

# On total covers of graphs

Yousef Alavi, Jiuqiang Liu

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, MI  
49008-3899, USA*

Jianfang Wang

*Academia Sinica, Beijing, China*

Zhongfu Zhang

*Lanzhou Railway Institute, China*

Received 13 December 1990

Revised 19 August 1991

## *Abstract*

Alavi, Y., J. Liu, J. Wang and Z. Zhang, On total covers of graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 100 (1992) 229–233.

A *total cover* of a graph  $G$  is a subset of  $V(G) \cup E(G)$  which covers all elements of  $V(G) \cup E(G)$ . The total covering number  $\alpha_2(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the minimum cardinality of a total cover in  $G$ . In [1], it is proven that  $\alpha_2(G) \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil$  for a connected graph  $G$  of order  $n$ . Here we consider the extremal case and give some properties of connected graphs which have a total covering number  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ . We prove that such a graph with even order has a 1-factor and such a graph with odd order is factor-critical.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a graph with vertex set  $V(G)$  and edge set  $E(G)$ . The elements of  $V(G) \cup E(G)$  are called elements of  $G$ . A vertex  $v$  of  $G$  is said to cover itself, all edges incident to  $v$  and all vertices adjacent to  $v$ . Similarly, an edge  $e$  of  $G$  covers itself, the two end vertices, and all edges adjacent to  $e$ . A set  $C$  of the elements of  $G$  is called a *total cover* if each element of  $G$  is covered by some element of  $C$ . The *total covering number* of  $G$  denoted by  $\alpha_2(G)$ , is the  $\min\{|C| \mid C \text{ is a total cover of } G\}$ . A total cover  $C$  of  $G$  is called minimum if  $|C| = \alpha_2(G)$ .

We denote the edge independence number of  $G$  by  $\beta_1(G)$  and the vertex covering number of  $G$  which is the fewest number of vertices needed to cover all edges by  $\alpha(G)$ . Then, it follows from the definition that  $\alpha_2(G) \leq \alpha(G)$  for any graph  $G$  without isolated vertices. In this paper, we will prove that  $\alpha_2(G) \leq \beta_1(G) + 1$  for any connected graph and discuss some properties of a connected

graph  $G$  with  $\alpha_2(G) = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ , where  $n = |V(G)|$ . The notations not defined here can be found in [2].

## 2. Main results

First, we state some definitions and the Gallai–Edmonds' Structure Theorem [3].

**Definition 1.** A graph  $G$  is *factor-critical* if  $G - v$  has a 1-factor for any  $v \in V(G)$ .

**Definition 2.** A *near-perfect matching* of  $G$  is a perfect matching of  $G - v$  for some  $v \in V(G)$ .

**Definition 3.** Given a graph  $G$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} D(G) &= \{v \in G \mid v \text{ is not covered by at least one maximum matching of } G\}, \\ A(G) &= \{v \in V(G) - D(G) \mid v \text{ is adjacent to at least one vertex in } D(G)\}, \text{ and} \\ C(G) &= V(G) - A(G) - D(G). \end{aligned}$$

**Gallai–Edmonds' Structure Theorem** [3, p. 94]. *For any graph  $G$ , the following structure properties hold:*

- (1) *The components of the subgraph induced by  $D(G)$  are factor-critical.*
- (2) *The subgraph induced by  $C(G)$  has a perfect matching.*
- (3) *If  $M$  is any maximum matching of  $G$ , then it contains a near-perfect matching of each component of  $\langle D(G) \rangle$ , a perfect matching of  $\langle C(G) \rangle$ , and matches all vertices of  $A(G)$  with vertices in distinct components in  $\langle D(G) \rangle$ .*
- (4)  $\beta_1(G) = \frac{1}{2}[|V(G)| - k(\langle D(G) \rangle) + |A(G)|]$ , where  $k(G)$  = the number of components in  $G$ .

With the above theorem, we now develop several results.

**Lemma 1.** *For a connected graph  $G$ , if  $A(G) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\alpha_2(G) \leq \beta_1(G)$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $G$  is a connected graph with  $A(G) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $G$  is connected, each component of  $\langle D(G) \rangle$  has a vertex which is adjacent to some vertex in  $A(G)$ . Let  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_k$  be components of  $\langle D(G) \rangle$  and  $v_i \in V(D_i)$  such that  $v_i$  is adjacent to some vertex in  $A(G)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . By Gallai–Edmonds' Structure Theorem, each  $D_i - v_i$  has a perfect matching  $E_i$  and  $\langle C(G) \rangle$  has a perfect matching  $E_0$ . Now, it is clear that  $Q = E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_k \cup (A(G))$  is a total cover of  $G$ . Applying Gallai–Edmonds' Structure Theorem again, we have  $|Q| = \beta_1(G)$ . Thus  $\alpha_2(G) \leq \beta_1(G)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** *If  $G$  is a connected graph, then  $\alpha_2(G) \leq \beta_1(G) + 1$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a connected graph. If  $A(G) \neq \emptyset$ , then it follows from Lemma 1 that  $\alpha_2(G) \leq \beta_1(G)$ . So we assume  $A(G) = \emptyset$ . Since  $G$  is connected, it follows from the definition of  $D(G)$ ,  $A(G)$ , and  $C(G)$  that either  $D(G) = V(G)$  or  $C(G) = V(G)$ . By Gallai–Edmonds Structure Theorem, if  $V(G) = D(G)$ , then  $G$  is a factor-critical, and so  $\alpha_2(G) \leq \beta_1(G) + 1$ ; if  $V(G) = C(G)$ , then  $G$  has a perfect matching, and so  $\alpha_2(G) \leq \beta_1(G)$ . Therefore  $\alpha_2(G) \leq \beta_1(G) + 1$ .  $\square$

As a corollary to Theorem 1, we obtain again the upper bound of  $\alpha_2(G)$  derived in [1].

**Corollary 1.** *If  $G$  is a connected graph of order  $n$ , then  $\alpha_2(G) \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil$ .*

**Proof.** If  $n$  is odd, then  $\beta_1(G) \leq (n - 1)/2$ . It follows from Theorem 1 that

$$\alpha_2(G) \leq \beta_1(G) + 1 \leq \frac{n - 1}{2} + 1 = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Assume that  $n$  is even. If  $\beta_1(G) = n/2$ , then  $G$  has a perfect matching, and so  $\alpha_2(G) \leq \beta_1(G) = n/2$ . For  $\beta_1(G) \leq n/2 - 1$ , by Theorem 1,  $\alpha_2(G) \leq \beta_1(G) + 1 \leq n/2$ . Thus the corollary is true.  $\square$

Corollary 1 shows that  $\alpha_2(G) \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil$  for any connected graph of order  $n$ . We now develop properties of the connected graphs for which the total covering numbers attain the upper bound.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph of odd order  $n$ . If  $\alpha_2(G) = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ , then  $G$  is factor-critical and  $M_v \cup \{v\}$  is a minimum total cover of  $G$  for any  $v \in V(G)$ , where  $M_v$  is a perfect matching of  $G - v$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a connected graph of odd order  $n$  with  $\alpha_2(G) = \lceil n/2 \rceil = (n + 1)/2$ . Then it follows from Theorem 1 that

$$\beta_1(G) \geq \alpha_2(G) - 1 = \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - 1 = \frac{n - 1}{2}.$$

Since  $n$  is odd,  $\beta_1(G) \leq (n - 1)/2$ , and so  $\beta_1(G) = (n - 1)/2$ . We now conclude that  $A(G) = \emptyset$  for otherwise Lemma 1 implies that  $\alpha_2(G) \leq \beta_1(G) = (n - 1)/2$ . Since  $G$  is connected, it follows that either  $V(G) = D(G)$  or  $V(G) = C(G)$ . Since  $n$  is odd, the Gallai–Edmonds' Structure Theorem implies that  $V(G) = D(G)$  and  $G$  is factor-critical. It is now clear that  $M_v \cup \{v\}$  is a minimum total cover of  $G$  for any  $v \in V(G)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph of even order  $n$ . If  $\alpha_2(G) = n/2$ , then  $G$  has a perfect matching.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a connected graph of even order  $n$  with  $\alpha_2(G) = n/2$ . For  $A(G) = \emptyset$ , as in the discussion in the proof of Theorem 2, we easily see that  $V(G) = C(G)$  and  $G$  has a perfect matching. If  $A(G) \neq \emptyset$ , then it follows from Lemma 1 that  $\beta_1(G) \geq \alpha_2(G) = n/2$ . Thus  $\beta_1(G) = n/2$  and  $G$  has a perfect matching.  $\square$

Using the fact that for complete graphs  $\alpha_2(K_n) = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ , we prove the following two results.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph of even order  $n$  with  $\alpha_2(G) = n/2$ . Then  $G$  has the property that every minimum total cover of  $G$  contains at most one vertex if and only if  $G$  is a complete graph.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a connected graph of even order  $n$  with  $\alpha_2(G) = n/2$ . Suppose that every minimum total cover of  $G$  contains at most one vertex. Assume, to the contrary, that  $G$  is not complete. By Theorem 3, we let

$$V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n/2}, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n/2}\}$$

such that  $\{u_i v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n/2\}$  is a perfect matching of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is not complete, without loss of generality, we assume that  $v_1 v_2 \notin E(G)$ . Then

$$C = \{u_1, u_2\} \cup \left\{ u_i v_i \mid 3 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} \right\}$$

is a minimum total cover of  $G$  which contains two vertices, contradicting the assumption. Thus  $G$  is complete. On the other hand, suppose that  $G$  is complete. Let  $C$  be any minimum total cover of  $G$ . Let

$$C_1 = C \cap V(G), \quad C_2 = C \cap E(G), \quad t_1 = |C_1|, \quad \text{and} \quad t_2 = |C_2|.$$

Then  $t_1 + t_2 = n/2$  and  $\beta_1(G - C_1) = t_2$ . Since  $G - C_1$  is a complete graph on  $n - t_1$  vertices,

$$\beta_1(G - C_1) = \left\lceil \frac{n - t_1 - 1}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Thus

$$\frac{n}{2} = t_1 + t_2 = t_1 + \left\lceil \frac{n - t_1 - 1}{2} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{n + t_1 - 1}{2} \right\rceil.$$

This implies that  $t_1 \leq 1$ , completing the proof of Theorem 4.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph of odd order  $n$  with  $\alpha_2(G) = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ . Then  $G$  has the property that every minimum total cover of  $G$  contains at most two vertices if and only if  $G$  is complete.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a connected graph of odd order  $n$  with  $\alpha_2(G) = \lceil n/2 \rceil = (n+1)/2$ . Suppose that every minimum total cover of  $G$  contains at most two vertices. If  $G$  is not complete, then there are two non-adjacent vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in  $G$ . By Theorem 2, we may assume that

$$V(G) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{(n-1)/2}, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{(n-1)/2}, w\}$$

such that  $\{u_i v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq (n-1)/2\}$  is a matching of  $G$ . Then

$$C = \{w, u_1, u_2\} \cup \left\{ u_i v_i \mid 3 \leq i \leq \frac{n-1}{2} \right\}$$

is a minimum total cover of  $G$  which contains three vertices, contradicting the assumption. Therefore  $G$  is complete. On the other hand, suppose  $G$  is complete. Similar to the proof of Theorem 4, we see that every minimum total cover of  $G$  contains at most two vertices.  $\square$

### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the referees for pointing out the result in [3] and other helpful suggestions.

### References

- [1] Y. Alavi, M. Behzad, L.M. Lesniak-Foster and E.A. Nordhaus, Total matchings and total coverings of graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 1 (1977) 135–140.
- [2] G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak, *Graphs and Digraphs* (Wadsworth, Belmont, CA, 1986).
- [3] L. Lovasz and M. Plummer, *Matching Theory* (Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest 1986) and *Ann. Discrete Math* 29 (North Holland, Amsterdam 1986).