

Theoretical Computer Science 218 (1999) 205-216

Theoretical Computer Science

Quasiperiodicity and string covering

Costas S. Iliopoulos^{a,b}, Laurent Mouchard^{a,c,*,2}

^a Deptartment of Computer Science, King's College London, Strand, London, WC2R 2LS, UK ^b School of Computing, Curtin University of Technology, GPO Box U1987, Perth 6845,

Western Australia

^c LIR – ABISS, Université de Rouen, 76821 Mont Saint Aignan Cedex, France

Abstract

In this paper, we study word regularities and in particular extensions of the notion of the word period: quasiperiodicity, covers and seeds. We present overviews of algorithms for computing the quasiperiodicity, the covers and the seeds of a given word. We also present an overview of an algorithm that finds maximal word factors with the above regularities. Finally, we show how Fine and Wilf's Theorem fails if we try to extend it directly to quasiperiodicity, as well as a new property on concatenation of periodic words. © 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Regularities; Periodicity; Quasiperiodicity; Covers; Seeds

1. Introduction

This paper is focussed on the study and the identification of various kinds of periodicities and other regularities in words; much of it turns out to bear directly on problems that arise in DNA sequence analysis, image validation/de- composition and melody recognition. The ability to locate repeats is useful in a wide area of applications which involve word manipulations. Pattern recognition, computer vision, speech recognition, data compression, data communication, combinatorics, coding and automata theory, formal language theory, system theory, are classic examples. Finding repeats also has applications in database work and general text editing, such as finding duplicate entries in a database.

The study of word repetitions and word periodicity was pioneered by Axel Thue at the beginning of this century [20], and since then it has been intensively studied and it has been one of the building blocks in Automata and Formal Language Theory,

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: lm@dir.univ-rouen.fr.

¹ Partially supported by the EPSRC grant GR/J 17844.

² Supported by the Conseil Régional de Haute Normandie.

Algebraic Coding, Systems Theory and Combinatorics. Thue in [20] has constructed an infinite word over an alphabet of size 3 which contains no square proving that squares are avoidable regularities in words.

A typical regularity, the period u of a given word x, grasps the repetitiveness of x since x is a prefix of a word constructed by concatenations of u. For example, $u^2 = abaaba$ is a repetition of u = aba and abc is a period of abcabcabca. Apostolico et al. [2] introduced the notion of quasiperiodicity, which extends periodicity by allowing not only the repetition of similar factors, but also superpositions. For example, a superposition of u = aba is v = ababa.

A factor w of x is called a *cover* of x if x can be constructed by concatenations and superpositions of w. The smallest such cover is called *the quasiperiod* of the word.

A factor w of x is called a *seed* of x if there exists an extension of x which is constructed by concatenations and superpositions of w.

For example, w = abaababababababababababa can be obtained by a series of six concatenations or superpositions of the factor <math>u = aba. Furthermore, *abca* is a seed of *abcabcaabc*.

The notions "cover" and "seed" are generalizations of periods in the sense that superpositions as well as concatenations are considered to define them, whereas only concatenations are considered for periods.

In the next section we present the basic definitions. In Section 3 we present results on periodicity and in Section 4 we survey results on quasiperiodicity (from [1, 13]). In Section 5 we present a "well-known" result (due to Fine and Wilf [12]) which fails if we try to extend it to quasiperiodicity. And finally in Section 6 we present a new result on the concatenation of two periodic words.

2. Preliminaries

An alphabet \mathscr{A} is a set of elements, that we will called *letters*, characters or symbols. A word w over the alphabet \mathscr{A} is a sequence of zero or more letters of \mathscr{A} , that is (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) with $w_i \in \mathscr{A}^*$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. The *empty word* is the empty sequence (of zero letters) and it will be denoted by ε . The set of all words over \mathscr{A} is denoted by \mathscr{A}^* , and can be equipped with a binary operation, *concatenation*:

$$\therefore \mathscr{A}^* \times \mathscr{A}^* \longrightarrow \mathscr{A}^*$$
$$((a_1, \dots, a_m), (b_1, \dots, b_n)) \longmapsto (a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n)$$

The concatenation of k copies of w is denoted by w^k and is called the k-th power of w. As concatenation is obviously associative and we can write $(a_1) = a_1$, this allows us to write (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n) as $w_1w_2 \ldots w_n$ and n is called the *length of* w and is denoted by |w|.

Let w be a word over \mathscr{A} . A word u over \mathscr{A} is a *factor of* w if and only if there exist two words t and v over \mathscr{A} such that w = tuv. A word u over \mathscr{A} is a *left (respectively*

207

right) factor of w or a prefix (respectively suffix) of w if and only if there exists a word v over \mathscr{A} such that w = uv (resp. w = vu).

For example, bb is a factor ababbc, ab is a left factor of ababbc and bbc is a right factor of ababbc. A word u over \mathscr{A} is an extension of w if and only if w is a factor of u. A word u over \mathscr{A} is a left (resp. right) extension of w if and only if w is a right (resp. left) factor of u.

For example *ababbc* is a right extension of *aba* and *ababbc* is a left extension of *bbc*. A word u over \mathscr{A} is a *border of* w if and only if there exist two words t and v over \mathscr{A} such that w = tu and w = uv, i.e. u is both prefix and suffix of w.

For example, a, aba and ababa are borders of ababaababa.

3. Periodicity and primitivity

Let w be a word over \mathscr{A} . The word w is said to be *primitive* if and only if w is not a kth power of another word. Thus, for any word u over \mathscr{A} , setting $w = u^k$ implies u = w and k = 1.

For example w = abaababa is primitive but $w' = abaabaaba = (aba)^3$ is not. A word w over \mathscr{A} is said to be strongly primitive³ if and only if every factor of w is primitive. For example the word w = aba is strongly primitive. One can can easily prove that any word over a binary alphabet whose length is greater or equal to 4 contains a square (2nd power of a word) and therefore it is not strongly primitive.

$w = \underbrace{a | b | a | a | b | a | b | a | b | a | b | a}_{u} \quad u \quad u'$

Fig. 1. Periodicity.

An integer p is a period of w if and only if $w_i = w_{i+p}$ for $0 < i \le |w| - p$. The smallest period is called *the period*. For example, ab, aba, abab are periods of abababab and ab is the period of abababab.

By misnomer, we have the following definition: a word u over \mathscr{A} is a *period of* w if and only if there exists k such that w is a prefix of u^k . A word w is *periodic* if and only if w has a period p such that $p \leq |w|/2$.

3.1. A "well-known" property on periodicity

We consider a standard property on periodicity which is due to Fine and Wilf [12] and in Section 5, we show how it fails as soon as we consider quasiperiodicity instead of periodicity.

Theorem 1 (Fine and Wilf [12]). Let w be a word over the alphabet \mathcal{A} and m and n be two periods of w. The condition $|w| \ge m + n - \gcd(m, n)$ implies $\gcd(m, n)$ is a period of w.

³ Also said square-free.

Proof. See for example in [17, pp. 9,10]. \Box



Fig. 2. gcd(4,6)=2 is a period of w.

Corollary. If there exist two periods m and n of w such that gcd(m,n) = 1, then $w = a^k$ with $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

4. Quasiperiodicity and superprimitivity

Here we present the notion of quasiperiodicity introduced by Apostolico et al. in [2], where they gave a linear-time algorithm for its computation. It extends the notion of periodicity by allowing concatenations and superpositions. We can consider, in a certain way, that quasiperiodicity is periodicity with stutterings.

For two words u and v over \mathscr{A} such that $u_{|u|-i+1} \dots x_{|u|} = v_1 \dots v_i$ for some $i \ge 1$, the word $u_1 \dots u_{|u|-i}v = uv_{i+1} \dots v_{|v|}$ is a superposition of u and v with i overlaps.

Fig. 3. u a-covers w.

Let w be a word over \mathcal{A} . A word u over \mathcal{A} a-covers w if and only if w can be constructed by concatenations and superpositions of u.



Fig. 4. u covers w (as u a-covers an extension of w).

A word u over \mathcal{A} covers w if and only if an extension of w can be constructed by concatenations and superpositions of u. It means in a more formal manner:

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, |w|\} \quad \exists j \in \max\{1, i - |u| + 1\} / \\ w_j \dots w_{\min\{j + |u| - 1, |w|\}} = u_1 \dots u_{\min\{|u|, |w| - j + 1\}}.$$

A word w is *quasiperiodic* if and only if w is a-covered by one of its proper factor. The shortest proper factor that a-covers w is said to be *the cover* of w. If such a word does not exist then the word w is said to be *superprimitive*. It is not difficult to note that a periodic string is always quasiperiodic, but the converse is not true. Also, clearly a superprimitive string is always primitive, however the converse is not true. For example, *aba* is superprimitive and primitive, but *abaabaab* is primitive but not superprimitive, since the superprimitive string *abaab* covers it.

The algorithm in [2] is based on the observation that the cover of a word x is also a border of x: the cover of x must cover positions 1 and n of x. The algorithm in [2] exploits this fact by using the failure function of [16] for computing the borders and then testing whether they cover the word or not. The linear time is dominated by the computation of the failure function and it is achieved by reducing periodical cases to primitive ones.

In the computation of covers, two problems have been considered in the literature: the quasiperiodicity problem (also known as the superprimitivity test) is that of computing the shortest cover of a given string of length n, and the *all-covers* problem is that of computing all the covers of a given string. Breslauer [6] presented a linear-time on-line algorithm for the quasiperiodicity problem. Moore and Smyth [19] presented a linear-time algorithm for the all-covers problem. Lin and Smyth in [18] presented an on-line computation of the all-covers problem.

In parallel computation, Breslauer [6] gave two algorithms for the shortest-cover problem. The first one is an optimal $O(\alpha(n) \log \log n)$ -time algorithm, where $\alpha(n)$ is the inverse Ackermann function, and the second one is a non-optimal algorithm that requires $O(\log \log n)$ time and $O(n \log n)$ work. Breslauer [7] also obtained an $\Omega(\log \log n)$ lower bound on the time complexity of the shortest-cover problem from the lower bound of string matching [5]. Iliopoulos and Park in [14] gave a work-time optimal $O(\log \log n)$ algorithm for the shortest-cover problem and in [15] a work-time optimal $O(\log \log n)$ algorithm for the all-covers problem.

4.1. Efficient detection of quasiperiodicities in word

Apostolico and Ehrenfeucht presented in [1] an algorithm to find all the maximal quasiperiodic factors of a given word, that is find all the longest *a*-covered factors of a word. A quasiperiodic factor *z* is maximal, if no extension of *z* could be covered by either the same word *w* covering *z* or by an extension *wa* of *w*. All maximal *a*-covered factors of a word *w* over \mathscr{A} can be detected in time $O(|w| \log^2 |w|)$.

The algorithm in [1] shadows the Apostolico and Preparata [3] algorithm for detection of all the squares in a string. It is not difficult to see the link between the two problems: the starting position of every quasiperiodic factor is also the starting position of a square. The main steps of the Apostolico and Ehrenfeucht algorithm for a given word w over \mathscr{A} is as follows:

- 1. Build the compact suffix tree for the word w.
- 2. For each node n_i of this tree, maintain the list of all leaves in the subtree whose root is n_i .
- 3. For each list l_i , compute its span, that is, the longest uninterrupted cover.
- 4. Determine the longest span over the tree and the appropriate factor.

Example. Given w = abaabababa. Find all maximal quasiperiodic factors of w. Build the compact suffix tree for the word w = abaabababa (Fig. 5).



Fig. 5. Suffix-tree of w = abaabababa.

For each node n_i of this tree, maintain the list of all leaves in the subtree whose root is n_i (Fig. 6).



Fig. 6. Suffix-tree of w = abaabababa with lists

For each list l_i , compute its span (longest uninterrupted cover)

Node	Factor(s)	List	Span
$\overline{n_1}$	a	$l_1 = \{1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10\}$	2 (3-4)
n_2	ba	$l_2 = \{2, 5, 7, 9\}$	6 (5-10)
n_3	ab, aba	$l_3 = \{1, 4, 6, 8\}$	10 (1-10) for $u = aba$
<i>n</i> ₄	bab, baba	$l_4 = \{5, 7\}$	6 (5-10) for $u = baba$
n_5	abab, ababa	$l_5 = \{4, 6\}$	7 (4-10) for $u = ababa$

Determine the longest span over the tree and the appropriate factor. The longest span is 10, for node n_3 and factor *aba*. In this example, the word w = abaabababaa is a-covered by u = aba as span(u) = |w|.

One observation that may lead to faster than the Apostolico and Ehrenfeucht algorithm is that the number of different spans than one can have at any given position is bounded by $\log n$:

Let b_1, \ldots, b_k be the sequence B of all nontrivial borders of x from smallest to largest. Let b_0 denote the empty string and b_{k+1} the given string x. A subsequence b_1, \ldots, b_{l+m} of B is said to be a *chain of covers* of x if every b_{l+i} , $0 \le i \le m$, covers x. If additionally each of b_{l-1} and b_{l+m+1} is a trivial border or it does not cover x, then b_1, \ldots, b_{l+m} is said to be a *maximal* chain of covers.

Theorem 2. There are at most $\lceil \log n \rceil$ maximal chains of covers.

Proof. See [15]. □

4.2. Covering a string

lliopoulos et al. [13] have proposed a new notion of string regularity and an extension of the notions of period and cover, called *seed*. The focus of [13] was on the *General String Covering* problem. We say that a word *u covers* a word *w* if there exists an extension of *w* which is constructed by concatenations and superpositions of *y*. For example, *abca* covers *abcabcaabc*. A factor *u* of a word *w* is called a *seed* of *x* if *u* covers *w*. The GSC problem is as follows: given a word *w* of length *n*, compute all the seeds of *w*. Note that there may be more than one shortest seed (e.g. for *abababa*, both *ab* and *ba* are the shortest seeds). In [13] a method for finding all the seeds of a given word *w* over \mathscr{A} in time $O(|w| \log |w|)$ is presented. A parallel PRAM algorithm and a lower bound for the GSC can be found in [4].

The seeds of w are classified into two kinds: A seed u is an *easy seed* if there is a factor of u which covers w by concatenations only; u is a *hard seed* otherwise. For example, for $x = (abbab)^3 abb$, the words *abbab*, *babab* cover w by concatenations and thus are easy seeds. The words *babbab*, *bababba* are also easy seeds of w, having *abbab* and *babab* as factors respectively which cover w by concatenations. But the word *bab* is a hard seed of w. Let $u = w_1 \cdots w_p$ be the period of w. It is easy to see that u covers w by concatenations. The following lemmas characterize easy and hard seeds of w.

Easy seeds: A seed u is an *easy seed* if there is a factor of u which covers w only by concatenations. Otherwise u is a *hard seed* of w.

Theorem 3. A factor u of a word w over \mathcal{A} is an easy seed if and only if u is a right extension in w of a conjugate of the period.

Proof. See [13], page 4. □

Easy seeds can be found by the preprocessing of Knuth [16]. They can be found in time O(|w|).

Hard seeds: A factor u of the word w over \mathscr{A} is a candidate for a hard seed if there exist (t, w', v), words over \mathscr{A} such that • w = tw'v.

- w' is *a*-covered by u.
- |t| < |u| and |v| < |u|.

For maximal w', we call t(v) the *head* (*tail*) of w with respect to u. If we want u to be a seed of w, it has to cover both t and v in their context, that is, it has to *a*-cover a left extension of tu and a right extension of uv.

Among all such coverings, we consider the one which maximizes the overlap between w' and u, this overlap being different from u (as this occurrence has to overlap t). We name l-size r-(size) the length of such a maximal overlap between u and w' (w' and u)

- A hard seed is *type-A* if l-size $\geq r$ -size.
- A hard seed is type-B if 1-size < r-size.

For each factor s of w, the *start-set* of s is the set of start positions of all occurrences of s in w. An *equi-set* is a set of factors of w whose start-sets are the same. Note that a start-set is associated with an equi-set and vice versa.

The start-set of u is $\{3, 6, 11\}$ and the equi-set is $\{u, abaa, abaaba\}$. The word u is a hard seed (tu and uv are covered by u) and as 1-size=2 and r-size=2 (since we have <u>abaabaababaab</u>), we have u is a type-A hard seed of w. The word u' = abaaba is a type-A hard seed (w = (ba)(abaabaabababaaba)(ba) 1-size=3, r-size=1). Furthermore the word u'' = baaba is a type-A hard seed ($w = (\varepsilon)(baabaabaabaabaaba)(ba)$ and 1-size=0, r-size=0).

4.2.1. Finding hard seeds

Finding hard seeds is based on the computation of the equivalence relations E_l used by Crochemore [8]. For $1 \le l \le n$, E_l are defined on the set of positions $\{1, 2, ..., |w| - l + 1\}$ of w by

$$iE_{lj}$$
 if $w_{i}...w_{i+l-1} = w_{j}...w_{j+l-1}$

(factors of length *l* occurring at positions *i* and *j* are identical). The construction of E_{l+1} from E_l is based on:

 $iE_{l+1}j$ if and only if iE_lj and $(i+1)E_l(j+1)$.

A refinement can be added: instead of partitioning a class C, we partition with respect to class C, that is, for each class D, compute classes $\{i \in D/i + 1 \in C\}$ and $\{i \in D/i + 1 \notin C\}$. It leads to a $O(|w| \log |w|)$ algorithm instead of $O(|w|^2)$.

For a given word w over \mathscr{A} the main steps of the algorithm in [13] are as follows: 1. Compute the period of w using the KMP algorithm in [16].

2. Compute E_l for $1 \le l \le p$ or until all classes are singletons.

3. Compute the start-sets and the equi-sets.

- 4. Determine the candidate sets.
- 5. If it is a hard seed, determine 1-size, r-size and type-A or type-B.

Example. Consider w = babaabaaba. Compute hard seeds of w.

Compute the period of w from KMP [16] The period is 8. The word babaabaaba is not periodic.

Compute E_l for $1 \le l \le p$ or all classes are singletons

$$E_{1} = \{\{1,3,6,9\},\{2,4,5,7,8,10\}\}, \quad E_{2} = \{\{1,3,6,9\},\{2,5,8\},\{4,7\}\},$$

$$E_{3} = \{\{1\},\{3,6\},\{2,5,8\},\{4,7\}\}, \quad E_{4} = \{\{1\},\{3,6\},\{2,5\},\{4,7\}\},$$

$$E_{5} = \{\{1\},\{3,6\},\{2,5\},\{4\}\}, \quad E_{6} = \{\{1\},\{3\},\{2,5\},\{4\}\},$$

$$E_{7} = \{\{1\},\{3\},\{2\},\{4\}\}\}.$$

Table 1 Compute start-sets and equi-sets

Equi-set	Start-set	Equi-set	Start-set
$\{b, ba\}$	{1,3,6,9}	{ <i>a</i> }	{2,4,5,7,8,10}
$\{ab, aba\}$	{2,5,8}	$\{aa, aab, aaba\}$	{4,7}
{bab, baba, babaa, babaab}	{1}	$\{baa, baab, baaba\}$	{3,6}
{abaa, abaab, abaaba}	{2,5}	{aabaa, aabaab}	{4}
{baabaa}	{3}	{abaabaa}	{2}[1pt]

Table 2 Determine candidate sets

Candidate	Decomposition	Candidate	Decomposition	
{aba}	$(b)(abaabaaba)(\varepsilon)$	{baa}	(ba)(baabaa)(ba)	
{aaba}	$(bab)(aabaaba)(\varepsilon)$	{abaa}	(b)(abaabaa)(ba)	
{baab}	(ba)(baabaab)(a)	{aabaa}	(bab)(aabaa)(ba)	
{abaab}	(b)(abaabaab)(a)	{baaba}	$(ba)(baabaaba)(\varepsilon)$	

Table 3

If hard seed, determine 1-size, r-size and type-A or type-B

Candidate	Decomposition	Seed ?	l-size	r-size	type
	(b)(abaabaaba)(E)	Yes	1	0	A
{baa}	(ba)(baabaa)(ba)	No			
{aaba}	$(bab)(aabaaba)(\varepsilon)$	No			
{abaa}	(b)(abaabaa)(ba)	No			
{baab}	(ba)(baabaab)(a)	No			
{aabaa}	(bab)(aabaa)(ba)	No			
{abaab}	(b)(abaabaab)(a)	Yes	0	2	В
{baaba}	$(ba)(baabaaba)(\varepsilon)$	Yes	0	0	Α

The details of this procedure can be found in [13].

5. Extension of Fine and Wilf's theorem

Fine and Wilf's Theorem does not hold, if we consider quasiperiods instead of periods. For example we consider the word $u = (ab)^n a$. This word is obviously periodic (for n > 1) and 2,4,...are periods. This word has two trivial quasiperiods: m = 2 and n = 3 (for words *ab* and *aba*).



Fig. 7. gcd(2,3)=1 is not a cover of w.

Extending Fine and Wilf's Theorem to quasiperiods will incite us to imagine that gcd(m,n) = 1 is a period of u, which is obviously false. We have presented quasiperiodicity as an extension of periodicity, but some of the known properties on periodicity cannot be extended directly to quasiperiodicity. On the other hand we will show in the following section that quasiperiodicity may be useful to solve problems related to periods.

6. Concatenation of two periodic - quasiperiodic words

A point of a word w over \mathscr{A} is a pair of words (w', w'') over \mathscr{A} such that w = w'w''. We will always assume w' and w'' are not empty.

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & a & b & a & b & a & b & a \\ u & u & u & u \end{bmatrix} b \begin{bmatrix} a & b & a & b & a \\ u & u & u & u \end{bmatrix}$$

Fig. 8. u = baaba is a repetition at point (*abaaba*, *baababa*)

A nonempty word u over \mathscr{A} is a repetition⁴ at point (w', w'') of w if and only if u is a suffix of a left extension of w' and a prefix of a right extension of w'' $(\mathscr{A}^* u \cap \mathscr{A}^* w' \neq \emptyset)$ and $u\mathscr{A}^* \cap w'' \mathscr{A}^* \neq \emptyset$) The minimum of the length of the repetitions at point (w', w'') is the local period ⁵ at point (w', w''). A critical point is a point (w', w'') whose local period is maximal.

6.1. Concatenation of two periodic words

Given two periodic words u of period m and v of period n, we can consider the concatenation of u and v as a point (u, v) and we can try to figure out if the observation of local periods at point (u, v) can be of any help in finding a global period of word uv. The general answer has been pointed out by Duval [11], but is very restrictive

⁴ Also called cross factor.

⁵ Also called virtual period.

215

since the words have to be kth-power of one of their proper factor whose length is a local period at point (u, v).

Here we present a different result based on quasiperiodicity instead of periodicity.

Theorem 4. Given two periodic words u of period m and v of period n, if there exists a repetition of length p at point (u, v) such that $\max(m, n) \le p \le (|uv|)/2$ then uv is quasiperiodic of cover at most p.

Proof. A right extension of v is a-covered by $v_1 \dots v_p$. A left extension of u can be constructed using only superpositions of $v_1 \dots v_p$ with p - m overlaps. So an extension of uv is a-covered by $v_1 \dots v_p$ and $p \le |uv|/2$. Then uv is quasiperiodic of cover $q \le p$. \Box

6.2. Concatenation of two quasiperiodic words

Once again, we can imagine that extending the previous theorem to quasiperiodic words can lead us to an easy result, but an easy counterexample can be built.

The word u = aababababa and v = bababababaa are quasiperiodic and *aba* covers both of them. The local period at point (u, v) includes *babab* whose length is greater or equal to the length of *aba*. But uv = aabababababababababaa is not quasiperiodic.

7. Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented a survey of results on quasiperiodicity, and an overview of algorithms that find maximal quasiperiodic factors and seeds. Locating such a regularity can be useful in a wide area of applications, for example in molecular biology (study of the dosDNA microsatellites). We have shown that properties of periodic words can not be directly extended to quasiperiodicities. We think it could be possible to extend the notion of local period to local quasiperiod to extend the Critical Factorisation Theorem given in [9] or [10], in order to find a broader context for this theorem.

References

- A. Apostolico, A. Ehrenfeucht, Efficient detection of quasiperiodicities in strings, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 119(2) (1993) 247-265.
- [2] A. Apostolico, M. Farach, C.S. Iliopoulos, Optimal superprimitivity testing for strings, Inform. Process. Lett. 39(1) (1991) 17-20.

- [3] A. Apostolico, F.P. Preparata, Optimal off-line detection of repetitions in a string, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 22(3) (1983) 297-315.
- [4] A. Ben-Amram, O. Berkman, C.S. Illiopoulos, K. Park, The subtree max gap problem with application to parallel string covering, Proc. 5th ACM-SIAM Ann. Symp. on Discrete Algorithms, Arlington, VA, 1994, pp. 501–510.
- [5] D. Breslauer, Z. Galil, A lower bound for parallel string matching SIAM J. Comput. 21(5) (1992) 856-862.
- [6] D. Breslauer, An on-line string superprimitivity test, Inform. Process. Lett. 44(6) (1992) 345-347.
- [7] D. Breslauer, Testing superprimitivity in parallel, Inform. Process. Lett. 49(5) (1994) 235-241.
- [8] M. Crochemore, An optimal algorithm for computing the repetitions in a word, Inform. Process. Lett. 12(5) (1981) 244-250.
- [9] Y. Césari, M. Vincent, Une caractérisation des mots périodiques. Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. (1978) 1175–1177.
- [10] J.-P. Duval, Contribution à la combinatoire du monoïde libre, Thèse, Université de Rouen, 1980.
- [11] J.-P. Duval, Périodes locales et propagation de périodes dans un mot. Rapport LIR 97.05, Université de Rouen, France, 1997.
- [12] N.J. Fine, H.S. Wilf, Uniqueness theorems for periodic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965) 109-114.
- [13] C.S. Iliopoulos, D.W.G. Moore, K. Park, Covering a string, in A. Apostolico, M. Crochemore, Z. Galil, U. Manber (Eds.), Proc. 4th Ann. Symp. on Combinatorial Pattern Matching, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 684, Padova, Italy, Springer, Berlin, 1993, pp. 54–62.
- [14] C.S. Iliopoulos, K. Park, An optimal o(log log n)-time algorithm for parallel superprimitivity testing, J. Korea Inform. Sci. Soc. 21(8) (1994) 1400-1404.
- [15] C.S. Iliopoulos, K. Park, An o(log log n) pram algorithm for computing all the seeds of a string Theoret. Comput. Sci. 2(164) (1996) 299-310.
- [16] D.E. Knuth, J.H. Morris, Jr, V.R. Pratt, Fast pattern matching in strings, SIAM J. Comput. 6(1) (1977) 323-350.
- [17] M. Lothaire, Combinatorics on Words, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1983.
- [18] Yin Li, W.F. Smyth, An optimal on-line algorithm to compute all the covers of a string, 1998, submitted
- [19] A. Mateescu A. Salomaa, Nondeterminism in patterns, in: P. Enjalbert, E.W. Mayr, K.W. Wagner (Eds.), Proc. 11th Ann. Symp. on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, Caen, France, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 775 Springer, Berlin, 1994, pp. 661–668.
- [20] A. Thue, Über die gegenseitige lage gleicher teile gewisser zeichenreichen, Nor. Vidensk. Selsk. Skr. Mat. Nat. Kl. 1 (1912) 1-67.