delta E_{1a} = \frac{m\alpha^5}{\pi} \int \frac{d^4p}{i\pi^2} \frac{2\vec{p}^2 \Pi_R(p^2)}{p^2(p-P)^2(p^2-p\cdot P)^2}
$$
(12)

for the ground state $(n = 1)$. The integral over p can be evaluated either using Feynman parameters or by the method of poles – closing the $p⁰$ contour with a half-circle of infinite radius in the upper or lower half plane. In either case, the result for the energy shift becomes

$$
\Delta E = \int_{0}^{1} dv \frac{g(v)}{2v(1 - v^2)} \left\{ (2 - v)(1 + v)^2 \ln\left(\frac{1 + v}{2}\right) - (2 + v)(1 - v)^2 \ln\left(\frac{1 - v}{2}\right) + 2v^3 \ln v + 2v(1 - v^2) \right\} \frac{m\alpha^5}{\pi}.
$$
\n(13)

For the one-loop vacuum polarization of (8) the energy shift is

$$
\Delta E_{VP;1} = I_{VP} \frac{m\alpha^6}{\pi^2}, \quad I_{VP} = -\frac{1}{6}\zeta(2)
$$
 (14)

in accord with the result of [\[38\].](#page-4-0) The two-loop irreducible vacuum polarization contribution of [Fig. 1a](#page-1-0) is

$$
\Delta E_{1a} = \left\{ -\frac{65}{24}\zeta(4) + \frac{10}{3}\zeta(2)\ln^2 2 - \frac{1}{18}\ln^4 2 - \frac{4}{3}a_4 + \frac{161}{96}\zeta(3) - \frac{39}{8}\zeta(2)\ln 2 + \frac{475}{192}\zeta(2) - \frac{43}{96}\right\} \frac{m\alpha^7}{\pi^3}
$$
(15)

where ζ is the Riemann zeta function and $a_4 \equiv \text{Li}_4(1/2)$. We didn't actually "do" the integral for ΔE_{1a} . Rather, we obtained a numerical result to high precision (100 digits) and used the PSLQ algorithm [\[39\]](#page-4-0) to obtain (15).

For the reducible contribution of [Fig. 1b](#page-1-0) we were able to actually perform the exact integral. We used the spectral function

$$
g_{1b}(v) = \frac{2v^2(1 - v^2/3)}{1 - v^2}
$$

$$
\times \left\{ \frac{8}{9} - \frac{v^2}{3} - \frac{v}{2} \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{3} \right) \ln \left(\frac{1 + v}{1 - v} \right) \right\} \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi^2}
$$
 (16)

extracted from the work of Källén and Sabry [\[33\]](#page-4-0) in (13) to obtain

$$
\Delta E_{1b} = \left\{ \frac{1}{6} \zeta(3) - \frac{2}{3} \zeta(2) \ln 2 + \frac{19}{36} \zeta(2) - \frac{41}{108} \right\} \frac{m\alpha^7}{\pi^3}.
$$
 (17)

Finally, for the term of [Fig. 1c](#page-1-0) with a one-loop vacuum polarization correction on each annihilation photon, we were not able to achieve an exact result. The numerical value of this contribution is

$$
\Delta E_{1c} = -0.045140511 \frac{m\alpha^7}{\pi^3}.
$$
\n(18)

Fig. 2. The four types of two-loop corrections to the two-photon-annihilation channel containing a one-loop vacuum polarization function. Graph (a) contains a selfenergy correction. Graph (b) displays a correction having a vertex correction on the same photon that is modified by vacuum polarization (this is "Vertex Type A"). Graph (c) shows a correction where the vertex and vacuum polarization affect different virtual photons (this is "Vertex Type B"). Graph (d) contains a ladder correction. Each of these graphs must be multiplied by a factor of eight to account for equivalent graphs involving crossed annihilation photons, VP corrections on either photon, and the self-energy, vertex, or ladder corrections appearing at various positions in the diagram.

3. Terms involving one-loop vacuum polarization

Additional vacuum polarization corrections are shown in Fig. 2. They contain a one-loop vacuum polarization part combined with self-energy (SE), vertex, or ladder corrections to the bare twophoton-annihilation process. These contributions form a set that is best calculated together because, after renormalization of the selfenergy and vertex parts, each of these graphs contains an infrared divergence that only cancels when all four are summed.

Our calculational method is to start with a form like [\(11\)](#page-1-0) containing the one-loop vacuum polarization correction of (7) and (8) and tack on a self-energy, vertex, or ladder correction. Convenient forms for the one-loop self-energy and vertex corrections were given in [\[40\].](#page-4-0) The renormalized self-energy function corrects the bare electron propagator according to

$$
\frac{1}{\gamma p - m} \to \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left\{ S_1 + S_2(p) \right\} \frac{1}{\gamma p - m}
$$
 (19)

where

$$
S_1 = \ln\left(\frac{\lambda}{m}\right) + \frac{1}{2},\tag{20a}
$$

$$
S_2(p) = \int dx du f_{SE} \frac{N(p)}{D(p)} \tag{20b}
$$

with $f_{SE} = -1/(2u)$ and

$$
N(p) = \left\{2m - (1 - x)\gamma p\right\} (\gamma p + m) \tag{21a}
$$

$$
D(p) = p^2 - m^2 - \frac{xm^2}{(1-x)u}.
$$
 (21b)

(All parametric integrals in the self-energy and vertex corrections run from 0 to 1.) A photon mass *λ* was introduced as an infrared regulator in the course of on-shell renormalization. The vertex correction has the form

$$
\gamma^{\mu} \to \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \Big[V_1^{\mu} + V_2^{\mu} (p', p) + V_3^{\mu} (p', p) \Big] \tag{22}
$$

Table 1

Contributions to the p–Ps energy levels coming from one-loop vacuum polarization (VP) corrections combined with self-energy (SE) [\(Fig. 2a](#page-2-0)), vertex [\(Figs. 2b](#page-2-0) and c), and ladder [\(Fig. 2d](#page-2-0)) corrections to the two-photon-annihilations graphs. For type A (type B) vertex corrections, the VP and vertex corrections act on the same photon (different photons). The separate contributions to the self-energy, etc., parts are shown along with their totals, all in units of $m\alpha^7/\pi^3$. The infrared divergence is contained in $L \equiv \ln(\lambda/m) I_{VP}$.

Term	Poles result	Parameters result
SE: 1	$2L - \frac{1}{5}\zeta(2)$	$2L - \frac{1}{6}\zeta(2)$
SE: 2	$-0.76281(16)$	$-0.7629639(4)$
SE	$2L - 1.03697(16)$	$2L - 1.0371196(4)$
Vertex A: 1	$-2L + \frac{5}{12}\zeta(2)$	$-2L + \frac{5}{12}\zeta(2)$
Vertex A: 2	$-0.2272831(5)$	$-0.2272846(14)$
Vertex A: 3	0.1876296(12)	0.1876304(19)
Vertex A	$-2L + 0.6457357(13)$	$-2L + 0.6457350(24)$
Vertex B: 1	$-2L + \frac{5}{12}\zeta(2)$	$-2L + \frac{5}{12}\zeta(2)$
Vertex B: 2	0.0693357(4)	0.0693348(6)
Vertex B: 3	0.2046073(11)	0.2046053(16)
Vertex B	$-2L + 0.9593322(12)$	$-2L + 0.9593293(18)$
Ladder: 1	$2L + \frac{1}{2}\zeta(2)$	$2L + \frac{1}{2}\zeta(2)$
Ladder: 2	0.3124063(6)	0.3124057(21)
Ladder: 3	$-0.5448084(11)$	$-0.5448063(10)$
Ladder	$2L + 0.3159093(13)$	$2L + 0.3159108(24)$

where p and p' are the incoming and outgoing electron momenta and

$$
V_1^{\mu} = \gamma^{\mu} \left(-\ln\left(\frac{\lambda}{m}\right) - \frac{5}{4} \right), \tag{23a}
$$

$$
V_2^{\mu}(p', p) = \int dx du \, \frac{-N^{\mu}}{4H},\tag{23b}
$$

$$
V_3^{\mu}(p',p) = \gamma^{\mu} \int dx du dz \frac{-x(H - xm^2)}{2\bar{H}}.
$$
 (23c)

The parametric functions are

$$
H = (1 - x) [u(m2 - p'2) + (1 - u)(m2 - p2)]
$$

- xu(1 - u)(p' - p)² + xm², (24a)

$$
\bar{H} = \mathsf{x}m^2 + \mathsf{z}(H - \mathsf{x}m^2),\tag{24b}
$$

$$
N^{\mu} = \gamma^{\lambda} \left(\gamma (p' + Q) + m \right) \gamma^{\mu} \left(\gamma (p + Q) + m \right) \gamma_{\lambda}
$$
 (24c)

where $Q = -x(up' + (1 - u)p)$.

The self-energy contribution is pictured in [Fig. 2a](#page-2-0). The diagram shown must be multiplied by eight to account for the two places where the SE correction could occur, the two photons that the VP could correct, and the two types of graph (with uncrossed and crossed photons). All eight contribute equally. There are two parts to the SE contribution: S_1 and $S_2(p)$ of [\(19\).](#page-2-0) The S_1 contribution is $2S_1 I_{VP}$ *(m* α^7/π^3 *)* where I_{VP} is the $O(m\alpha^6)$ VP correction of [\(14\).](#page-2-0) For the $S_2(p)$ contribution we could preform the d^4p integral as a whole using Feynman parameters, or do dp^0 first by poles followed by $d^3 p \rightarrow 4\pi p^2 dp$ numerically. Both results are shown in Table 1, followed by their total.

There are two classes of vertex corrections: ones with the VP and vertex corrections affecting the same photon (type A, [Fig. 2b](#page-2-0)) or affecting different photons (type B, [Fig. 2c](#page-2-0)). Each has three parts as specified in (22) and (23) . The various vertex correction integrals were performed both by poles and parameters, with results recorded in Table 1.

The ladder correction shown in [Fig. 2d](#page-2-0) gives an energy shift that looks like (11) except that the right-hand trace of (11) is replaced by

$$
\text{tr}\left[\right] \to 2 \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \text{tr}\left[(-ie\gamma^\beta)\frac{i}{\gamma(-P/2+q)-m} \times (-ie\gamma^\nu)\frac{i}{\gamma(P/2+q-p)-m}(-ie\gamma^\mu)\right] \times \frac{i}{\gamma(P/2+q)-m}(-ie\gamma_\beta)\left(\begin{matrix} 0 & \chi\\ 0 & 0 \end{matrix}\right)\left(\frac{-i}{q^2-\lambda^2}\right) \tag{25}
$$

where the factor of 2 comes because the ladder correction could occur on either side of the diagram. Again an infrared divergence, here arising from the binding singularity, is regulated by including a photon mass λ . The contribution of (25) can be written as

$$
-2(4\pi\alpha)^{2} \int \frac{d^{4}q}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{N(q)}{D(q)Z(q)} \tag{26}
$$

where $D(q) = ((P/2 - q)^2 - m^2)((P/2 + q)^2 - m^2)(q^2 - \lambda^2)$, $Z(q) =$ $((P/2 + q - p)^2 - m^2)$, and

$$
N(q) = \text{tr}\left[\gamma^{\beta} \left(\gamma(-P/2 + q) + m\right) \gamma^{\nu} \left(\gamma(P/2 + q - p) + m\right) \right.\n\times \gamma^{\mu} \left(\gamma(P/2 + q) + m\right) \gamma_{\beta}\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \chi \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right].\n\tag{27}
$$

We isolate the small-*q* singularity by use of the decomposition:

$$
\frac{N(q)}{D(q)Z(q)} = \frac{N(0)}{D(q)Z(0)} + \frac{N(0)}{D(q)} \left(\frac{1}{Z(q)} - \frac{1}{Z(0)}\right) + \frac{N(q) - N(0)}{D(q)Z(q)}
$$
(28)

consisting of terms 1, 2, and 3, which we evaluate in turn. The first term in (28) contains the infrared singular part of the *q* integral, but otherwise is simply proportional to I_{VP} because

$$
\frac{N(0)}{Z(0)} = -4m^2 \text{tr} \Big[\gamma^{\nu} \frac{1}{\gamma (P/2 - p) - m} \gamma^{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \chi \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big] \tag{29}
$$

is proportional to the right-hand trace of (11) . The IR-singular binding integral is [\[41\]](#page-4-0)

$$
\int \frac{d^4q}{i\pi^2} \frac{-m^2}{D(q)} = \frac{m\pi}{\lambda} + \ln\left(\frac{\lambda}{m}\right) - 1 + O\left(\frac{\lambda}{m}\right). \tag{30}
$$

The *mπ/λ* term represents the part of the ladder correction that comes from exchange of a Coulomb photon and so is just part of the binding that created the bound state in the first place, and so must not be included again here $[42]$. Or, to put it another way, the $m\pi/\lambda$ term is the piece that is removed in the matching calculation if an effective field theory formalism had been used [\[43,41\].](#page-4-0) So term 1 contributes $2(\ln(\lambda/m) - 1) I_{VP}(m\alpha^7/\pi^3)$ to the energy shift. Terms 2 and 3 of the ladder correction were constructed to be IR safe and can be evaluated directly using $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. (It is useful to average over the directions of \vec{q} when performing the d^3q integration as described in $[44]$.) The results for the various parts of the ladder correction are reported in Table 1.

4. Results and discussion

The results for all VP corrected two-photon-annihilation graphs at *O(mα*⁷*)* are shown in [Table 2.](#page-4-0) We see that all infrared divergences cancel in the sum. The ground state parapositronium energy level correction due to vacuum polarization effects in the two-photon-annihilation channel is

$$
\Delta E = -0.153095(3) \frac{m\alpha^7}{\pi^3}.
$$
\n(31)

Table 2

Positronium energy level corrections at $O(m\alpha^7)$ coming from vacuum polarization corrections to two-photon-annihilations graphs. The tabulated results are contributions to *I* where $\Delta F = (m\alpha^7/\pi^3)I$. The poles and parameters results from [Table 1](#page-3-0) were combined to give the results shown here. The infrared divergence contained in $L = \ln(\lambda/m) I_{VP}$ cancels in the sum.

Term	Diagram	Energy shift
irreducible 2-loop VP	1а	-0.9205630
reducible 2-loop VP	1b	-0.0712481
1-loop VP on each	1c	-0.0451405
$VP - SE$	2a	$2L - 1.0371196(4)$
VP - vertex A	2 _b	$-2L + 0.6457355(12)$
$VP - vertex B$	2c	$-2L + 0.9593313(10)$
VP - ladder	2d	$2L + 0.3159096(12)$
total		$-0.153095(3)$

The numerical value of this correction is small due to large cancellations among the various terms. This parapositronium shift corrects the hfs (spin-triplet minus spin-singlet) by the amount

$$
\Delta E_{\text{hfs}} = 0.67 \text{ kHz.}
$$
 (32)

Higher *S* states with principal quantum number *n* have corrections that are the same as (31) except divided by the $n³$ factor that comes from the square of the wave function at spatial contact. States with $\ell \neq 0$ are not corrected by the terms considered here at order $O(m\alpha^7)$. Additional contributions in the two-photonannihilation channel involve terms having a one-loop SE, vertex, or ladder correction on each side of the diagram, and two-loop selfenergy, vertex, etc., corrections on a single side of the diagram. Calculation of these terms is in progress.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the support of the National Science Foundation through Grant No. PHY-1404268 and of the Franklin & Marshall College Grants Committee through the Hackman Scholars Program, Award Nos. 5789-91.

References

- [1] M. Deutsch, Evidence for the formation of positronium in gases, Phys. Rev. 82 (1951) 455–456, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.82.455.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.82.455)
- [2] S. Berko, H.N. Pendleton, [Positronium,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4265726B6F3830s1) Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 30 (1980) [543–581.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4265726B6F3830s1)
- [3] A. Rich, Recent [experimental](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib526963683831s1) advances in positronium research, Rev. Mod. Phys. 53 (1981) [127–165.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib526963683831s1)
- [4] A.P. Mills Jr., S. Chu, Precision [measurements](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4D696C6C733930s1) in positronium, in: Advanced Series on Directions in High Energy Physics, World Scientific, [Singapore,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4D696C6C733930s1) 1990, [pp. 774–821.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4D696C6C733930s1)
- [5] A. Rich, R.S. Conti, D.W. Gidley, J.S. Nico, M. [Skalsey,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib526963683930s1) J. Van House, P.W. Zitzewitz, Tests of quantum [electrodynamics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib526963683930s1) and related symmetry principles using positronium, in: A.O. Barut (Ed.), New Frontiers in Quantum [Electrodynamics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib526963683930s1) and Quantum Optics, Plenum Press, New York, 1990, [pp. 257–274.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib526963683930s1)
- [6] V.V. Dvoeglazov, R.N. Faustov, Y.N. Tyukhtyaev, Decay rate of a [positronium.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib44766F65676C617A6F763933s1) Review of theory and [experiment,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib44766F65676C617A6F763933s1) Mod. Phys. Lett. A 8 (1993) 3263–3272.
- [7] M.I. Dobroliubov, S.N. Gninenko, A.Yu. Ignatiev, V.A. Matveev, [Orthopositronium](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib446F62726F6C6975626F763933s1) lifetime problem, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 8 (1993) [2859–2874.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib446F62726F6C6975626F763933s1)
- [8] S.G. [Karshenboim,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4B61727368656E626F696D3034s1) Precision study of positronium: testing bound state QED theory, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 19 (2004) [3879–3896.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4B61727368656E626F696D3034s1)
- [9] A. Rubbia, [Positronium](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib5275626269613034s1) as a probe for new physics beyond the standard model, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 19 (2004) [3961–3985.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib5275626269613034s1)
- [10] S.N. Gninenko, N.V. [Krasnikov,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib476E696E656E6B6F3036s1) V.A. Matveev, A. Rubbia, Some aspects of [positronium](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib476E696E656E6B6F3036s1) physics, Phys. Part. Nucl. 37 (2006) 321–346.
- [11] A.P. Mills Jr., G.H. Bearman, New measurement of the positronium hyperfine interval, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34 (1975) 246–250, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.34.246) [PhysRevLett.34.246](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.34.246).
- [12] A.P. Mills Jr., Line-shape effects in the measurement of the positronium hyperfine interval, Phys. Rev. A 27 (1983) 262–267, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.27.262) [PhysRevA.27.262.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.27.262)
- [13] M.W. Ritter, P.O. Egan, V.W. Hughes, K.A. Woodle, Precision determination of the hyperfine-structure interval in the ground state of positronium. V, Phys. Rev. A 30 (1984) 1331–1338, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.30.1331>.
- [14] V.G. Baryshevsky, O.N. Metelitsa, V.V. Tikhomirov, S.K. [Andrukhovich,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4261727973686576736B793839s1) A.V. Berestov, B.A. [Martsinkevich,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4261727973686576736B793839s1) E.A. Rudak, Observation of time oscillation in 3*γ* [-annihilation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4261727973686576736B793839s1) of positronium in a magnetic field, Phys. Lett. A 136 (1989) $428 - 432$
- [15] S. Fan, C.D. Beling, S. Fung, Hyperfine splitting in [positronium](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib46616E3936s1) measured through quantum beats in the 3*γ* decay, Phys. Lett. A 216 (1996) [129–136.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib46616E3936s1)
- [16] P. Crivelli, C.L. Cesar, U. Gendotti, Advances towards a new [measurement](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib43726976656C6C693131s1) of the 1*S* − 2*S* transition of [positronium,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib43726976656C6C693131s1) Can. J. Phys. 89 (2011) 29–35.
- [17] Y. Sasaki, A. Miyazaki, A. Ishida, T. Namba, S. Asai, T. [Kobayashi,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib536173616B693131s1) H. Saito, K. Tanaka, A. Yamamoto, [Measurement](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib536173616B693131s1) of positronium hyperfine splitting with quantum [oscillation,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib536173616B693131s1) Phys. Lett. B 697 (2011) 121–126.
- [18] A. Ishida, Y. Sasaki, G. Akimoto, T. Suehara, T. Namba, S. Asai, T. [Kobayashi,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4973686964613132s1) H. Saito, M. Yoshida, K. Tanaka, A. Yamamoto, Precise [measurement](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4973686964613132s1) of the [positronium](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4973686964613132s1) hyperfine splitting using the Zeeman method, Hyperfine Interact. 212 (2012) [133–140.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4973686964613132s1)
- [19] T. Yamazaki, A. Miyazaki, T. Suehara, T. Namba, S. Asai, T. [Kobayashi,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib59616D617A616B693132s1) H. Saito, I. Ogawa, T. Idehara, S. Sabchevski, Direct [observation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib59616D617A616B693132s1) of the hyperfine transition of [ground-state](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib59616D617A616B693132s1) positronium, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 253401.
- [20] T. Namba, Precise [measurement](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4E616D62613132s1) of positronium, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2012 (2012) [04D003.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4E616D62613132s1)
- [21] D.B. Cassidy, T.H. Hisakado, H.W.K. Tom, A.P. Mills Jr., [Positronium](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib436173736964793132s1) hyperfine interval measured via saturated absorption [spectroscopy,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib436173736964793132s1) Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) [073401.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib436173736964793132s1)
- [22] A. Ishida, T. Namba, S. Asai, T. Kobayashi, H. Saito, M. Yoshida, K. Tanaka, A. Yamamoto, New precision measurement of hyperfine splitting of positronium, Phys. Lett. B 734 (2014) 338–344, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.05.083) [2014.05.083.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.05.083)
- [23] G.S. Adkins, R.N. Fell, [Positronium](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib41646B696E73313461s1) hyperfine splitting at order *mα*7: light-bylight scattering in the [two-photon-exchange](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib41646B696E73313461s1) channel, Phys. Rev. A 89 (2014) [052518.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib41646B696E73313461s1)
- [24] B.A. Kniehl, A.A. Penin, Order *α*⁷ ln*(*1*/α)* contribution to positronium hyperfine splitting, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 5094-5097, [http://dx.doi.org/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.5094) [10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.5094.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.5094)
- [25] K. Melnikov, A. Yelkhovsky, $O(\alpha^3 \ln \alpha)$ corrections to muonium and positronium hyperfine splitting, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 1498–1501, [http://dx.doi.org/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.1498) [10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.1498](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.1498).
- [26] R.J. Hill, New value of m_μ/m_e from muonium hyperfine splitting, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 3280–3283, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.3280>.
- [27] S.R. Marcu, Ultrasoft [contribution](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4D617263753131s1) to the positronium hyperfine splitting, Master's thesis, [University](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4D617263753131s1) of Alberta, 2011.
- [28] M. Baker, P. Marquard, A.A. Penin, J. Piclum, M. [Steinhauser,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib42616B65723134s1) Hyperfine splitting in positronium to $O(\alpha^7 m_e)$: one photon annihilation [contribution,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib42616B65723134s1) Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) [120407.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib42616B65723134s1)
- [29] M.I. Eides, V.A. Shelyuto, Hard [nonlogarithmic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib45696465733134s1) corrections of order *mα*⁷ to hyperfine splitting in [positronium,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib45696465733134s1) Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 111301(R).
- [30] G.S. Adkins, C. Parsons, M.D. Salinger, R. Wang, R.N. Fell, [Positronium](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib41646B696E73313462s1) energy levels at order $m\alpha^7$: light-by-light scattering in the [two-photon-annihilation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib41646B696E73313462s1) [channel,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib41646B696E73313462s1) Phys. Rev. A 90 (2014) 042502.
- [31] M.I. Eides, V.A. Shelyuto, Hard three-loop [corrections](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib45696465733135s1) to hyperfine splitting in positronium and muonium, [arXiv:1506.00175](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib45696465733135s1) [hep-ph], 2015.
- [32] R.E. Cutkosky, Singularities and discontinuities of Feynman amplitudes, J. Math. Phys. 1 (1960) 429–433, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1703676.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1703676)
- [33] G. Källén, A. Sabry, Fourth order vacuum [polarization,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4B616C6C656E3535s1) Dan. Mat. Fys. Medd. [29 \(17\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4B616C6C656E3535s1) (1955) 1–10.
- [34] J. Schwinger, Particles, Sources, and Fields, vol. 2, Sec. 5-4, Addison-Wesley, [Reading,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib53636877696E6765723733s1) MA, 1973.
- [35] L. Lewin, [Polylogarithms](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4C6577696E3831s1) and Associated Functions, Elsevier North Holland. [New York,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib4C6577696E3831s1) 1981.
- [36] M.I. Eides, H. Grotch, V.A. Shelyuto, Two-loop polarization [contributions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib45696465733031s1) to [radiative-recoil](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib45696465733031s1) corrections to hyperfine splitting in muonium, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2001) [013003.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib45696465733031s1)
- [37] G.S. Adkins, R.N. Fell, Bound-state formalism for positronium, Phys. Rev. A 60 (1999) 4461–4475, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.60.4461>.
- [38] G.S. Adkins, Y.M. Aksu, M.H.T. Bui, Calculation of the [two-photon-annihilation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib41646B696E733933s1) [contribution](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib41646B696E733933s1) to the positronium hyperfine interval at order $m\alpha^6$, Phys. Rev. A 47 (1993) [2640–2652.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib41646B696E733933s1)
- [39] H.R.P. Ferguson, D.H. Bailey, S. Arno, Analysis of PSLO, an integer relation finding algorithm, Math. Comput. 68 (1999) 351–369, [http://dx.doi.org/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-99-00995-3) [10.1090/S0025-5718-99-00995-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-99-00995-3).
- [40] G.S. Adkins, R.N. Fell, J. Sapirstein, Two-loop renormalization of Feynman gauge QED, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 125009, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.125009) [PhysRevD.63.125009.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.125009)
- [41] G.S. Adkins, R.N. Fell, J. Sapirstein, Two-loop correction to the [orthopositronium](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib41646B696E733032s1) decay rate, Ann. Phys. 295 (2002) [136–193.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib41646B696E733032s1)
- [42] W.E. Caswell, G.P. Lepage, J. Sapirstein, *O(α)* [corrections](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib43617377656C6C3737s1) to the decay rate of [orthopositronium,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib43617377656C6C3737s1) Phys. Rev. Lett. 38 (1977) 488–491.
- [43] W.E. Caswell, G.P. Lepage, Effective [lagrangians](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib43617377656C6C3836s1) for bound state problems in
- QED, QCD, and other field theories, Phys. Lett. B 167 (1986) [437–442.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib43617377656C6C3836s1) [44] W.E. Caswell, G.P. Lepage, *O(α*² ln*(α*−¹*))* corrections in [positronium:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib43617377656C6C3739s1) hyperfine [splitting](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00472-4/bib43617377656C6C3739s1) and decay rate, Phys. Rev. A 20 (1979) 36–43.