Topology and its Application

brought to you by  $\mathbb{X}$ 

CORE

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Topology and its Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/topol

# Very I-favorable spaces

### A. Kucharski<sup>a,\*</sup>, Sz. Plewik<sup>a</sup>, V. Valov<sup>b,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Mathematics, University of Silesia, ul. Bankowa 14, 40-007 Katowice, Poland

<sup>b</sup> Department of Computer Science and Mathematics, Nipissing University, 100 College Drive, P.O. Box 5002, North Bay, ON, P1B 8L7, Canada

#### ARTICLE INFO

MSC: primary 54B35, 91A44 secondary 54C10

Keywords: Inverse system Very I-favorable space Skeletal map  $\kappa$ -Metrizable compact space d-Open map

### ABSTRACT

We prove that a Hausdorff space X is very I-favorable if and only if X is the almost limit space of a  $\sigma$ -complete inverse system consisting of (not necessarily Hausdorff) second countable spaces and surjective d-open bonding maps. It is also shown that the class of Tychonoff very I-favorable spaces with respect to the co-zero sets coincides with the d-openly generated spaces.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

The classes of I-favorable and very I-favorable spaces were introduced by P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou [2]. Let us recall the corresponding definitions. Two players are playing the so-called *open-open game* in a space  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$ , a round consists of player I choosing a nonempty open set  $U \subset X$  and player II a nonempty open set  $V \subset U$ ; I wins if the union of II's open sets is dense in X, otherwise II wins. A space X is called I-*favorable* if player I has a winning strategy. This means that there exists a function  $\sigma : \bigcup \{\mathcal{T}_X^n : n \ge 0\} \to \mathcal{T}_X$  such that for each game

 $\sigma(\emptyset), B_0, \sigma(B_0), B_1, \sigma(B_0, B_1), B_2, \dots, B_n, \sigma(B_0, \dots, B_n), B_{n+1}, \dots$ 

the union  $\bigcup_{n \ge 0} B_n$  is dense in X, where  $\emptyset \neq \sigma(\emptyset) \in \mathcal{T}_X$  and  $B_{k+1} \subset \sigma(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_k) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\emptyset \neq B_k \in \mathcal{T}_X$  for  $k \ge 0$ .

A family  $\mathcal{C} \subset [\mathcal{T}_X]^{\leq \omega}$  is said to be a *club* if: (i)  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under increasing  $\omega$ -chains, i.e., if  $C_1 \subset C_2 \subset \cdots$  is an increasing  $\omega$ -chain from  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $\bigcup_{n\geq 1} C_n \in \mathcal{C}$ ; (ii) for any  $B \in [\mathcal{T}_X]^{\leq \omega}$  there exists  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $B \subset C$ .

Let us recall [7, p. 218], that  $C \subset_C T_X$  means that for any nonempty  $V \in T_X$  there exists  $W \in C$  such that if  $U \in C$  and  $U \subset W$ , then  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . A space X is I-*favorable* if and only if the family

 $\left\{ \mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{T}_X]^{\leqslant \omega} \colon \mathcal{P} \subset_c \mathcal{T}_X \right\}$ 

contains a club, see [2, Theorem 1.6].

A space X is called very I-favorable if the family

 $\{\mathcal{P}\in[\mathcal{T}_X]^{\leqslant\omega}\colon\mathcal{P}\subset_!\mathcal{T}_X\}$ 

contains a club. Here,  $\mathcal{P} \subset_! \mathcal{T}_X$  means that for any  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P}$  and  $x \notin cl_X \bigcup \mathcal{S}$ , there exists  $W \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $x \in W$  and  $W \cap \bigcup \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$ . It is easily seen that  $\mathcal{P} \subset_! \mathcal{T}_X$  implies  $\mathcal{P} \subset_c \mathcal{T}_X$ .

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: akuchar@ux2.math.us.edu.pl (A. Kucharski), plewik@math.us.edu.pl (Sz. Plewik), veskov@nipissingu.ca (V. Valov).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The author was supported by NSERC Grant 261914-08.

<sup>0166-8641/\$ –</sup> see front matter  $\,\,\odot$  2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.topol.2011.05.017  $\,$ 

It was shown by the first two authors in [5] that a compact Hausdorff space is I-favorable if and only if it can be represented as the limit of a  $\sigma$ -complete (in the sense of Shchepin [10]) inverse system consisting of I-favorable compact metrizable spaces and skeletal bonding maps, see also [4] and [6]. For similar characterization of I-favorable spaces with respect to co-zero sets, see [14]. Recall that a continuous map  $f: X \to Y$  is called *skeletal* if the set Int<sub>Y</sub> cl<sub>Y</sub> f(U) is nonempty, for any  $U \in T_X$ , see [8].

In this paper we show that there exists an analogy between the relations I-favorable spaces-skeletal maps and very I-favorable spaces-d-open maps (see Section 2 for the definition of d-open maps). The following two theorems are our main results:

**Theorem 3.3.** A Hausdorff space X is very I-favorable if and only if  $X = a - \lim_{n \to \infty} S$ , where  $S = \{X_A, q_A^A, C\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete inverse system such that all  $X_A$  are (not-necessarily Hausdorff) spaces with countable weight and the bonding maps  $q_A^A$  are d-open and onto.

**Theorem 4.1.** A completely regular space X is very 1-favorable with respect to the co-zero sets if and only if X is d-openly generated.

We say that a space *X* is an almost limit of the inverse system  $S = \{X_{\sigma}, \pi_{\rho}^{\sigma}, \Gamma\}$ , if *X* can be embedded in  $\varprojlim S$  such that  $\pi_{\sigma}(X) = X_{\sigma}$  for each  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ . We denote this by X = a-lim S, and it implies that X is a dense subset of lim S. A completely regular space X is d-openly generated if there exists a  $\sigma$ -complete inverse system  $S = \{X_{\sigma}, \pi_{\varrho}^{\sigma}, \Gamma\}$  consisting of separable metric spaces  $X_{\sigma}$  and d-open surjective bonding maps  $\pi_{\varrho}^{\sigma}$  such that  $X = a - \lim_{\sigma} S$ .

Theorem 4.1 implies the following characterization of  $\kappa$ -metrizable compacta (see Corollary 4.3), which provides an answer of a question from [14]: A compact Hausdorff space is very I-favorable with respect to the co-zero sets if and only if X is  $\kappa$ -metrizable.

#### 2. Very I-favorable spaces and d-open maps

T. Byczkowski and R. Pol [1] introduced nearly open sets and nearly open maps as follows. A subset of a topological space is nearly open if it is in the interior of its closure. A map is nearly open if the image of every open subset is nearly open. Continuous nearly open maps were called d-open by M. Tkachenko [12]. Obviously, every d-open map is skeletal.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$  be topological spaces and  $f: X \to Y$  a continuous function. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) f is d-open;

- (2)  $\operatorname{cl}_X f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl}_Y V)$  for any open  $V \subset Y$ ; (3)  $f(U) \subset \operatorname{Int}_Y \operatorname{cl}_Y f(U)$  for every open subset  $U \subset X$ ;
- (4)  $\{f^{-1}(V): V \in \mathcal{T}_Y\} \subset \mathcal{T}_X.$

**Proof.** The implication  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  was established in [12, Lemma 5]. Obviously  $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Let us prove the implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Suppose  $U \subset X$  is open. Then we have  $X \setminus f^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}_Y \operatorname{cl}_Y f(U)) \subset X \setminus U$ . Indeed,  $Y \setminus \operatorname{Int}_Y \operatorname{cl}_Y f(U) = \operatorname{cl}_Y(Y \setminus \operatorname{cl}_Y f(U))$ and by (2) we get

$$f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl}_{Y}(Y \setminus \operatorname{cl}_{Y} f(U))) = \operatorname{cl}_{X}(f^{-1}(Y \setminus \operatorname{cl}_{Y} f(U))).$$

But  $cl_X(f^{-1}(Y \setminus cl_Y f(U))) = cl_X(X \setminus f^{-1}(cl_Y f(U)))$  and

$$X \setminus f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl}_Y f(U)) \subset X \setminus \operatorname{cl}_X f^{-1}(f(U)) \subset X \setminus \operatorname{cl}_X U \subset X \setminus U.$$

Hence  $f(U) \cap Y \setminus \operatorname{Int}_Y \operatorname{cl}_Y f(U) = \emptyset$  and  $f(U) \subset \operatorname{Int}_Y \operatorname{cl}_Y f(U)$ .

To show (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2), assume that  $\{f^{-1}(V): V \in \mathcal{T}_Y\} \subset \mathcal{T}_X$ . Since f is continuous we get  $\operatorname{cl}_X f^{-1}(V) \subset f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl}_Y V)$  for any open set  $V \subset Y$ . We shall show that  $f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl}_Y V) \subset \operatorname{cl}_X f^{-1}(V)$  for any open  $V \subset Y$ . Suppose there exists an open set  $V \subset Y$ . such that

$$f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl}_{Y} V) \setminus \operatorname{cl}_{X} f^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let  $x \in f^{-1}(\operatorname{cl}_Y V) \setminus \operatorname{cl}_X f^{-1}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{S} = \{f^{-1}(V)\}$ . Since  $x \notin \operatorname{cl}_X \bigcup \mathcal{S} = \operatorname{cl}_X f^{-1}(V)$ , there is an open set  $U \in \mathcal{B}_Y$  such that  $x \in f^{-1}(U)$  and  $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $f(x) \in U \cap \operatorname{cl}_Y V$  which contradicts  $V \cap U = \emptyset$ . Finally, we can show that (2) yields  $\{f^{-1}(V): V \in \mathcal{T}_Y\} \subset \mathcal{T}_X$ . Indeed, let  $\mathcal{S} \subset \{f^{-1}(V): V \in \mathcal{T}_Y\}$  and  $x \notin \operatorname{cl}_X \bigcup \mathcal{S}$ . Then

there is  $U \in \mathcal{T}_Y$  such that  $\bigcup S = f^{-1}(U)$ . Hence,  $cl_X \bigcup S = f^{-1}(cl_Y U)$ . Put

$$W = f^{-1}(Y \setminus \operatorname{cl}_Y U).$$

We have  $x \in W$  and  $W \cap cl_X \bigcup S = \emptyset$ .  $\Box$ 

**Remark 2.2.** If, under the hypotheses of Proposition 2.1, there exists a base  $\mathcal{B}_Y \subset \mathcal{T}_Y$  with  $\{f^{-1}(V): V \in \mathcal{B}_Y\} \subset \mathcal{T}_X$ , then f is d-open.

Indeed, we can follow the proof of the implication (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) from Proposition 2.1. The only difference is the choice of the family S. If there exists  $x \in f^{-1}(cl_Y V) \setminus cl_X f^{-1}(V)$  for some open  $V \subset Y$ , we choose  $S = \{f^{-1}(W): W \in B_Y \text{ and } W \subset V\}$ . Next lemma was established in [12, Lemma 9].

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$  be continuous maps with f being surjective. Then g is d-open provided so is  $g \circ f$ .  $\Box$ 

Let X be a topological space equipped with a topology  $\mathcal{T}_X$  and  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{T}_X$ . Suppose that there exists a function  $\sigma : \bigcup \{\mathcal{Q}^n : n \ge 0\} \to \mathcal{Q}$  such that if  $B_0, B_1, \ldots$  is a sequence of nonempty elements of  $\mathcal{Q}$  with  $B_0 \subset \sigma(\emptyset)$  and  $B_{n+1} \subset \sigma((B_0, B_1, \ldots, B_n))$  for all  $n \in \omega$ , then  $\{B_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{\sigma((B_0, B_1, \ldots, B_n)) : n \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{Q}$ . The function  $\sigma$  is called a *strong winning strategy in*  $\mathcal{Q}$ . If  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{T}_X$ ,  $\sigma$  is called a *strong winning strategy*. It is clear that if  $\sigma$  is strong winning strategy, then it is a winning strategy for player I in the open-open game.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\sigma : \bigcup \{Q^n : n \ge 0\} \to Q$  be a strong winning strategy in Q, where Q is a family of open subsets of X. Then  $\mathcal{P} \subset Q$  for every family  $\mathcal{P} \subset Q$  such that  $\mathcal{P}$  is closed under  $\sigma$  and finite intersections.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{Q}$  be closed under  $\sigma$  and finite intersections. Fix a family  $S \subset \mathcal{P}$  and  $x \notin cl \bigcup S$ . If  $\sigma(\emptyset) \cap \bigcup S \neq \emptyset$ , then take an element  $U \in S$  such that  $\sigma(\emptyset) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  and put  $V_0 = \sigma(\emptyset) \cap U \in \mathcal{P}$ . If  $\sigma(\emptyset) \cap \bigcup S = \emptyset$ , then put  $V_0 = \sigma(\emptyset) \in \mathcal{P}$ . Assume that sets  $V_0, \ldots, V_n \in \mathcal{P}$  are just defined. If  $\sigma(V_0, \ldots, V_n) \cap \bigcup S \neq \emptyset$ , then take an element  $U \in S$  such that  $\sigma(V_0, \ldots, V_n) \cap \bigcup S \neq \emptyset$ , then take an element  $U \in S$  such that  $\sigma(V_0, \ldots, V_n) \cap \bigcup S \neq \emptyset$ , then take an element  $U \in S$  such that  $\sigma(V_0, \ldots, V_n) \cap \bigcup S \neq \emptyset$ , then take an element  $U \in S$  such that  $\sigma(V_0, \ldots, V_n) \cap \bigcup S \neq \emptyset$ , then put  $V_{n+1} = \sigma(V_0, \ldots, V_n) \in \mathcal{P}$ . Take a subfamily

 $\mathcal{U} = \left\{ V_k \colon V_k \cap \bigcup S \neq \emptyset \text{ and } k \in \omega \right\} \subset \mathcal{Q}.$ 

Since  $\sigma$  is strong strategy, then  $\bigcup \{V_n : n \in \omega\}$  is dense in *X*. Hence  $\operatorname{cl} \bigcup \mathcal{U} = \operatorname{cl} \bigcup S$ . Since  $\{V_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{\sigma((V_0, V_1, \dots, V_n)): n \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{Q}$  there exists  $V \in \{V_n : n \in \omega\} \cup \{\sigma((V_0, V_1, \dots, V_n)): n \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{P}$  such that  $x \in V$  and  $V \cap \bigcup S = \emptyset$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 2.5.** Let X be a topological space and  $Q \subset T_X$  be a family closed under finite intersection. Then there is a strong winning strategy  $\sigma : \bigcup \{Q^n : n \ge 0\} \to Q$  in Q if and only if the family  $\{P \in [Q]^{\leq \omega} : P \subset Q\}$  contains a club C such that every  $A \in C$  is closed under finite intersections.

**Proof.** If there is a club  $C \subset \{\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{Q}]^{\leq \omega}: \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{Q}\}$ , then following the arguments from [2, Theorem 1.6] one can construct a strong winning strategy in  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

Suppose there exists a strong winning strategy  $\sigma : \bigcup \{Q^n : n \ge 0\} \to Q$ . Let C be the family of all countable subfamilies  $A \subset Q$  such that A is closed under  $\sigma$  and finite intersections. The family  $C \subset [Q]^{\leq \omega}$  is a club. Obviously, C is closed under increasing  $\omega$ -chains. If  $B \in [Q]^{\leq \omega}$ , there exists a countable family  $A_B \subset Q$  which contains B and is closed under  $\sigma$  and finite intersections. So,  $A_B \in C$ . According to Lemma 2.4,  $A \subset Q$  for all  $A \in C$ .  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.6.** A Hausdorff space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is very 1-favorable if and only if the family  $\{\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{T}]^{\leq \omega}: \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{T}\}$  contains a club  $\mathcal{C}$  with the following properties:

(i) every  $A \in C$  covers X and it is closed under finite intersections;

(ii) for any two different points  $x, y \in X$  there exists  $A \in C$  containing two disjoint elements  $U_x, U_y \in A$  with  $x \in U_x$  and  $y \in U_y$ ; (iii)  $\bigcup C = T$ .  $\Box$ 

The next proposition shows that every space *X* having a base  $\mathcal{B}_X$  such that the family  $\{\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}_X] \leq \omega : \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{B}_X\}$  contains a club is very l-favorable.

**Proposition 2.7.** If there exists a base  $\mathcal{B}$  of X such that the family  $\{\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{\leq \omega}: \mathcal{P} \subset_! \mathcal{B}\}$  contains a club, then the family  $\{\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{T}_X]^{\leq \omega}: \mathcal{P} \subset_! \mathcal{T}_X\}$  contains a club too.

**Proof.** If there exists a base  $\mathcal{B}$  of X such that the family { $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{\leq \omega}$ :  $\mathcal{P} \subset [\mathcal{B}]$  contains a club, then there exists a strong winning strategy in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Therefore, player I has winning strategy in the open-open game  $G(\mathcal{B})$  (i.e., the open-open game when each player chooses a set from  $\mathcal{B}$ ). This implies that X satisfies the countable chain condition, otherwise the strategy for player II to choose at each stage a nonempty subset of a member of a fixed uncountable maximal disjoint collection of elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  is winning (see [2, Theorem 1.1(ii)] for a similar situation). Consequently, every nonempty open subset  $G \subset X$  contains a countable disjoint open family whose union is dense in G (just take a maximal disjoint open family in G).

Now, for each element  $U \in \mathcal{T}_X \setminus \mathcal{B}$  we assign a countable family  $\mathcal{A}_U \subset \mathcal{B}$  of pairwise disjoint open subsets of U such that  $\operatorname{cl} \bigcup \mathcal{A}_U = \operatorname{cl} U$ . If  $U \in \mathcal{B}$ , then we assign  $\mathcal{A}_U = \{U\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \{\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{\leq \omega}: \mathcal{P} \subset [\mathcal{B}\}$  be a club. Put

$$\mathcal{C}' = \{ A \cup \mathcal{Q} \colon \mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } A \in [\mathcal{T}_X]^{\leqslant \omega} \text{ with } \mathcal{A}_U \subset \mathcal{Q} \text{ for all } U \in A \}.$$

First, observe that if  $A \cup Q_A \subset D \cup Q_D$  and  $A \cup Q_A$ ,  $D \cup Q_D \in C'$ , then  $Q_A \subset Q_D$ . Indeed, if  $U \in Q_A \subset B$  then  $U \in D \cup Q_D$ and  $U \in B$ . If  $U \in D$ , then we get  $\{U\} = A_U \subset Q_D$  (i.e.  $U \in Q_D$ ). Therefore, if we have a chain  $\{A_n \cup Q_{A_n}: n \in \omega\} \subset C'$ , then

$$\bigcup \{A_n \cup \mathcal{Q}_{A_n} \colon n \in \omega\} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n \cup \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{Q}_{A_n} \in \mathcal{C}'.$$

The absorbing property (i.e. for every  $A \in [\mathcal{T}_X]^{\leq \omega}$  there is an element  $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}'$  such that  $A \subset \mathcal{P}$ ) for  $\mathcal{C}'$  is obvious. So,  $\mathcal{C}' \subset [\mathcal{T}_X]^{\leq \omega}$  is a club.

It remains to prove that  $A \cup Q \subset T_X$  for every  $A \cup Q \in C'$ . Fix a subfamily  $S \subset A \cup Q$  and  $x \notin cl \bigcup S$ . Define

$$\mathcal{S}' = \{ U \in \mathcal{S} \colon U \in \mathcal{Q} \} \cup \bigcup \{ \mathcal{A}_U \colon U \in A \}$$

and note that  $cl \bigcup S = cl \bigcup S'$ . The last equality follows from the inclusion  $\bigcup S' \subset \bigcup S$  and the fact that  $\bigcup A_U$  is dense in U for every  $U \in A$ . So, if  $x \notin cl \bigcup S$  then  $x \notin cl \bigcup S'$ . Since  $S' \subset Q \in C$  there is  $G \in Q$  such that  $x \in G$  and  $G \cap cl \bigcup S' = \emptyset$ .  $\Box$ 

If X is a completely regular space, then  $\Sigma_X$  denotes the collection of all co-zero sets in X.

**Corollary 2.8.** Let X be a completely regular space and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \Sigma_X$  a base for X. If  $\{\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{\leq \omega} : \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{B}\}$  contains a club, then the family  $\{\mathcal{P} \in [\Sigma_X]^{\leq \omega} : \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{D}_X\}$  contains a club too.

**Proof.** The proof of previous proposition works in the present situation. The only modification is that for each  $U \in \Sigma_X \setminus \mathcal{B}$  we assign a countable family  $\mathcal{A}_U \subset \mathcal{B}$  of pairwise disjoint co-zero subsets of U such that  $cl \bigcup \mathcal{A}_U = cl U$ . Such  $\mathcal{A}_U$  exists. For example, any maximal disjoint family of elements from  $\mathcal{B}$  which are contained in U can serve as  $\mathcal{A}_U$ . The new club is the family

 $\mathcal{C}' = \{ A \cup \mathcal{Q} \colon \mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } A \in [\Sigma_X]^{\leq \omega} \text{ with } \mathcal{A}_U \subset \mathcal{Q} \text{ for all } U \in A \},\$ 

where  $C \subset \{\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{\leq \omega}: \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{B}\}$  is a club.  $\Box$ 

#### 3. Inverse systems with d-open bounding maps

Recall some facts from [5]. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an open family in a topological space X and  $x, y \in X$ . We say that  $x \sim_{\mathcal{P}} y$  if and only if  $x \in V \Leftrightarrow y \in V$  for every  $V \in \mathcal{P}$ . The family of all sets  $[x]_{\mathcal{P}} = \{y: y \sim_{\mathcal{P}} x\}$  is denoted by  $X/\mathcal{P}$ . There exists a mapping  $q: X \to X/\mathcal{P}$  defined by  $q[x] = [x]_{\mathcal{P}}$ . The set  $X/\mathcal{P}$  is equipped with the topology  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}$  generated by all images  $q(V), V \in \mathcal{P}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** ([5, Lemma 1]) The mapping  $q: X \to X/\mathcal{P}$  is continuous provided  $\mathcal{P}$  is an open family X which is closed under finite intersection. Moreover, if  $X = \bigcup \mathcal{P}$ , then the family  $\{q(V): V \in \mathcal{P}\}$  is a base for the topology  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.2.** Let a space X be the limit of an inverse system  $\{X_{\sigma}, \pi_{\varrho}^{\sigma}, \Sigma\}$  with surjective projections  $\pi_{\sigma} : X \to X_{\sigma}$ . Then the bonding maps  $\pi_{\varrho}^{\sigma}$  are d-open if and only if each  $\pi_{\sigma}$  is d-open.

**Proof.** Assume all  $\pi_{\varrho}^{\sigma}$  are d-open. We are going to prove that any projection  $\pi_{\rho}$  is d-open. It suffices to show that  $\pi_{\rho}((\pi_{\sigma})^{-1}(U))$  is dense in some open subset of  $X_{\rho}$  for any open  $U \subset X_{\sigma}$ , where  $\sigma \ge \rho$ . Since  $\pi_{\rho}^{\sigma}$  is d-open and  $\pi_{\rho}((\pi_{\sigma})^{-1}(U)) = \pi_{\rho}^{\sigma}(U)$ ,  $\pi_{\rho}$  is d-open. Conversely, if the limit projections are d-open, then, by Lemma 2.3, the bonding maps are also d-open.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.3.** A Hausdorff space X is very I-favorable if and only if  $X = a - \lim_{B \to \infty} S$ , where  $S = \{X_A, q_B^A, C\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete inverse system such that all  $X_A$  are (not-necessarily Hausdorff) spaces with countable weight and the bonding maps  $q_B^A$  are d-open and onto.

**Proof.** Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is very I-favorable. By Corollary 2.6, there exists a club  $\mathcal{C} \subset \{\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{T}]^{\leq \omega}: \mathcal{P} \subset [\mathcal{T}]\}$  satisfying conditions (i)–(iii). For every  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  consider the space  $X_A = X/A$  and the map  $q_A : X \to X_A$ . Since each A is a cover of X closed under finite intersections, by Lemma 3.1,  $q_A$  is a continuous surjection and  $\{q_A(U): U \in A\}$  is a contrable base for  $X_A$ . Moreover,  $q_A^{-1}(q_A(U)) = U$  for all  $U \in A$ , see [5]. This, according to Remark 2.2, implies that each  $q_A$  is d-open (recall that  $A \subset [\mathcal{T}]$ . If  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $B \subset A$ , then there exists a map  $q_B^A : X_A \to X_B$  which is continuous because  $(q_B^A)^{-1}(q_B(U)) = q_A(U)$  for every  $U \in B$ . The maps  $q_B^A$  are also d-open, see Lemma 3.2. In this way we obtained the inverse system  $S = \{X_A, q_B^A, \mathcal{C}\}$ 

consisting of spaces with countable weight and d-open bonding maps. Since C is closed under increasing chains, S is  $\sigma$ -complete. It remains to show that the map  $h: X \to \varprojlim S$ ,  $h(x) = (q_A(x))_{A \in C}$ , is an embedding. Let  $\pi_A: \varprojlim S \to X_A$ ,  $A \in C$ , be the limit projections of S. The family  $\{\pi_A^{-1}(q_A(U)): U \in A, A \in C\}$  is a base for the topology of  $\varprojlim S$ . Since  $h^{-1}(\pi_A^{-1}(q_A(U))) = U$  for any  $U \in A \in C$ , h is continuous and h(X) is dense in  $\varprojlim S$ . Because C satisfies condition (ii) (see Corollary 2.6), h is one-to-one. Finally, since  $h(U) = h(X) \cap \pi_A^{-1}(q_A(U))$  for any  $U \in A \in C$  (see [5, the proof of Theorem 11]) and C contains a base for  $\mathcal{T}$ , h is an embedding.

Suppose now that  $X = a - \lim_{i \to \infty} S$ , where  $S = \{X_A, q_B^A, C\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete inverse system such that all  $X_A$  are spaces with countable weight and the bonding maps  $q_B^A$  are d-open and onto. Then, by Lemma 3.2, all limit projections  $\pi_A : \lim_{i \to \infty} S \to X_A$ ,  $A \in C$ , are d-open. Since X is dense in  $\lim_{i \to \infty} S$ , any restriction  $q_A = \pi_A | X : X \to X_A$  is also d-open. Moreover, all  $q_A$  are surjective (see the definition of a-lim). Then, according to Proposition 2.1,  $\{q_A^{-1}(U): U \in \mathcal{T}_A\} \subset \mathcal{T}$ , where  $\mathcal{T}_A$  is the topology of  $X_A$ . Consequently, if  $\mathcal{B}_A$  is a countable base for  $\mathcal{T}_A$ , we have  $\mathcal{P}_A = \{q_A^{-1}(U): U \in \mathcal{B}_A\} \subset \mathcal{T}$ . The last relation implies  $\mathcal{P}_A \subset \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_A: A \in C\}$  being a base for  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let us show that  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{P}_A: A \in C\}$  is a club in  $\{\mathcal{Q} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{\leq \omega}: \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{B}\}$ . Since S is  $\sigma$ -complete, the supremum of any increasing sequence from C is again in C. This implies that  $\mathcal{P}$  is closed under increasing chains. So, it remains to prove that for every countable family  $\{U_j: j = 1, 2, \ldots\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  there exists  $A \in C$  with  $U_j \in \mathcal{P}_A$  for all  $j \ge 1$ . Because every  $U_j$  is of the form  $q_{A_j}^{-1}(V_j)$  for some  $A_j \in C$  and  $V_j \in \mathcal{B}_{A_j}$ , there exists  $A \in C$  with  $A > A_j$  for each j. It is easily seen that  $\mathcal{P}_A$  contains the family  $\{U_j: j \ge 1\}$  for any such A. Therefore,  $\mathcal{P}$  is a club in  $\{\mathcal{Q} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{\leq \omega}: \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{B}\}$ . Finally, according to Proposition 2.7, the family  $\{\mathcal{Q} \in [\mathcal{T}]^{\leq \omega}: \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{T}\}$  also contains a club. Hence, X is very I-favorable.  $\Box$ 

It follows from Theorem 3.3 that every dense subset of a space from each of the following classes is very I-favorable: products of first countable spaces,  $\kappa$ -metrizable compacta. More generally, by [13, Theorem 2.1(iv)], every space with a lattice of d-open maps is very I-favorable.

The next theorem provides another examples of very I-favorable spaces.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $f : X \xrightarrow{onto} Y$  be a perfect map with X, Y being regular spaces. Then Y is very I-favorable, provided so is X.

**Proof.** This theorem was established in [2] when *X* and *Y* are compact. The same proof works in our more general situation.  $\Box$ 

Corollary 3.5. Every continuous image under a perfect map of a space possessing a lattice of d-open maps is very I-favorable.

#### 4. Very I-favorable spaces with respect to the co-zero sets

We say that a space X is very I-favorable with respect to the co-zero sets if there exists a strong winning strategy  $\sigma$ :  $\bigcup \{\Sigma_X^n: n \ge 0\} \to \Sigma_X$ , where  $\Sigma_X$  denotes the collection of all co-zero sets in X. By Proposition 2.5, this is equivalent to the existence of a club in the family  $\{\mathcal{P} \in [\Sigma_X]^{\le \omega}: \mathcal{P} \subset [\Sigma_X]\}$ .

A completely regular space X is d-openly generated if X is the almost limit of a  $\sigma$ -complete inverse system  $S = \{X_{\sigma}, \pi_{\alpha}^{\sigma}, \Gamma\}$  consisting of separable metric spaces  $X_{\sigma}$  and d-open surjective bonding maps  $\pi_{\alpha}^{\sigma}$ .

Theorem 4.1. A completely regular space X is very 1-favorable with respect to the co-zero sets if and only if X is d-openly generated.

**Proof.** Suppose *X* is very I-favorable with respect to the co-zero sets and  $\sigma : \bigcup \{\Sigma_X^n : n \ge 0\} \to \Sigma_X$  is a strong winning strategy in  $\Sigma_X$ . We place *X* as a *C*<sup>\*</sup>-embedded subset of a Tychonoff cube  $\mathbb{I}^A$ . If  $B \subset A$ , let  $\pi_B : \mathbb{I}^A \to \mathbb{I}^B$  be the natural projection and  $p_B$  be restriction map  $\pi_B | X$ . Let also  $X_B = p_B(X)$ . If  $U \subset X$  we write  $B \in k(U)$  to denote that  $p_B^{-1}(p_B(U)) = U$ .

**Claim 1.** For every  $U \in \Sigma_X$  there exists a countable  $B_U \subset A$  such that  $B_U \in k(U)$  with  $p_{B_U}(U)$  being a co-zero set in  $X_{B_U}$ .

For every  $U \in \Sigma_X$  there exists a continuous function  $f_U : X \to [0, 1]$  with  $f_U^{-1}((0, 1]) = U$ . Next, extend  $f_U$  to a continuous function  $g : \mathbb{I}^A \to [0, 1]$  (recall that X is C\*-embedded in  $\mathbb{I}^A$ ). Then, there exists a countable set  $B_U \subset A$  and a function  $h : \mathbb{I}^{B_U} \to [0, 1]$  with  $g = h \circ \pi_{B_U}$ . Obviously,  $U = p_{B_U}^{-1}(h^{-1}((0, 1]) \cap p_{B_U}(X))$ , which completes the proof of the claim.

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{U_{\alpha}: \alpha < \tau\}$  be a base for the topology of X consisting of co-zero sets such that for each  $\alpha$  there exists a finite set  $H_{\alpha} \subset A$  with  $H_{\alpha} \in k(U_{\alpha})$ . For any finite set  $C \subset A$  let  $\gamma_{C}$  be a fixed countable base for  $X_{C}$ .

**Claim 2.** For every countable  $B \subset A$  there exists a countable set  $\Gamma \subset A$  containing B and a countable family  $\mathcal{U}_{\Gamma} \subset \Sigma_X$  satisfying the following conditions:

(i)  $\mathcal{U}_{\Gamma}$  is closed under  $\sigma$  and finite intersections;

(ii)  $\Gamma \in k(U)$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}_{\Gamma}$ ;

(iii)  $\mathcal{B}_{\Gamma} = \{p_{\Gamma}(U): U \in \mathcal{U}_{\Gamma}\}$  is a base for  $p_{\Gamma}(X)$ .

We construct by induction a sequence  $\{C(m)\}_{m\geq 0}$  of countable subsets of A, and a sequence  $\{\mathcal{V}_m\}_{m\geq 0}$  of countable subfamilies of  $\Sigma_X$  such that:

- $C_0 = B$  and  $\mathcal{V}_0 = \{p_B^{-1}(V): V \in \mathcal{B}_B\}$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_B$  is a base for  $X_B$ ;  $C(m+1) = C(m) \cup \bigcup \{B_U: U \in \mathcal{V}_m\}$ ;
- $\mathcal{V}_{3m+1} = \mathcal{V}_{3m} \cup \{ \sigma(U_1, ..., U_n) : U_1, ..., U_n \in \mathcal{V}_{3m}, n \ge 1 \};$   $\mathcal{V}_{3m+2} = \mathcal{V}_{3m+1} \cup \bigcup \{ p_C^{-1}(\gamma_C) : C \subset C(3m+1) \text{ is finite} \};$
- $\mathcal{V}_{3m+3} = \mathcal{V}_{3m+2} \cup \{\bigcap_{i=1}^{i=n} U_i: U_1, \ldots, U_n \in \mathcal{V}_{3m+2}, n \ge 1\}.$

It is easily seen that the set  $\Gamma = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} C_m$  and the family  $\mathcal{U}_{\Gamma} = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_m$  satisfy the conditions (i)–(iii) from Claim 2.

**Claim 3.** The map  $p_{\Gamma} : X \to X_{\Gamma}$  is a d-open map.

It follows from (ii) that  $\mathcal{U}_{\Gamma} = \{p_{\Gamma}^{-1}(V): V \in \mathcal{B}_{\Gamma}\}$ . According to Lemma 2.4,  $\mathcal{U}_{\Gamma} \subset \Sigma_X$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{U}_{\Gamma} \subset \mathcal{I}_X$ . Therefore, we can apply Proposition 2.1 to conclude that  $p_{\Gamma}$  is d-open.

Now, consider the family  $\Lambda$  of all  $\Gamma \in [A]^{\leq \omega}$  such that there exists a countable family  $\mathcal{U}_{\Gamma} \subset \Sigma_X$  satisfying the condition; (i)–(iii) from Claim 2. We consider the inverse system  $S = \{X_{\Gamma}, p_{\Theta}^{\Gamma}, \Lambda\}$ , where  $\Theta \subset \Gamma \in \Lambda$  and  $p_{\Theta}^{\Gamma} : X_{\Gamma} \to X_{\Theta}$  is the restriction of the projection  $\pi_{\Theta}^{\Gamma} : \mathbb{I}^{\Gamma} \to \mathbb{I}^{\Theta}$  on the set  $X_{\Gamma}$ . Since  $p_{\Theta} = p_{\Theta}^{\Gamma} \circ p_{\Gamma}$  and both  $p_{\Gamma}$  and  $p_{\Theta}$  are d-open surjections,  $p_{\Theta}^{\Gamma}$  is also d-open (see Lemma 2.3). Moreover, the union of any increasing chain in  $\Lambda$  is again in  $\Lambda$ . So,  $\Lambda$ , equipped the inclusion order, is  $\sigma$ -complete. Finally, by Claim 2,  $\Lambda$  covers the set A. Therefore, the limit of S is a subset of  $\mathbb{I}^A$  containing X as a dense subset. Hence, X is d-openly generated.

Suppose that X is d-openly generated. So,  $X = a - \lim_{n \to \infty} S$ , where  $S = \{X_{\sigma}, p_{\rho}^{\sigma}, \Gamma\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete inverse system consisting of separable metric spaces  $X_{\sigma}$  and d-open surjective bonding maps  $p_{\rho}^{\sigma}$ . Let  $p_{\sigma} : \lim_{n \to \infty} S \to X_{\sigma}, \sigma \in \Gamma$ , be the limit projections and  $q_{\sigma} = p_{\sigma}|X$ . As in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we can show that  $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{P}_{\sigma} : \sigma \in \Gamma\}$  is a club in the family  $\{\mathcal{Q} \in [\mathcal{B}_X]^{\leq \omega} : \mathcal{Q} \subset [\mathcal{B}_X], \text{ where } \mathcal{B}_X = \bigcup_{n \to \infty} \{\mathcal{P}_{\sigma} : \sigma \in \Gamma\} \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_{\sigma} = \{q_{\sigma}^{-1}(V) : V \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma}\} \text{ with } \mathcal{B}_{\sigma} \text{ being a countable base for the second seco$ topology of  $X_{\sigma}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}_X$  consists of co-zero sets, by Corollary 2.8, the family  $\{\mathcal{Q} \in [\Sigma_X]^{\leq \omega}: \mathcal{Q} \subset \Sigma_X\}$  contains also a club. Hence, X is very I-favorable with respect to the co-zero sets.  $\Box$ 

We say that a space  $X \subset Y$  is regularly embedded in Y is there exists a function  $e: \mathcal{T}_X \to \mathcal{T}_Y$  satisfying the following conditions for any  $U, V \in \mathcal{T}_X$ :

- $e(\emptyset) = \emptyset;$
- $e(U) \cap X = U;$
- $e(U) \cap e(V) = \emptyset$  provided  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

Theorem 4.1 and [13, Theorem 2.1(ii)] yield the following external characterization of very I-favorable spaces with respect to the co-zero sets (I-favorable spaces with respect to the co-zero sets have a similar external characterization, see [14, Theorem 1.1]).

**Corollary 4.2.** A completely regular space is very I-favorable with respect to the co-zero sets if and only if every C\*-embedding of X in any Tychonoff space Y is regular.

The next corollary provides an answer of a question from [14] whether there exists a characterization of  $\kappa$ -metrizable compacta in terms a game between two players.

**Corollary 4.3.** A compact Hausdorff space is very I-favorable with respect to the co-zero sets if and only if X is  $\kappa$ -metrizable.

**Proof.** A compact Hausdorff space is  $\kappa$ -metrizable spaces iff X is the limit space of a  $\sigma$ -complete inverse system consisting of compact metric spaces and open surjective bonding maps, see [11] and [10]. Since every d-open surjective map between compact Hausdorff spaces is open, this corollary follows from Theorem 4.1.  $\Box$ 

Recall that a normal space is called perfectly normal if every open set is a co-zero set. So, any perfectly normal spaces is very I-favorable if and only if it is very I-favorable with respect to the co-zero sets. Thus, we have the next corollary.

Corollary 4.4. Every perfectly normal very I-favorable space is d-openly generated.

1458

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a completely regular space. If there is a strong winning strategy  $\sigma' : || \{\mathcal{T}^n : n \ge 0\} \to \mathcal{T}$ , then there is a strong winning strategy  $\sigma : \bigcup \{\mathcal{R}^n : n \ge 0\} \to \mathcal{R}$ , where  $\mathcal{R}$  consists of all regular open subset of X.

**Proof.** Assume that  $\sigma': \bigcup \{\mathcal{T}^n: n \ge 0\} \to \mathcal{T}$  is a strong winning strategy. We define a strong winning strategy on  $\mathcal{R}$ . Let  $\sigma(\emptyset) = \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{cl} \sigma'(\emptyset)$ . We define by induction  $\sigma((V_0, V_1, \dots, V_k)), V_{k+1} \subset \sigma((V_0, V_1, \dots, V_k))$ , by

$$\sigma((V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_{n+1})) = \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{cl} \sigma'((V'_0, V'_1, \ldots, V'_{n+1})),$$

where  $V'_{k+1} = V_{k+1} \cap \sigma'((V'_0, V'_1, \dots, V'_k))$ . Let us show that  $\mathcal{F} = \{V_n: n \in \omega\} \cup \{\sigma((V_0, V_1, \dots, V_{n+1})): n \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{R}$ . If  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{F}$  and  $x \notin cl \bigcup \mathcal{S}$ , let

$$\mathcal{F}' = \{V'_n: n \in \omega\} \cup \{\sigma'((V'_0, V'_1, \dots, V'_{n+1})): n \in \omega\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}' = \{ W' \in \mathcal{F}' \colon W \in \mathcal{S} \}$$

Note that  $\bigcup S' \subset \bigcup S$ , hence  $x \notin cl \bigcup S'$ . So, there is  $W' \in S'$  such that  $W' \cap U' = \emptyset$  for all  $U' \in F'$ . Assume that  $W' = W' \in S'$ .  $V_{k+1} \cap \sigma'((V'_0, V'_1, \dots, V'_k))$  and  $U' = V_{i+1} \cap \sigma'((V'_0, V'_1, \dots, V'_i))$ . Then we infer that

$$V_{k+1} \cap \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{cl} \sigma'((V_0', V_1', \dots, V_k')) \cap V_{i+1} \cap \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{cl} \sigma'((V_0', V_1', \dots, V_i')) = \emptyset.$$

Since  $V_{k+1} \subset \sigma((V_0, V_1, ..., V_k)) = \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{cl} \sigma'((V'_0, V'_1, ..., V'_k))$  and  $V_{i+1} \subset \sigma((V_0, V_1, ..., V_i)) = \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{cl} \sigma'((V'_0, V'_1, ..., V'_i))$ , we get  $V_{k+1} \cap V_{i+1} = \emptyset$ . Suppose  $W' = V_{k+1} \cap \sigma'((V'_0, V'_1, ..., V'_k))$  and  $U' = \sigma'((V'_0, V'_1, ..., V'_i))$ . Then

$$V_{k+1} \cap \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{cl} \sigma'((V'_0, V'_1, \dots, V'_k)) \cap \operatorname{Int} \operatorname{cl} \sigma'((V'_0, V'_1, \dots, V'_i)) = \emptyset$$

So,  $W \cap U = \emptyset$ . Similarly, we obtain  $W \cap U = \emptyset$  if  $W' = \sigma'((V'_0, V'_1, \dots, V'_k))$  and  $U' = \sigma'((V'_0, V'_1, \dots, V'_i))$ . This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

We say that a topological space X is perfectly  $\kappa$ -normal if for every open and disjoint subset U, V there are open  $F_{\sigma}$ subset  $W_U, W_V$  with  $W_U \cap W_V = \emptyset$  and  $U \subset W_U$  and  $V \subset W_V$ . It is clear that a space X is perfectly  $\kappa$ -normal if and only if that each regular open set in X is  $F_{\sigma}$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** If a normal perfectly  $\kappa$ -normal space is a continuous image of a very I-favorable space under a perfect map, then X is d-openly generated.

**Proof.** Every open  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset of a normal space is a co-zero set, see [3]. So, every regular open subset of a normal and perfectly  $\kappa$ -normal space is a co-zero set. Consequently, if X is the image of very I-favorable space and X is normal and perfectly  $\kappa$ -normal, then X is very l-favorable (see Theorem 3.4). Hence, according to Lemma 4.5, X is a very l-favorable with respect to the co-zero sets. Finally, Theorem 4.1 implies that X is d-openly generated.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 4.7.** If the image of a compact Hausdorff very I-favorable space under a continuous map is perfectly  $\kappa$ -normal, then X is  $\kappa$ -metrizable.

Corollary 4.7 implies the following result of Shchepin [11, Theorem 18] which has been proved by different methods: If the image of a  $\kappa$ -metrizable compact Hausdorff space X under a continuous map is perfectly  $\kappa$ -normal, then X is  $\kappa$ metrizable too.

Let us also mention that, according to Shapiro's result [9], continuous images of  $\kappa$ -metrizable compacta have special spectral representations. This result implies that any such an image is I-favorable.

#### References

- [1] T. Byczkowski, R. Pol, On the closed graph and open mapping theorems, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 24 (1976) 723-726.
- [2] P. Daniels, K. Kunen, H. Zhou, On the open-open game, Fund. Math. 145 (1994) 205-220.
- [3] R. Engelking, General Topology, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1977.
- [4] A. Kucharski, Sz. Plewik, Game approach to universally Kuratowski-Ulam spaces, Topology Appl. 154 (2007) 421-427.
- [5] A. Kucharski, Sz. Plewik, Inverse systems and I-favorable spaces, Topology Appl. 156 (2008) 110-116.
- [6] A. Kucharski, Sz. Plewik, Skeletal maps and I-favorable spaces, Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys. 51 (2010) 67-72.
- [7] K. Kunen, Set Theory. An Introduction to Independence Proofs, Stud. Logic Found. Math., vol. 102, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1980.
- [8] J. Mioduszewski, L. Rudolf, H-closed and extremally disconnected Hausdorff spaces, Dissertationes Math. 66 (1969).
- [9] L. Shapiro, On a spectral representation of images of  $\kappa$ -metrizable bicompacta, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 37 (1982) 245–246 (in Russian).
- [10] E. Shchepin, Topology of limit spaces with uncountable inverse spectra, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 31 (1976) 191-226.
- [11] E. Shchepin, Functors and uncountable powers of compacta, Uspekhi Mat, Nauk 36 (1981) 3-62 (in Russian).
- [12] M. Tkachenko, Some results on inverse spectra, II, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 22 (1981) 819-841.
- [13] V.M. Valov, Some characterizations of the spaces with a lattice of *d*-open mappings, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. 39 (1986) 9–12.
- [14] V. Valov, External characterization of I-favorable spaces, arXiv:1005.0074 [math.GN].