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Following a recent paper of S. Liu and H. Neudecker (J. Math. Anal. Appl. 197, 1996, 23-26), we present sufficient and necessary conditions (SNECs) under which equalities occur in those corresponding matrix Kantorovich-type inequalities. We also present several relevant inequalities. © 1997 A cademic Press

## 1. INTRODUCTION

M arshall and Olkin [10] first presented a matrix version of the K antorovich inequality involving a positive definite matrix. Baksalary and Puntanen [1] extended it to cover the case of one positive semidefinite matrix, while M ond and Pečarić [11, 12] gave several Kantorovich-type inequalities for the case of one positive definite matrix or for Fan's cases of sums of matrices (see also F an [2]). Liu [5] gave a related inequality in a special case. M ore recently, Liu and Neudecker [7] presented further K antorovich-type inequalities involving one positive semidefinite matrix or sums of such matrices. In this paper all matrices and numbers considered are real. We refer to $M$ agnus and $N$ eudecker [9] for mathematical basics.

Following Liu and Neudecker [7], we shall further study sufficient and necessary conditions for known and new Kantorovich-type inequalities to become equalities. We shall also present several relevant inequalities.

## 2. BASIC RESULTS

Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ positive semidefinite matrix with rank $p(p \leq n)$ and with nonzero eigenvalues $M \geq \ldots \geq m>0$. Let $V$ be an $n \times r$ matrix with rank $q$ such that $\mathfrak{R}(V) \subset \mathfrak{R}(A)$, where $q \leq \min (r, p)$, and $\mathfrak{R}(\cdot)$ denotes the column space of the matrix. Let + indicate the $M$ oore-Penrose inverse. For symmetric matrices $B$ and $C, B \leq C$ means $C-B$ is positive semidefinite.
In the following, from three lemmas we shall derive three basic propositions.

Lemma 2.1. If $D>0$ is a $p \times p$ matrix with eigenvalues $M \geq \ldots \geq$ $m>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{-1} \leq \frac{M+m}{M m} I_{p}-\frac{1}{M m} D, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{2} \leq(M+m) D-M m I_{p} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

See, e.g., Marshall and Olkin [10] and Liu and Neudecker [7].
Lemma 2.2. If $B \geq 0, C \geq 0, B^{2} \geq C^{2}$, then $\mathfrak{R}\left(C^{2}\right) \subset \mathfrak{R}\left(B^{2}\right)$.
See, e.g., Liski and Puntanen [4] or W ang and Chow [17].
Lemma 2.3. If $E>0, F \geq 0, E^{2} \geq F^{2}$, then $E \geq F$ holds.
See, e.g., Theorem 2.5.5 in W ang and Chow [17].
Proposition 2.1. If $A \geq 0, V$ is an $n \times r$ matrix with rank $q$, and $\mathfrak{R}(V) \subset \mathfrak{R}(A)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& V V^{+} A^{+} V V^{+} \leq \frac{M+m}{M m} V V^{+}-\frac{1}{M m} V V^{+} A V V^{+}  \tag{3}\\
& V V^{+} A^{2} V V^{+} \leq(M+m) V V^{+} A V V^{+}-M m V V^{+} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. As $A \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{R}(V) \subset \mathfrak{R}(A)$, we have $A=T D T^{\prime}, A A^{+}=T T^{\prime}$, and $A A^{+} V=V$, where $D>0, T^{\prime} T=I_{p}, p=\operatorname{rank}(A)$, matrices $D$ and $T$
are of order $p \times p$ and $n \times p$, respectively. From (1) and (2) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{+} \leq \frac{M+m}{M m} A A^{+}-\frac{1}{M m} A  \tag{5}\\
& A^{2} \leq(M+m) A-M m A A^{+}, \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

for $A \geq 0$. A nd then we obtain (3) and (4).
Remark 2.1. Note that (5) and (6) are equivalent. A lso (4) can be extended as for any $n \times n$ symmetric matrix $C$ with eigenvalues $c_{j}$ such that $M \geq c_{j} \geq m, j=1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{2} \leq(M+m) C-M m C C^{+}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ and $m$ are not necessary positive scalars because $\left(M-c_{j}\right)(m-$ $\left.c_{j}\right) \leq 0$ is always true.

Proposition 2.2. If $A \geq 0, V$ is and $n \times r$ matrix with rank $q$, and $\mathfrak{R}(V) \subset \mathfrak{R}(A)$, then the following five identities hold,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(V V^{+} A V V\right)^{+}=V\left(V^{\prime} A V\right)^{+} V^{\prime} ;  \tag{8}\\
\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{+1 / 2}\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2}=V V^{+} ;  \tag{9}\\
\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 4}\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{+1 / 2}\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 4}=V V^{+} ;  \tag{10}\\
\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2} V V^{+}=\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2} ;  \tag{11}\\
\left(V V^{+} A^{2} V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2} V V^{+}=\left(V V^{+} A^{2} V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Write $V=S G Q^{\prime}$ and $V V^{+}=S S^{\prime}$, where $G>0, S^{\prime} S=I_{q}$, $Q^{\prime} Q=I_{q}, q=\operatorname{rank}(V)$, matrices $G, S$, and $Q$ are of order $q \times q, n \times q$, and $r \times q$, respectively. Noting that $S^{\prime} A S>0$ we have

$$
\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{+}=\left(S S^{\prime} A S S^{\prime}\right)^{+}=S\left(S^{\prime} A S\right)^{-1} S^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
V\left(V^{\prime} A V\right)^{+} V^{\prime}=S G Q^{\prime}\left(Q G S^{\prime} A S G Q^{\prime}\right)^{+} Q G S^{\prime}=S\left(S^{\prime} A S\right)^{-1} S^{\prime}
$$

then (8) holds.
From the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{+1 / 2}=S\left(S^{\prime} A S\right)^{-1 / 2} S^{\prime} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{\alpha}=S\left(S^{\prime} A S\right)^{\alpha} S^{\prime}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\alpha=1 / 2$, or $1 / 4 ;$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V V^{+} A^{2} V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2}=S\left(S^{\prime} A^{2} S\right)^{1 / 2} S^{\prime} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get (9) through (12).
Remark 2.2. In (14), $\alpha$ can be any number. Note that $V V^{+} A V V^{+} \geq 0$ but $S^{\prime} A S>0$. If $\alpha<0$, then $-\alpha>0$ and therefore $\alpha$ for the left-handside term has to be replaced with $+(-\alpha)$, where this + indicates the M oore-Penrose inverse (see also (13) above as an example). If $\alpha=0$, then ( $\left.V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{0}=S S^{\prime}=V V^{+}$. A lso (15) and then (12) still holds when $A$ is just a symmetric matrix such that $\mathfrak{R}(V) \subset \mathfrak{R}(A)$.

Proposition 2.3. If $B \geq 0, C \geq 0$, and $B^{2} \geq C^{2}$, then $B \geq C$ holds.
Proof. Using Lemma 2.2 gives $\Re(C) \subset \Re(B)$. Write $B=R E R^{\prime}$ and $C=R F R^{\prime}$, where $E>0, F \geq 0$, and $R^{\prime} R=I_{b}$ with $b=\operatorname{rank}(B)$. Then $R E^{2} R^{\prime}=B^{2} \geq C^{2}=R F^{2} R^{\prime}$, hence $E^{2} \geq F^{2}$. A pplying Lemma 2.3 leads to $E \geq F$, and therefore $B \geq C$.

Remark 2.3. Based on Lemma 2.3 another proof of Proposition 2.3 is, due to Professor A.M. Fink's idea, as follows. For any $\epsilon>0$, we have $(B+\epsilon I)^{2}=B^{2}+2 \epsilon B+\epsilon^{2} I \geq C^{2}$, then $B+\epsilon I \geq C$, i.e., $B \geq C$.

## 2. EQUALITY CONDITIONS

We now use Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 to derive sufficient and necessary conditions (SNECs) for several K antorovich-type inequalities to become equalities.

Proposition 3.1. The SNECs for (16) are (17) or (18):

$$
\begin{gather*}
V^{+} A^{+} V^{\prime+} \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m}\left(V^{\prime} A V\right)^{+},  \tag{16}\\
V^{\prime} A V=\frac{M+m}{2} V^{\prime} V, V^{\prime} A^{+} V=\frac{M+m}{2 M n} V^{\prime} V ;  \tag{17}\\
V=0, \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{R}(V) \subset \mathfrak{R}(A)$.

Proof. By using (3) and (9), and noting that $\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{+1 / 2} \geq 0$ and $\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2} \geq 0$ are symmetric, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
V V^{+} A^{+} V V^{+} \leq & \frac{M+m}{M m} V V^{+}-\frac{1}{M m} V V^{+} A V V^{+} \\
= & \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m}\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{+} \\
& -\left[\frac{M+m}{2 \sqrt{M m}}\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{+1 / 2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{M m}}\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m}\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{+} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing (8) and noting that $V^{+} V\left(V^{\prime} A V\right)^{+} V^{\prime} V^{\prime+}=\left(V^{\prime} A V\right)^{+}$we see (19) is equivalent to (16). From (19) we find that the SNECs are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (i) } \frac{M+m}{2 \sqrt{M m}}\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{+1 / 2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{M m}}\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2}=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V V^{+} A^{+} V V^{+}=\frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m}\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{+}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (ii) } V V^{+} A^{+} V V^{+}=\frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m}\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{+}=0 . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (10), $V^{\prime} V V^{+}=V^{\prime}$, and $V V^{+} V=V$, we get (17) from (20) and (21). Clearly (22) means that $V^{+} V^{\prime} A^{+} V V^{+}=V^{\prime+} V^{\prime} A V V^{+}=0$. Simply $A V=0$, or $V=0$. This is because $\mathfrak{R}(V) \subset \mathfrak{R}(A)$, i.e., $V=A L$, for some matrix $L$, and then $V^{\prime} V=L^{\prime} A V$.

For (16), compare the result (1) in Liu and N eudecker [6].
Remark 3.1. Consider an illustrative example in a simple case for equality conditions. Define the $5 \times 5$ diagonal matrix $A=\operatorname{diag}(3,3,2,1,1)$, and the $5 \times 2$ matrix $V=(x, y)$ with the $5 \times 1$ vector $x=$ $(1 / \sqrt{2}, 0,0,0,1 / \sqrt{2})^{\prime}$ and the $5 \times 1$ vector $y=(0,1 / \sqrt{2}, 0,1 / \sqrt{2}, 0)^{\prime}$. In this case $A>0$ and $V^{\prime} V=I_{2}$. A straightforward calculation shows that (17) holds.
 Cauchy-Schwartz inequality is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V^{\prime} A V\right)^{+} \leq V^{+} A^{+} V^{\prime+} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $\mathfrak{R}(V)=\mathfrak{R}(A V)$.
It can be obtained, by pre- and post-multiplying $V^{+}$and $V^{+}$, respectively, from

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(V^{\prime} A V\right)^{+} V^{\prime} \leq A^{+} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $A \geq 0$ and $R(V) \subset R(A)$.
For (24) with its equality condition $\mathfrak{R}(V)=\Re(A)$, see, e.g., Pukelsheim and Styan [14]. A lso (24) can be derived as follows. Given $E$ and $F$ are two symmetric and idempotent matrices, then $E F=F$ implies $E \geq F$; see Liu and Polasek [8]. U sing $E=A A^{+}$and $F=A^{1 / 2} V\left(V^{\prime} A V\right)^{+} V^{\prime} A^{1 / 2}$, where $E F=F$, we get (24).

Proposition 3.2. The SNECs for (25) are (26) or (27) or (28),

$$
\begin{gather*}
V^{+} A V^{+}-\left(V^{\prime} A^{+} V\right)^{+} \leq(\sqrt{M}-\sqrt{m})^{2}\left(V^{\prime} V\right)^{+},  \tag{25}\\
V^{\prime} A V=(M+m-\sqrt{M m}) V^{\prime} V, V^{\prime} A^{+} V=\frac{1}{\sqrt{M m}} V^{\prime} V ;  \tag{26}\\
V=0 ;  \tag{27}\\
M=m, \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{R}(V) \subset \mathfrak{R}(A)$.
Proof. U sing (3) and (9), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
V V^{+} A V V^{+}- & \left(V V^{+} A^{+} V V^{+}\right)^{+} \\
\leq & (M+m) V V^{+}+M m V V^{+} A^{+} V V^{+}-\left(V V^{+} A^{+} V V^{+}\right)^{+} \\
= & (\sqrt{M}-\sqrt{m})^{2} V V^{+} \\
& -\left[\sqrt{M m}\left(V V^{+} A^{+} V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2}-\left(V V^{+} A^{+} V V^{+}\right)^{+1 / 2}\right]^{2} \\
\leq & (\sqrt{M}-\sqrt{m})^{2} V V^{+} . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Then (26) follows from using (29) and (10). A lso it can be verified that $V V^{+} A^{+} V V^{+}-\left(V V^{+} A^{+} V V^{+}\right)^{+}=(\sqrt{M}-\sqrt{m})^{2} V V^{+}=0$ is equivalent to (27) or (28).

For (25), see also Liu and Neudecker [7].

Remark 3.2. Note that $A^{+}$has the nonzero eigenvalues $1 / m \geq \ldots \geq$ $1 / M>0$, and a representation of Proposition 3.2 can then be given. The SNECs for (30) are (31) or (27) or (28),

$$
\begin{gather*}
V^{+} A^{+} V^{\prime+}-\left(V^{\prime} A V\right)^{+} \leq \frac{(\sqrt{M}-\sqrt{m})^{2}}{M m}\left(V^{\prime} V\right)^{+},  \tag{30}\\
V^{\prime} A V=\sqrt{M m} V^{\prime} V, V^{\prime} A^{+} V=\frac{M+m-\sqrt{M m}}{M m} V^{\prime} V, \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A \geq 0$ has nonzero eigenvalues $M \geq \ldots \geq m>0$, and $\mathfrak{R}(V) \subset$ $\mathfrak{R}(A)$.

Proposition 3.3. The SNECs for (32) are (33) or (34),

$$
\begin{gather*}
V^{\prime} A^{2} V \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m} V^{\prime} A V V^{+} A V,  \tag{32}\\
V^{\prime} A V=\frac{2 M m}{M+m} V^{\prime} V, V^{\prime} A^{2} V=M m V^{\prime} V ;  \tag{33}\\
V=0, \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{R}(V) \subset \mathfrak{R}(A)$.
Proof. By using (4) and $V V^{+} A V V^{+} V V^{+}=V V^{+} A V V^{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
V V^{+} A^{2} V V^{+} \leq & (M+m) V V^{+} A V V^{+}-M m V V^{+} \\
= & \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m}\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{2} \\
& -\left[\frac{M+m}{2 \sqrt{M m}} V V^{+} A V V^{+}-\sqrt{M m} V V^{+}\right]^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m}\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{2} . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Then (32), (33), and (34) follow.
Remark 3.3. Note that from $V V^{+} \leq I$, we get for any symmetric matrix $C$

$$
V^{\prime} C V V^{+} C V \leq V^{\prime} C^{2} V,
$$

and equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V V^{+} C V V^{+}\right)^{2} \leq V V^{+} C^{2} V V^{+}, \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

both with equalities if and only if $V V^{+} C V=C V$.

Proposition 3.4. The SNECs for (37) are (38) or (39) or (40),

$$
\begin{gather*}
V^{\prime} A^{2} V-V^{\prime} A V V^{+} A V \leq \frac{1}{4}(M-m)^{2} V^{\prime} V,  \tag{37}\\
V^{\prime} A V=\frac{M+m}{2} V^{\prime} V, V^{\prime} A^{2} V=\frac{M^{2}+m^{2}}{2} V^{\prime} V ;  \tag{38}\\
V=0 ;  \tag{39}\\
M=m, \tag{40}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{\Re}(V) \subset \mathfrak{R}(A)$.
Proof. U sing (4) and $V V^{+} A V V^{+} V V^{+}=V V^{+} A V V^{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
V V^{+} & A^{2} V V^{+}-\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq(M+m) V V^{+} A V V^{+}-M m V V^{+}-\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{4}(M-m)^{2} V V^{+}-\left[V V^{+} A V V^{+}-\frac{M+m}{2} V V^{+}\right]^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}(M-m)^{2} V V^{+} . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Then (37) through (40) follow.
For (37) and other equivalent inequalities, see Liu and Neudecker [7].
Remark 3.4. Based on (7) in Remark 2.1, we see from (41) that we can relax $A$ to be a symmetric matrix. For another method to relax $A$ to be symmetric, see Styan [16].

Proposition 3.5. The SNECs for (42) are (43) or (44),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(V V^{+} A^{2} V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{M+m}{2 \sqrt{M m}} V V^{+} A V V^{+}  \tag{42}\\
V^{\prime} A V=\frac{2 M m}{M+m} V^{\prime} V, V^{\prime} A^{2} V=M m V^{\prime} V  \tag{43}\\
V=0 \tag{44}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{R}(V) \subset \mathfrak{R}(A)$.

Proof. By (4) and (12), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& V V^{+} A V V^{+} \\
& \geq \\
& \geq \frac{1}{M+m} V V^{+} A^{2} V V^{+}+\frac{M m}{M+m} V V^{+} \\
& = \\
& \frac{2 \sqrt{M m}}{M+m}\left(V V^{+} A^{2} V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{45}\\
& \\
& \quad+\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{M+m}}\left(V V^{+} A^{2} V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2}-\frac{\sqrt{M m}}{\sqrt{M+m}} V V^{+}\right]^{2} \\
& \geq \\
& \geq
\end{align*}
$$

Then (42), (43), and (44) hold.
For (42), see Liu and Neudecker [7].
Proposition 3.6. The SNECs for (46) are (47) or (48) or (49),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(V V^{+} A^{2} V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2}-V V^{+} A V V^{+} \leq \frac{(M-m)^{2}}{4(M+m)} V V^{+},  \tag{46}\\
V^{\prime} A V=\frac{M^{2}+m^{2}+6 M m}{4(M+m)} V^{\prime} V, V^{\prime} A^{2} V=\frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4} V^{\prime} V ;  \tag{47}\\
V=0 ;  \tag{48}\\
M=m, \tag{49}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{R}(V) \subset \mathfrak{R}(A)$.
Proof. By (4) and (12), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(V V^{+}\right. & \left.A^{2} V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2}-V V^{+} A V V^{+} \\
\leq & \left(V V^{+} A^{2} V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2}-\frac{1}{M+m} V V^{+} A^{2} V V^{+}-\frac{M m}{M+m} V V^{+} \\
= & \frac{(M-m)^{2}}{4(M+m)} V V^{+} \\
& -\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{M+m}}\left(V V^{+} A^{2} V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2}-\frac{\sqrt{M+m}}{2} V V^{+}\right]^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{(M-m)^{2}}{4(M+m)} V V^{+} . \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

Then (46) through (49) hold.

For (46), see Liu and N eudecker [7].
Remark 3.5. From (7), (12), and (50) we see that (46) can be extended as

$$
\begin{align*}
(M+m) & {\left[\left(V V^{+} C^{2} V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2}-V V^{+} C V V^{+}\right] } \\
& \leq \frac{(M-m)^{2}}{4} V V^{+} \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

for any symmetric matrix $C$ such that $\mathfrak{R}(V) \subset \mathfrak{R}(C)$ and $M+m \geq 0$.

## 4. RELEVANT INEQUALITIES

A pplying Proposition 2.3, we can derive some further results. First from (16) and (19), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V^{+} A^{+} V^{\prime+}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{M+m}{2 \sqrt{M m}}\left(V^{\prime} A V\right)^{+1 / 2} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V V^{+} A^{+} V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{M+m}{2 \sqrt{M m}}\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{+1 / 2}, \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

both with equalities if and only if (17) or (18) holds. Using (23) and its equivalent version, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V^{\prime} A V\right)^{+1 / 2} \leq\left(V^{+} A^{+} V^{\prime+}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{+1 / 2} \leq\left(V V^{+} A^{+} V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{R}(V) \subset \mathfrak{R}(A)$. The two equalities occur if and only if $\mathfrak{R}(V)=\mathfrak{R}(A V)$.

U sing (35) and the following matrix version of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V V^{+} A V V^{+}\right)^{2} \leq V V^{+} A^{2} V V^{+}, \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

we also get respectively Proposition 3.5 and the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
V V^{+} A V V^{+} \leq\left(V V^{+} A^{2} V V^{+}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $V V^{+} A V=A V$. N ote that $A$ in (56) and (57) can be relaxed to be any symmetric matrix; see also Remark 3.3 and (36) there.

Now, we present the combined matrix inequalities for the Baksalary-Puntanen [1] condition, i.e., for $A$ and $V$ such that $A \geq 0$ and $V^{\prime} A A^{+} V$ is idempotent,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V^{\prime} A^{+} V\right)^{+1 / 2} \leq\left(V^{\prime} A V\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{M+m}{2 \sqrt{M m}}\left(V^{\prime} A^{+} V\right)^{+1 / 2} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here (58) can be derived from (2.4) and (3.4) in Baksalary and Puntanen [1]. In particular, if $A>0$ and $V^{\prime} V$ is idempotent, which is also a special case of $A \geq 0$ and $\Re(V) \subset \Re(A)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime} A V \leq\left(V^{\prime} A^{2} V\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{M+m}{2 \sqrt{M m}} V^{\prime} A V \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first part of (59) follows from (36). The second part is derived from (32), and $V^{+}=V^{\prime}$ which is equivalent to the idempotency of $V^{\prime} V$.

K eep in mind that (in) equality conditions remain unchanged when we apply Proposition 2.3.

## 5. CONCLUDING COMMENTS

(i) By using the results of Section 3, we can examine the special cases for the H adamard product (see, e.g., H orn [3]), and for the upper-left submatrices (see, e.g. Liu [5]) studied in Liu and Neudecker [7].
(ii) Applying the block-method used by Liu [5] and Liu and Neudecker [7], plenty of results for several cases of sums of matrices including Kantorovich and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities can be easily derived from the results presented in this paper, and for Fan's cases, a special type of the cases of sums of matrices, see Fan [2], M ond and Pečarić [12], and Liu and Neudecker [7]. Also, it is not difficult to give parallel versions of SNECs of equalities for K antorovich-type inequalities in the cases of sums of matrices.
(iii) Only the case which involves one positive (semi-)definite matrix is considered in Section 3, while the case which involves two such matrices studied in Wang and Shao [18] and Liu and Neudecker [6] can also be treated to give further results.
(iv) A short comment on equality conditions for the matrix version of the Kantorovich inequality can be found in M arshall and Olkin [10]. Studies for a different type of conditions under which $K$ antorovich inequal-
ities become equalities can be found in Baksalary and Puntanen [1] and Pečarić, Puntanen, and Styan [13]. For considerations in matrix-trace and other relevant cases, see, e.g. R ao [15] and references thereafter.
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