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## I. Introduction

In the theory of fluctuations of sums of independent, identically distributed, random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \cdots$ a central result is Spitzer's formula [1, p. 330] which says

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{n}(\lambda)^{n}=\exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi_{k}(\lambda) t^{k} / k \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\lambda$ real and $|t|<1$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{0} & =0, \quad S_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} X_{j}, \quad 1 \leqslant k, \\
\varphi_{n}(\lambda) & =\mathscr{E}\left(\exp \left(i \lambda \max \left(S_{0}, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{n}\right)\right),\right. \\
\psi_{k}(\lambda) & =\mathscr{E}\left(\exp \left(i \lambda \max \left(S_{0}, S_{k}\right)\right) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

E. S. Andersen [2-4] has studied a measure of the fluctuation of the partial sums other than max ( $S_{0}, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{n}$ ), his measure being $H_{n}$, the number of sides of the least concave majorant (this being a trivial change from [4]) of the graph of $\left(0, S_{0}\right),\left(1, S_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(n, S_{n}\right)$, where a vertex of a side is a point ( $k, S_{k}$ ), $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, which is on the least concave majorant of the aforementioned graph. When the common distribution function is continuous he finds that for $|s|<1,|t|<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t, s)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P\left(H_{n}=m\right)^{n_{s} m}=(1-t)^{-s} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so distribution free.
There is another natural definition of the "number of sides" used by Andersen [4, pp. 2-3]: "Remark on the definition of $H_{n}$.
If we plot in a coordinateplane the points ( $k, S_{k}$ ) for $k=0,1, \cdots, n$ and

[^0]connect the consecutive points with straight segments, then we obtain an open polygon from $(0,0)$ to $\left(n, S_{n}\right)$. To this polygon there exists a unique, least, upper concave polygon from $(0,0)$ to $\left(n, S_{n}\right)$. The point on this concave polygon with abscissa $k$ has ordinate $T_{k}^{(n)}$. The definition of $H_{n}$ given in 2 defines $H_{n}$ as the number of points ( $k, S_{k}$ ) for $k=1, \cdots, n$ on the concave. polygon (see Fig. 1). If the common distribution of the random variables


Fig. 1. "Number of sides"
$X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots$ is continuous then $H_{n}$ is equal to the number of straight segments which form the concave polygon. This indicates that a natural alternative definition of $H_{n}$ would have been to define $H_{n}$ to be the number of straight segments in the concave polygon, we may denote this number by $K_{n}$. Evidently $H_{n} \geqslant K_{n}$."
(The italicized words are changed from the Andersen text in order to be consistent with the departure from the Andersen definition. There is a corresponding change in the figure.) As indicated, when the $X$ 's have the same continuous distribution, $H_{n}=K_{n}$ with probability 1 , so one has a corresponding formula for the generating functions. When the $X$ 's have the same discrete distribution it is no longer true that $H_{n}=K_{n}$ with probability 1 and Andersen [4] has developed somewhat complicated analogues to (2) for both the $H_{n}$ and $K_{n}$ cases.

Here another alternative is explored. A random variable $J_{n}$, the "number of sides," is defined in Section II so that $H_{n} \geqslant J_{n} \geqslant K_{n}$ and a random variable $\pi_{n}$, the number of periods, is defined so that for a particular sample point

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}\right) \\
=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots X_{n / \pi_{n}}, X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n / \pi_{n}}, \cdots, X_{1}, \cdots X_{n / \pi_{n}}\right) \\
\longleftrightarrow \\
\longleftrightarrow
\end{gathered}
$$

and $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n / \pi_{n}}$ is aperiodic.

Let

$$
\Phi_{n}(\lambda)=\mathscr{E}\left(\exp \left(i \lambda J_{n}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Psi_{n}(\lambda)=\mathscr{E}\left(\exp \left(i \lambda \pi_{n}\right) .\right.
$$

Then for $\lambda$ real and $|t|<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi_{n}(\lambda) t^{n}=\exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\Psi_{k}(\lambda) t^{k}\right) / k, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the "Spitzer formula" holds this time relating the characteristic function of the "number of sides" and the characteristic function of the "number of periods." A similar method could have been used to deduce the original Spitzer formula. Some connections are deduced between the distribution of $J_{n}$ in the case of a classical random walk on the integers and the dimension of certain subspaces of a free Lie algebra on two generators. The author wishes to acknowledge helpful discussions with Glen Baxter and Marcel Schützenberger.

## II. The Noncommutative Witt Identity

Let $a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots$ constitute a countable totally ordered set $A$ which generates a free semigroup ( $=$ free monoid [5, p. 18]) $F$ so that elements $f \in F$ are words generated from the $a_{i}$ 's and the binary associative operation is given by juxtaposition. Suppose $F$ is lexicographically ordered so that for example $a_{1}^{2} a_{2}<a_{1} a_{2}<a_{2} a_{1}$. A word is a standard word if it is aperiodic and lexicographically less than each of its cyclic permutations. The collection of all standard words [6, p. 83] is denoted by $H$. Note $a_{1}^{2} a_{2} \in H$ since $a_{1}^{2} a_{2}<a_{1} a_{2} a_{1}$ and $a_{1}^{2} a_{2}<a_{2} a_{1}^{2}$. Further $\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)^{2} \notin H$ since $\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)^{2}$ is periodic. Of course $H \subset F$ implies $H$ is totally ordered. By $\Pi\{1-h: h \in H ;<\}$ is meant a formal infinite product of binomial terms in the real algebra generated by $F$, the binomial terms taken in increasing lexicographic order in the $h$ 's. The noncommutative Witt identity [7, Lemma 3] is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi\{1-h: h \in H ;<\}=1-\sum a_{i} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one considers the obvious homomorphism which sends the generators of the free semigroup $F$ into the generators of a free abelian semigroup he gets the classical Witt identity [8, pp. 155-156; 9, pp. 169-170]. If one takes inverses of both sides, [7, just prior to Lemma 3]

$$
\Pi\left\{(1-h)^{-1}: h \in H ;>\right\}=\sum\{f: f \in F\},
$$

where

$$
(1-h)^{-1}=1+h+h^{2}+\cdots .
$$

This says that each nonunit $f \in F$ has a unique monotone decreasing factorization into elements of $H$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f=h_{1} h_{2} \cdots h_{k} \\
h_{1} \geqslant h_{2} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant h_{k} \\
h_{i} \in H, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k
\end{gathered}
$$

Whenever one has a free semigroup $F$ and a subset of $F$ such that each nonunit element of $F$ admits of a unique monotone decreasing factorization into elements of that subset, then one says one has a (generalized) Witt identity. Related considerations are given in [10].

## III. Application to Fluctuation of Sums of Independent Random Variables

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots$ be independent random variables with the same discrete distribution:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left(X_{j}=a_{i}\right) \text { independent of } j, \\
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P\left(X_{j}=a_{i}\right)=1
\end{gathered}
$$

Corresponding to the sample point $\omega$, the first $n$ components are

$$
X_{1}(\omega)=a_{i_{1}}, \cdots, X_{n}(\omega)=a_{i_{n}}
$$

Let

$$
S_{0}(\omega)=0, \quad S_{k}(\omega)=\sum_{m=1}^{k} a_{i_{m}}, \quad 1 \leqslant k
$$

Plot $\left\{\left(k, S_{k}\right), 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n\right\}$ and take the least concave majorant (see Fig. 2). Note that

$$
f(\omega)=a_{i_{1}}, \quad a_{i_{2}} \cdots a_{i_{n}}=(-1,2,-2,3,-1,2,-1,1,0) \in F
$$

in the case of Fig. 2.
From these words choose in stages a subset $S p$ to play the role of $H$. If $f$ is a word let $\mu(f)$, the slope of $f$, be the sum of the letters divided by $|f|$, the number of letters. A word $f$ is in $A\left(\mu_{1}\right)$, the alphabet associated with slope $\mu_{1}$, if $\mu(f)=\mu_{1}$ and $H_{|f|}=1$. The pairs of successive points of contact of the least concave majorant and the original set $\left\{\left(0, S_{0}\right),\left(1, S_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(n, S_{n}\right)\right\}$
give rise to elements of $A(\mu)$ (possibly different $\mu$ for different pairs of successive points of contact). Thus in Fig. 2


Fig. 2. - "Number of sides" in new sense
For each fixed $\mu$ totally order $A(\mu)$. Let $S p(\mu)$ be the set of standard words formed from $A(\mu)$ and let $S p=\cup_{\mu} S p(\mu)$. Introduce a total order in $S p$ as follows: If $\mu\left(f_{1}\right)>\mu\left(f_{2}\right)$, then $f_{1}>f_{2}$. If $f_{1}, f_{2} \in S p(\mu)$, then order these $f$ lexicographically according to the order in the alphabet $A(\mu)$. For example in Fig. 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1,2)=a_{i_{1}} a_{i_{2}}=a_{i_{5}} a_{i_{6}}<a_{i_{\mathrm{g}}} a_{i_{4}}=(-2,3) \\
& (-1,1)=a_{i_{7}} a_{i_{\mathrm{B}}}>a_{i_{9}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and of course,

$$
(-1,2)=a_{i_{1}} a_{i_{2}}>a_{i_{9}}-0
$$

Then

$$
(-1,2,-2,3)=a_{i_{5}} a_{i_{\mathrm{g}}} a_{i_{\mathrm{g}}} a_{i_{4}} \in S p\left(\mu_{1}\right)=S p\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \subset S p
$$

$$
(-1,1)=a_{i_{7}} a_{i_{8}} \in A\left(\mu_{2}\right)=A(0) \subset S p\left(\mu_{2}\right) \subset S p
$$

and

$$
(-1,2,-2,3)=a_{i_{5}} a_{i_{6}} a_{i_{3}} a_{i_{4}}>a_{i_{7}} a_{i_{\mathrm{g}}}=(-1,1)
$$

Now each $f \in F$ has a unique monotone factorization (empty factorization in the case of the unit) in terms of the words in $S p$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f= & h_{i_{1}} h_{i_{2}} \cdots h_{i_{k}} \\
& h_{i_{j}} \in S p, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k \\
& h_{i_{1}} \geqslant h_{i_{2}} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant h_{i_{k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $J_{n}(\omega)=k$, the "number of sides" of the graph of the least concave majorant. For example in Fig. 2

$$
\begin{gathered}
f=\left(a_{i_{1}} a_{i_{2}} a_{i_{3}} a_{i_{4}}\right)\left(a_{i_{5}} a_{i_{6}}\right)\left(a_{i_{7}} a_{i_{8}}\right)\left(a_{i_{9}}\right)=(-1,2,-2,3)(-1,2)(-1,1)(0), \\
J_{9}(\omega)=4, \quad H_{9}(\omega)=5, \quad K_{9}(\omega)=2, \quad \pi_{9}(\omega)=1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $f$ is not the identity let $\pi[f]$ be the largest integer $r$ such that for some $f_{1} \in F$ one has $f=\left(f_{1}\right)^{r}$. If some sample point $\omega$ gives rise to $n$-letter $f(\omega) \in F$, let $\pi_{n}=\pi[f(\omega)]$. Let

$$
\Phi_{n}(\lambda)={ }_{d f} \mathscr{E}\left(\exp \left(i \lambda J_{n}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \Psi_{n}(\lambda)=_{d f} \mathscr{E}\left(\exp \left(i \lambda \pi_{n}\right)\right)
$$

Theorem. If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots$ are independent random variables with the same discrete distribution, then for $\lambda$ real and $|t|<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi_{n}(\lambda) t^{n}=\exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Psi_{k}(\lambda) t^{k} / k \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From the definition of $S p$

$$
\pi\left\{(1-h)^{-1}: h \in S p ;>\right\}=\sum\{f: f \in F\}
$$

Suppose one associates with each $h \in S p, \quad \hat{h}={ }_{a f} P(h) t^{|h|} \exp (i \lambda)$ with $|h|={ }_{a f}$ the number of letters in $h$. If

$$
f=h_{i_{1}} h_{i_{2}} \cdots h_{i_{\mathrm{s}}}
$$

with

$$
h_{i_{1}} \geqslant h_{i_{2}} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant h_{i_{s}} \quad \text { and } \quad h_{i_{j}} \in S p, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant s
$$

then let

$$
\hat{f}=\hat{h}_{i_{1}} \hat{h}_{i_{2}} \cdots \hat{h}_{i_{s}}=P(f) \exp (i s \lambda) t^{|f|}
$$

where the independence of the random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots$ is used. (Only the independence of $h_{i_{1}}, h_{i_{2}}, \cdots$ at this stage.)

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi_{k}(\lambda) t^{k} & =\sum\{\hat{f}: f \in F) \\
& =\pi\left\{(1-\hat{h})^{-1}: h \in S p ;>\right\} \\
& =\exp \sum_{h \in S p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(h^{k}\right) \exp (i \lambda k) t^{k|h|} / k \\
& =\exp \sum_{h \in S} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|h| P\left(h^{k}\right) \exp (i \lambda k) t^{k|h|}|k| h \mid \\
& =\exp \sum_{f \in F} P(f) \exp (i \lambda \pi[f]) t^{|f|}| | f \mid \\
& =\exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Psi_{k}(\lambda) t^{k} / k,
\end{aligned}
$$

where in next to the last equality one used (1) the fact that each aperiodic word has a unique cyclic permutation making it a standard word and (2) if one goes from $f$ to $f^{\prime}$ by cyclically permuting the letters, then $P(f)=P\left(f^{\prime}\right)$. Thus the theorem is proved.

Consider the classical random walk on the integers with only steps of $\pm 1$ permitted, both being equally likely. What can be said about $P\left(J_{n}=k\right)$ ?

Suppose with [ 9, p. 169, 171] one lets $M_{2}(d)$ be the number of circular words composed from two different letters but of length $d$ and period $d(=$ the number of basic commutators of weight $d$ in 2 generators). Then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
P\left(\pi_{r}=k\right) & =2^{-r} \frac{r}{k} M_{2}\left(\frac{r}{k}\right)=2^{-r} \frac{r}{k} \cdot \frac{k}{r} \sum_{d k / r} \mu\left(\frac{r}{d k}\right) 2^{d} \\
\Psi_{r}(\lambda) & =\sum_{k=1}^{r} \exp (i \lambda k) 2^{-r} \sum_{d k / r} \mu\left(\frac{r}{d k}\right) 2^{d} \\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi_{n}(\lambda) t^{n} & =\exp \sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left[(t / 2)^{r} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \exp (i \lambda k) \sum_{d k / r} \mu\left(\frac{r}{d k}\right) 2^{d}\right. \\
r
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The continuous analogue to Eq. (5) is Eq. (2), since for the case of a continuous distribution $J_{n}=H_{n}$ with probability one and $\mathscr{E}\left(\pi_{n}\right)=1$.

The Theorem gives information about the distribution of $J_{n}$. Under the same assumptions what can be said about $H_{n}$ ? Let $\sigma$ be a cyclic permutation
of $(1,2, \cdots, n)$ such that the chord joining $(0,0)$ to $\left(n, X_{\sigma_{1}}+X_{\sigma_{2}}+\cdots+X_{\sigma_{n}}\right)$ is not below any vertex $\left(k, X_{\sigma_{1}}+\cdots+X_{\sigma_{k}}\right), 1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. Let $Z_{n}$ be number of such vertices on the chord. While $\sigma$ is not necessarily unique, $Z_{n}$ is unique. In Fig. 2, $Z_{9}=1$ and $Z_{4}=2$.

Let

$$
\Phi_{n}(\lambda)=\mathscr{E}\left(\exp i \lambda H_{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\bar{\Psi}_{n}(\lambda)=\mathscr{E}\left(\exp i \lambda Z_{n}\right) .
$$

Then by redefining $\hat{h}$ one can show that for $\lambda$ real and $|t|<1$

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{\Phi}_{n}(\lambda) t^{n}=\exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{\Psi}_{k}(\lambda) t^{k} / k
$$

When applied to distributions which are continuous except for one jump this formula is consistent with [4, p. 3, Eq. (4.2)].

Appropriate redefinition of $\hat{h}$ yields, for discrete distributions of the $X$ 's, analogues to Eq. (4.12) of [2] and Eqs. (3.6) and (9.12) of [3] as well as equations involving the joint distribution of random variables of this kind.

A slightly different analysis gives results about the distribution of $K_{n}$ and can be used to give an independent derivation of the last equation. No derivation will be given here but the result will be stated. Let

$$
\widetilde{\Phi}_{n}(\lambda)=\mathscr{E}\left(\exp i \lambda K_{n}\right)
$$

then

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi_{n}(\lambda) t^{n}=\exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{k}}{k} \mathscr{E}\left[1-\left(1-e^{i \lambda}\right)^{Z_{k}}\right]
$$

The classical Spitzer formula, Eq. (1), could have been established as was the main theorem by requiring for

$$
\begin{gathered}
h=a_{i_{1}} a_{i_{2}} \cdots a_{i_{k}} \\
S_{0}=0, \quad S_{k}=\sum_{j}^{k}=1 a_{i_{j}}
\end{gathered}
$$

that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{h} & =P(h) \exp \left(i \lambda \max \left(S_{0}, S_{1}, \cdots, S_{k}\right)\right) t^{k} \\
& =P(h) \exp \left(i \lambda \max \left(S_{0}, S_{|h|}\right)\right) t^{|\hbar|}
\end{aligned}
$$

In establishing the classical Spitzer formula some of the niceties about standard words could be avoided. One could also establish a formula encompassing the current formula and the classical one. If one is to use least concave
majorants to get this kind of formula, then the "additivity" of the functional which is the coefficient of $\lambda$ is one limitation that must be observed. In comparing Spitzer's proof of his formula with the proof suggested just above one notes that the latter does not use the decomposition of a permutation into cycles [1, p. 326 Theorem 2.2].

When one considers the variety of derivations of the classical Spitzer's formula, it becomes of interest to see whether these techniques could be used to derive Eq. (5). In particular a Wiener-Hopf derivation of Eq. (5) would be desirable. This does not seem to be a transparent problem.
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