



ELSEVIER

Physics Letters B 544 (2002) 25–34

---

---

PHYSICS LETTERS B

---

---

[www.elsevier.com/locate/npe](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/npe)

# A flavour-independent Higgs boson search in $e^+e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ up to 209 GeV

ALEPH Collaboration

A. Heister, S. Schael

*Physikalisches Institut der RWTH-Aachen, D-52056 Aachen, Germany*

R. Barate, R. Brunelière, I. De Bonis, D. Decamp, C. Goy, S. Jezequel, J.-P. Lees,  
F. Martin, E. Merle, M.-N. Minard, B. Pietrzyk, B. Trocmé

*Laboratoire de Physique des Particules (LAPP), IN<sup>2</sup>P<sup>3</sup>-CNRS, F-74019 Annecy-le-Vieux cedex, France*

G. Boix<sup>25</sup>, S. Bravo, M.P. Casado, M. Chmeissani, J.M. Crespo, E. Fernandez,  
M. Fernandez-Bosman, Ll. Garrido<sup>15</sup>, E. Graugés, J. Lopez, M. Martinez, G. Merino,  
R. Miquel<sup>4</sup>, Ll.M. Mir<sup>4</sup>, A. Pacheco, D. Paneque, H. Ruiz

*Institut de Física d'Altes Energies, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain<sup>7</sup>*

A. Colaleo, D. Creanza, N. De Filippis, M. de Palma, G. Iaselli, G. Maggi, M. Maggi,  
S. Nuzzo, A. Ranieri, G. Raso<sup>24</sup>, F. Ruggieri, G. Selvaggi, L. Silvestris, P. Tempesta,  
A. Tricomi<sup>3</sup>, G. Zito

*Dipartimento di Fisica, INFN Sezione di Bari, I-70126 Bari, Italy*

X. Huang, J. Lin, Q. Ouyang, T. Wang, Y. Xie, R. Xu, S. Xue, J. Zhang, L. Zhang,  
W. Zhao

*Institute of High Energy Physics, Academia Sinica, Beijing, PR China<sup>8</sup>*

D. Abbaneo, P. Azzurri, T. Barklow<sup>30</sup>, O. Buchmüller<sup>30</sup>, M. Cattaneo, F. Cerutti,  
B. Clerbaux<sup>34</sup>, H. Drevermann, R.W. Forty, M. Frank, F. Gianotti, T.C. Greening<sup>26</sup>,  
J.B. Hansen, J. Harvey, D.E. Hutchcroft, P. Janot, B. Jost, M. Kado<sup>2</sup>, P. Mato,  
A. Moutoussi, F. Ranjard, L. Rolandi, D. Schlatter, G. Sguazzoni, W. Tejessy,  
F. Teubert, A. Valassi, I. Videau, J.J. Ward

*European Laboratory for Particle Physics (CERN), CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*

F. Badaud, S. Dessagne, A. Falvard<sup>20</sup>, D. Fayolle, P. Gay, J. Jousset, B. Michel,  
S. Monteil, D. Pallin, J.M. Pascolo, P. Perret

*Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire, Université Blaise Pascal, IN<sup>2</sup>P<sup>3</sup>-CNRS, Clermont-Ferrand, F-63177 Aubière, France*

J.D. Hansen, J.R. Hansen, P.H. Hansen, B.S. Nilsson

*Niels Bohr Institute, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark<sup>9</sup>*

A. Kyriakis, C. Markou, E. Simopoulou, A. Vayaki, K. Zachariadou

*Nuclear Research Center Demokritos (NRC), GR-15310 Attiki, Greece*

A. Blondel<sup>12</sup>, J.-C. Brient, F. Machefert, A. Rougé, M. Swynghedauw, R. Tanaka,  
H. Videau

*Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et des Hautes Energies, Ecole Polytechnique, IN<sup>2</sup>P<sup>3</sup>-CNRS, F-91128 Palaiseau cedex, France*

V. Ciulli, E. Focardi, G. Parrini

*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Firenze, INFN Sezione di Firenze, I-50125 Firenze, Italy*

A. Antonelli, M. Antonelli, G. Bencivenni, F. Bossi, G. Capon, V. Chiarella, P. Laurelli,  
G. Mannocchi<sup>5</sup>, G.P. Murtas, L. Passalacqua

*Laboratori Nazionali dell'INFN (LNF-INFN), I-00044 Frascati, Italy*

J. Kennedy, J.G. Lynch, P. Negus, V. O'Shea, A.S. Thompson

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK<sup>10</sup>*

S. Wasserbaech

*Department of Physics, Haverford College, Haverford, PA 19041-1392, USA*

R. Cavanaugh<sup>33</sup>, S. Dhamotharan<sup>21</sup>, C. Geweniger, P. Hanke, V. Hepp, E.E. Kluge,  
G. Leibenguth, A. Putzer, H. Stenzel, K. Tittel, M. Wunsch<sup>19</sup>

*Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Universität Heidelberg, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany<sup>16</sup>*

R. Beuselinck, W. Cameron, G. Davies, P.J. Dornan, M. Girone<sup>1</sup>, R.D. Hill,  
N. Marinelli, J. Nowell, S.A. Rutherford, J.K. Sedgbeer, J.C. Thompson<sup>14</sup>, R. White

*Department of Physics, Imperial College, London SW7 2BZ, UK<sup>10</sup>*

V.M. Ghete, P. Girtler, E. Kneringer, D. Kuhn, G. Rudolph

*Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Innsbruck, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria<sup>18</sup>*

E. Bouhova-Thacker, C.K. Bowdery, D.P. Clarke, G. Ellis, A.J. Finch, F. Foster,  
G. Hughes, R.W.L. Jones, M.R. Pearson, N.A. Robertson, M. Smizanska

*Department of Physics, University of Lancaster, Lancaster LA1 4YB, UK<sup>10</sup>*

O. van der Aa, C. Delaere, V. Lemaitre

*Institut de Physique Nucléaire, Département de Physique, Université Catholique de Louvain, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium*

U. Blumenschein, F. Hölldorfer, K. Jakobs, F. Kayser, K. Kleinknecht, A.-S. Müller,  
G. Quast<sup>6</sup>, B. Renk, H.-G. Sander, S. Schmeling, H. Wachsmuth, C. Zeitnitz, T. Ziegler

*Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, D-55099 Mainz, Germany<sup>16</sup>*

A. Bonissent, P. Coyle, C. Curtil, A. Ealet, D. Fouchez, P. Payre, A. Tilquin

*Centre de Physique des Particules de Marseille, Université Méditerranée, IN<sup>2</sup>P<sup>3</sup>-CNRS, F-13288 Marseille, France*

F. Ragusa

*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano e INFN Sezione di Milano, I-20133 Milano, Italy*

A. David, H. Dietl, G. Ganis<sup>27</sup>, K. Hüttmann, G. Lütjens, W. Männer, H.-G. Moser,  
R. Settles, G. Wolf

*Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Werner-Heisenberg-Institut, D-80805 München, Germany<sup>16</sup>*

J. Boucrot, O. Callot, M. Davier, L. Duflot, J.-F. Grivaz, Ph. Heusse,  
A. Jacholkowska<sup>32</sup>, C. Loomis, L. Serin, J.-J. Veillet, J.-B. de Vivie de Régie<sup>28</sup>, C. Yuan

*Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, Université de Paris-Sud, IN<sup>2</sup>P<sup>3</sup>-CNRS, F-91898 Orsay cedex, France*

G. Bagliesi, T. Boccali, L. Foà, A. Giammanco, A. Giassi, F. Ligabue, A. Messineo,  
F. Palla, G. Sanguinetti, A. Sciabà, R. Tenchini<sup>1</sup>, A. Venturi<sup>1</sup>, P.G. Verdini

*Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università, INFN Sezione di Pisa, e Scuola Normale Superiore, I-56010 Pisa, Italy*

O. Awunor, G.A. Blair, G. Cowan, A. Garcia-Bellido, M.G. Green, L.T. Jones,  
T. Medcalf, A. Misiejuk, J.A. Strong, P. Teixeira-Dias

*Department of Physics, Royal Holloway & Bedford New College, University of London, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, UK<sup>10</sup>*

R.W. Clifft, T.R. Edgecock, P.R. Norton, I.R. Tomalin

*Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0QX, UK<sup>10</sup>*

B. Bloch-Devaux, D. Boumediene, P. Colas, B. Fabbro, E. Lançon,  
M.-C. Lemaire, E. Locci, P. Perez, J. Rander, B. Tuchming,  
B. Vallage

*CEA, DAPNIA/Service de Physique des Particules, CE-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette cedex, France*<sup>17</sup>

N. Konstantinidis, A.M. Litke, G. Taylor

*Institute for Particle Physics, University of California at Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA*<sup>22</sup>

C.N. Booth, S. Cartwright, F. Combley<sup>31</sup>, P.N. Hodgson, M. Lehto, L.F. Thompson

*Department of Physics, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S3 7RH, UK*<sup>10</sup>

K. Affholderbach<sup>23</sup>, A. Böhler, S. Brandt, C. Grupen, J. Hess, A. Ngac, G. Prange,  
U. Sieler

*Fachbereich Physik, Universität Siegen, D-57068 Siegen, Germany*<sup>16</sup>

C. Borean, G. Giannini

*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trieste e INFN Sezione di Trieste, I-34127 Trieste, Italy*

H. He, J. Putz, J. Rothberg

*Experimental Elementary Particle Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA*

S.R. Armstrong, K. Berkelman, K. Cranmer, D.P.S. Ferguson, Y. Gao<sup>29</sup>, S. González,  
O.J. Hayes, H. Hu, S. Jin, J. Kile, P.A. McNamara III, J. Nielsen, Y.B. Pan,  
J.H. von Wimmersperg-Toeller, W. Wiedenmann, J. Wu, Sau Lan Wu, X. Wu,  
G. Zobernig

*Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA*<sup>11</sup>

G. Dissertori

*Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Hönggerberg, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland*

Received 26 April 2002; received in revised form 24 June 2002; accepted 2 July 2002

Editor: L. Montanet

---

**Abstract**

A search for the Higgsstrahlung process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow HZ$  is carried out, covering decays of the Higgs boson into any quark pair, a gluon pair or a tau pair. The analysis is based on the  $630 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  of data collected by the ALEPH detector at LEP at centre-of-mass energies from 189 to 209 GeV. A 95% C.L. lower mass limit of  $109.1 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  is obtained for a Higgs boson cross section equal to that expected from the Standard Model if the Higgs boson decays exclusively into hadrons and/or taus, irrespective of the relative branching fractions.

© 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. Open access under [CC BY license](#).

---

- <sup>1</sup> Also at CERN, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland.
- <sup>2</sup> Now at Fermilab, PO Box 500, MS 352, Batavia, IL 60510, USA.
- <sup>3</sup> Also at Dipartimento di Fisica di Catania and INFN Sezione di Catania, 95129 Catania, Italy.
- <sup>4</sup> Now at LBNL, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.
- <sup>5</sup> Also Istituto di Cosmo-Geofisica del C.N.R., Torino, Italy.
- <sup>6</sup> Now at Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Universität Karlsruhe, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany.
- <sup>7</sup> Supported by CICYT, Spain.
- <sup>8</sup> Supported by the National Science Foundation of China.
- <sup>9</sup> Supported by the Danish Natural Science Research Council.
- <sup>10</sup> Supported by the UK Particle Physics and Astronomy Research Council.
- <sup>11</sup> Supported by the US Department of Energy, grant DE-FG0295-ER40896.
- <sup>12</sup> Now at Département de Physique Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, 1211 Genève 4, Switzerland.
- <sup>13</sup> Supported by the Commission of the European Communities, contract ERBFMBICT982874.
- <sup>14</sup> Supported by the Leverhulme Trust.
- <sup>15</sup> Permanent address: Universitat de Barcelona, 08208 Barcelona, Spain.
- <sup>16</sup> Supported by Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, Germany.
- <sup>17</sup> Supported by the Direction des Sciences de la Matière, C.E.A.
- <sup>18</sup> Supported by the Austrian Ministry for Science and Transport.
- <sup>19</sup> Now at SAP AG, 69185 Walldorf, Germany.
- <sup>20</sup> Now at Groupe d’Astroparticules de Montpellier, Université de Montpellier II, 34095 Montpellier, France.
- <sup>21</sup> Now at BNP Paribas, 60325 Frankfurt am Mainz, Germany.
- <sup>22</sup> Supported by the US Department of Energy, grant DE-FG03-92ER40689.
- <sup>23</sup> Now at Skyguide, Swissair Navigation Services, Geneva, Switzerland.
- <sup>24</sup> Also at Dipartimento di Fisica e Tecnologia Relative, Università di Palermo, Palermo, Italy.
- <sup>25</sup> Now at McKinsey and Compagny, Avenue Louis Casal 18, 1203 Geneva, Switzerland.
- <sup>26</sup> Now at Honeywell, Phoenix AZ, USA.
- <sup>27</sup> Now at INFN Sezione di Roma II, Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, 00133 Roma, Italy.
- <sup>28</sup> Now at Centre de Physique des Particules de Marseille, Université Méditerranée, F-13288 Marseille, France.
- <sup>29</sup> Also at Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, PR China.
- <sup>30</sup> Now at SLAC, Stanford, CA 94309, USA.
- <sup>31</sup> Deceased.
- <sup>32</sup> Also at Groupe d’Astroparticules de Montpellier, Université de Montpellier II, 34095 Montpellier, France.
- <sup>33</sup> Now at University of Florida, Department of Physics, Gainesville, Florida 32611-8440, USA.
- <sup>34</sup> Now at Institut Inter-universitaire des hautes Energies (IiHE), CP 230, Université Libre de Bruxelles, 1050 Bruxelles, Belgique.

## 1. Introduction

Unlike at LEP1 energies [1], ALEPH searches for the Standard Model Higgs boson at LEP2 [2] were performed under the assumption that the Higgs boson decays predominantly into  $b\bar{b}$ . Invisible final states, which would arise, for instance, from a decay into a neutralino pair, were also investigated [2]. It is also possible, in the MSSM [3] as well as in more general two-Higgs-doublet models, to find parameter sets for which the decay into  $b\bar{b}$  is strongly suppressed, to the benefit of other decay modes such as  $c\bar{c}$ ,  $g\bar{g}$  or  $\tau^+\tau^-$ . It is shown in this Letter that either existing or slightly modified ALEPH searches for the Higgsstrahlung process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow HZ$  are sensitive to these decays. These analyses are based on the  $630 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  of data collected by ALEPH between 1998 and 2000 at centre-of-mass energies ranging from 189 to 209 GeV (Table 1).

This Letter is organized as follows. A brief description of the ALEPH detector is given in Section 2. The event selections pertaining to a flavour-independent search for the Higgs boson produced via the Higgsstrahlung process are examined in turn in Section 3, and the results are summarized in Section 4.

## 2. ALEPH detector

A detailed description of the ALEPH detector and its performance can be found in Refs. [4,5]. The tracking system consists of a silicon vertex detector, a cylindrical drift chamber and a large time projection chamber (TPC), immersed in a 1.5 T axial magnetic field

Table 1

Integrated luminosities, centre-of-mass energy ranges and mean centre-of-mass energy values for data collected by the ALEPH detector from 1998 to 2000

Year	Luminosity ( $\text{pb}^{-1}$ )	Energy range (GeV)	$\langle\sqrt{s}\rangle$ (GeV)
2000	11.2	207–209	208.0
	122.6	206–207	206.6
	80.0	204–206	205.2
1999	45.2	–	201.6
	86.3	–	199.5
	79.9	–	195.5
	28.9	–	191.6
1998	176.2	–	188.6

provided by a superconducting solenoidal coil. With these detectors, a transverse momentum resolution of  $\delta p_t/p_t = 6 \times 10^{-4} p_t \oplus 5 \times 10^{-3}$  ( $p_t$  in GeV/c) is achieved.

An electromagnetic calorimeter placed between the TPC and the superconducting coil identifies electrons and photons, and measures their energies with a resolution of  $\delta E/E = 0.18/\sqrt{E} + 0.009$  ( $E$  in GeV). The iron return yoke is instrumented with 23 layers of streamer tubes and serves as a hadron calorimeter and muon filter. Two additional double layers of streamer tubes outside the return yoke aid the identification of muons.

An energy flow algorithm [5] combines the information from the tracking detectors and the calorimeters and provides a list of reconstructed charged and neutral particles. The achieved energy resolution is  $\sigma(E) = 0.6\sqrt{E} + 0.6$  ( $E$  in GeV).

## 3. Event selections

In this analysis, decays of the Higgs boson to hadrons or to tau pairs are considered. Hadronic Higgs boson decays are searched for in four-jet, missing-energy and leptonic (electron or muon pair) final states as for the Standard Model search for the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow HZ$  process. The event selections used here for the missing energy and leptonic final states are based on those used in the previous searches [6,7], however without  $b$ -tagging information. A specially designed flavour-independent selection is used for the four-jet channel. Higgs boson decays to a tau pair are searched for in the final state  $\tau^+\tau^-q\bar{q}$ , using the same selection described in Ref. [7].

Signal efficiencies and background contributions from Standard Model processes are estimated with simulated event samples which include a full simulation of the ALEPH detector. To study the signal efficiency for  $H \rightarrow$  hadrons, events from the  $HZ$  process are generated in which the  $H$  decays to  $b\bar{b}$ ,  $c\bar{c}$  or  $g\bar{g}$  and the  $Z$  into a pair of quarks, neutrinos, electrons or muons. Events in which  $H$  decays to a pair of taus and the  $Z$  to a pair of quarks are used to determine the tau channel efficiency. Signal events are simulated with the Monte Carlo generator HZHA [8], for  $m_H$  from 40 to 115 GeV/ $c^2$  in steps of 5 GeV/ $c^2$ . The

simulated background event samples are identical to those used in Ref. [6].

### 3.1. Leptonic and missing energy final states

The leptonic channel event selection, which does not include  $b$ -tagging information, is unchanged with respect to Ref. [6]. The reconstructed Higgs boson mass, computed as the mass recoiling against the lepton system, is used as a discriminant variable in the confidence level calculation. When this analysis is applied to the data [2,7,10], 70 events are observed, in agreement with the 73.4 events expected from the Standard Model backgrounds. The signal efficiencies for  $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ ,  $c\bar{c}$  or  $gg$  are found to be quite similar, at about 80% over the entire mass range, except when approaching the kinematic limit for  $HZ$  production where it falls to 40%.

As for the leptonic analysis, the Standard Model missing energy event selection applied to data collected in 2000 does not include  $b$ -tagging information, and is therefore used in this search. In this analysis, the reconstructed Higgs boson mass is used as a discriminant variable in the confidence level calculation. Prior to 2000, the Standard Model missing energy event selections relied explicitly on  $b$ -tagging, and are therefore inappropriate for this analysis. A modified version of the three-neural-network analysis described in Ref. [7] is applied to this data sample. This analysis uses the seven-variable anti- $q\bar{q}$  and three-variable anti- $WW$  neural networks used in the standard analysis. When these analyses are applied to the data, 177 events are selected in the sample with 181 expected from Standard Model background processes. Cuts on the two neural network outputs are chosen to optimize the search sensitivity as a function of the Higgs boson mass. The signal efficiencies for  $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ ,  $c\bar{c}$  or  $gg$  are found to be quite similar, and are about 40% over the entire mass range, falling to 20% near the kinematic limit.

### 3.2. Final state with taus

The search for the  $\tau^+\tau^-q\bar{q}$  final state of Ref. [6] is used here for Higgs bosons decaying into tau pairs. The selection efficiency is around 40%. The reconstructed Higgs boson mass is used as a discriminant variable in the confidence level calculation. A total

of 27 candidate events is selected in the data, in agreement with 27.2 expected from background processes.

### 3.3. Four-jet final state

The preselection of the flavour-independent four-jet selection is similar to that of the standard four-jet analysis [10]. Events are required to have at least eight charged particle tracks, and the total energy of the charged particles must be larger than 10% of the centre-of-mass energy. Events from radiative returns to the  $Z$  resonance, in which a photon escapes undetected down the beam pipe, are rejected by requiring the momentum  $p_z$  of the event along the beam axis to satisfy  $p_z < 1.5(m_{\text{vis}} - 90)$ , where  $m_{\text{vis}}$  is the total visible mass in the event, expressed in  $\text{GeV}/c^2$ . Events are then clustered into four jets using the Durham jet-clustering algorithm [9]. The transition from four to three jets is required to occur for  $y_{34} > 0.008$ . Events from radiative returns to the  $Z$  with a photon in the detector are rejected if more than 80% of the energy of any jet is in the form of electrons and photons. Events from semileptonic decays of  $W^+W^-$  are rejected by requiring that the energy of the most energetic identified electron or muon is less than 20 GeV. To avoid overlap with the leptonic selection, events containing a pair of identified electrons or muons with an invariant mass greater than 40  $\text{GeV}/c^2$  are rejected. After this preselection, signal efficiencies for  $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ ,  $c\bar{c}$  and  $gg$  are of the order of 70%. The numbers of events expected from background processes and the numbers of candidate events observed in the data are reported in Table 2. The comparison indicates a reasonable agreement between data and the expectation from Standard Model processes at the preselection level.

This analysis uses several of the kinematic variables used in the standard analysis and in addition, three variables based on di-jet mass information:

- the significance of the distance to the  $W^+W^-$  hypothesis, defined as

$$\mathcal{E}_{WW} = \min_{i,j,k,l=1,4} \left\{ (m_{ij} + m_{kl} - 2m_W)^2 / \sigma_s^2 + (m_{ij} - m_{kl})^2 / \sigma_d^2 \right\},$$

where  $\sigma_s = 4 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  and  $\sigma_d = 10 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  are the resolutions on the sum and the difference

of the di-jet masses for  $W^+W^-$  production, and  $i, j, k, l$  denote the four jets reconstructed in the event;

- the probability density functions  $\mathcal{S}_{m_H}(\mathcal{E}_{HZ})$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{m_H}(\mathcal{E}_{HZ})$  for signal and background. Here,  $\mathcal{E}_{HZ}$  is the significance of the distance to the  $HZ$  hypothesis. It depends on  $m_H$  and is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}_{HZ} = \min_{i,j,k,l=1,4} \left\{ \frac{[(m_{ij} + m_{kl}) - (m_Z + m_H)]^2}{\sigma_\Sigma^2} + \frac{[(m_{ij} - m_{kl}) - (m_H - m_Z)]^2}{\sigma_\Delta^2} \right\},$$

where  $\sigma_\Sigma$  and  $\sigma_\Delta$  are the resolutions on the sum and the difference of di-jet masses for  $HZ$  production. Simulated event samples are used to parametrize  $\mathcal{S}_{m_H}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{m_H}$ .

These three variables are combined with the smallest jet energy  $E_{\text{jet}}^{\min}$ , the largest jet energy  $E_{\text{jet}}^{\max}$ , and the product  $E_{\text{jet}}^{\min}\theta_{ij}$  of the smallest jet energy and the minimum angle between any two jets, in a six-variable neural network. In order to optimize the performance over a wide range of masses, separate neural networks are trained for several Higgs boson mass hypotheses, ranging from 40 to 115  $\text{GeV}/c^2$ , in steps of 5  $\text{GeV}/c^2$ , at three different centre-of-mass energies: 189, 199.5 and 206.7  $\text{GeV}$ . For each of these networks, an optimization using the  $N_{95}$  [11] prescription is performed to determine the appropriate cut for the neural network output. As the di-jet mass information is included in the neural network, it is not included again

as a discriminant variable when computing the confidence level.

As neural networks are trained every 5  $\text{GeV}/c^2$ , a sliding method is used to determine the selection at intermediate Higgs boson masses. For a mass  $m_H$  intermediate between two training masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{m_H}(\mathcal{B}_{m_H})$  is interpolated from  $\mathcal{S}_{m_1}(\mathcal{B}_{m_1})$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{m_2}(\mathcal{B}_{m_2})$ . These quantities are input to the network trained at  $m_1$  and to the network trained at  $m_2$ . The two neural networks outputs are then interpolated to calculate  $NN_{m_{\tilde{H}}}$ . The cut value on  $NN_{m_{\tilde{H}}}$ , the signal efficiency and background expectation at the intermediate mass are similarly obtained by interpolations. The validity of this procedure has been established with test samples of signal events simulated at  $\sqrt{s} = 199.5$   $\text{GeV}$  for masses between 60 and 100  $\text{GeV}/c^2$  in steps of 1  $\text{GeV}/c^2$ .

The number of events selected by this analysis in data is compared to the background expectation in Fig. 1 as a function of the Higgs boson mass hypothesis. The points are statistically correlated, as mass resolution effects usually allow the events to contribute to several adjacent mass bins. As a result, a deficit in one mass region can be propagated to a large range of mass hypotheses, as observed in the 60 and 90  $\text{GeV}/c^2$  regions, correlated to the  $W^+W^-$  and  $ZZ$  deficits already described in Refs. [10,12].

## 4. Results

In every channel under investigation, no departure from Standard Model expectations consistent with the presence of a Higgs signal is observed in the data. Lower limits on the lightest scalar Higgs boson mass are derived as a function of  $\xi_{\text{had}}^2$  or  $\xi_\tau^2$ , the product of the branching fraction to either hadronic jets or tau pairs and of the ratio of the production cross section to the Standard Model production cross section. In order to obtain a flavour-independent limit for the decay  $H \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ , the smallest of the signal efficiencies for  $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ ,  $c\bar{c}$  and  $gg$  is used at each Higgs boson mass hypothesis. For a Higgsstrahlung cross section equal to that of the Standard Model and for 100% branching fraction to hadrons, Higgs boson masses below 110.6  $\text{GeV}/c^2$  are excluded at 95% C.L., where a limit of 110.5  $\text{GeV}/c^2$  is expected in the absence of signal.

Table 2

Numbers of expected events from background processes and numbers of candidate events collected at centre-of-mass energies from 189 to 209  $\text{GeV}$ , at the preselection level for the four-jet final state selection

$\sqrt{s}$ (GeV)	Background process contributions				Data
	$WW$	$q\bar{q}$	$ZZ$	Total	
188.6	1002.1	261.5	63.8	1327.5	1242
191.6	165.2	41.9	11.8	218.9	221
195.5	459.8	108.1	35.7	603.4	614
199.5	492.3	108.0	40.0	640.1	624
201.6	238.9	51.2	19.7	309.7	261
204–209	1251.0	247.9	102.8	1601.6	1601
All $\sqrt{s}$	3609.3	818.6	273.8	4701.7	4563







Fig. 1. Expected background (solid curve) compared to collected data (dots) by the four-jet selection as a function of the Higgs boson mass hypothesis at centre-of-mass energies from 189 to 209 GeV. The dotted curve represents the contribution from the  $q\bar{q}$  background and the dashed curve represents the additional contribution from the  $ZZ$  background.

When the parameter  $\xi_{\text{had}}^2$  is allowed to vary, the result of the flavour-independent search is expressed as



an excluded domain in the  $(m_H, \xi_{\text{had}}^2)$  plane, as shown in Fig. 2(a). Results from Ref. [13] are used to exclude Higgs boson mass hypotheses below  $40 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ .

A similar procedure is followed to obtain a limit on the decay  $H \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ , and the exclusion in the  $(m_H, \xi_\tau^2)$  plane is shown in Fig. 2(b). For  $\xi_\tau^2 = 1$ , a lower limit on the Higgs boson mass of  $112.4 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  at 95% C.L. is obtained, where a limit of  $113.9 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  is expected in the absence of signal. Under the assumption that  $\xi_{\text{had}}^2 + \xi_\tau^2 = 1$ , a  $109.1 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  lower limit on  $m_H$  is obtained irrespective of  $\xi_\tau^2$ .

The dominant systematic error sources, evaluated as described in Ref. [7], are included in the obtained limits. The finite size of the simulated event samples, the jet energy and angular resolutions and the uncertainties in the signal and background cross section estimations affect all the topologies under investigation. In the leptonic channel, lepton identification and isolation are additional sources of uncertainty. For the four-jet channel, systematic uncertainties due to differences between data and simulation in the event selection variables are taken into account with an event reweighting method [14]. The global effect of these uncertainties is to decrease the hadronic limit by  $190 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ , and the tau limit by  $10 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ .




Fig. 2. Expected (dashed line) and observed (shaded area) 95% C.L. limits on (a)  $\xi_{\text{had}}^2$  and (b)  $\xi_\tau^2$ , as a function of the Higgs boson mass hypothesis and for centre-of-mass energies up to 209 GeV.

## 5. Conclusions

In order to explore nonstandard Higgs scenarios, searches for Higgs bosons produced via Higgsstrahlung decaying to hadrons and to tau leptons were performed. The selections are similar to those used in previous searches, except for the search in the four-jet final state, where a new analysis was designed in order to cope with hadronic Higgs boson decays without a flavour tag. No evidence of Higgs boson production is observed in the search for either hadronic or tau decays in the data collected at energies between 189 and 209 GeV. For a Standard Model Higgsstrahlung cross section and a 100% branching fraction to hadrons, masses below  $110.6 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  are excluded at 95% C.L. independent of the flavour of the Higgs boson decay. Results on flavour-independent Higgs boson production have also been reported by the OPAL Collaboration [15] with lower energy data. For a Standard Model Higgsstrahlung cross section and a 100% branching fraction to  $\tau^+\tau^-$ , masses below  $112.4 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  are excluded at 95% C.L.

## Acknowledgements

We congratulate our colleagues from the accelerator divisions for the very successful running of LEP at high energies. We are indebted to the engineers and

technicians in all our institutions for the excellent performance of ALEPH. Those of us from non-member countries thank CERN for its hospitality.

## References

- [1] ALEPH Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 384 (1996) 427.
- [2] ALEPH Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 526 (2002) 191.
- [3] M. Carena, S. Heinemeyer, C.E.M. Wagner, G. Weiglein, Suggestions for improved benchmark scenarios for Higgs bosons searches at LEP2, CERN-TH/99-374, 1999.
- [4] ALEPH Collaboration, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 294 (1990) 121.
- [5] ALEPH Collaboration, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 360 (1995) 481.
- [6] ALEPH Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 495 (2000) 1.
- [7] ALEPH Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 17 (2000) 223.
- [8] P. Janot, The HZHA generator, in: G. Altarelli, T. Sjöstrand, F. Zwirner (Eds.), Physics at LEP, in: CERN, Vol. 2, 1996, p. 309.
- [9] S. Catani, et al., Phys. Lett. B 269 (1991) 179; N. Brown, W.J. Stirling, Z. Phys. C 53 (1992) 629.
- [10] ALEPH Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 499 (2001) 53.
- [11] J.-F. Grivaz, F. Le Diberder, Complementary analyses and acceptance optimisation in new particle searches, LAL 92-37; ALEPH Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 313 (1993) 299.
- [12] ALEPH Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 484 (2000) 205.
- [13] ALEPH Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 313 (1993) 312.
- [14] ALEPH Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 447 (1999) 336.
- [15] OPAL Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 18 (2001).