New combinations in *Hoodia* and *Lavrania* (Asclepiadaceae – Stapelieae)

P. Bruyns

Bolus Herbarium, University of Cape Town, Private Bag, Rondebosch, 7700 Republic of South Africa

Received 16 November 1992; revised 27 January 1993

Plowes (1992) transferred several species of *Trichocaulon* N.E. Brown to *Hoodia* Sweet ex Decne, in so doing anticipating some of the changes made in a revision of these genera (Bruyns, in press). So as not to have any more of the intended changes anticipated, the following new combinations are made. These will be discussed in detail in the revision mentioned above.

Nuwe kombinasies in die genusse *Hoodia* Sweet ex Decne en *Lavrania* is gemaak. Verdere besonderhede sal in 'n volledige artikel gegee word.

1. Hoodia Sweet ex Decne in DC., Prodr. 8: 664 (1844)

Hoodia triebneri (Nel) Bruyns comb. nov., non Hoodia triebneri Hans Schuldt in Desert: 92 (1933) nom. nud. Trichocaulon triebneri Nel in Kakteenkunde: 117 (1935). Hoodia foetida Plowes in Asklepios 56: 9 (1992) nom. superfl.

2. Lavrania Plowes in Cact. Succ. J. (US) 58: 123 (1986)

Trichocaulon N.E. Br. in J. Linn. Soc. 17: 164 (1878) pro parte Sect. Cactoidea White & Sloane in Stapelieae 3: 997 – 1049. Leachia Plowes in Asklepios 56: 11 (1992) nom. illegit. non Cassini, Dict. Sci. Nat. 25: 388 (1822). (Asteraceae).

(a) Lavrania cactiformis (Hook.) Bruyns comb. nov.

Stapelia cactiformis W.J. Hooker in Bot. Mag. 71: t. 4127 (1845).

(b) Lavrania marlothii (N.E. Br.) Bruyns comb. nov.

Trichocaulon marlothii N.E. Br., Fl. Cap. 4(1): 894 (1909).

(c) Lavrania perlata (Dinter) Bruyns comb. nov.

Trichocaulon perlatum Dinter in Feddes Rep. sp. nov. 19: 155 (1923).

(d) Lavrania picta (N.E. Br.) Bruyns comb. nov.

Trichocaulon pictum N.E. Br. in Kew Bull. misc. inf.: 307 (1909).

References

BRUYNS, P. (in press). A revision of *Hoodia* and *Trichocaulon*. Bot. Jb.

- BULLOCK, A.A. 1957. Notes on African Asclepiadaceae 8: The generic name *Hoodia*. *Kew Bull*. 1956: 508.
- PLOWES, D.C.H. 1992. A preliminary re-assessment of the genera *Hoodia* and *Trichocaulon*. Asklepios 56: 5 15.

Pappea capensis: monoecious, dioecious or androdioecious?

J. Fivaz and P.J. Robbertse

Margaretha Mes Institute for Seed Research, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, 0002 Republic of South Africa

Received 24 September 1992; revised 24 February 1993

Pappea capensis used to be regarded a dioecious plant. In this short communication, evidence is provided which shows that *Pappea capensis* is monoecious with a distinct male phase followed by a distinct female phase.

Pappea capensis is in die verlede deur verskeie outeurs as 'n tweehuisige plant beskryf. In hierdie kort mededeling word gegewens aangebied wat daarop dui dat Pappea capensis 'n eenhuisige plant is waar 'n feitlik suiwer manlike fase deur 'n feitlik suiwer vroulike fase opgevolg word.

Keywords: Flowering phenology, monoecy, *Pappea capensis*, sex change, Sapindaceae.

Pappea capensis was named by Ecklon and Zeyher in honour of Dr Ludwig Pappe (1803 – 1862), the first Colonial Botanist and Professor of Botany at the Cape (Palmer & Pitman 1972). The tree is widely distributed in eastern tropical Africa, South Africa and Namibia. Various species and varieties have in the past been included in this genus, but botanists now tend to consider *Pappea* a monotypic, but variable genus. Two definite forms of this species occur in South Africa. Trees that grow in the more moist eastern and northern parts of southern Africa, that is, Natal, Zululand, Swaziland and Transvaal, are medium-sized and more vigorous than those growing in the more arid parts, *viz*. the Karoo, eastern Cape, Namaqualand, Botswana and Namibia. In these arid regions, the trees bear smaller leaves and fruits as well as shorter inflorescences (Palmer & Pitman 1972).

Both Phillips (1926/1951) and Dyer (1975) described *Pappea* as dioecious. Exell (1966), Coates Palgrave (1977) and Palmer and Pitman (1972) also stated that male and female flowers are borne on separate trees. Van Wyk (1984) stated that male and bisexual flowers are borne on different trees. These statements were based on occasional collector observations and interpretation of herbarium specimens. No detailed study regarding the sex expression of *Pappea capensis* had been made by those authors. In a preliminary study of sexual reproduction in *Pappea*, we noticed that the trees were neither dioecious nor androdioecious, but appeared to be monoecious. In this paper we supply evidence which supports our first observations.

Trees used in the study were chosen from three different localities in and around Pretoria. In the first locality, a single old tree that survived the urbanization of Brooklyn, one of the older suburbs of Pretoria, was observed. The second locality was in the Roodeplaat nature reserve, 25 km northeast of Pretoria where 8 trees were observed, and in the third locality, in the National Botanical Gardens, Pretoria, 3 trees were observed. Trees along the N1 highway between Pretoria and Pietersburg were also observed once. The trees