JACC: CARDIOVASCULAR INTERVENTIONS © 2014 BY THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER INC. VOL. 7, NO. 4, 2014 ISSN 1936-8798/\$36.00 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcin.2013.11.019

PHARMACODYNAMICS Clinical Research



# Pharmacodynamic Effects of Cangrelor on Platelet P2Y<sub>12</sub> Receptor–Mediated Signaling in Prasugrel-Treated Patients

Fabiana Rollini, MD, Francesco Franchi, MD, Antonio Tello-Montoliu, MD, PHD, Ronakkumar Patel, MD, Andrew Darlington, MD, José Luis Ferreiro, MD, Jung Rae Cho, MD, Ana Muñiz-Lozano, MD, Bhaloo Desai, PHD, Martin M. Zenni, MD, Luis A. Guzman, MD, Theodore A. Bass, MD, Dominick J. Angiolillo, MD, PHD

Jacksonville, Florida

#### JACC: CARDIOVASCULAR INTERVENTIONS CME

This article has been selected as this issue's CME activity, available online at http://interventions.onlinejacc.org/ by selecting the CME tab on the top navigation bar.

#### **Accreditation and Designation Statement**

The American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF) is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

The ACCF designates this Journal-based CME activity for a maximum of 1 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credit(s)*<sup>TM</sup>. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

#### Method of Participation and Receipt of CME Certificate

To obtain credit for this CME activity, you must:

- 1. Be an ACC member or JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions subscriber.
- 2. Carefully read the CME-designated article available online and in this issue of the journal.
- 3. Answer the post-test questions. At least 2 out of the 3 questions provided must be answered correctly to obtain CME credit.
- 4. Complete a brief evaluation.
- 5. Claim your CME credit and receive your certificate electronically by following the instructions given at the conclusion of the activity.

**CME Objective for This Article:** At the completion of this article, the learner should be able to: 1) describe how the vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP) assay is used to determine the platelet reactivity index; 2) assess the

in vitro  $P2Y_{12}$  receptor inhibitory effects of cangrelor on platelets obtained from patients who are on maintenance prasugrel therapy; and 3) assess the in vitro  $P2Y_{12}$  receptor inhibitory effects of prasugrel reloading doses on platelets obtained from patients who are on maintenance prasugrel therapy.

**CME Editor Disclosure:** *JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions* CME Editor Habib Samady, MB, ChB, FACC, has research grants from the Wallace H. Coulter Foundation, Volcano Corp., St. Jude Medical, Forrest Pharmaceuticals Inc., and Pfizer Inc.

Author Disclosure: Dr. Ferreiro has received honoraria for lectures from Eli Lilly, Daiichi Sankyo, and AstraZeneca. Dr. Bass has received research funding (paid to his institution) from Baxter. Dr. Angiolillo has received payment as an individual for consulting fees or honoraria from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Sanofi-Aventis, Eli Lilly, Daiichi Sankyo, The Medicines Company, AstraZeneca, Merck & Co. Inc., Evolva, Abbott Vascular, and PLx Pharma; and has received payment for participation in review activities for Johnson & Johnson, St. Jude Medical, and Sunovion; and has received institutional payments for grants from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Sanofi-Aventis, GlaxoSmithKline, Otsuka, Eli Lilly, Daiichi Sankyo, The Medicines Company, AstraZeneca, Evolva, and Gilead; and has received Esther and King Biomedical Research Grant. All an other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

**Medium of Participation:** Print (article only); online (article and quiz).

### **CME Term of Approval:**

Issue Date: April 2014 Expiration Date: March 31, 2015

From the University of Florida College of Medicine–Jacksonville, Jacksonville, Florida. The present study was funded by a grant from The Medicines Company. The study was designed and undertaken by the investigators who had final responsibility for the decision to publish these results. Dr. Ferreiro has received honoraria for lectures from Eli Lilly, Daiichi Sankyo, and AstraZeneca. Dr. Bass has received research funding (paid to his institution) from Baxter. Dr. Angiolillo has received payment as an individual for consulting fees or honoraria from Bristol-Myers Squibb, sanofi-aventis, Eli Lilly, Daiichi Sankyo, The Medicines Company, AstraZeneca, Merck & Co. Inc., Evolva, Abbott Vascular, and PLx Pharma; and has received payment for participation in review activities for Johnson & Johnson, St. Jude Medical, and Sunovion; has received institutional payments for grants from Bristol-Myers

# Pharmacodynamic Effects of Cangrelor on Platelet P2Y<sub>12</sub> Receptor–Mediated Signaling in Prasugrel-Treated Patients

**Objectives** The purpose of this study was to assess the in vitro P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptor inhibitory effects of cangrelor on platelets from patients on maintenance prasugrel therapy treated with 2 reloading dose regimens.

**Background** Despite its more potent and rapid antiplatelet effects compared with clopidogrel, recent studies have shown variability in prasugrel-mediated P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptor inhibition, particularly in high-risk settings. Cangrelor is a potent intravenous P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptor inhibitor.

**Methods** A total of 60 patients with coronary artery disease on maintenance prasugrel (10 mg/day) therapy were randomized to a 30- or 60-mg reload of prasugrel. The platelet reactivity index (PRI), as assessed by whole-blood vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein, was measured with and without in vitro incubation of cangrelor (500 nM) at baseline, and at 1 and 4 h after reload.

**Results** In the absence of cangrelor, prasugrel reloading reduced PRI (p < 0.001 for both doses), although a 60-mg reload had greater platelet inhibition compared with a 30-mg reload at 4 h (p = 0.001). Cangrelor was associated with a reduction in PRI values during the overall study time course in patients reloaded with 30 mg (p = 0.001) and 60 mg (p < 0.001) of prasugrel. In patients reloaded with 30 mg prasugrel, cangrelor decreased PRI at each time point (baseline, p < 0.001; 1 h, p = 0.013; 4 h, p = 0.001). In patients reloaded with 60 mg prasugrel, cangrelor decreased PRI at baseline (p < 0.001) and 1 h (p = 0.002); levels of platelet reactivity comparable to those achieved with cangrelor were observed only at 4 h (p = 0.325). The intergroup comparisons with cangrelor were not significant at any time point.

**Conclusions** In patients on maintenance prasugrel therapy exposed to a reloading dose (30 or 60 mg) of prasugrel, in vitro cangrelor is associated with further platelet  $P2Y_{12}$  receptor inhibitory effects. (J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2014;7:426–34) © 2014 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation

Prasugrel is a potent oral adenosine diphosphate (ADP) P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptor inhibitor approved for clinical use in patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) (1-3). Despite the more rapid and potent levels of platelet inhibition achieved compared with clopidogrel, pharmacodynamic (PD) studies have shown interindividual variability in prasugrel effects (4-8). Importantly, prasugrel-treated patients with high on-treatment platelet reactivity (HPR) are at increased risk of ischemic events (5). Delayed antiplatelet effects and high rates of HPR have been shown particularly in the early hours after prasugrel administration in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) undergoing primary PCI (9,10). Similar PD findings also occur with ticagrelor (9-11). In patients on maintenance prasugrel therapy, prasugrel reloading is associated with enhanced platelet inhibition and reduced rates of HPR

(7,12). However, several hours are required to achieve maximal antiplatelet effects (7,12). Ultimately, the use of orally-administered antiplatelet agents may be challenging in patients unable to swallow (e.g., patients who are sedated, intubated, or in shock, or those with nausea or vomiting). Overall, these observations support the need for intravenous antiplatelet therapies able to yield more prompt and potent platelet inhibitory effects, which are unlikely to be achieved with oral medications (8).

Cangrelor, a nonthienopyridine adenosine triphosphate analogue, is a potent intravenous direct-acting and reversible  $P2Y_{12}$  receptor antagonist that has been shown to reduce ischemic complications, including stent thrombosis, in  $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor-naïve patients undergoing PCI (13,14). Previous investigations have reported that in vitro exposure of cangrelor on platelets from clopidogrel-treated patients is associated with potent  $P2Y_{12}$  inhibitory effects (15–17). Whether cangrelor can exert rapid and further platelet inhibitory effects on platelets from patients treated with prasugrel is unknown. The aim of this PD investigation was to assess the in vitro effects of cangrelor on platelets from patients with coronary artery disease on maintenance prasugrel therapy treated with 2 reloading dose regimens.

Squibb, sanofi-aventis, GlaxoSmithKline, Otsuka, Eli Lilly, Daiichi Sankyo, The Medicines Company, AstraZeneca, Evolva, and Gilead; and has received an Esther and King Biomedical Research Grant. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

Manuscript received September 25, 2013; revised manuscript received October 26, 2013, accepted November 21, 2013.

# Methods

Study population and research design. This was a prospective, randomized PD study conducted in 60 patients on maintenance dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin and prasugrel. All patients had a clinical indication to be on prasugrel as they all underwent PCI with an ACS (1–3). Patients were clinically stable at time of study entry. Patients were screened at the outpatient clinic of the Division of Cardiology of the University of Florida College of Medicine–UF Health Jacksonville. All patients were considered eligible for the study if they were between 18 and 74 years of age and were receiving treatment with aspirin

#### Abbreviations and Acronyms

ACS = acute coronary syndrome

ADP = adenosine diphosphate

ANCOVA = analysis of covariance

ANOVA = analysis of variance

HPR = high on-treatment platelet reactivity

MFI = mean fluorescence intensity

PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention

PD = pharmacodynamic

PGE1 = prostaglandin E1

PRI = platelet reactivity index

**STEMI = ST**-segment elevation myocardial infarction

VASP = whole-blood vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein

VASP-P = whole-blood vasodilator-stimulated phosphorylation

(81 mg/day) and prasugrel (10 mg/day) for at least 14 days as part of their standard of care dual antiplatelet treatment regimen. Exclusion criteria for this study included active bleeding, previous cerebrovascular event, body weight <60 kg, 75 years of age or older, clinical instability after the index event, use of oral anticoagulation, platelet count <100 $\times 10^{6}$ /µl, hemoglobin <10 g/dl, creatinine >2 mg/dl; hepatic enzymes >2.5 times the upper limit of normal; and pregnant and lactating females were also excluded.

Using a computer-based randomization system, patients were randomized in a 1:1 fashion to either a 30- or 60-mg reload of prasugrel. Blood samples were collected at 3 time points: at baseline (while on maintenance prasugrel therapy), at 1 h and at 4 h after prasugrel reload. Blood sampling for PD analysis were collected by the antecubital vein in sodium

citrate (0.105 *M*)-containing tubes using a 19-gauge needle. The first few milliliters of blood sampled were discarded to avoid spontaneous platelet activation. Baseline blood samples were collected  $24 \pm 4$  h after the last maintenance dose of prasugrel in order to assess trough levels of platelet reactivity. PD assessments were performed with and without in vitro cangrelor (500 nM cangrelor) at each time point. Tubes were immediately incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C in a water bath, and cangrelor was added to the whole blood and incubated for 5 min (17). The concentration of cangrelor used in vitro was chosen in line with previous investigations (15–17). This concentration approximates that of the mean steady-state plasma concentration of 484 nmol/l at the infusion dose of 4 µg/kg/min, which corresponds to the



dose used in large-scale phase III clinical trial investigations (13,14,18,19). A flow diagram of the study design is shown in Figure 1. The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Florida College of Medicine-Jacksonville, and all patients gave their informed written consent.

Whole-blood vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein. The whole-blood vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP) assay was used to determine the platelet reactivity index (PRI) according to standard protocols (12,16). VASP was performed before and after in vitro incubation with 500 nM cangrelor at each time point. In brief, whole-blood vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein phosphorylation (VASP-P) was measured by quantitative flow cytometry using commercially-available labeled monoclonal antibodies (Biocytex Inc., Marseille, France). The PRI was calculated after measuring the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of VASP-P levels after challenge with prostaglandin E1 (PGE1) and PGE1 + ADP. PGE1 increases VASP-P levels through stimulation of adenylate cyclase. ADP binding to purinergic receptors leads to inhibition of adenylate cyclase; thus, the addition of ADP to PGE1-stimulated platelets reduces levels of PGE1-induced VASP-P. The PRI was calculated as follows: [(MFI  $PGE1) - (MFI PGE1+ADP)/(MFI PGE1)] \times 100\%. A$ reduced PRI is indicative of greater inhibition of the P2Y<sub>12</sub> signaling pathway (12,16). Absolute levels as well as absolute changes or delta (defined as the difference with and without cangrelor) of PRI, were calculated.

Sample size calculation and study endpoints. The primary endpoint of the study was the comparison of PRI before and



after in vitro incubation with cangrelor at baseline, while patients were on maintenance prasugrel 10 mg/day. Assuming an SD of 20% and a dropout rate of  $\sim 10\%$ , we would be able to detect a difference of 10% in baseline PRI values before and after in vitro incubation with cangrelor with 60 patients, with a 95% power and a 2-tailed alpha value of 0.05. A cutoff of 10% absolute change in PRI was chosen as this has been associated with a 44% relative reduction of thrombotic events in patients undergoing PCI (20). Other endpoints included intergroup comparisons (prasugrel 30 mg vs. 60 mg) of PRI in the presence and absence of cangrelor during the overall study time course and at each time point; intragroup comparisons (prasugrel 30 mg vs. prasugrel 30 mg plus cangrelor; prasugrel 60 mg vs. prasugrel 60 mg plus cangrelor) of PRI during the overall study time course and at each time point; and intergroup comparisons of the absolute changes (delta) in PRI levels (before and after in vitro incubation with cangrelor) for each group during the overall study time course and at each time point.

Figure 2. Subject Disposition

Statistical analysis. Conformity to the normal distribution was evaluated for continuous variables with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. For baseline characteristics, continuous variables are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD, and categorical variables are expressed as frequencies and percentages. The chisquare or Fisher exact test (if the expected value in any cell was <5) was used to compare categorical variables between the 2 groups. A repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) model was used to evaluate intragroup comparisons and the overall difference between groups, using Bonferroni approach to correct for multiple comparisons. An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) method with a general linear model, using the baseline value of platelet reactivity as a covariate, was used to evaluate all betweengroup comparisons. A 2-tailed p value <0.05 was considered

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics			
	Prasugrel		
Variable	30 mg (N = 30)	60 mg (N = 30)	p Value
Age, yrs	54.9 ± 9.4	56.3 ± 8.7	0.563
Male	23 (76.7)	23 (76.7)	>0.999
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	$\textbf{33.6} \pm \textbf{9}$	$\textbf{32.1}\pm\textbf{6.3}$	0.476
Race			0.326
Caucasian	24 (80)	27 (90)	
African American	5 (16.7)	2 (6.7)	
Hispanic	0	1 (3.3)	
Asian	1 (3.3)	0	
Hypertension	28 (93.3)	25 (83.3)	0.424
Dyslipidemia	28 (93.3)	27 (90)	>0.999
Smoking	9 (30)	9 (30)	>0.999
Diabetes mellitus	6 (20)	9 (30)	0.371
Previous MI	26 (86.7)	25 (83.3)	>0.999
Previous CABG	0	5 (16.7)	0.052
Medications			
Beta-blockers	27 (90)	26 (86.7)	>0.999
ACEI/ARB	26 (86.7)	29 (96.7)	0.353
Statins	30 (100)	28 (93.3)	0.269
PPI	5 (16.7)	7 (23.3)	0.684
ССВ	4 (13.3)	7 (23.3)	0.506
Nitrates	7 (23.3)	11 (36.7)	0.260
LVEF >50%	20 (66.7)	24 (80)	0.511
Creatinine clearance, ml/min	114.6 $\pm$ 52	$122.4\pm38$	0.524
Hematocrit, %	42.1 ± 3.2	40.8 ± 4.3	0.233
Platelet count, 1,000/mm <sup>3</sup>	$\textbf{223.3} \pm \textbf{48.6}$	$\textbf{223.1} \pm \textbf{52.7}$	0.986
Values are mean $\pm$ SD or n (%). ACEI/ARB = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker; BMI = body mass index; CABG = coronary artery bypass graft surgery; CCB = calcium channel blockers; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; MI = myocardial infarction; PCI = percuta- neous coronary intervention; PPI = proton pump inhibitor.			

to indicate a statistically significant difference for all of the analyses performed. Results are reported as least-square mean  $\pm$  SE for the previously detailed analyses. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

## Results

**Patient population.** Between July 2011 and March 2013, a total of 79 patients meeting study inclusion criteria were identified. Of these, 19 declined to participate; therefore, a total of 60 patients on maintenance prasugrel 10 mg/day therapy were randomly assigned to a reload with either 30 mg (n = 30) or 60 mg (n = 30) of prasugrel. One patient in the 30-mg reload group had all samples hemolyzed and was thus not available for analysis. Patient disposition is summarized in Figure 2. Baseline characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1. There were no differences in baseline characteristics between groups (Table 1).



PD effects of prasugrel reloading without cangrelor. PRI values were similar between groups at baseline (p = 0.378). Moreover, in the intergroup analysis comparing a 30- and 60-mg prasugrel reload, PRI values were not significantly reduced during the overall time course between the 2 reloading dose regimens (ANOVA, p = 0.935). PRI at 4 h after a 60-mg reload was markedly reduced compared with a 30-mg reload (ANCOVA, p = 0.001), but not at 1 h (ANCOVA, p = 0.481) (Fig. 3). In the intragroup analysis, prasugrel reloading significantly reduced PRI levels after 1 and 4 h with both 30- and 60-mg reloading doses (p < 0.001 for both doses by ANOVA). There was greater platelet inhibition between 1 and 4 h in the 60-mg group, but not in the 30-mg group (Fig. 3).

In vitro PD effects of cangrelor after a 30-mg prasugrel reload. In patients receiving a 30-mg reload of prasugrel, in vitro cangrelor was associated with enhanced additive  $P2Y_{12}$  receptor inhibition. In particular, PRI values were significantly reduced during the overall time course (ANOVA, p = 0.001) and at each time point compared with PRI values without cangrelor (baseline, p < 0.001; 1 h, p = 0.013; 4 h, p = 0.001) (Fig. 4A). Moreover, intragroup comparisons showed cangrelor to be associated with further inhibition in addition to that with prasugrel across time points (ANOVA, p < 0.001), with a significant difference in PRI levels

between baseline and 1 h (p = 0.002) and between baseline and 4 h (p < 0.001); there was a nonsignificant reduction in PRI between 1 and 4 h (p = 0.401) (Fig. 4A).

In vitro PD effects of cangrelor after a 60-mg prasugrel reload. In patients receiving a 60-mg reload of prasugrel, in vitro incubation with cangrelor was associated with a significant difference in PRI values during the overall study time course (ANOVA, p < 0.001). Cangrelor markedly reduced PRI levels at baseline (p < 0.001) and at 1 h (p = 0.002) after a 60-mg prasugrel reload. However, 4 h after a 60-mg prasugrel reload, cangrelor led to a nonsignificant reduction in PRI (p = 0.325) (Fig. 4B). Intragroup comparisons showed cangrelor to be associated with enhanced platelet inhibitory effects across time points (ANOVA, p = 0.001) and a further significant reduction in PRI between baseline and 4 h (p = 0.005) as well as between 1 and 4 h (p = 0.016); there was a nonsignificant reduction in PRI between baseline and 1 h (p = 0.124) (Fig. 4B).

In vitro PD effects of cangrelor in patients reloaded with prasugrel 30 mg versus 60 mg. After in vitro incubation with cangrelor, PRI values were similar between the 30- and 60-mg prasugrel reload groups at baseline. In the intergroup analysis, absolute PRI levels (ANOVA, p = 0.373) (Fig. 5A) and absolute changes (delta) in PRI (ANOVA, p = 0.290) (Fig. 5B) were not significantly reduced between



the 2 groups during the overall time course. Similarly, there were no significant differences at any individual time point (Figs. 5A and 5B).

## Discussion

The platelet  $P2Y_{12}$  receptor is key in mediating platelet activation and aggregation processes (8,21–24). The present PD investigation was performed to elucidate the in vitro effects of cangrelor on  $P2Y_{12}$ -mediated signaling in patients treated with prasugrel maintenance therapy after reloading with either a 30- or 60-mg dose. Our PD investigation showed the following: 1) in vitro cangrelor is associated with enhanced platelet inhibition when added to platelets from patients on prasugrel maintenance therapy as well as when exposed to a reloading dose; 2) platelet inhibitory effects of in vitro cangrelor are immediate and faster than prasugrel reloading alone; and 3) levels of platelet reactivity to comparable those achieved with in vitro cangrelor were observed only 4 h after a 60-mg prasugrel reloading dose.

Adjunctive therapy with a platelet P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptor inhibitor is key for reduction of thrombotic risk in patients with ACS and undergoing PCI (1-3). Currently, only oral P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptor inhibitors are available for clinical use (8). Clopidogrel is the most widely used P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptor inhibitor and is characterized by nonuniform PD effects, a phenomenon associated with an increased risk of adverse outcomes (23-26). Prasugrel and ticagrelor are newer-generation P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptor inhibitors that are both characterized by a more rapid onset of action, greater potency, and more uniform PD effects compared with clopidogrel (8,27,28). These PD properties may explain the enhanced ischemic benefit, albeit at the expense of increased bleeding, with these agents compared with clopidogrel in ACS patients (27,28). However, despite these important advancements in oral  $P2Y_{12}$ receptor-inhibiting strategies, prasugrel and ticagrelor have also recently both been found to have variability in PD response with the potential for worse clinical outcomes (4,5,7,9-11). Moreover, prasugrel and ticagrelor have consistently been shown to have a delayed onset of action in patients with STEMI undergoing primary PCI, requiring at least 2 h to exert their full antiplatelet effects and thus exposing these high-risk patients to an increased risk of thrombotic complications (9,10). Increasing the loadingdose regimen of these oral agents has been advocated, although the limited data thus far have not shown meaningful changes in antiplatelet effects (29,30). Inability to achieve adequate platelet inhibition with oral  $P2Y_{12}$ receptor inhibitors is also a concern in other common clinical settings, such as patients unable to swallow oral medications (i.e., patients who are sedated, intubated, in shock, or those with nausea or vomiting). Furthermore, patients in certain high-risk settings such as STEMI and therapeutic hypothermia may also have impaired intestinal absorption and hepatic metabolism that can limit the pharmacological efficacy of oral P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptor inhibitors and increase the risk of stent thrombosis (31-33). These findings underscore the need for an intravenous agent with prompt, potent, and predictable antiplatelet properties.

Currently, glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors are the only antiplatelet agents clinically available for intravenous use and indeed have been shown to be advantageous in patients requiring immediate and potent platelet inhibition (34). Recently, Valgimigli et al. (35) showed that, in STEMI patients undergoing primary PCI, 60 mg prasugrel was associated with suboptimal platelet inhibition for at least 2 h



after dosing, whereas adding a bolus only of tirofiban not only obviated the need for an infusion but also led to nearly complete elimination of residual variability of platelet inhibition. However, glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor use is in decline, given the high risk of bleeding complications and the introduction of alternative antithrombotic treatment regimens that have been shown to have a more favorable safety profile, without any trade-off in clinical efficacy (34). Nevertheless, periprocedural thrombotic complications still remain a concern, highlighting the need for effective platelet-inhibiting strategies (8).

Cangrelor is a potent intravenous, direct-acting (no metabolism required)  $P2Y_{12}$  receptor inhibitor with an immediate onset of action (36). Moreover, cangrelor has an ultra-short half-life (3 to 6 min), leading to a very rapid offset of action with return to baseline platelet function within 30 to 60 min (36). These PD properties may

represent a potential treatment option in patients undergoing surgery and who cannot discontinue  $P2Y_{12}$  inhibiting therapy (37,38). Further, cangrelor may represent an attractive treatment option in PCI patients in whom immediate and potent P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptor blockade is required. Although, 2 phase III clinical trials failed to meet the primary efficacy endpoint, likely attributed to the definition of the study endpoints, the primary efficacy endpoint was met in the recently reported CHAMPION PHOENIX (Effect of Platelet Inhibition with Cangrelor during PCI on Ischemic Events) trial (13,18,19). In this trial, conducted in 11,145 clopidogrel-naïve patients undergoing PCI, cangrelor significantly reduced the rate of ischemic events at 48 h, including stent thrombosis, with no significant increase in severe bleeding, irrespective of clinical presentation (stable angina, unstable angina/non-STEMI, STEMI) (13).

Concerns have emerged regarding the potential for drug interactions with oral  $P2Y_{12}$  receptor inhibitors when using cangrelor. In an ex vivo PD investigation conducted in healthy volunteers, it was shown that if patients were administered clopidogrel during a cangrelor infusion, clopidogrel would be ineffective, given that its active metabolite would not bind with the  $P2Y_{12}$  receptor while occupied by cangrelor (39). Clopidogrel PD was not affected when administered after cangrelor infusion, given its very rapid offset of action. Similarly, in vitro pre-incubation of blood with cangrelor before addition of active metabolites of clopidogrel or prasugrel reduced their ability to inhibit platelet aggregation (40). In contrast, cangrelor added after pre-incubation with the active metabolites of clopidogrel or prasugrel led to sustained platelet inhibition. Therefore, phase III clinical investigations reinforced that clopidogrel (the only oral P2Y<sub>12</sub> inhibitor used in these trials) should be administered only at the end of cangrelor infusion to be effective (13,18,19). The optimal transition strategy from cangrelor to prasugrel or ticagrelor is currently under investigation (Clinical Trial numbers NCT01852019 and NCT01766466).

There is limited experience with understanding the effects of cangrelor when added to platelets already exposed to an oral  $P2Y_{12}$  receptor inhibitor. Previous investigations have shown that the addition of therapeutic concentrations of cangrelor in vitro before and after clopidogrel administration enhances  $P2Y_{12}$  inhibitory effects (15–17). The novelty of our investigation is that it expands our understanding of the in vitro PD effects of cangrelor by assessing patients on prasugrel therapy. In vitro addition of cangrelor provides a significant reduction in PRI before and after prasugrel reloading in patients already on maintenance prasugrel therapy, indicating that not all  $P2Y_{12}$  receptors have been occupied by binding with the active metabolite of orally-administered prasugrel. These findings suggest that even though prasugrel is a potent  $P2Y_{12}$  receptor inhibitor, it is not able to rapidly inhibit platelet reactivity to

the degree observed with cangrelor. However, it should also be noted that after in vitro cangrelor, PRI levels at baseline, while patients were on maintenance prasugrel therapy, were not as low as those observed 1 and 4 h after prasugrel reload. This finding is indicative of an additive effect over time with a prasugrel reload. It may be argued, however, that previous in vitro studies with cangrelor conducted in stable cardiovascular patients treated with clopidogrel showed lower levels of platelet reactivity (16). Nevertheless, our study population comprised patients who had experienced an ACS and thus were more likely to have a hyper-reactive platelet phenotype and who were exposed to reloading doses of a more potent antiplatelet agent (i.e., prasugrel), which can explain the changes in PRI over the course of the study. Indeed, higher in vitro concentrations of cangrelor (10  $\mu$ M), as used by Aleil et al. (16), could have led to more potent inhibition of PRI, even at baseline. However, such a high concentration of cangrelor would not be reflective of that which will be potentially used in clinical practice and likely increase the risk of bleeding complications (23).

Although a 60-mg reload is able to achieve more potent antiplatelet effects than a 30-mg reload, confirming a previous investigation (12), levels of platelet reactivity comparable to those achieved with cangrelor are achieved only 4 h after a 60-mg prasugrel reload in patients already on maintenance therapy. Indeed, although a reloading dose regimen of prasugrel led to significant changes in platelet reactivity as soon as 1 h in this investigation, clinical studies have shown that in higher-risk settings, such as STEMI, this may require longer time frames (9,10,35). Immediate platelet inhibition is desired at the time of coronary intervention. Our study showed that cangrelor was associated with an  $\sim 15\%$  further absolute reduction in PRI in patients on maintenance prasugrel therapy, which was consistent over time, irrespective of the prasugrel loading-dose regimen. Of note, for each 10% absolute reduction in PRI, a 44% relative reduction in thrombotic events in patients undergoing PCI has been shown (20). Whether cangrelor can exert similar effects in ticagrelor-treated patients remains to be established.

**Study limitations.** The main limitation of the present investigation is derived from its very design, since in vitro conditions make the results of this study exploratory, and ex vivo PD studies are warranted to confirm these findings. Moreover, although this study was conducted in patients who experienced an ACS, platelet reactivity was assessed remotely from their ACS presentation. Thus, the PD findings with a combination of prasugrel and cangrelor warrant confirmation in the setting of ACS patients undergoing PCI. Indeed, the forthcoming HORIZONS AMI II study (Harmonizing Outcomes With Revascularization and Stents in Acute Myocardial Infarction) trial, in which cangrelor will be used in a large cohort of patients with

STEMI undergoing primary PCI treated with a novel generation  $P2Y_{12}$  inhibitor, will be pivotal for a better understanding of these effects. Further, platelet reactivity was assessed only with VASP-PRI. Indeed, adding additional PD assays would have corroborated our study findings, although VASP-PRI is most specific to assess  $P2Y_{12}$  receptor-mediated signaling (16,24). Further, although previous investigations have identified variability in response to prasugrel (4–8), the sample size of our study did not allow us to explore contributors to this phenomenon.

## Conclusions

In patients on maintenance prasugrel therapy exposed to a reloading dose regimen (30 or 60 mg) of prasugrel, in vitro cangrelor is associated with further platelet  $P2Y_{12}$  receptor inhibitory effects as assessed by VASP-PRI. Levels of platelet reactivity comparable to those achieved with cangrelor were observed 4 h after a 60-mg prasugrel reload, making cangrelor an attractive strategy if more prompt antiplatelet effects are required. Ex vivo studies in patients undergoing PCI are warranted to confirm these in vitro findings.

**Reprint requests and correspondence:** Dr. Dominick J. Angiolillo, University of Florida College of Medicine-Jacksonville, 655 West 8th Street, Jacksonville, Florida 32209. E-mail: dominick.angiolillo@jax.ufl.edu.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention. a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;58: e44–122.
- 2. Anderson JL, Adams CD, Antman EM, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA focused update incorporated into the ACCF/AHA 2007 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Unstable Angina/Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;61:e179–347.
- **3.** O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;61:e78–140.
- 4. Alexopoulos D, Xanthopoulou I, Perperis A, et al. Factors affecting residual platelet aggregation in prasugrel treated patients. Curr Pharm Des 2013;19:5121–6.
- Bonello L, Pansieri M, Mancini J, et al. High on-treatment platelet reactivity after prasugrel loading dose and cardiovascular events after percutaneous coronary intervention in acute coronary syndromes. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;58:467–73.
- 6. Alexopoulos D, Plakomyti TE, Xanthopoulou I. Variability and treatment of high on-prasugrel platelet reactivity in patients with initial high on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity. Int J Cardiol 2012;154:333–4.

- 7. Ferreiro JL, Ueno M, Tello-Montoliu A, et al. Impact of prasugrel reload dosing regimens on high on-treatment platelet reactivity rates in patients on maintenance prasugrel therapy. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2013;6:182–4.
- 8. Ferreiro JL, Angiolillo DJ. New directions in antiplatelet therapy. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2012;5:433–45.
- Alexopoulos D, Xanthopoulou I, Gkizas V, et al. Randomized assessment of ticagrelor versus prasugrel antiplatelet effects in patients with ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2012;5:797–804.
- Parodi G, Valenti R, Bellandi B, et al. Comparison of prasugrel and ticagrelor loading doses in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction patients: RAPID (Rapid Activity of Platelet Inhibitor Drugs) primary PCI study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;61:1601–6.
- 11. Laine M, Toesca R, Berbis J, et al. Platelet reactivity evaluated with the VASP assay following ticagrelor loading dose in acute coronary syndrome patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Thromb Res 2013;132:e15–8.
- 12. Tello-Montoliu A, Tomasello SD, Ferreiro JL, et al. Pharmacodynamic effects of prasugrel dosing regimens in patients on maintenance prasugrel therapy: results of a prospective randomized study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;59:1681–7.
- Bhatt DL, Stone GW, Mahaffey KW, et al., CHAMPION PHOENIX Investigators. Effect of platelet inhibition with cangrelor during PCI on ischemic events. N Engl J Med 2013;368:1303–13.
- 14. Steg PG, Bhatt DL, Hamm CW, et al. Effect of cangrelor on periprocedural outcomes in percutaneous coronary interventions: a pooled analysis of patient-level data. Lancet 2013;382:1981–92.
- Storey RF, Wilcox RG, Heptinstall S. Comparison of the pharmacodynamic effects of the platelet ADP receptor antagonists clopidogrel and AR-C69931MX in patients with ischaemic heart disease. Platelets 2002;13:407–13.
- 16. Aleil B, Ravanat C, Cazenave JP, Rochoux G, Heitz A, Gachet C. Flow cytometric analysis of intraplatelet VASP phosphorylation for the detection of clopidogrel resistance in patients with ischemic cardiovascular diseases. J Thromb Haemost 2005;3:85–92.
- Ferreiro JL, Ueno M, Tello-Montoliu A, et al. Effects of cangrelor in coronary artery disease patients with and without diabetes mellitus: an in vitro pharmacodynamic investigation. J Thromb Thrombolysis 2013;35: 155–64.
- Harrington RA, Stone GW, McNulty S, et al. Platelet inhibition with cangrelor in patients undergoing PCI. N Engl J Med 2009;361: 2318–29.
- Bhatt DL, Lincoff AM, Gibson CM, et al., CHAMPION PLAT-FORM Investigators. Intravenous platelet blockade with cangrelor during PCI. N Engl J Med 2009;361:2330–41.
- Bonello L, Mancini J, Pansieri M, et al. Relationship between posttreatment platelet reactivity and ischemic and bleeding events at 1-year follow-up in patients receiving prasugrel. J Thromb Haemost 2012;10: 1999–2005.
- Goto S, Tamura N, Ishida H, Ruggeri ZM. Dependence of platelet thrombus stability on sustained glycoprotein IIb/IIIa activation through adenosine 5'-diphosphate receptor stimulation and cyclic calcium signaling. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:155–62.
- 22. Goto S, Tamura N, Eto K, Ikeda Y, Handa S. Functional significance of adenosine 5'-diphosphate receptor (P2Y(12)) in platelet activation initiated by binding of von Willebrand factor to platelet GP Ibalpha induced by conditions of high shear rate. Circulation 2002; 105:2531–6.
- 23. Tantry US, Bonello L, Aradi D, et al. Consensus and update on the definition of on-treatment platelet reactivity to adenosine diphosphate associated with ischemia and; Bleeding. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;62: 2261–73.

- Angiolillo DJ, Fernandez-Ortiz A, Bernardo E, et al. Variability in individual responsiveness to clopidogrel: clinical implications, management, and future perspectives. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;49:1505–16.
- 25. Cattaneo M. High on-treatment platelet reactivity-definition and measurement. Thromb Haemost 2013;109:792-8.
- 26. Goto S, Tomiya A. High on-treatment platelet reactivity (HPR): what does it mean, and does it matter? Thromb Haemost 2013;109:177–8.
- Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, et al. Prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med 2007;357:2001–15.
- Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, et al., PLATO Investigators. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med 2009;361:1045–57.
- 29. Alexopoulos D, Gkizas V, Patsilinakos S, et al. Double vs standard loading dose of ticagrelor: Onset of antiplatelet action in patients with STEMI undergoing primary PCI. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;62:940–1.
- 30. Gizas V, Alexopoulos D, Xanthopoulou I, et al. A pharmacodynamics study of high (100mg) loading dose of prasugrel in patients with ST elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Paper presented at: ALPIC (Advanced Learning on Platelets & Thrombosis International Course); January 18 to 23, 2013; Mestovo, Greece.
- **31.** Heestermans AA, van Werkum JW, Taubert D, et al. Impaired bioavailability of clopidogrel in patients with a ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Thromb Res 2008;122:776–81.
- Penela D, Magaldi M, Fontanals J, et al. Hypothermia in acute coronary syndrome: brain salvage versus stent thrombosis? J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;61:686–7.
- **33.** Straub A, Krajewski S, Hohmann JD, et al. Evidence of platelet activation at medically used hypothermia and mechanistic data indicating ADP as a key mediator and therapeutic target. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2011;31:1607–16.
- **34.** Muñiz-Lozano A, Rollini F, Franchi F, Angiolillo DJ. Update on platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors: recommendations for clinical practice. Ther Adv Cardiovasc Dis 2013;7:197–213.
- 35. Valgimigli M, Tebaldi M, Campo G, et al., FABOLUS PRO Investigators. Prasugrel versus tirofiban bolus with or without short post-bolus infusion with or without concomitant prasugrel administration in patients with myocardial infarction undergoing coronary stenting: the FABOLUS PRO (Facilitation through Aggrastat By drOpping or shortening Infusion Line in patients with STsegment elevation myocardial infarction compared to or on top of PRasugrel given at loading dOse) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2012; 5:268–77.
- Ferreiro JL, Ueno M, Angiolillo DJ. Cangrelor: a review on its mechanism of action and clinical development. Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther 2009;7:1195–201.
- Capodanno D, Angiolillo DJ. Management of antiplatelet therapy in patients with coronary artery disease requiring cardiac and noncardiac surgery. Circulation 2013;128:2785–98.
- Angiolillo DJ, Firstenberg MS, Price MJ, et al., BRIDGE Investigators. Bridging antiplatelet therapy with cangrelor in patients undergoing cardiac surgery: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2012; 307:265–74.
- **39.** Steinhubl SR, Oh JJ, Oestreich JH, Ferraris S, Charnigo R, Akers WS. Transitioning patients from cangrelor to clopidogrel: pharmacodynamic evidence of a competitive effect. Thromb Res 2008;121:527–34.
- 40. Dovlatova NL, Jakubowski JA, Sugidachi A, Heptinstall S. The reversible P2Y antagonist cangrelor influences the ability of the active metabolites of clopidogrel and prasugrel to produce irreversible inhibition of platelet function. J Thromb Haemost 2008;6:1153–9.

**Key Words:** cangrelor ■ platelet reactivity ■ prasugrel.

To participate in this CME activity by taking the quiz and claiming your CME credit certificate, please go to http://interventions.onlinejacc.org/ and select the CME tab on the top navigation bar.