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#### Abstract

Some new sequences are introduced which satisfy quadratie recurfence fules similar to these safisfied hy the classical Catalan numbers and the less well:knewn Motzkin numbers: For bach sequeriee the general tefm is expresezd as a sum of producto of Catelan numbers and generalized Fihonacei numhers, In addition, first-order asymptotic formulae are given for the mosa interesting cases.


## 1

The two-dimensional self-bonding of single-stranded nucleic acids (e,g. RNA) gives rise to what biologists call "secondary structure". Secondary structure largely determines the three-dimensional shape of the molecule and hence its function. Enumeration of the distinct secondary structures which can occur under various reasonable restrictions suggests a new class of recurrence rules whose solutions may be considered natural generalizations of the Catalan and Motzkin numbers. These new sequences are "elementary"; in fact, the general term can be given explicitly as a sum of products of Catalan numbers with suitably generalized Fibonacci numbers. In addition, the recurrences have the pleasant property of allowing a simple first-order analysis.

## 2

Let $m \geqslant 1$ be an integer, and consider the recurrence rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m+i}=S_{m+i-1}+S_{m+i-2}+\cdots+S_{i-1}+\sum_{i=0}^{m+j-2} S_{i} S_{m+i 2 i}, j \geqslant 1, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary values

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0}=S_{1}=\cdots=S_{m-1}=0, \quad S_{m}=1 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $m$ plays the role of a parameter, it is appropriate to write $S_{n}(m)$ for the $n$th term of the sequence. In the biological application, $S_{n}(m)$ is the number of dis inct secondary structures for a molecule of $n$ "elements" (e.g. bases), where a
bonding loop must contain at least $m$ internal elements. The case $m=1$ is that of greatest general interest, while $m=3$ or $m=4$ is the most realistic biologically; for an extensive discussion with examples, see [1] and [2]. As remarked in [1], an abstract mode! of secondary structure can be given in terms of points and lines. Let a set of $n$ points be thought of as lying on the $x$-axis, and let these be labelled $1,2, \ldots, n-1, n$ from left to right. Consider a subset of these points of cardinality $2 \mathrm{j}, 0 \leqslant 2 \mathrm{j}<n$. Let these $2 j$ points be completely paired by connecting them with $j$ arcs, each point of the subset being connected to exactly one other point of the subset, with no point incident on more than one arc. The following restrictions are imposed on this pairing:
(a) no two adjacent points (i.e. with labels $i, i+1$ ) can be connected by an arc, and
(b) no two arcs may intersect. This is the abstract model for $m=1$; for general $m$, any two points connected by an arc must be separated by at least in unpaired points.

It is clear that each allowed configuration can be specified by listing the pairs (ii) of connected points. Thus for $n=6, m=1$, the following 17 configurations occur:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j=0 \text { (no pairs) } 1 \text { configuration } \\
& j=1 \text { (i perr) (13),(14),(15),(16), } \\
& \text { (24), (25), (26), } 10 \text { configurations } \\
& \text { (35), (36), } \\
& \text { (46). } \\
& j=2 \text { (2 pairs) } \quad(13)(46),(15)(24),(16)(24), 6 \text { configurations } \\
& \text { (16) !25), (16) (35), (25) (35). }
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, with $m=2$ (and $n=6$ ) only 8 of the above configurations are allowed: the unpaired configuration, 6 of the single pairs (omit the leftmost pairing in each row), and the one double pairing (16) (25). If we take $m=3$, only 4 of these configurations survive, and so forth. Note that this enumeration d tins,uish 's between mir cor images, for example, the configurations (15) (24) and 1? '" '5; diove. The "symmetry reduced" problem, in which mirror images are ide.ntified, has not yet been considered, owing to lack of biological interest.

So far as the above combinatorial model is soncerned, the parameter value $m=1)$ makes perfect sense; it merely does away with the adjacency restrictions on the pairs of points which may be connected (of course the stricture that arcs may not cross remains). Formally, the recurrence rule and the boundary conditions become

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(0) \equiv S_{n}=S_{n-1}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} S_{i} S_{n-2-i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0}=1 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This recurrence rule generates the sequence $1,1,2,4,9,21$, $51,127,323,835, \ldots$, which is $\# 456$ is Sloane [3]. A recent comprehensive article [4] calls tr.ese integers-with some justification-the Motzkin numbers, and gives several combinatorial settings in which the numbers occur; superficially at least, the present setting is new. As remarked in [4], the Motzkin numbers $r r_{n}$ are given explicitly by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n}=S_{n}(0)=\sum_{j=0} c_{i+1}\binom{n}{2 j}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i+1}=\frac{1}{j+1}\binom{2 j}{j} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

the familiar Catalan numbers. We shall give our own derivation of Eq. (5) in Section 3 below.

Finally, we note that the derivation of Eq. (1) from the combinatorial model is immediate. Add a new point-on the right, say-to the previous set of $n$ points. If the new point (with label $n+1$ ) is not paired with any other point, the contribution to $S_{n+1}(m)$, the new total number of configurations, is $S_{n}(m)$. If the new point is paired with the leftmost point $j=1$, the contribution is $S_{n-1}(m)$, and so on until it is paired with a point whose label $j$ satisfies $m+3 \leqslant j \leqslant n-3$; then the point set is divided into two parts, on each of which nontrivial pairings can occur, giving rise to the nonvanishing quadratic terms in Eq. (1). Introducing the boundary conditions (2), we may write the recurrence in precisely the form (1). Thie same derivation holds for the special case $m=0$, yielding Eqs. (3) and (4).

For the convenience of the reader we give in Table 1 a short list of values of $S_{n}(m)$ for the range $0 \leqslant m \leqslant 6, m \leqslant n \leqslant 20$.

## 3. The explicit solution

For given $m \geqslant 1$ we write $S_{n}=S_{n}(m)$ and introduce the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\sum_{n=0} S_{n} x^{n}=x^{m}+\sum_{i=1} S_{m+i} x^{m+i}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

the second expression following from the boundary condition (2). Then, using the recurrence rule, we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{2}= & \left(S_{m+1}-S_{m}-S_{m-1}-\cdots-S_{0}\right) x^{m-1} \\
& +\left(S_{m+2}-S_{m+1}-S_{m}-\cdots-S_{1}\right) x^{\prime \prime} \\
& +\left(S_{m+3}-S_{m+2}-S_{m+1}-\cdots-S_{2}\right) x^{m+1}+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1. $S_{n}(m)$

| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 5 | 21 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 6 | 51 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | 127 | 37 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| 8 | 323 | 82 | 33 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 2 |
| 9 | 835 | 185 | 69 | 32 | 16 | 8 | 4 |
| 10 | 2188 | 423 | 146 | 65 | 32 | 16 | 8 |
| 11 | 5798 | 978 | 312 | 133 | 64 | 32 | 16 |
| 12 | 15511 | 2283 | 673 | 274 | 129 | 64 | 32 |
| 13 | 41835 | 5373 | 1463 | 568 | 261 | 128 | 64 |
| 14 | 113634 | 12735 | 3202 | 1184 | 530 | 257 | 128 |
| 15 | 310572 | 30372 | 7050 | 2481 | 1080 | 517 | 256 |
| 16 | 85,3467 | 72832 | 15605 | 5223 | 2208 | 1042 | 513 |
| 17 | 2356779 | 175502 | 34705 | 11042 | 4528 | 2104 | 1029 |
| 18 | 6536382 | 424748 | 77511 | 23434 | 9313 | 4256 | 2066 |
| 19 | 18199284 | 1032004 | 173779 | 49908 | 19207 | 8624 | 4152 |
| 20 | 50352019 | 2516347 | 390966 | 106633 | 39714 | 17504 | 8352 |

Rearranginc, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{2}= & \left(S_{m+1} x^{m} 1+S_{m+2} x^{m}+\cdots\right) \\
& -\left(S_{m} x^{m-1}+S_{m+1} x^{m}+\cdots\right) \\
& -\left(S_{m-1} x^{m-1}+S_{m} x^{m}+\cdots\right) \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot \\
& \cdot \\
& -\left(S_{0} x^{m-1}+S_{1} x^{m}+\cdots\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{x^{2}}\left(y-x^{m}\right)-\frac{1}{x} y-y-x y-\cdots-x^{m-1} y .
\end{aligned}
$$

j) Jefining

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r) \equiv \frac{1}{1-x-x^{2}-\cdots-x^{r}}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we write this functional equation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, y)=x^{2} y^{2}-y / T(m+1)+x^{m}=0 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This a!so holds for $m=0$. The formal solution of Eq. (9) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{1}{2 x^{2} T(m+1)}\left(1-\sqrt{1-4 x^{m+2} T^{2}(m+1)}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for arbitrary $p$ and $T$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\sqrt{1-2^{2} x^{p} T^{2}}\right)= \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\left[1-\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{1!} 2^{2} x^{p} T^{2}+\frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{2!} 2^{4} x^{2 p} T^{4}-\frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)}{3!} 2^{6} x^{3 p} T^{6}+\cdots\right]\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{1!} 2^{2} x^{p} T^{2}+\frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{2!} 2^{4} x^{2 p} T^{4}+\frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{3!} 2^{6} x^{3 p} T^{6}+\cdots\right) \\
& \quad=x^{p} T^{2}+\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2 j-2)!}{j!(j-1)!} x^{p j} T^{2 j} \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{i} x^{p i} T^{2 i}, \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

with $c_{i}$ the Catalan numbers (6). Eq. (10) then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{i+1} x^{(m+2) i+m} T^{2 j+1}(m+1) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now introduce the "convolved generalized Fibonacci numbers" $f_{n}(r, k)$ by means of the definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{k}(r)=\frac{1}{(1-x} \frac{1}{\left.-x^{2}-\cdots-x^{r}\right)^{k}}=\sum_{n=0} f_{n}(r, k) x^{n} ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

note that for $r=2, k=1$ these are the usual Fibonacci numbers.
On comparing Eqs. (12) and (7) we see that, in terms of the $f_{n}(r, k)$, the solution of the recurrence (1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(m)=\sum_{i=0} c_{i+1} f_{q}(m+1,2 j+1), \quad q \equiv n-m-m j-2 j \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition (13) it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(1, k)=\binom{k+n-1}{n} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence

$$
f_{n-2 i}(1,2 j+1)=\binom{n}{2 j}
$$

so that for $m=0$ Eq. (14) reciuces to Eq. (5), the explicit expression for the Motzkin numbers given in [4].

## 4

The numbers $f_{n}(r, k)$ are not extensively tabu ated. There are short tables in [3] for $r=2, k \leqslant 6$, but these contain some errors; one may also find there values of
$f_{n}(r, 1), 2 \leqslant r \leqslant 5$, for a small range of $n$. For $r=1$, of course, the $f_{n}(r, k)$ reduce to hinomial coefficients, as given in Eq. (15) above.

To get an explicit formula for $f_{n}(r, k)$, we start from the definition (13) and use the binomial theorem, obtaining

$$
T^{k}(r)=\sum_{i=0}\binom{k+j-1}{j} x^{i}\left(1+x+\cdots+x^{r-1}\right)^{i}
$$

To simplify the notation, we introduce the three-index constants $C_{r, j, i}$ by means of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+x+\cdots+x^{r-1}\right)^{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{(r-i) j} C_{r, j i,} x^{i}, \quad(j, r \geqslant 1) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{r, j, i}=\sum_{s=0}^{[i / r 1}(-1)^{s}\binom{j}{s}\binom{j+i-1-r s}{j-1}, \quad(0 \leqslant i \leqslant(r-1) j) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\boldsymbol{i}=\boldsymbol{n}-\boldsymbol{j}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r, j)=\sum_{i=0}\binom{k+j-1}{j} C_{r, j, n-i} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This form'ia is cseful for obtaining selected values of $f_{n}(r, k)$; to prepare a table, however, it is better to use the following recurrence rules.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \%(r, 1)=1 \\
& f_{1}(r, 1)=1 \\
& f_{2}(r, 1)=2 \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\cdot \\
f_{r}(r, 1)=2^{r-1}
\end{array}  \tag{19}\\
& \text { and for } j>r \\
& f_{i}(r, 1)=f_{i-1}(r, 1)+f_{i-2}(r, 1)+\cdots+f_{i-r}(r, 1) \text {. } \\
& j(r, k)=f_{0}(r, k-1)=1 \\
& f_{1}(r, k)=f_{1}(r, k-1)+f_{0}(r, k) \\
& f_{2}(r, l)=f_{2}(; k-1)+f_{1}(r, k)+f_{0}(r, k) \\
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
f_{r}(r, k)=f_{r}(r, k-1)+f_{r-1}(r, k)+\cdots+f_{0}(r, k) \\
\text { and for } j>r \\
f_{i}(r, k)=f_{j}(r, k-1)+f_{j-1}(r, k)+\cdots+f_{j-r}(r, k) .
\end{array}\right\} \quad k>1 \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

These rules follow trivially from Eq. (13) on setting $T^{k}(r)=T(r) T^{k-1}(r)$. In Table 2 we list values of $f_{n}(2,2 j+1)$ over a range just sufficient to check the values of

Table 2. $f_{n}(2,2 j+1)$

| $n$ | $f_{n}(2,1)$ | $f_{n}(2,3)$ | $f_{n}(2,5)$ | $f_{n}(2,7)$ | $f_{n}(2,9)$ | $f_{n}(2,11)$ | $f_{n}(2,13)$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 |
| 2 | 2 | 9 | 20 | 35 | 54 | 77 |  |
| 3 | 3 | 22 | 65 | 140 | 255 | 418 |  |
| 4 | 5 | 51 | 190 | 490 | 1035 | 1925 |  |
| 5 | 8 | 111 | 511 | 1554 | 3762 |  |  |
| 6 | 13 | 235 | 1295 | 4578 | 12573 |  |  |
| 7 | 21 | 474 | 3130 | 12720 | 39303 |  |  |
| 8 | 34 | 942 | 7285 | 33705 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 55 | 1836 | 16435 | 85855 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 89 | 3522 | 36122 | 211519 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 144 | 6666 | 77645 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 233 | 12473 | 163730 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 377 | 23109 | 339535 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 610 | 42447 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 987 | 77378 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 1597 | 140109 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 2584 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 4181 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 6765 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$S_{n}(1)$ given in Table 1, using Eq. (14). Note that the $f_{n}(2, k)$ that occur here are the "ordinary" convolved Fibonacci numbers studied by Riordan [5].

## 5. Asymptotics

We now give a first-order asympotic formula for $S_{n}(m)$. The only tool required is a theorem given by Bender in his review paper [6] (his Theorem 5). Bender apparently considers this theorem part of the mathematical folklore; for this reason we refer to it in the sequel as the "folklore theorem". Under contain analyticity conditions, which we omit (see [6]), this theorem asserts the following. Let $y(x)=\sum_{n=0} a_{n} x^{n}$ be the ordinary generating function of the sequence $a_{n}$ which is known to have the property $a_{\mathrm{n}}>0$ from some point on. Let y satisfy the functional equation $F(x, y)=0$. Finally, let $r>0, s>a_{0}$ be the unique real solutions of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(r, s)=0, \quad F_{v}(r, s)=0 . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n} \sim \sqrt{\frac{r F_{x}(r, s)}{2 \pi F_{y y}(r, s)}} n^{-\frac{3}{2} r^{-n}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present case the functional equation is (9), and the system (21) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{2} s^{2}-\left(1-r-r^{2}-\cdots-r^{m+1}\right) s+r^{m}=0, \\
& 2 r^{2} s-\left(1-r-r^{2}-\cdots-r^{m+1}\right)=0 \quad(r \neq 0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying the second equation by $s$ and subtracting the first equation from it, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2}=r^{m-2}, \quad 2 r^{(m+2) / 2}+r+\cdots+r^{m+1}=1 . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now consider some special cases.
(a) $m=0$. As remarked in Section 2, these are the Motzkin numbers, given explicitly by formula (5). The solution of (23) is $r=\frac{1}{3}$, and the folklore theorem gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(0) \sim \sqrt{\frac{3}{4 \pi}} n^{-\frac{3}{2}} 3^{n} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

At $n=149$, formula (24) yields $S_{149}(0) \sim 9.9395 \times 10^{67}$; the correct value, to this accuracy, is $9.7792 \times 10^{67}$.
(b) $m=1$. This is the case of geatest general interest (cf. [1, 2]). From formula (14) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(1)=\sum_{i=0} c_{i+1} f_{n-3 i-1}^{(2,2 j+1)} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

To get the asymptotic formula, we first observe that the system (23) reduces to

$$
s^{2}=1 / r, \quad 2 r^{\frac{3}{2}}+r+r^{2}=1,
$$

whence $s^{4}-s^{2}-2 s-1=0=\left(s^{2}-s-1\right)\left(s^{2}+s+1\right)$. The appropriate root is $s=$ $\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{5})$, so that $1 / r=\frac{1}{2}(3+\sqrt{5})$. Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{x}(r, s)=2 r s^{2}+(1+2 r) s+1=3+s+2 r s=\frac{5+3 \sqrt{5}}{2} \\
& F_{y y}(r, s)=2 r^{2}=7-3 \sqrt{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitutior of these values into (22) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}\left(1 ; \sim \sqrt{\frac{15+7 \sqrt{5}}{8 \pi}} n^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n} .\right. \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

it seems quite laborious to derive (26) directly from (25), that is, without using the folklore theorem. At $n=150$ this expression gives $S_{n}(1) \sim 2.9872 \times 10^{59}$; the correct value, to this accuracy, is $2.9397 \times 10^{59}$.
(c) $m=2$. From Eq. (14),

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(2)=\sum_{i=0} c_{i+1} j_{n-4 i-2}^{(3,2 j+1)} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sy:tem (23) becomes

$$
s=1, \quad r^{3}+3 r^{2}+r-1=0=(r+1)\left(r^{2}+2 r-1\right)
$$

so that $r=\sqrt{2}-1,1 / r=1+\sqrt{2}$, and

$$
F_{x}(r, s)=1+6 r+3 r^{2}=4, \quad F_{y y}(r, s)=6-4 \sqrt{2}
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(2) \sim \sqrt{\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{\pi}} n^{-\frac{3}{2}}(1+\sqrt{2})^{n} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluating at $n=150, S_{n}(2) \sim 1.2446 \times 10^{54}$; the correct value, to this accuracy is $1.2233 \times 10^{54}$.

For $m \geqslant 3$, the system (23) must be solved numerically. More, however, can be said about the behaviour of the root $r=r(m)$ as $m$ increases. The following three theorems, which we state without proof, are due to C.J. Everett (private communication).

Theorem 1. For finite $m, r(m)<\frac{1}{2}$.
Theorem 2. $r(m)$ is monotone increasing with $m$.
Theorem 3. $r(m) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$ from below as $m \rightarrow \infty$.
The behavior implied by these theorems is illustrated in Table 3.
Table 3

| $m$ | $r(m)$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| 0 | 0.333333333 |
| 1 | 0.381966011 |
| 2 | 0.414213562 |
| 3 | 0.436911127 |
| 4 | 0.453397652 |
| 5 | 0.465571232 |
| 6 | 0.474626618 |
| 7 | 0.481373188 |
| 8 | 0.486389036 |
| 9 | 0.490102038 |
| 10 | 0.492835560 |
| 11 | 0.494836199 |
| 12 | 0.496292071 |


| $m$ | $r(m)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 13 | 0.497345948 |
| 14 | 0.498105305 |
| 15 | 0.498650302 |
| 16 | 0.499040180 |
| 17 | 0.499318358 |
| 18 | 0.499516421 |
| 19 | 0.499657210 |
| 20 | 0.499757161 |
| 21 | 0.499828048 |
| 22 | 0.499878286 |
| 23 | 0.499913869 |
| 24 | 0.499939061 |
| 25 | 0.499956892 |

## 6. Generalizations

The Catalan numbers themselves satisfy the recurrence rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S_{i} S_{n-1-i}, \quad S_{0}=1 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

explicitly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=c_{n+1}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The enumerative interpretations of $c_{n}$ (bracketing, trees, etc.) are too well known to require restatement here. With $y=\sum_{i=0} S_{j} x^{j}$, the functional equation resulting from (29) is $x y^{2}-y+1=0$, so that

$$
y=\frac{1}{2 x}(1-\sqrt{1-4 x})
$$

and formula (30; follows on expanding the radical (cf eq. (11)). The folklore theorem gives

$$
S_{n} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} 4^{n},
$$

and this agrees with the result of applying Stirling's approximation to (30).
The sequences (14) considered ir this paper can clearly be thought of as generalizations of the Catalan numbers despite the fact that there is no value of the parameter $m$ for which the recurrence rule (1) takes the form (29). These "generalized Catalan numbers" preserve the "elementary" character of the original $c_{n}$. Other, quite natural, generalizations need not have this property, for example

$$
S_{n+1}(b)=(n+b) \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{i}(b) S_{n+1-i}(b), \quad S_{1}(b)=1 \quad(b \geqslant 0) .
$$

(See [7] for a discussion of these nonelementary sequences).
Further elementary generalizations of $c_{n}$ are obtained by introducing a second parameter $t$ into the recurrence (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m+i}^{(m, 1)} \equiv S_{m+i}=S_{m+j-1}+\cdots+S_{i-1}+\sum_{i=0}^{t+j-1} S_{i} S_{t+j-1-i}, \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant m \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

With ine same boundary conditions (2). The sequences $S_{n}(m)$ studied above $c r-\operatorname{lo}$ taking $t=m-1$. Proceeding as in Section 3, we find the functional cquation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, y)=x^{m+1 \cdot t} y^{2}-\frac{1}{T(m-1)} y+x^{m}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is evident from both (31) and ( $(3)$ that this generalization includes all the cases studied earilier except the Motzkin numbers and, of course, the Catalan numbers themselves.

Solving Eq. (32) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{1}{x^{p-m} T} \cdot \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\sqrt{1-4 x^{p} T^{2}}\right), \quad T=T(m+1), \quad p=2 m+1-t . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (11) gives the general solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(m, t)=\sum_{j=0} c_{i+1} f_{q}(m+1,2 j+1), \quad q=n-m-(2 m+1-t) j, \quad n \geqslant m . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameters $r$, $s$ of the folklore theorem are determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2}=r^{t-1^{\prime}}, \quad 2 r^{m+1-t} s-\left(1-r-\cdots-r^{m+1}\right)=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Examples. (a) $m=0, t=0$. The recurrence rule is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}=S_{j-1}+\sum_{i=0}^{i-1} S_{i} S_{j-1-i}, \quad S_{0}=1 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the functional equation is

$$
x y^{2}-\frac{y}{T(1)}+1=0
$$

From (34) we get the explicit solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(0,0)=\sum_{j=0} c_{i+1}\binom{n+j}{2 j} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

This sequence $1,2,6,22,90,394, \ldots$ is probably new; at least it cannot be found in [3] or its supplement. The appropriate solutions of the system (35) for this case are $s=1+\sqrt{2}, 1 / r=s^{2}=3+2 \sqrt{2}$, and these lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(0,0) \sim \sqrt{\frac{4+3 \sqrt{2}}{4 \pi}} n^{-\frac{3}{2}}(3+2 \sqrt{2})^{n} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) $m=2, t=0$ (N.B. $m=1, t=0$ is $S_{n}(1)$, previously studied). The recurrence is

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{n+2}(2,0) \equiv S_{n+2}=S_{n+1}+S_{n}+S_{n-1}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S_{i} S_{n-1-i}  \tag{39}\\
& S_{0}=S_{1}=0, \quad S_{2}=1
\end{align*}
$$

From (32) and (34) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(x, y)=x^{3} y^{2}-\frac{y}{T(3)}+x^{2}=0  \tag{40}\\
& S_{n}(2,0)=\sum_{i=0} c_{i+1} f_{n-5 j-2}^{(3,2 j+1)} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

After simplification, the system determining the folklore theorem parameters reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=1 / s^{2}, \quad s^{5}-s^{4}-s-1=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Numerical solution yields $s=1.49709405$, so that $1 / r=2.2412906$; this is, of course, the limit of the ratio $S_{n+1} / S_{n}$ for this case.

We do not take space for further examples. It is worth remarking, however, that the sequences (34) appear to be new (i.e. not in [3]). Unfortunately, we do not at present have a combinatorial interpretation for any of these numbers, with the exception of the subset given by Eq. (14).
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