JOURNAL OF COMBINATORIAL THEORY 6, 224–225 (1969)

A Generalization of Sperner's Lemma

KIRBY A. BAKER

University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024 Communicated by Marshall Hall, Jr. Received September 26, 1968

Abstract

A brief proof is given of a generalization of Sperner's lemma to certain finite partially ordered sets.

Sperner's lemma [4] states the following: In the Boolean lattice of subsets of a set T with n elements, any set S of pairwise non-comparable elements has at most ${}_{n}C_{m}$ elements, where $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Thus a largest such S is the set of all *m*-element subsets of T. The theorem below states a generalization of Sperner's lemma to a useful type of partially ordered set. For the case in which the partially ordered set is in addition a geometric lattice, this theorem has been proved by Dilworth (unpublished), via the Unimodal Property. For the same case, Harper [2] has shown how the theorem can be obtained as a consequence of the Normalized Matching Property for regular bipartite graphs. The much briefer direct proof supplied by this note stems from Lubell's proof of Sperner's lemma [3].

THEOREM. Let Q be a finite partially ordered set with universal bounds O, I and with a rank function ρ , such that for each $k \ge 0$ all elements of rank k

(i) are covered by the same number a_k of elements of rank k + 1, and

(ii) cover the same number b_k of elements of rank k - 1. If e(k) is the number of elements of rank k in Q, then any set S of pairwise non-comparable elements in Q has at most M elements, where $M = \max_k e(k)$.

PROOF: For each k, let E(k) be the set of elements of Q of rank k. For $x \in Q$, let p(x) be the probability that a randomly chosen maximal chain of Q will pass through x. In other words, let p(x) = s(x)/t, where t is the number of all maximal chains of Q and s(x) is the number of those which pass through x. (1) A first observation: For any given k, p(x) is the same for all $x \in E(k)$. Indeed, if $\rho(x) = k$, s(x) is the product of the number $b_k \cdots b_1$ of maximal chains of the interval [O, x] and the number $a_k \cdots a_{n-1}$ of maximal chains of the interval [x, I]. (Here $n = \rho(I)$.) Thus $p(x) = b_k \cdots b_1 a_k \cdots a_{n-1}/t$, which is constant on E(k).

(2) A second observation: $p(x) \ge 1/M$. For a given k, each maximal chain of Q passes through exactly one element of E(k). Addition of probabilities for mutually exclusive events then gives $1 = \sum_{x \in E(k)} p(x)$. Since there are e(k) summands, the common value of the $p(x), x \in E(k)$, must be 1/e(k). Thus $p(x) = 1/e(k) \ge 1/M$.

(3) Finally, we note that each maximal chain of Q passes through at most one element of S. Therefore, the probability that a given maximal chain passes through *some* element of S is again obtained by addition and is $\sum_{x \in S} p(x)$. Since this probability cannot exceed 1, we have

$$1 \geq \sum_{x \in S} p(x) \geq \sum_{x \in S} 1/M = |S|/M,$$

i.e., $|S| \leq M$, as required.

The above result applies to finite Boolean algebras, finite projective geometries, finite affine geometries, and many other geometric lattices [1, Ch. IV]. It is still a matter of conjecture whether the conclusion is true for *all* finite geometric lattices [2].

REFERENCES

- 1. G. BIRKHOFF, *Lattice Theory*, (Colloq. Publ. 25), 3rd ed., American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1967.
- 2. L. H. HARPER, The Morphology of Geometric Lattices, to appear.
- D. LUBELL, A Short Proof of Sperner's Lemma, J. Combinatorial Theory 1 (1966), 299.
- E. SPERNER, Ein Satz über Untermengen einer endlichen Menge, Math. Z. 27 (1928), 544–548.