

Marcinkiewicz Integrals with Rough Homogeneous Kernels of Degree Zero in Product Domains¹

Youngwoo Choi

Department of Mathematics, Ajou University, Suwon 442-749, Korea

E-mail: youngwoo@madang.ajou.ac.kr

Submitted by B. S. Thomson

Received July 17, 2000

The L^2 -boundedness of the Marcinkiewicz integrals in product domains with component-wise homogeneous kernels which belong to a certain Orlicz space and satisfy the cancellation property is studied. © 2001 Academic Press

Key Words: Marcinkiewicz integral; Orlicz space; product domain.

1. INTRODUCTION

Stein [15] defined a higher dimensional analogue of the Marcinkiewicz integral by

$$(1.1) \quad \mu_{\Omega} f(x) = \left(\int_0^{\infty} |F_s f(x)|^2 \frac{ds}{s^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where

$$F_s f(x) = \int_{|x-y|<s} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} f(y) dy,$$

and Ω is a homogeneous function of degree zero whose restriction to S^{n-1} belongs to $L^1(S^{n-1})$ and satisfies the *cancellation* property,

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(x') d\sigma(x') = 0.$$

¹This work was supported by Grant 1999-2-112-004-3 from the Interdisciplinary Research program of the KOSEF.



Here, S^{n-1} denotes the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n . The continuity of Marcinkiewicz integrals is very useful in harmonic analysis [4–6, 16, 17, 22]. Stein [15] proved that if $\Omega|_{S^{n-1}}$ belongs to the Lipschitz space $\Lambda^\alpha(S^{n-1})$ of order α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$), then

$$(1.2) \quad |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mu_\Omega f(x) > \lambda\}| \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \|\mu_\Omega f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $1 < p \leq 2$, and if Ω is an integrable odd function, then

$$(1.4) \quad \|\mu_\Omega f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

for $2 < p < \infty$.

This result was further improved by Walsh [19]. Among other things, he proved:

THEOREM 1.1 (Walsh). *Let $n \geq 2$ and let $\Omega : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a homogeneous function of degree zero such that*

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(y') d\sigma(y') = 0.$$

(1) *If $\Omega|_{S^{n-1}} \in L(\log^+ L)^{1/2}(S^{n-1})$, then μ_Ω is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

(2) *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let p' be the conjugate of p . If $\Omega|_{S^{n-1}} \in L(\log^+ L)^{1/r}(\log^+ \log^+ L)^{2-4/r'}(S^{n-1})$, with $r = \min\{p, p'\}$, then μ_Ω is bounded in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Mapping properties of μ_Ω on other function spaces were also studied. Torchinsky and Wang [18] considered the weighted L^p -boundedness of μ_Ω and showed that if $\Omega|_{S^{n-1}} \in \Lambda^\alpha(S^{n-1})$, then for ω satisfying an A_p condition, μ_Ω is bounded on $L^p(\omega)$. Recently, this was extended to rougher kernels by Ding *et al.* [9]. Mapping properties of μ_Ω on *BMO* or Campanato spaces have been studied in [3, 7, 10, 14, 20].

On the other hand, the Marcinkiewicz integral defined on product domains has also been studied.

To be more specific, let $k \geq 1$ be an integer, $n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 2$ be integers, and $\Omega : \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a *component-wise* homogeneous function of degree zero with the cancellation property:

$$(1.5) \quad \int_{S^{n_j-1}} \Omega(y'_1, \dots, y'_k) d\sigma(y'_j) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k.$$

The Marcinkiewicz integral $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega$ is defined by

$$(1.6) \quad \tilde{\mu}_\Omega f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \left(\int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty |F_{s_1, \dots, s_k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k)|^2 \frac{ds_1 \dots ds_k}{s_1^3 \dots s_k^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where

$$F_{s_1, \dots, s_k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \int_{|x_1 - y_1| \leq s_1} \dots \int_{|x_k - y_k| \leq s_k} \frac{\Omega(x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_k - y_k)}{|x_1 - y_1|^{n_1 - 1} \dots |x_k - y_k|^{n_k - 1}} \times f(y_1, \dots, y_k) dy_1 \dots dy_k.$$

Ding [8] showed the L^2 -boundedness of $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega$ in case $k=2$ under the hypothesis of

$$\Omega|_{S^{n_1-1} \times S^{n_2-1}} \in L(\log^+ L)^2(S^{n_1-1} \times S^{n_2-1}).$$

In this paper, we improve the above result. Namely, we will prove:

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer, $n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 2$ be integers, and $\Omega: \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a component-wise homogeneous function of degree zero with the cancellation property (1.5). If $\Omega|_{S^{n_1-1} \times \dots \times S^{n_k-1}} \in L(\log^+ L)^{k/2}(S^{n_1-1} \times \dots \times S^{n_k-1})$, then the Marcinkiewicz integral operator $\tilde{\mu}_\Omega$ defined by (1.6) is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_k})$.*

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, elementary properties on Orlicz spaces are discussed and the proof of the main theorem appears in Section 3.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON ORLICZ SPACES

Let $\Phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function with the following properties:

- (1) Φ is convex;
- (2) $\Phi(0)=0$; and that
- (3) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t)/t = \infty$.

DEFINITION 2.1. (1) For a measurable function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we define $\|f\|_{L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ (the Luxemburg norm) by

$$\|f\|_{L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda \in (0, \infty) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(\lambda^{-1}|f(x)|) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

- (2) The Orlicz space $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$\{f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is measurable and } \|f\|_{L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty\}.$$

Remark 2.2. (1) $L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space.

(2) The following analogue of Hölder’s inequality is available; see, for instance, [22].

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $\Phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\Psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be Young’s pair in the sense that*

- (1) Φ and Ψ are convex;
- (2) $\Phi': [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\Psi': [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are inverse to each other;

(3) $\Phi(0) = \Psi(0) = 0$; and that

(4) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t)/t = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(t)/t = \infty$.

If $f \in L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $fg \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and we have

$$\|fg\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^\Psi(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

3.1. *Simplifying Notations.* For simplicity, we will use the following notations:

(1) $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$, $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$;

(2) For $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j}$, and $s > 0$, we write

$$B_j(x, s) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j} : |y - x| < s\};$$

(3) For $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $\xi' \in S^{n_j-1}$, and $s > 0$, we write

$$C_s^{(j)}(\xi') = \{y' \in S^{n_j-1} : |y' \cdot \xi'| \leq s^{-\frac{1}{2}}\};$$

(4) For $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^k$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_k}$, we write

$$\mathbf{s}\mathbf{y} = (s_1 y_1, \dots, s_k y_k),$$

$$\mathbf{s}^{-1}\mathbf{y} = (s_1^{-1} y_1, \dots, s_k^{-1} y_k),$$

$$\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{m}} = \prod_{j=1}^k s_j^{m_j}, \quad \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k,$$

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\Omega(\mathbf{y})}{|y_1|^{n_1-1} \dots |y_k|^{n_k-1}} \cdot \chi_{B_1(0, s_1) \times \dots \times B_k(0, s_k)}(\mathbf{y}).$$

3.2. *A Reduction.* We can write

$$F_s f(\mathbf{x}) = \tilde{\Omega}_{\mathbf{s}} * f(\mathbf{x}).$$

From the homogeneity of Ω , we see

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{s}^1 [\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{n}}]^{-1} \tilde{\Omega}_1(\mathbf{s}^{-1}\mathbf{y}).$$

Thus, we obtain the following formula for the Fourier multiplier of F_s :

$$\widehat{\tilde{\Omega}_{\mathbf{s}}}(\xi) = \mathbf{s}^1 \widehat{\tilde{\Omega}_1}(\mathbf{s}\xi).$$

Plancherel's theorem allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\tilde{\mu}_\Omega f\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_k}} \left(\int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^k} |F_s f(\mathbf{x})|^2 \frac{ds}{(\mathbf{s}^1)^3} \right) d\mathbf{x} \\
 &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^k} \left\| \widehat{F_s f} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \frac{ds}{(\mathbf{s}^1)^3} \\
 &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^k} \left\| \widehat{\Omega_s} \hat{f} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \frac{ds}{(\mathbf{s}^1)^3} \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_k}} \left(\int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^k} \left| \widehat{\Omega_s}(\xi) \right|^2 \frac{ds}{(\mathbf{s}^1)^3} \right) |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_k}} \left(\int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^k} \left| \widehat{\Omega_1}(\mathbf{s}\xi) \right|^2 \frac{ds}{\mathbf{s}^1} \right) |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_k}} \left(\int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^k} \left| \widehat{\Omega_1}(\mathbf{s}\xi') \right|^2 \frac{ds}{\mathbf{s}^1} \right) |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it suffices to verify the uniform boundedness of

$$I(\xi') \equiv \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^k} \left| \widehat{\Omega_1}(\mathbf{s}\xi') \right|^2 \frac{ds}{\mathbf{s}^1} = \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^k} \left| \widehat{\Omega_1}(s_1 \xi'_1, \dots, s_k \xi'_k) \right|^2 \frac{ds_1 \dots ds_k}{s_1 \dots s_k}$$

in $\xi' = (\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_k) \in \mathcal{S}^{n_1-1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}^{n_k-1}$.

3.3. *Estimates on $I(\xi')$.* We let

$$R_0 = (0, 1), \quad R_1 = (1, \infty),$$

and write

$$I(\xi') \equiv \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k = 0, 1} I_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(\xi'),$$

with

$$I_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(\xi') = \int_{R_{\alpha_1} \times \dots \times R_{\alpha_k}} \left| \widehat{\Omega_1}(\mathbf{s}\xi') \right|^2 \frac{ds}{\mathbf{s}^1}.$$

It suffices to verify the uniform boundedness of $I_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k}(\xi')$ in $\xi' \in \mathcal{S}^{n_1-1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}^{n_k-1}$, for each choice of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k = 0, 1$. Write

$$J = \{1, \dots, k\},$$

and let

$$J_0 = \{j \in \{1, \dots, k\} : \alpha_j = 0\}$$

$$J_1 = \{j \in \{1, \dots, k\} : \alpha_j = 1\}.$$

By the cancellation property and homogeneity condition, one can see

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \widehat{\widetilde{\Omega}}_1(\mathbf{s}\xi') \right| &= \left| \int_{\prod_{j \in J} B_j(0,1)} \left[\prod_{\nu \in J_0} \left(e^{-2\pi i s_\nu \xi'_\nu \cdot y_\nu} - 1 \right) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left[\prod_{l \in J_1} e^{-2\pi i s_l \xi'_l \cdot y_l} \right] \widetilde{\Omega}_1(y_1, \dots, y_k) \prod_{j \in J} dy_j \right| \\
&\leq \int_{\prod_{\nu \in J_0} B_\nu(0,1)} \left[\prod_{\nu \in J_0} \left| e^{-2\pi i s_\nu \xi'_\nu \cdot y_\nu} - 1 \right| \right] \\
&\quad \times \left| \int_{\prod_{l \in J_1} B_l(0,1)} \left[\prod_{l \in J_1} e^{-2\pi i s_l \xi'_l \cdot y_l} \right] \widetilde{\Omega}_1(y_1, \dots, y_k) \prod_{l \in J_1} dy_l \right| \prod_{\nu \in J_0} dy_\nu.
\end{aligned}$$

Switching to polar coordinates, we obtain

$$\left| \widehat{\widetilde{\Omega}}_1(\mathbf{s}\xi') \right| \lesssim \left[\prod_{\nu \in J_0} s_\nu \right] \int_{\prod_{j \in J} S^{n_j-1}} \left[\prod_{l \in J_1} \left| \frac{\sin \pi s_l y'_l \cdot \xi'_l}{s_l y'_l \cdot \xi'_l} \right| \right] |\Omega(y'_1, \dots, y'_k)| \prod_{j \in J} d\sigma(y'_j).$$

Observe that for each $l \in J_1$,

$$\left| \frac{\sin \pi s_l y'_l \cdot \xi'_l}{s_l y'_l \cdot \xi'_l} \right| \lesssim s_l^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \chi_{C_{s_l}^{(l)}(\xi'_l)}(y'_l)$$

holds.

Therefore, it suffices to estimate integrals of the form

$$\widetilde{I}_{J_0, J_1^{(1)}, J_1^{(2)}}(\xi') = \int_{\prod_{\nu \in J_0} R_0} \int_{\prod_{l \in J_1^{(1)}} R_1} \mathcal{F}(\check{s}) \left[\prod_{l \in J_1^{(1)}} \frac{ds_l}{s_l^2} \right] \prod_{\nu \in J_0} s_\nu ds_\nu$$

with

$$\mathcal{F}(\check{s}) = \int_{\prod_{l \in J_1^{(2)}} R_1} \left\| \left[\prod_{l \in J_1^{(2)}} \chi_{C_{s_l}^{(l)}(\xi'_l)}(y'_l) \right] \Omega(y'_1, \dots, y'_k) \right\|_{L^1(\prod_{j \in J} S^{n_j-1})}^2 \prod_{l \in J_1^{(2)}} \frac{ds_l}{s_l},$$

where $\{J_0, J_1^{(1)}, J_1^{(2)}\}$ is any partition of J . An application of Minkowski's inequality on integrals gives us

$$\mathcal{F}(\check{s})^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \int_{\prod_{j \in J} S^{n_j-1}} \left[\prod_{l \in J_1^{(2)}} \left(\log \frac{1}{|y'_l \cdot \xi'_l|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] |\Omega(y'_1, \dots, y'_k)| \prod_{j \in J} d\sigma(y'_j).$$

We have the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $K \geq 1$ be an integer, $n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 2$ be integers, $\xi'_1 \in S^{n_1-1}, \dots, \xi'_k \in S^{n_k-1}$, and $\Phi, \Psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a Young's pair with*

$$\Phi(u) = u(\log^+ u)^{\frac{K}{2}}, \quad \text{for large } u > 0.$$

Then

$$\prod_{j=1}^K \left(\log \frac{1}{|y'_j \cdot \xi'_j|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L^\Psi(S^{n_1-1} \times \dots \times S^{n_K-1}),$$

and moreover there exists a constant $C(K)$ such that

$$\left\| \prod_{j=1}^K \left(\log \frac{1}{|y'_j \cdot \xi'_j|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^\Psi(S^{n_1-1} \times \dots \times S^{n_K-1})} \leq C$$

holds uniformly in $\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_K \in S^{n_1-1} \times \dots \times S^{n_K-1}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Observe that there exists a constant C such that for large $u > 0$

$$(3.1) \quad \Psi(u) \lesssim \exp\left(Cu^{\frac{2}{K}}\right)$$

holds. For $\lambda \gtrsim 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi\left(\lambda^{-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^K \log \frac{1}{|y'_j \cdot \xi'_j|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ & \lesssim \exp\left[C \left[\lambda^{-1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^K \log \frac{1}{|y'_j \cdot \xi'_j|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{\frac{2}{K}} \right] \leq \exp\left[CK^{-1} \lambda^{-\frac{2}{K}} \sum_{j=1}^K \log \frac{1}{|y'_j \cdot \xi'_j|} \right] \\ & \leq \prod_{j=1}^K \exp\left[CK^{-1} \lambda^{-\frac{2}{K}} \log \frac{1}{|y'_j \cdot \xi'_j|} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^K |y'_j \cdot \xi'_j|^{-CK^{-1} \lambda^{-\frac{2}{K}}} \end{aligned}$$

from the geometric-arithmetic mean inequality and (3.1).

Uniform integrability of $\Psi(\lambda^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^K \log(1/|y'_j \cdot \xi'_j|))$ on $S^{n_1-1} \times \dots \times S^{n_K-1}$ is clear and the lemma is proved. ■

Applying Hölder’s inequality (Lemma 2.3) followed by Lemma 3.1, we obtain a uniform estimate on $\mathcal{F}(\delta)$.

Therefore, we obtain the uniform boundedness of $I(\xi')$ and the proof of the theorem is now complete.

Remark 3.2. In view of the sharpness of Walsh’s result, one can see that the size condition on $\Omega|_{S^{n_1-1} \times \dots \times S^{n_K-1}}$ is sharp.

REFERENCES

1. A. Benedek, A. Calderón, and R. Panzone, Convolution operators on Banach space valued functions, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **48** (1962), 356–365.
2. A. P. Calderón, On the theorem of Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **68** (1950), 55–61.
3. T. Changmei, Littlewood–Paley operators and Marcinkiewicz integral on generalized Campanato spaces, *Approx. Theory Appl. (N.S.)* **11** (1995), 35–44.
4. S. Chanillo and R. L. Wheeden, Distribution function estimates for Marcinkiewicz integrals and differentiability, *Duke Math. J.* **49** (1982), 517–619.
5. S. Chanillo and R. L. Wheeden, Inequalities for Peano maximal functions and Marcinkiewicz integrals, *Duke Math. J.* **50** (1983), 573–603.
6. S. Chanillo and R. L. Wheeden, Relations between Peano derivatives and Marcinkiewicz integrals, in “Conference on Harmonic Analysis in Honor of Antoni Zygmund,” Vols. I, II, pp. 508–525, Wadsworth Math. Ser., Wadsworth, Belmont, CA 1983.
7. L. Chevalier, Fonction maximale forte et integrale de Marcinkiewicz, *J. Anal. Math.* **65** (1995), 161–178.
8. Y. Ding, L^2 -boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integral with rough kernel, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **27** (1998), 105–115.
9. Y. Ding, D. Fan, and Y. Pan, Weighted boundedness for a class of rough Marcinkiewicz integrals, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **48** (1999), 1037–1055.
10. Y. Ding, S. Lu, and Q. Xue, On Marcinkiewicz integral with homogeneous kernels, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **245** (2000), 471–488, doi:10.1006/jmaa.2000.6765.
11. J. Duoandikoetxea, Multiple singular integrals and maximal functions along hypersurfaces, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **36** (1986), 185–206.
12. R. Fefferman, Singular integral on product domains, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N. S.)* **4** (1981), 195–201.
13. J. Marcinkiewicz, Sur quelques integrales de type de Dini, *Ann. Soc. Polon.* **17** (1938), 42–50.
14. M. Sakamoto and K. Yabuta, Boundedness of Marcinkiewicz functions, *Studia Math.* **135** (1999), 103–142.
15. E. M. Stein, On the function of Littlewood–Paley, Lusin and Marcinkiewicz, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **88** (1958), 430–466.
16. E. M. Stein, “Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions,” Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
17. E. M. Stein, “Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals,” Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
18. A. Torchinsky and S. Wang, A note on the Marcinkiewicz integral, *Colloq. Math.* **61–62** (1990), 235–243.
19. T. Walsh, On the function of Marcinkiewicz, *Studia Math.* **44** (1972), 203–217.
20. K. Yabuta, Boundedness of Littlewood–Paley operators, *Math. Japon.* **43** (1996), 143–150.
21. A. Zygmund, On certain integrals, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **55** (1944), 170–204.
22. A. Zygmund, “Trigonometric Series,” Vol. 2, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1968.