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#### Abstract

We define two certain classes of functions $S^{*}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $C(\alpha, \beta)$ and obtain a certain connection between these classes. (C) 2003 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of functions of the form

$$
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $U=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. A function $f(z)$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike if it satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}>0, \quad z \in U
$$

We denote by $S^{*}$ the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of all starlike functions in $U$. A function $f(z)$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be convex if it satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0, \quad z \in U
$$

We denote by $C$ the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of all convex functions in $U$.
Nunokawa, Owa, Saitoh, Cho and Takahashi [1] obtained the following result.
Lemma. Let $p(z)$ be analytic in $U$ with $p(0)=1$ and $p(z) \neq 0$. If there exist two points $z_{1} \in U$ and $z_{2} \in U$ such that

$$
-\frac{\pi \beta}{2}=\arg p\left(z_{1}\right)<\arg p(z)<\arg p\left(z_{2}\right)=\frac{\pi \alpha}{2}
$$

The authors sincerely appreciate the helpful comments made by the referee.
0893-9659/03/\$ - see front matier (C) 2003 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{S}}-\mathrm{TEX}^{\mathcal{X}}$ PII: S0893-9659(03)00062-4
for $\alpha>0, \beta>0$, and for $|z|<\left|z_{1}\right|=\left|z_{2}\right|$, then we have

$$
\frac{z_{1} p^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)}{p\left(z_{1}\right)}=-i \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} m
$$

and

$$
\frac{z_{2} p^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)}{p\left(z_{2}\right)}=i \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} m
$$

where

$$
m \geq \frac{1-|a|}{1+|a|}
$$

and

$$
a=i \tan \frac{\pi}{4}\left(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha+\beta}\right)
$$

From the lemma, we define two classes of functions. Let $S^{*}(\alpha, \beta)$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ which satisfies

$$
-\frac{\pi \beta}{2}<\arg \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}<\frac{\pi \alpha}{2}, \quad z \in U
$$

for $0 \leq \alpha<1,0 \leq \beta<1$, and let $C(\alpha, \beta)$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ which satisfies

$$
-\frac{\pi \beta}{2}<\arg \left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)<\frac{\pi \alpha}{2}, \quad z \in U
$$

for $0 \leq \alpha<1,0 \leq \beta<1$. We can see that $S^{*}(\alpha, \beta) \subset S^{*}$ and $C(\alpha, \beta) \subset C$. In this paper, applying the lemma, we obtain a certain connection between $S^{*}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $C(\alpha, \beta)$.

## 2. MAIN THEOREM

ThEOREM. Let $f(z) \in C(\gamma(\alpha, \beta), \delta(\alpha, \beta))$. Then $f(z) \in S^{*}(\alpha, \beta)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma(\alpha, \beta)=\alpha+\frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{Tan}^{-1} \frac{(1-|a|) \sigma(\alpha, \beta) \sin (\pi / 2)(1-\alpha)}{1+|a|+(1-|a|) \sigma(\alpha, \beta) \cos (\pi / 2)(1-\alpha)} \\
& \delta(\alpha, \beta)=\beta+\frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{Tan}^{-1} \frac{(1-|a|) \sigma(\alpha, \beta) \sin (\pi / 2)(1-\beta)}{1+|a|+(1-|a|) \sigma(\alpha, \beta) \cos (\pi / 2)(1-\beta)} \\
& \sigma(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2-\alpha-\beta}\left(\frac{2-\alpha-\beta}{2+\alpha+\beta}\right)^{(2+\alpha+\beta) / 4}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
a=i \tan \frac{\pi}{4}\left(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha+\beta}\right)
$$

Proof. Let us put $p(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ and $f(z) \in C(\gamma(\alpha, \beta), \delta(\alpha, \beta))$. If there exist two points $z_{1} \in U$ and $z_{2} \in U$ such that

$$
-\frac{\pi \beta}{2}=\arg p\left(z_{1}\right)<\arg p(z)<\arg p\left(z_{2}\right)=\frac{\pi \alpha}{2}
$$

for $|z|<\left|z_{1}\right|=\left|z_{2}\right|$, then from the proof of the lemma [1], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{1} p^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)}{p\left(z_{1}\right)}=-i \cdot \frac{\alpha \mid \beta}{4} \cdot \frac{1+t_{1}^{2}}{t_{1}} \cdot m \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{z_{2} p^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)}{p\left(z_{2}\right)}=i \cdot \frac{\alpha+\beta}{4} \cdot \frac{1+t_{2}^{2}}{t_{2}} \cdot m \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i(\pi / 2)((\alpha-\beta) /(\alpha+\beta))} p\left(z_{1}\right)^{2 /(\alpha+\beta)}=-i t_{1}, \quad e^{-i(\pi / 2)((\alpha-\beta) /(\alpha+\beta))} p\left(z_{2}\right)^{2 /(\alpha+\beta)}=i t_{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$t_{1}>0, t_{2}>0$, and

$$
m \geq \frac{1-|a|}{1+|a|}
$$

By logarithmic differentiation of $p(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=p(z)\left(1+\frac{1}{p(z)} \cdot \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us put $z=z_{2}$. Then from (2.1)-(2.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+\frac{z_{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{2}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)} & =p\left(z_{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{1}{p\left(z_{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{z_{2} p^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)}{p\left(z_{2}\right)}\right) \\
& =t_{2}{ }^{(\alpha+\beta) / 2} e^{i(\pi / 2) \alpha}\left(1+t_{2}{ }^{-(\alpha+\beta) / 2} e^{-i(\pi / 2) \alpha}\left(i \cdot \frac{\alpha+\beta}{4} \cdot \frac{1+t_{2}^{2}}{t_{2}} \cdot m\right)\right) \\
& =t_{2}^{(\alpha+\beta) / 2} e^{i(\pi / 2) \alpha}\left(1+m \cdot \frac{\alpha+\beta}{4}\left(t_{2}^{1-(\alpha+\beta) / 2}+t_{2}{ }^{-1-(\alpha+\beta) / 2}\right) e^{i(\pi / 2)(1-\alpha)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us put $g(x)=x^{1-(\alpha+\beta) / 2}+x^{-1-(\alpha+\beta) / 2}, x>0$. Then $g(x)$ takes the minimum value at $x=\sqrt{(2+\alpha+\beta) /(2-\alpha-\beta)}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\arg \left(1+\frac{z_{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{2}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)}\right)= & \arg p\left(z_{2}\right)+\arg \left(1+\frac{1}{p\left(z_{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{z_{2} p^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)}{p\left(z_{2}\right)}\right) \\
= & \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}+\arg \left(1+m \cdot \frac{\alpha+\beta}{4}\left(t_{2}{ }^{1-(\alpha+\beta) / 2}+t_{2}^{-1-(\alpha+\beta) / 2}\right) e^{i(\pi / 2)(1-\alpha)}\right) \\
\geq & \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}+\arg \left(1+\frac{1-|a|}{1+|a|} \cdot \frac{\alpha+\beta}{4}\left(\left(\frac{2+\alpha+\beta}{2-\alpha-\beta}\right)^{(2-\alpha-\beta) / 4}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(\frac{2+\alpha+\beta}{2-\alpha-\beta}\right)^{(-2-\alpha-\beta) / 4}\right) e^{i(\pi / 2)(1-\alpha)}\right) \\
= & \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}+\arg \left(1+\frac{1-|a|}{1+|a|} \cdot \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2-\alpha-\beta}\left(\frac{2+\alpha+\beta}{2-\alpha-\beta}\right)^{(2+\alpha+\beta) / 4} e^{i(\pi / 2)(1-\alpha)}\right) \\
= & \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}+\operatorname{Tan}^{-1} \frac{(1-|a|) \sigma(\alpha, \beta) \sin (\pi / 2)(1-\alpha)}{1+|a|+(1-|a|) \sigma(\alpha, \beta) \cos (\pi / 2)(1-\alpha)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\sigma(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2-\alpha-\beta}\left(\frac{2-\alpha-\beta}{2+\alpha+\beta}\right)^{(2+\alpha+\beta) / 4}
$$

This contradicts the assumption of the theorem.
For the case $z=z_{1}$, applying the same method as the above, we have

$$
\arg \left(1+\frac{z_{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{2}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)}\right) \leq-\frac{\pi \beta}{2}-\operatorname{Tan}^{-1} \frac{(1-|a|) \sigma(\alpha, \beta) \sin (\pi / 2)(1-\beta)}{1+|a|+(1-|a|) \sigma(\alpha, \beta) \cos (\pi / 2)(1-\beta)}
$$

This contradicts the assumption of the theorem. We complete the proof of the theorem.
Remark. Let us put $\alpha=\beta$ in the theorem. Then we have the result in [2].
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