Macdonald’s Symmetric Polynomials as Zonal Spherical Functions on Some Quantum Homogeneous Spaces
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INTRODUCTION

In this paper we introduce some quantum analogues of the homogeneous spaces GL(n)/SO(n) and GL(2n)/Sp(2n) in the framework of quantum general linear groups. On these “quantum homogeneous spaces,” we investigate the zonal spherical functions associated with finite dimensional representations. As a result, we will see that the zonal spherical functions in question are represented by Macdonald’s symmetric polynomials \( P_\alpha(x_1, \ldots, x_n; q, t) \) (of type \( A_{n-1} \)) with \( t = q^{1/2} \) or \( t = q^2 \) [M2].

This result can be regarded as a generalization of Koornwinder’s realization of the continuous \( q \)-Legendre polynomials by the quantum group \( SU_q(2) \) [K1]. Our quantum analogue of GL(n)/SO(n) is essentially the same as the one discussed by Ueno and Takebayashi [UT]. As to quantum analogue of GL(3)/SO(3), it is already known by [UT] that Macdonald’s symmetric polynomials arise as zonal spherical functions. Our result contains the affirmative answer to their conjecture for the case where \( n > 3 \). Main results of this paper are announced in [N3].

Throughout this paper, we will denote by \( G \) the general linear group GL(N) and by \( g \) its Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{gl}(N) \). The \( q \)-deformation of the coordinate ring \( A(G) \) of \( G = GL(N) \) and the universal enveloping algebra \( U(q) \) of \( \mathfrak{gl}(N) \) will be denoted by \( A_q(G) \) and by \( U_q(g) \), respectively. We consider the following closed subgroup \( K \) of \( G \) for “quantization”:

**Case** (SO).

\[ K = SO(n) = \{ g \in GL(n); gg^t = id_n, \det(g) = 1 \} \quad (N = n) \]

**Case** (Sp).

\[ K = Sp(2n) = \{ g \in GL(2n); gJ_n g^t = J_n \} \quad (N = 2n), \]
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where $J_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{2k-1} - e_{2k} - e_{2k+1}$. The corresponding Lie subalgebra of $g$ will be denoted by $l$. After the preliminaries on the quantum general linear groups ($A_q(G)$ and $U_q(g)$), we introduce in Section 2 some coideals $I_q$ of $U_q(g)$, corresponding to the Lie subalgebras $l = \mathfrak{so}(n) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(n)$ and $l = \mathfrak{sp}(2n) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(2n)$. The construction of $I_q$ is carried out in the framework of $L$-operators as in [RTF], by using some constant solutions $J$ to the so-called reflection equation. In Case (SO), the coideals $I_q$ are closely related to the twisted $U_q(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ of Gavrilik and Klimyk [GK] (see Section 2.4).

With these coideals, we investigate the quantum analogue of the homogeneous spaces $GL(n)$, $SO(n)$, and $GL(2n)/Sp(2n)$ and their zonal spherical functions associated with finite-dimensional representations. Actually we consider the invariant ring

$$A_q(G/K) := \{ \varphi \in A_q(G) ; I_q \cdot \varphi = 0 \}$$

as a $q$-deformation of the algebra of regular functions on the homogeneous space $G/K$. In order to consider the zonal spherical functions on this quantum homogeneous space $(G/K)_q$, we also investigate the subalgebra of $I_q$-biinvariants

$$\mathcal{H} = A_q(K\backslash G/K) := \{ \varphi \in A_q(G) ; I_q \cdot \varphi = \varphi, I_q \cdot \varphi = 0 \} .$$

Representations with $I_q$-fixed vectors and the structure of these subalgebras of $A_q(G)$ will be studied in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. In accordance with the classical case where $q = 1$, the invariant ring $A_q(G/K)$ has a multiplicity free irreducible decomposition as a $U_q(g)$-module. The algebra $\mathcal{H} = A_q(K\backslash G/K)$ is then decomposed into the direct sum of simultaneous eigenspaces $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$ of the center of $U_q(g)$, which are parameterized by the dominant integral weights $\lambda$ corresponding to the irreducible highest weight representations $V(\lambda)$ with $I_q$-fixed vectors. Each simultaneous eigenspace $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$ turns out to be one-dimensional just as in the case where $q = 1$. We call a nonzero element in $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$ with some normalization the zonal spherical function $\varphi(\lambda)$ associated with $V(\lambda)$.

To describe the zonal spherical functions $\varphi(\lambda)$ thus obtained, we will study in Section 5 their restriction $\varphi(\lambda)|_T$ to the diagonal subgroup $T$ of $GL_n(N)$. They are determined by analyzing the radial component of the central element $C_1$ of $U_q(g)$, presented in [RTF]. This central element gives rise to a $q$-difference operator acting on a subalgebra of the Laurent polynomial ring. As the eigenfunctions of the $q$-difference operator, $\varphi(\lambda)|_T$ are identified with Macdonald's symmetric functions $P(\frac{x_1}{1}, \ldots, \frac{x_n}{1}, q, t)$ [M2] with $(q, t)$ replaced by $(q^2, q^4)$ in Case (SO), and by $(q^4, q^2)$ in Case (Sp). As an application of this realization, we will give in Section 6 an evaluation of the ratio $\langle P_{\mu}, P_{\nu}^\vee \rangle_{q, t}/\langle 1, 1 \rangle_{q, t}$ of scalar products, for the special values $t = q^{1/2}$ and $t = q^2$, to see the coincidence with the formula proposed in
[M3]. This computation is based on the $q$-analogue of Schur’s orthogonality of matrix elements of unitary representations of the unitary group $U(n)$ and a result of Macdonald [M2] on the principal specialization of $P_\nu$.
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1. PRELIMINARIES ON THE QUANTUM GENERAL LINEAR GROUPS

In this section we give a review on the quantum general linear group $GL_q(N)$. Main references for this section are: Jimbo [J] and Reshetikhin–Takhtajan–Faddeev [RTF] (see also [NYM] and [NUW1]). From now on, we fix the field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(q)$ of rational functions in the indeterminate $q$ as the ground field. Our presentation of the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$ is slightly different from those in [J] and [RTF]: modifications are made in order to avoid the fractional powers of $q$. In the arguments of this paper the ground field $\mathbb{K}$ can be replaced by the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers, assuming that $q$ is a real number with $|q| \neq 0, 1$.

1.1. Quantized Coordinate Ring $A_q(GL(N))$.

Let $V$ be the $N$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with canonical basis $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$. We make use of the following quantum $R$-matrices $R^\pm$ in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V)$:

$$
R^+ = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} q^{\delta_{ij}} e_i \otimes e_j + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} e_i \otimes e_j,
$$

$$
R^- = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} q^{-\delta_{ij}} e_i \otimes e_j - (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{1 \leq j < i \leq N} e_i \otimes e_j,
$$

where $e_i \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq N$) are the matrix units with respect to the basis $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$. It is well-known that these matrices satisfy the Yang–Baxter equation

$$
R^\epsilon_{12} R^\epsilon_{13} R^\epsilon_{23} = R^\epsilon_{23} R^\epsilon_{13} R^\epsilon_{12} \quad (\epsilon = \pm)
$$
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in \( \text{End}_K(V_a \otimes_K V_b \otimes_K V_c) \) with \( V_a = V \) for \( a = 1, 2, 3 \). Here the subscripts \( a, b \) for \( R_{ab}^+ \) indicates the pair of components it should act on. We recall that \( R^+ - R^- = (q - q^{-1}) P \) with the matrix \( P = \sum_a e_a \otimes e_a \), representing the flip \( v \otimes w \mapsto w \otimes v \). Note also that \( (R_{12}^+)^{-1} = R_{21}^- \) and \( (R_{12}^+)' = R_{21}^- \) with double signs in the same order.

Following [RTF], we define the coordinate ring \( A_q(\text{Mat}(N)) \) of the quantum matrix space of rank \( N \) to be the \( K \)-algebra generated by the canonical coordinates \( t_{ij} \) \( (1 \leq i, j \leq N) \) with the fundamental relations

\[
\begin{align*}
& (i) \quad t_{ki} t_{kj} = q t_{kj} t_{ki}, \quad t_{ik} t_{kj} = q t_{kj} t_{ik} \quad (i < j), \\
& (ii) \quad t_{ij} t_{kl} = t_{kl} t_{ij} = (q - q^{-1}) t_{ij} t_{kl} \quad (i, k < j, l).
\end{align*}
\]

(1.3)

In terms of the matrix \( T = (t_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \) in \( A_q(\text{Mat}(N)) \otimes_K \text{End}_K(V) \), the commutation relations above are equivalently written as the Yang–Baxter equation

\[
R_{12}^+ T_2 T_1 = T_1 T_2 R_{12}^+ \quad \text{in} \quad A_q(\text{Mat}(N)) \otimes_K \text{End}_K(V \otimes_K V).
\]

(1.4)

This algebra \( A_q(\text{Mat}(N)) \) has a distinguished central element \( \det_q(T) = \sum_{w \in S_N} (-q)^{l(w)} t_{w(1)} \cdots t_{w(N)} \). (1.5)

called the quantum determinant. Here \( S_N \) is the permutation group of the indexing set \( \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \) and, for each \( w \in S_N \), \( l(w) \) stands for the number of inversions in \( w \). The coordinate ring \( A_q(\text{GL}(N)) \) of the quantum general linear group \( \text{GL}_q(N) \) is then defined by adjoining the inverse of the quantum determinant \( \det_q(T) \) to \( A_q(\text{Mat}(N)) \): \( A_q(\text{GL}(N)) = A_q(\text{Mat}(N))[\det_q(T)^{-1}] \).

This algebra has a structure of Hopf algebra such that

\[
A_q(T) = \sum_{w \in S_N} (-q)^{l(w)} t_{w(1)} \cdots t_{w(N)},
\]

(1.6)

called the quantum determinant. Here \( S_N \) is the permutation group of the indexing set \( \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \) and, for each \( w \in S_N \), \( l(w) \) stands for the number of inversions in \( w \). The coordinate ring \( A_q(\text{GL}(N)) \) of the quantum general linear group \( \text{GL}_q(N) \) is then defined by adjoining the inverse of the quantum determinant \( \det_q(T) \) to \( A_q(\text{Mat}(N)) \): \( A_q(\text{GL}(N)) = A_q(\text{Mat}(N))[\det_q(T)^{-1}] \).

This algebra has a structure of Hopf algebra such that

\[
A_q(T) = \sum_{w \in S_N} (-q)^{l(w)} t_{w(1)} \cdots t_{w(N)},
\]

(1.6)

for \( 1 \leq i, j \leq N \). In the matrix notation, these formulas will be written as \( A_q(T) = T \otimes T \) and \( A_q(T) = \text{id}_V \). Note also that \( \det_q(T) \) is a group-like element, namely, \( A_q(\det_q(T)) = \det_q(T) \otimes \det_q(T) \) and \( \varepsilon(\det_q(T)) = 1 \). The antipode \( S \) of \( A_q(\text{GL}(N)) \) is the \( K \)-algebra anti-automorphism such that

\[
T S(T) = S(T) T = \text{id}_V,
\]

(1.7)

where \( S(T) = (S(t_{ij}))_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \).

1.2. Quantized Universal Enveloping Algebra \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}(N)) \).

Let \( P \) be the weight lattice for \( \text{GL}(N) \); it is the free \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module of rank \( N \) with canonical basis \( (\varepsilon_i)_{1 \leq i \leq N} \) and we fix a symmetric bilinear form

\[
\langle, \rangle : \mathfrak{g}(N) \times \mathfrak{g}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},
\]

where \( \mathfrak{g}(N) \) denotes the Lie algebra of \( \text{GL}(N) \).
\( \left\langle \varepsilon, \varepsilon \right\rangle : P \times P \to \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( \left\langle \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j \right\rangle = \delta_{ij} \) for \( 1 \leq i, j \leq N \). Through this pairing, we will frequently identify \( P \) with its dual \( P^* = \text{Hom}_P(P, \mathbb{Z}) \). We will also use the notation of \textit{simple roots}: \( \varepsilon_k = \varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1} \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq N - 1 \).

The \textit{quantized universal enveloping algebra} \( U_q(\text{gl}(N)) \) is the \( \mathbb{K} \)-algebra generated by the symbols \( q^h(h \in P^*) \) and \( e_i, f_i (1 \leq i \leq N - 1) \) with the following fundamental relations:

(i) \( q^0 = 1, \) \( q^h.q^{h'} = q^{h+h'} \),

(ii) \( q^h e_i q^{-h} = q^{\left\langle h, \varepsilon_i \right\rangle} e_i, \) \( q^h f_i q^{-h} = q^{-\left\langle h, \varepsilon_i \right\rangle} f_i \),

(iii) \( e_i f_j - f_j e_i = q^{\left\langle \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j \right\rangle} - q^{-\left\langle \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j \right\rangle} \frac{q^{n_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}} - q^{-n_i + \varepsilon_{i+1}}}{q - q^{-1}}, \) \( (1.8) \)

(iv) \( e_i^2 e_j - (q + q^{-1}) e_i e_j e_i + e_i e_j^2 = 0 (|i - j| = 1); \)

\( e_i e_j = e_j e_i (|i - j| > 1) \),

(v) \( f_i^2 f_j - (q + q^{-1}) f_i f_j f_i + f_i f_j^2 = 0 (|i - j| = 1); \)

\( f_i f_j = f_j f_i (|i - j| > 1) \),

where \( h, h' \in P^* \) and \( 1 \leq i, j \leq N - 1 \). We take the following Hopf algebra structure of \( U_q(\text{gl}(N)) \):

(i) \( A(q^h) = q^h \otimes q^h, \)

\( \varepsilon(q^h) = 1, \) \( \text{S}(q^h) = q^{-h} \),

(ii) \( A(e_k) = e_k \otimes 1 + q^{n_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}} \otimes e_k, \)

\( \varepsilon(e_k) = 0, \) \( \text{S}(e_k) = -q^{-n_k + \varepsilon_{k+1}} e_k, \) \( (1.9) \)

(iii) \( A(f_k) = f_k \otimes q^{-n_k + \varepsilon_{k+1}} + 1 \otimes f_k, \)

\( \varepsilon(f_k) = 0, \) \( \text{S}(f_k) = -f_k q^{n_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}}, \)

where \( h \in P^* \) and \( 1 \leq k \leq N - 1 \). We use the notation \( U_q(1) \) to refer the Hopf subalgebra \( \mathbb{K}[[q^h; (h \in P^*)]] \) of \( U_q(\text{gl}(N)) \) corresponding to the diagonal Lie subalgebra \( 1 < \text{gl}(N) \).

In this paper, we will extensively use the \textit{L-operators} \( L_{ij}^+, L_{ij}^- \in U_q(\text{gl}(N)) \) as in Reshetikhin–Takhtajan–Faddeev [RTF]; these play the role of root vectors of \( \text{gl}(N) \). As is stated in [J], there is a unique family of elements \( E_{ij} \) (\( 1 \leq i, j \leq N, i \neq j \)) in \( U_q(\text{gl}(N)) \) such that

(i) \( E_{i+1, i} = e_i, \) \( E_{ij} = E_{ik} E_{kj} - q E_{ik} E_{kj} \) \( (i < k < j), \)

(ii) \( E_{i+1, i} = f_i, \) \( E_{ij} = E_{ik} E_{kj} - q^{-1} E_{ik} E_{kj} \) \( (i > k > j). \) \( (1.10) \)
With these elements, define the elements $L^\pm_i \in U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$ by

\begin{align}
(i) & \quad L^+_{ij} = q^{\epsilon_{ij}}, \quad L^-_{ij} = (q - q^{-1}) q^{\epsilon_{ij}} E_{ij} (i < j), \quad L^-_{ji} = 0 \ (i > j) \\
(ii) & \quad L^-_{ij} = q^{-\epsilon_{ij}}, \quad L^-_{ij} = -(q - q^{-1}) E_{ij} q^{-\epsilon_{ij}} (i > j), \quad L^-_{ij} = 0 \ (i < j).
\end{align}

(1.11)

Then it is known by Jimbo [J] that the matrices $L^\pm = \sum_{i,j} \epsilon_{ij} \otimes L^\pm_i$ in $\text{End}_K(V) \otimes_K U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$ satisfy the following Yang–Baxter equations:

\[
R^+_{12} L^+_{21} = L^+_{12} R^+_{21} \quad (\epsilon = \pm) \quad \text{and} \quad R^-_{12} L^-_{21} = L^-_{12} R^-_{21}.
\]

(1.12)

We also remark that, for the Hopf algebra structure of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$, the matrices $L^\pm$ satisfy

\[
A(L^\epsilon) = L^\epsilon \otimes L^\epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(L^\epsilon) = \text{id}_V \quad (\epsilon = \pm).
\]

(1.13)

This fact was fundamental in the framework of Reshetikhin–Takhtajan–Faddeev [RTF]. We remark that the Yang–Baxter equations (1.12) assure that there exists a $K$-algebra homomorphism $\rho : U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N)) \to \text{End}_K(V)$ such that

\[
R^\pm = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \epsilon_{ij} \otimes \rho(L^\pm_i).
\]

(1.14)

The vector space $V$, regarded as a left $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$-module, is called the vector representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$. The square $S^2$ of the antipode of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$ is an automorphism of the Hopf algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$. For any $a \in U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$, we have

\[
S^2(a) = q^{-2} a q^{2\rho} \quad \text{for any} \ a \in U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N)),
\]

(1.15)

where $q^{2\rho}$ is the group-like element of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ corresponding to the sum of positive roots

\[
2\rho = \sum_{k=1}^N 2(N-k) \epsilon_k.
\]

(1.16)

1.3. Pairing between $A_q(\text{GL}(N))$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$

Let $U$ and $A$ be two Hopf algebras over $\mathbb{K}$. We say that a $\mathbb{K}$-bilinear form $(\ , \) : U \times A \to \mathbb{K}$ is a pairing of Hopf algebras if it satisfies the following three conditions:

\[
(\ , \) (ua, b) = u(\ , \) (a, b) \quad \text{and} \quad (u, ab) = (u, a)(u, b) \quad \text{for any} \ u \in U, \ a \in A,
\]

(1.17)

\[
(1, a) = \delta_a \quad \text{and} \quad (u, 1) = \delta_u \quad \text{for any} \ u \in U, \ a \in A.
\]

(1.18)

\[
(u_1, a_1) = (u_2, a_2) \quad \text{for any} \ u_1, u_2, a_1, a_2 \in U, A.
\]

(1.19)

pairing of Hopf algebras $(U_q, \psi)$ above. As to these Hopf algebras, it is known that there exists a unique dimensional left $U_q$-module consisting of weight vectors with weights in $P$. By means of the $U_q$-module structure on $A$, the multiplication $A_q$ and the unit homomorphism $1_q : A \to A$ and the unit homomorphism $\psi : U_q \to A$ are homomorphisms of $U$-bimodules. As to the $U$-module structure of $A$, we have

$$a \cdot S_\psi(\phi) = S_\psi(\phi \cdot S_\psi(a)) \quad \text{and} \quad S_\psi(\phi) \cdot a = S_\psi(S_\psi(a) \cdot \phi),$$

for all $\phi \in A$ and $a \in U$.

We now take the Hopf algebras $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$ and $A_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$ for $U$ and $A$ above. As to these Hopf algebras, it is known that there exists a unique pairing of Hopf algebras $(\cdot, \cdot) : U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N)) \times A_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N)) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(L_1^+, T_2) = R_{12}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad (L^\pm, \det_q(T)) = q^{\pm 1} \text{id}_V.$$  

By this pairing, the algebra $A_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$ becomes an algebra with two-sided $U_q$-symmetry over $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$. In terms of the $L$-operators, the $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$-bimodule structure of $A_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$ is described as follows:

$$L_1^+, T_2 = T_2 R_{12}^+ \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 L_1^+ = R_{12}^+ T_2 \quad (\epsilon = \pm).$$

By means of the $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$-bimodule structure, the square $S^2$ of the antipode of $A_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$ is described as $S^2(\phi) = q^{2\epsilon} \phi \cdot q^{-2\epsilon}$ for any $\phi \in A_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$.

We say that a left $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$-module is $P$-weighted if it has a $K$-basis consisting of weight vectors with weights in $P$. Let $P^+$ be the set of all dominant integral weights in $P$:

$$P^+ = \left\{ \lambda = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k e_k \in P; \; \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_N \right\}. \quad (1.22)$$

For each $\lambda \in P^+$, we denote by $V(\lambda)$ the unique irreducible finite dimensional left $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$-module with highest weight $\lambda$; it is characterized as the unique irreducible left $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$-module generated by an element $u(\lambda)$ such that $q^h u(\lambda) = q^{(h, \lambda)} u(\lambda)$ for $h \in P^*$ and $e_k u(\lambda) = 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$. It is well-known that any finite dimensional $P$-weighted $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$-module
is completely reducible and that any finite dimensional irreducible $P$-weighted $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$-module is isomorphic to $V(\lambda)$ for some dominant integral weight $\lambda \in P^+$ (see [L, R]). Furthermore, each irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$-modules $V(\lambda)$ is obtained as the “differential representation” from the underlying right $A_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$-comodule structure of $V(\lambda)$. All these $V(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in P^+$) are realized as right $A_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$-subcomodules of $A_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$ by means of standard monomials of quantum minor determinants (see [TT, NYM], for instance).

We denote by $W(\lambda)$ the $K$-vector subspace of $A_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$ spanned by the matrix elements of the right $A_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$-comodule $V(\lambda)$. Then $W(\lambda)$ is an irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$-bimodule isomorphic to the tensor product $\text{Hom}_K(V(\lambda), K) \otimes_K V(\lambda)$. Furthermore, the regular representation $A_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$ has the irreducible decomposition

$$A_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N)) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+} W(\lambda)$$

as a $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$-bimodule, which corresponds to the Peter–Weyl Theorem for the quantum unitary group $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$ (see [H1, NYM, W], for instance).

We also remark that the $K$-subspace $W(\lambda)$ of $A_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$ is characterized as the simultaneous eigenspace of the center of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$;

$$W(\lambda) = \{ \varphi \in A_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N)); C \varphi = \chi(\lambda) \varphi \}$$

for any central element $C \in U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$, (1.23) where $\chi(\lambda)$ stands for the eigenvalue of the central element $C$ acting on the irreducible representation $V(\lambda)$.

1.4. Involutions on $A_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$

In this subsection, we recall some involutions on $A_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$, related to the quantum unitary group $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$.

For the moment, let be $K$ an arbitrary field and fix an involutive automorphism $c$, which we call the conjugation of $K$. A Hopf algebra $A$ over $K$ is called a Hopf $*$-algebra if it has a pair $(\iota, \tau)$ of involutive, conjugate-linear mappings $\iota, \tau: A \rightarrow A$ such that

1. $\iota$ is an algebra anti-automorphism and a coalgebra automorphism.

2. $\tau$ is an algebra automorphism and a coalgebra anti-automorphism.

3. The antipode $S$ of $A$ is expressed as $S = \iota \circ \tau$.

We will call the involution $\iota$ the $*$-operation of $A$ and write $\iota(a) = a^*$ for $a \in A$. Note that, if $A$ has an involutive, conjugate linear mapping $\iota$ satisfying (1) and the condition $(\iota \circ S)^2 = \text{id}_A$, it becomes a Hopf $*$-algebra.
together with the involution $\tau = t \cdot S$. The condition $(t \cdot S)^2 = \text{id}_A$ is sometimes referred to as Woronowicz's condition (see [W]).

Returning to the previous setting, we take the field $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ of rational functions in $q$ as the group field $\mathbb{K}$. In this case, we set $\bar{c} = c$ for any $c \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$. When we take $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ instead, we denote by $\bar{c}$ the complex conjugation and assume that $q$ is a real number with $|q| \neq 0, 1$.

Either $A_q(\text{GL}(N))$ or $U_q(\text{gl}(N))$ has a structure of Hopf $*$-algebra corresponding to the real form $\text{U}(N)$ or $\text{u}(N)$. As to $A_q(\text{GL}(N))$, we can take the $*$-operation such that

$$t_{ij}^* = S(t_{ji}) \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N) \quad \text{and} \quad \det_q(T)^* = \det_q(T)^{-1}. \quad (1.25)$$

The corresponding $\tau$ is given by the “transposition”:

$$\tau(t_{ij}) = t_{ji} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(\det_q(T)) = \det_q(T). \quad (1.26)$$

On the other hand, as to $U_q(\text{gl}(N))$, we can take the $*$-operation such that

$$L_{ij}^* = S(L_{ji}) \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N). \quad (1.27)$$

At the Chevalley generators, this involution takes the values

$$(q^h)^* = q^h \quad (h \in P^*), \quad e_k^* = q^{-1}e_k, \quad f_k^* = qt_k^{-1}e_k \quad (1 \leq k \leq N - 1), \quad (1.28)$$

where $t_k = q^{e_k - e_{k+1}}$ for $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$. The corresponding $\tau$ is given by

$$\tau(L_{ij}^*) = L_{ji}^\tau \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N). \quad (1.29)$$

These Hopf $*$-algebra structures on $A_q(\text{GL}(N))$ and $U_q(\text{gl}(N))$ are compatible with the pairing, in the sense that

$$(a^*, \varphi) = (\overline{(a, \varphi)}), \quad (\tau(a), \varphi) = (a, \bar{\varphi}) \quad (1.30)$$

for all $a \in U_q(\text{gl}(N))$ and $\varphi \in A_q(\text{GL}(N))$. From this compatibility, we have the following formulas concerning the $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-bimodule structure of $A_q(\text{GL}(N))$:

$$a \cdot \varphi^* = (\tau(a), \varphi)^* \quad \text{and} \quad a \cdot \tau(\varphi) = \tau(\varphi^* a), \quad (1.31)$$

for all $\varphi \in A_q(\text{GL}(N))$ and $a \in U_q(\text{gl}(N))$.

2. QUANTUM ANALOGUE OF SOME LIE SUBALGEBRAS
OF $\text{gl}(N)$

In this section, we introduce quantum analogues of the Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{so}(n) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{sp}(2n) \subset \mathfrak{gl}(2n)$. We will define a family of coideals
of the quantized universal enveloping algebra \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}(N)) \) (with \( N = n \) or \( 2n \)) which “tends” to \( I \) as \( q \to 1 \). From this section on, we denote by \( G \) the general linear group \( \text{GL}(N) \) and by \( \mathfrak{g} \) its Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g}(N) \).

2.1. Definition of the Coideal \( \mathfrak{l}_q \)

Keeping the notation in the previous section, we denote by \( V \) the vector representation of \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) = U_q(\mathfrak{g}(N)) \) with canonical basis \( (\nu_j)_{1 \leq j \leq N} \). We consider the following two types of Lie subalgebras \( \mathfrak{k} \) of \( \mathfrak{gl}(N) = \text{End}_K(V) \) for quantization:

- **Case (SO).** \( \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{so}(n) = \{ X \in \text{End}_K(V); X + X^t = 0 \} \) with \( N = n \)

- **Case (Sp).** \( \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n) = \{ X \in \text{End}_K(V); XJ_n + J_nX^t = 0 \} \) with \( N = 2n \). (2.1)

In order to “quantize” this setting, we define the matrix \( J(a) \) depending on the parameters \( a = (a_1, ..., a_n) \) in the algebraic torus \((K^*)^n\), by

- **Case (SO).** \( J(a) = \sum_{k=1}^n e_{2k-1, 2k} - e_{2k, 2k-1} \)

- **Case (Sp).** \( J(a) = \sum_{k=1}^n (e_{2k-1, 2k} - qe_{2k, 2k-1}) a_k \). (2.2)

Note that \( J(a) \) is an invertible matrix and that \( J(a)^{-1} = J(a^{-1}) \) in Case (SO) and \( J(a)^{-1} = -q^{-1}J(a^{-1}) \) in Case (Sp).

Fixing the parameter \( a \in (K^*)^n \), we introduce a coideal \( \mathfrak{l}_q = \mathfrak{l}_q(a) \) of \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) by using the matrix \( J = J(a) \). Define a matrix \( M = M(a) \) in \( \text{End}_K(V) \otimes_K U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) by the formula

\[
M := L^+ - JS(L^-)' J^{-1}.
\]

Writing the matrix \( M \) in the form \( M = \sum_{i,j} e_{ij} \otimes M_{ij} \), we denote by \( \mathfrak{l}_q = \mathfrak{l}_q(a) \) the vector subspace of \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) spanned by the matrix elements \( M_{ij} \) \( (1 \leq i,j \leq N) \):

\[
\mathfrak{l}_q := \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq N} M_{ij} \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g}).
\]

We show first that the vector subspace \( \mathfrak{l}_q \) is actually a coideal of \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \).

**Proposition 2.1.** For any \( a \in (K^*)^n \), the \( K \)-vector subspace \( \mathfrak{l}_q = \mathfrak{l}_q(a) \) is a coideal of \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \). To be more precise, the matrix \( M = M(a) \) satisfies

\[
\Delta(M) = L^+ \otimes M + M \otimes JS(L^-)' J^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon(M) = 0.
\]

\( \Box \)
Proof. By $A(L^+) = L^+ \otimes L^+$ and $A(S(L^-)^\prime) = S(L^-)^\prime \otimes S(L^-)^\prime$, one has

\[
A(M) J = L^+ \otimes L^+ J + J S(L^-)^\prime \otimes S(L^-)^\prime = L^+ \otimes (L^+ J - J S(L^-)^\prime) + (L^+ J - J S(L^-)^\prime) \otimes S(L^-)^\prime = L^+ \otimes MJ + MJ \otimes S(L^-)^\prime.
\]

(2.6)

The assertion $\epsilon(M) = 0$ is clear, since $\epsilon(L^+) = \epsilon(S(L^-)^\prime) = \text{id}_V$. \hfill \Box

2.2. Reflection Equation for the Matrix $J$

We now explain the reason why the matrices $J = J(a)$ ($a \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$) in (2.2) are chosen for the quantization of the Lie subalgebras $so(n)$ and $sp(2n)$. As for these matrices $J = J(a)$, the following lemma is fundamental.

**Lemma 2.2.** For any $a \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$, the matrix $J = J(a)$ defined above satisfies the reflection equation

\[
R_{12}^{+} J_2 R_{12}^{+} J_1 = J_1 R_{12}^{+} J_2 R_{12}^{+}
\]

in $\text{End}_\mathbb{K}(V \otimes \mathbb{K} V)$, where $R_{12}^{+}$ stands for the matrix obtained from $R_{12}$ by transposition in the second component.

Lemma 2.2 can be checked by direct calculations. For the reflection equations and related topics, we refer the reader to [Ku].

In our context, the meaning of the reflection equation above for $J = J(a)$ can be formulated as follows.

**Proposition 2.3.** Given a matrix $J = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} J_{ij} e_{ij} \in \text{End}_\mathbb{K}(V)$, define an element $w_J$ in the tensor product $V \otimes \mathbb{K} V$ by

\[
w_J = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} v_i J_{ij} \otimes v_j \in V \otimes \mathbb{K} V.
\]

(2.8)

On the other hand, define a family of elements $M_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq N$) in $U_q(g)$ as in (2.3). Then we have $M_{ij} w_J = 0$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ if and only if the matrix $J$ satisfies the reflection equation (2.7).

Proof. Let us denote by $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_N)$ the row vector representing the canonical basis for $V$. Then the action of the $L$-operators on $v$ is described as follows:

\[
L_i^+ \cdot v_2 = v_2 R_{12}^{+} \quad \text{and} \quad S(L^-)^\prime_i \cdot v_2 = v_2 R_{12}^{+}.
\]

(2.9)
The second formula is obtained from \((R_{12})^{-1} = R_{21}^+\) and \(R_{21}^{+\dagger} = R_{12}^{+\dagger}\).

Noting that \(w_J = v_J \otimes v'_J\), we compute

\[
L^+ J \cdot w_J = L^+ J_1 \cdot (v_J v_2 \otimes v'_J) = L^+ J_1 \cdot v_J v_2 \otimes L^+ J_1 \cdot v'_J.
\]

\[
= v_2 R_{12}^{+\dagger} J_2 \otimes L^+ J_1 \cdot v'_J = v_2 R_{12}^{+\dagger} J_2 R_{12}^{+\dagger} J_1 \otimes v'_J. 
\tag{2.10}
\]

Similarly we have

\[
JS(L^-)^J \cdot w_J = J_1 S(L^-)^J_1 \cdot (v_J v_2 \otimes v'_J) = J_1 S(L^-)^J_1 \cdot v_J v_2 \otimes S(L^-)^J_1 \cdot v'_J.
\]

\[
= J_1 v_2 R_{12}^{+\dagger} J_2 \otimes J_1 v'_J = J_1 v_2 R_{12}^{+\dagger} J_2 R_{12}^{+\dagger} J_1 \otimes v'_J.
\tag{2.11}
\]

Hence we have

\[
L^+ J \cdot w_J = JS(L^-)^J \cdot w_J\text{ if and only if the matrix } J \text{ satisfies the reflection equation (2.7)}.
\]

Recall that the tensor product \(V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V\) has the irreducible decomposition

\[
V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V = V_+ \oplus V_-
\]

as a left \(U_q(\mathfrak{g})\)-module into the “symmetric part” \(V_+\), isomorphic to the highest weight module \(V(2\mathbf{e}_1)\), and the “anti-symmetric part” \(V_-\), isomorphic to \(V(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2)\). These two components are explicitly given as

\[
V_+ = \sum_{1 \leq k < N} \mathbb{C} v_k \otimes v_k + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < N} \mathbb{C} (q v_i \otimes v_j + v_j \otimes v_i),
\]

and

\[
V_- = \sum_{1 \leq i < j < N} \mathbb{C} (v_i \otimes v_j - q v_j \otimes v_i),
\tag{2.12}
\]

respectively. Note that the element \(w_J\) for \(J = J(a)\), defined in Proposition 2.3, takes the form

\[
\text{Case (SO). } w_J = \sum_{k=1}^{n} v_k \otimes v_k a_k.
\tag{2.13}
\]

\[
\text{Case (Sp). } w_J = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (v_{2k-1} \otimes v_{2k-1} - q v_{2k} \otimes v_{2k}) a_k.
\]

The “quadratic form” \(w_J\) belongs to \(V_+\) in Case (SO), and to \(V_-\) in Case (Sp). Furthermore, the coideal \(\Gamma_q\) is so chosen that \(\Gamma_q\) should annihilate the element \(w_J\).

2.3. Some Remarks on \(\Gamma_q\)

In the limit as \(q \to 1\), the elements \((q-q^{-1})^{-1} M_{ij}\) recover a \(\mathbb{C}\)-basis for the Lie subalgebra \(\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{so}(n)\) or \(\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n)\), if \(a_k = q^{x_k}\) for some \(x_k \in \mathbb{Z}\).
and \( M_i = 0 \) for \( i \geq j \). Note that the elements \( M_{k,k+1} \) are also written as

\[ M_{k,k+1} = (q - q^{-1}) q^r A_k a_k a_{k+1}^{-1} \]

for \( 1 \leq k \leq N - 1 \), where \( t_k = q^{k-k^{-1}} \).

In Case (Sp), the nonzero elements among \( M_i \) are classified into the following four groups:

1. \( M_{2r-1,2r-1} = - M_{2r,2r} = q^{-s} - q^s, \quad (1 \leq r \leq n) \)
2. \( M_{2r-1,2r} = L_{2r-1,2r}, \quad M_{2r,2r-1} = qS(L_{2r,2r-1}) \quad (1 \leq r \leq n) \)
3. \( M_{2r-1,2r-1} = L_{2r-1,2r-1} - a_r a_r^{-1} S(L_{2r,2r}) \)
4. \( M_{2r,2r} = L_{2r,2r} - a_r a_r^{-1} S(L_{2r-1,2r-1}) \quad (1 \leq r \leq n) \)
5. \( M_{2r-1,2r-2} = L_{2r-1,2r-2} + q^r a_r a_r^{-1} S(L_{2r-1,2r-1}) \quad (1 \leq r \leq n) \)

In order to see what happens as \( q \) tends to 1, one has only to note that

\[ L_{2r}(q - q^{-1}) \rightarrow X_r \quad \text{for} \quad i \leq j \]

and that \( (q^s - q^{-s})/(q - q^{-1}) \rightarrow X_s \), where \( (X_s) \) is the basis for \( qN \) corresponding to the matrix units.

From Proposition 2.1, it follows that the left ideal \( U_q(q) \Gamma_q \) and the right ideal \( \Gamma_q U_q(q) \) are both coideals of \( U_q(q) \). As for generator systems of these ideals, we have

**Proposition 2.4.** Both the left ideal \( U_q(q) \Gamma_q \) and the right ideal \( \Gamma_q U_q(q) \) have the following generator system:

**Case (SO).** \( M_{k,k+1} = L_{k,k+1}^+ - a_k a_k^{-1} S(L_{k+1,k}) \quad (1 \leq k \leq n - 1) \)

**Case (Sp).** \( M_{2r-1,2r-1} = q^{-s} - q^s, \quad (1 \leq r \leq n) \)
\[ M_{2r-1,2r} = L_{2r-1,2r}, \quad M_{2r,2r-1} = qS(L_{2r,2r-1}) \quad (1 \leq r \leq n) \]
\[ M_{2r,2r+1} = L_{2r,2r+1} + q^r a_r a_r^{-1} S(L_{2r+1,2r}) \quad (1 \leq r \leq n-1) \]

Proposition 2.4 can be proved by using commutation relations between the elements \( L_q^+ \) and \( S(L_q^-) \):

\[ S(L_q^-) R_{12}^+ L_q^+ = L_q^+ R_{12}^+ S(L_q^-), \quad (2.15) \]
which is a direct consequence of (1.12). One of the commutation relations is

$$qS(L_{ji}^-)L_{ji}^+ + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\mu > i} S(L_{j\mu}^-) L_{ji}^+ = qL_{ji}^+ S(L_{ji}^-) + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{\nu < j} L_{ji}^- S(L_{ji}^-).$$

This relation is related with the recurrence relation (5.33) which will play the key role in the computation of radial components of a central element of $U_q(g)$ in Section 5. Since we will not explicitly use Proposition 2.4 hereafter, we omit the detail of its proof. We remark that, in Case (SO), the generators for the left ideal $U_q(g) \Gamma_q$ in Proposition 2.4 are the same as Ueno and Takebayashi used to define the quantum analogue of $GL(n)/SO(n)$ in [UT], while our coideal $\Gamma_q$ gives the whole set of root vectors.

2.4. Relation to Twisted Quantized Universal Enveloping Algebras

It is natural to ask which subalgebra of $U_q(g)$ is appropriate as an object that should play the role of the subalgebra $U(\mathfrak{t})$ of $U(g)$. It seems to be a common understanding that the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(g)$ does not have as many Hopf subalgebras as the classical $U(g)$ does. This point is discussed in [H2], in its dual version. In this context, it is necessary to take subalgebras of $U_q(g)$ that are not closed under the coproduct into consideration, to recover the degrees of freedom of subgroups in quantum groups. The arguments in this paper can be reformulated from this point of view, namely in the framework of twisted quantized universal enveloping algebras. Although we will not use this structure explicitly, it should be noted that, in the discussion of invariant rings which is a subject from the next section on, the central role is played by the left or right ideal generated by $\Gamma_q$, not by the coideal $\Gamma_q$ itself. In Case (SO), our definition of the coideal $\Gamma_q$ is closely related to the $q$-deformation of $U(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ due to Gavrilik-Klimyk [GK].

Recall that our coideal $\Gamma_q$ is defined by using the matrix $M$ formed in (2.3) additively from $L^+$ and $S(L^-)$. As a generator system for $U_q(g) \Gamma_q$, this matrix $M$ can be replaced by any of the following four matrices:

$$-S(L^+) M J = S(L^+) JS(L^-)' - J$$

$$L^- J' M' = L^- J'(L^+)' - J'$$

$$(L^-)' Q^{-1} M = (L^-)' QJ^{-1} L^+ - QJ^{-1}$$

$$-S(L^+)' Q^{-1} M'(J^{-1})' = S(L^+)' Q^{-1}(J^{-1})' S(L^-) - Q^{-1}(J^{-1})',$$

(2.17)
where \( Q = \text{diag}(q^{2N-1}, q^{2N-2}, ..., 1) \) is the representation matrix of the group-like element \( q^{2p} \) on the vector representation. The last two equalities in (2.17) are obtained by using the description (1.15) of \( S^2 \). We can use any of the four matrices

\[
S(L^+) JS(L^-)', \quad L^- J'(L^+)'; \quad (L^-)' Q J^{-1} L^+,
\]

and

\[
S(L^+) J S(L^-) \quad \text{(2.18)}
\]

to define multiplicatively a subalgebra of \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) corresponding to the subalgebra \( U(1) \) of \( U(\mathfrak{g}) \). To fix the idea, let us take the matrix

\[
K = S(L^+) JS(L^-)
\]

(2.19)

and denote by \( U_q'(1) \) the \( \mathbb{K} \)-subalgebra of \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) generated by the matrix elements \( K_{ij} \) \( (1 \leq i, j \leq N) \) of \( K \). Then the left ideal \( U_q'(1) I_q \) is described as

\[
U_q'(1) I_q = \sum_{a \in U_q'(1)} U_q(\mathfrak{g}) (a - \epsilon(a)) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} U_q(\mathfrak{g}) (K_{ij} - \epsilon(K_{ij})). \quad (2.20)
\]

This type of subalgebras \( U_q'(1) \) defined above are analogue of the “twisted Yangians” introduced for Yangians by G. I. Olshanski [O]. These “twisted” subalgebras have an advantage in the point that the commutation relations for the generators are describe neatly, again by reflection equations. In fact one can show that the matrix \( K \) satisfies a reflection equation similar to (2.7) (cf. the proof of Proposition 4.4 in Section 4). Furthermore, \( U_q'(1) \) becomes a coideal of \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) (except for the condition on the counit).

In Case (SO), the twisted quantized enveloping algebra \( U_q'(\mathfrak{so}(n)) \) is already found in the work of Gavrilik and Klimyk [GK], although the connection with reflection equations is not apparent in their presentation. One can show that the subalgebra \( U_q'(\mathfrak{so}(n)) \) is generated by the elements \( K_{i,i+1} \) \( (1 \leq j \leq n-1) \) on the subdiagonal:

\[
K_{i,i+1} = (q - q^{-1})(a_j t_j e_{j+1} - a_j f_j).
\]

(2.21)

Assuming that \( a = (q^{n-1}, q^{n-2}, ..., 1) \), take the elements

\[
\theta_j = f_j - q^{-1} e_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1),
\]

(2.22)

so that \( K_{i,i+1} = -(q - q^{-1}) q^{j-i-1} \theta_j \). Then one can check that the generators \( \theta_1, ..., \theta_{n-1} \) of the subalgebra \( U_q'(\mathfrak{so}(n)) \) satisfy the commutation relations
as in the definition of $q$-deformation of $U(so(n))$ of Gavrilik–Klimyk. We finally remark that this algebra $U_q^r(so(n))$ arises naturally as the commutant of a $q$-analogue of the oscillator representation (see [NUW2]).

3. REPRESENTATIONS WITH $\mathfrak{l}_e$-FIXED VECTORS

We now investigate the irreducible representations with $\mathfrak{l}_e$-fixed vectors. As in Section 2, we fix the parameter $a = (a_1, ..., a_n) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^n$ and set $J = J(a)$ and $\mathfrak{l}_q = \mathfrak{l}_q(a)$.

3.1. $\mathfrak{l}_q$-Fixed Vectors

Recall that, for each dominant integral weight $\lambda \in P^+$, there exists an irreducible left $U_q(g)$-module $V(\lambda)$ with highest weight $\lambda$, uniquely determined up to isomorphism. For the coideal $\mathfrak{l}_q = \mathfrak{l}_q(a)$ of $U_q(g)$, defined in Section 2, we denote by $V(\lambda)_{\mathfrak{l}_q}$ the vector subspace of all $\mathfrak{l}_q$-fixed vectors in $V(\lambda)$:

$$V(\lambda)_{\mathfrak{l}_q} := \{ v \in V(\lambda); \mathfrak{l}_q v = 0 \}. \quad (3.1)$$

In this section, we will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.**

1. For any $\lambda \in P^+$, one has $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V(\lambda)_{\mathfrak{l}_q} \leq 1$.

2. The left $U_q(g)$-module $V(\lambda)$ has a nonzero $\mathfrak{l}_q$-fixed vector if and only if the dominant integral weight $\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k \epsilon_k$ satisfies the following condition:

   - **Case (SO).** $\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ \quad (1 \leq k \leq n - 1),
   - **Case (Sp).** $\lambda_{2k-1} = \lambda_{2k}$ \quad (1 \leq k \leq n).

We remark that Theorem 3.1 for Case (SO) is already announced by Ueno and Takebayashi [UT]. We first prove statement (1) of Theorem 3.1

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $v$ be a nonzero $\mathfrak{l}_q$-fixed vector in $V(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in P^+$). Decompose $v$ into the sum of weight vectors $v = \sum_{\mu \in P} v_\mu$, so that $q^h v_\mu = v_\mu q^{(h, \mu)}$ for all $h \in P^*$. Then one has $v_\mu \neq 0$.

**Proof.** By setting, $\mathcal{M} = - J^{-1} MJ$, we take the generators

$$\mathcal{M}_{ij} = S(L_{ji}) - (J^{-1} L^+ J)_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N) \quad (3.2)$$

$$\theta_i \theta_j - (q + q^{-1}) \theta_i \theta_j + \theta_i \theta_j^2 = - \theta_j \quad \text{if} \quad |i - j| = 1, \quad (2.23)$$

(i) \quad $\theta_i \theta_j \theta_i \theta_j + \theta_i \theta_j^2 = - \theta_i \quad \text{if} \quad |i - j| = 1,$

(ii) \quad $\theta_i \theta_j = \theta_j \theta_i \quad \text{if} \quad |i - j| > 1,$
for the coideal $I_q$. Note that, if $i < j$, the element $M_{ij}$ is nonzero and its leading term $S(L_{\alpha_i})$ has weight $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$. Under the lexicographic order of $P$, let $\mu_i$ be the maximum of all $\mu \in P$ such that $\mu_i \neq 0$. In the equation $M_{ij}v = 0$ for $i < j$, we take the component of weight $\mu_i + \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$ to obtain $S(L_{\alpha_i})v_{\mu_i} = 0$ for all $i < j$. This means that $v_{\mu_i}$ is a highest weight vector of $V(\lambda)$. Hence we have $\mu_0 = \lambda$, namely $v_\lambda \neq 0$.

Suppose that the $U_q(g)$-module $V(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in P^+$) has a nonzero $I_q$-fixed vector $v$. Then Lemma 3.2 implies $v_\lambda \neq 0$. If $w$ is another $I_q$-fixed vector, there is a constant $c \in K$ such that $w = cv_\lambda$ since $\dim_K V(\lambda)_\lambda = 1$. Then the difference $w - cv$ is a $I_q$-fixed vector with $(w - cv)_\lambda = 0$. By Lemma 3.2 again, one has $w - cv = 0$, i.e., $w = cv$. This means that $\dim_K V(\lambda)_\lambda = 1$, as desired.

3.2. The Rank-One Case

Before the proof of statement (2) of Theorem 3.1, we consider the case of quantum analogue of the Lie subalgebra $so(2)$ of $gl(2)$. Although Theorem 3.1 for this case is already known by Koornwinder [K1], we give here a direct proof of this statement for completeness (see also [N2], [NM3]).

In this case, the coideal $I_q$ is generated by a single element

$$M_{12} = (q - q^{-1}) q^\xi (f - at^{-1} e), \quad (3.3)$$

where we set $f = f_1$, $e = e_1$, $t = q^{a_1 - a_2}$ and $a = a_1/a_2$. Consider the dominant integral weight

$$\lambda = \lambda_1 \epsilon_1 + \lambda_2 \epsilon_2 = \lambda \epsilon_1 + \lambda_2(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \quad \text{with} \quad l = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.4)$$

Then $V(\lambda)$ is an $(l+1)$ dimensional representation and one can take a basis $\{u_0, u_1, ..., u_l\}$ for $V(\lambda)$ such that

$$q^\gamma u_j = q^{\langle \gamma, \lambda - \rho \rangle} u_j, \quad e. u_j = [j] u_{j-1}, \quad f. u_j = [l-j] u_{j+1} \quad (3.5)$$

for $0 \leq j \leq l$, where $[j] = (q^j - q^{-j})/(q - q^{-1})$. One can easily show that an element

$$v = \sum_{j=0}^{l} u_j c_j \in V(\lambda) \quad (3.6)$$

satisfies the equation $(f - at^{-1} e). v = 0$ if and only if the coefficients satisfy the recurrence formula

$$aq^{-1/2} z^{(j+1)^2} [j+1] c_{j+1} = [l-j+1] c_{j-1} \quad (3.7)$$

The Rank-One Case
for $0 \leq j \leq l$ with boundary condition $c_{-1} = c_{l+1} = 0$. It is immediately seen that the equation (3.7) has no solution if $l$ is odd, and that, if $l$ is even, the solutions of (3.7) are explicitly given by

$$c_{2k} = (-1)^k a^{-k}q^{2k(l-k)}(q^{-2l}; q^4) k c_0 \quad (0 \leq k \leq l/2),$$

$$c_{2k+1} = 0 \quad (0 < k < l/2),$$

(3.8)

where $(a; q)_k = (1-a)(1-aq) \cdots (1-aq^{k-1})$. This proves Theorem 3.1 for the Case (SO) with $N = n = 2$.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.1.(2)

Next we prove the "only if" part of statement (2) of Theorem 3.1 for the general case.

Case (SO). This assertion is reduced to the rank-one case. For each $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$, we consider the subalgebra $U_q(g_k)$ of $U_q(g)$ generated by $q^{-\ell_{k-1}}, q^{\ell_k+1}, e_k$ and $f_k$. Note that $M_{k, k+1} \in U_q(g_k)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. For a fixed $k$, the $U_q(g)$-module $V(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in P^+$) is decomposed into a direct sum

$$V(\lambda) = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_m$$

(3.9)

of irreducible $U_q(g_k)$-submodules. We denote by $\mu^{(j)} = \mu^{(j)}(e_k + \mu^{(j)}(e_{k+1})$ the highest weight of $W_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. In the decomposition above, we may also assume that each $W_j$ is stable under the action of the subalgebra $U_q(t) = \mathbb{C}[q^k h \in P^+]$ of $U_q(g)$; decompose the kernel of the operator $e_k : V(\lambda) \to V(\lambda)$ by the action of $U_q(t)$, if necessary. Let now $v$ be a nonzero $I_q$-fixed vector in $V(\lambda)$ and decompose it in the form

$$v = w_1 + \cdots + w_m \quad \text{with} \quad w_j \in W_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq m).$$

(3.10)

Then the summands $w_j$ are annihilated by $M_{k, k+1}$, since $W_j (1 \leq j \leq m)$ are all $U_q(g_k)$-submodules. Hence one sees that $\langle \mu^{(j)}, \alpha_k \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ if $w_j \neq 0$, by the result of the rank-one case. Taking the component of weight $\lambda$ of $v$, one has $\lambda_j = 0$ by Lemma 3.2, one has $e_{\lambda_j} v_j = 0$ and $e_{\lambda_j} v_j = 0$ for some $j$. Hence, $\lambda_k e_k + \lambda_{k+1} e_{k+1}$ is the highest weight of $W_j$. This means that $\lambda_k e_k + \lambda_{k+1} e_{k+1} = \mu^{(j)}$. Hence, one has $\langle \lambda, \alpha_k \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$.

Case (Sp). Let $v$ be a nonzero $I_q$-fixed vector in $V(\lambda)$ and decompose it into the sum of weight vectors $v = \sum v_j$, where $v_j \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.2. Recall that the coideal $I_q$ contains the elements $M_{2r-1, 2r-1} = q^{r-1} - q^{-r}$ ($1 \leq r \leq n$). Since $(q^{r-1} - q^{-r}) v_j = (q^{r-1} - q^{-r}) v_j = 0$, one has $\lambda_{2r-1} = \lambda_{2r}$, as desired.
Thus we have proved the “only if” part of Theorem 3.1.(2).

The “if” part of Theorem 3.1.(2) is proved in a constructive manner.

From now on, we denote by $P^+_k$ the set of all dominant integral weights satisfying the condition of Theorem 3.1.(2):

\begin{align}
\text{Case (SO).} & \quad P^+_k := \{ \lambda \in P^+; \langle \lambda, \alpha_k \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z} (1 \leq k \leq n-1) \}, \\
\text{Case (Sp).} & \quad P^+_k := \{ \lambda \in P^+; \langle \lambda, \alpha_{2k-1} \rangle = 0 (1 \leq k \leq n) \}.
\end{align}

Denoting the fundamental weights by $\Lambda_r = \sum_{k=1}^r \epsilon_k$ $(1 \leq r \leq N)$, we have the following alternative expression of $P^+_k$:

\begin{align}
\text{Case (SO).} & \quad P^+_k = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} 2\mathbb{N}\Lambda_r + \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_n, \\
\text{Case (Sp).} & \quad P^+_k = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{N}\Lambda_{2r} + \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_{2n},
\end{align}

where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. It is clear that the one dimensional representations $V(l\Lambda_r)$ $(l \in \mathbb{Z})$ have nonzero $t_r$-fixed vectors.

We start with constructing nonzero $t_r$-fixed vectors in $V(2\Lambda_r)$ for Case (SO) and in $V(\Lambda_{2r})$ in Case (Sp), for $1 \leq r \leq n$. For this purpose we make use of the $q$-exterior algebra $\Lambda_q(V)$; it is the quotient algebra of the tensor algebra $T(V) = \bigoplus_{d=0}^\infty V^d$ modulo the two-sided ideal generated by the “symmetric part” $V^+$ of $V^d$. Let us denote the multiplication in this $q$-exterior algebra by $\wedge$. Then $\Lambda_q(V)$ is the $k$-algebra generated by the elements $v_1, \ldots, v_N$ with fundamental relations

\begin{align}
v_k \wedge v_k = 0 & \quad (1 \leq k \leq N) \quad \text{and} \quad qv_i \wedge v_j + v_j \wedge v_i = 0 & \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq N).
\end{align}

Note that the $q$-exterior algebra $\Lambda_q(V)$ is an algebra with $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-symmetry generated by the vector representation $V = \bigoplus_{r=0}^N \mathbb{K}v_r$. Namely the multiplication $\Lambda_q(V) \otimes \Lambda_q(V) \rightarrow \Lambda_q(V)$ and the unit homomorphism $\mathbb{K} \rightarrow \Lambda_q(V)$ are $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-homomorphisms. Furthermore, it decomposes as

\begin{align}
\Lambda_q(V) = \bigoplus_{r=0}^N \Lambda_q(V) & \quad \text{with} \quad \Lambda_q(V) \supseteq V(\Lambda_r),
\end{align}

into irreducible components. Note also that, for each $0 \leq r \leq N$, the $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-submodule $\Lambda_q(\mathfrak{h})(V)$ has the basis

\begin{align}
v_{k_1} \wedge v_{k_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k_r} & \quad (1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_r \leq n).
\end{align}
In Case (SO), the $U_q(g)$-module $\Lambda^r_q (V) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \Lambda^s_q (V)$ has the $\mathfrak{t}_q$-fixed vector
\[ w_r := \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_r \leq n} v_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k_r} \otimes v_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k_r} a_{k_1} \cdots a_{k_r} \] (3.16)
for $1 \leq r \leq n$. Hence $V(2A_r)$ has a nonzero $\mathfrak{t}_q$-fixed vector for $1 \leq r \leq n$.

\textbf{Proof.} In order to obtain a nonzero $\mathfrak{t}_q$-fixed vector in $\Lambda^r_q (V) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \Lambda^r_q (V)$, we construct an intertwining operator
\[ \Phi : (V \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V)^{\otimes r} \cong V^{\otimes r} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V^{\otimes r}. \] (3.17)
Recall that there is a $U_q(g)$-isomorphism $s_{12} : V_1 \otimes V_2 \cong V_2 \otimes V_1$, for $V_1 = V_2 = V$, whose matrix representation is given by $R_{12} = R_{12}^* P_{12}$. In the notation $v = (v_1, ..., v_n)$ as in the proof of Proposition 2.3, this isomorphism can be described as
\[ s_{12}(v_1 \otimes v_2) = v_2 \otimes v_1 R_{21}^+. \] (3.18)
By composing isomorphisms of this type repeatedly, we obtain an isomorphism
\[ \Phi : (V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V)^{\otimes r} \cong (V \otimes \cdots \otimes V)^{\otimes r} \] (3.19)
for $V_k = V = (V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V)^{\otimes r}$; here we take
\[ \Phi = s_{1r} \circ s_{r2} \circ \cdots \circ s_{(r-1)r} \circ s_{1r} \circ s_{r2} \circ \cdots \circ s_{13} \circ s_{23} \circ s_{12}. \] (3.20)
Note that the element $(w_j)^{\otimes r}$ in $(V \otimes V)^{\otimes r}$ is a $\mathfrak{t}_q$-fixed vector, since $\mathfrak{t}_q$ is a coideal. By this isomorphism (3.20), the $\mathfrak{t}_q$-fixed vector $(w_j)^{\otimes r}$ is transformed into
\[ \Phi(w_j \otimes \cdots \otimes w_j) = \Phi(v_j \otimes v_j) \otimes \cdots \otimes v_j \otimes v_j, \] (3.21)
where
\[ K_{1...r} = J_1 R_{12}^{\pm r_1} J_2 R_{13}^{\pm r_2} J_3 \cdots J_{r-1} R_{r1}^{\pm r_{r-1}} J_r. \] (3.22)
Note here that, as to the coefficients of the $R$-matrix, we have
\[ (R_{12}^{\pm r_{i,j}})^{\pm r_{i,j}} = (R_{12}^{\pm r_{i,j}})^{\pm r_{i,j}} = \delta_{i,j} \delta_{i,k} \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq k. \] (3.23)
Hence we see that, if the indices $i_1, ..., i_r$ are mutually distinct, then
\[ (K_{1...r})^{\pm r_{i_1,...,i_r}} = \delta_{i_1 \cdots i_r} a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_r}. \] (3.24)
Denoting by \( \text{pr}_t: V^\otimes r \to \bigwedge^r_q(V) \) the canonical projection, we now consider the \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \)-homomorphism
\[
\Psi = (\text{pr}_t \otimes \text{pr}_t) \circ \Phi: (V \otimes \otimes V)^{\otimes r} \to \bigwedge^r_q(V) \otimes \bigwedge^r_q(V). \tag{3.25}
\]

Then, by (3.21) and (3.24), we get
\[
\Psi(w_{ij} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{jk}) = v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_K, K_{i \cdots} \otimes v'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v'_{j}
\]
\[
= \sum_{1 \leq k_1, \ldots, k_n \leq m} v_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k_n} \otimes v_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k_n} a_{k_1} \cdots a_{k_n}
\]
\[
= [r]_{q^2} \Psi(w_{ij}), \tag{3.26}
\]
where \([r]_{q^2} = (q^2; q^2), (1 - q^2)^r\). This shows that \( w_{ij} \) of (3.16) is a \( \mathfrak{t}_q \)-fixed vector. Since \( \bigwedge^r_q(V) \) is isomorphic to \( V(A_i) \), there is a nontrivial \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \)-homomorphism \( \bigwedge^r_q(V) \otimes \bigwedge^r_q(V) \to V(A_i) \). The image of \( w_{ij} \) by this homomorphism gives a nonzero \( \mathfrak{t}_q \)-fixed vector in \( V(A_i) \) since \( (w_{ij})_{2 \leq i} \neq 0 \).

We remark that Lemma 3.3.A can be proved also by chasing directly the action of \( M_{k, k+1} (1 \leq k \leq n-1) \) on \( w_{ij} \) (see Proposition 2.4). The intertwining operators \( \Phi \) and \( \Psi \) above will be used again later in the discussion of the invariant ring in Section 4.

**Lemma 3.3.B.** In Case (Sp), the element
\[
w_{ij} = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_n \leq n} v_{2k_1-1} \wedge v_{2k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{2k_n-1} \wedge v_{2k_n} a_{k_1} \cdots a_{k_n}, \tag{3.27}
\]
gives a \( \mathfrak{t}_q \)-fixed vector in \( \bigwedge^3_q(V) \). Hence, \( V(A_2) \) has a nonzero \( \mathfrak{t}_q \)-fixed vector for \( 1 \leq r \leq n \).

**Proof.** Note first that the projection \( \text{pr}_3: V \otimes \otimes V \to \bigwedge^3_q(V) \) maps the \( \mathfrak{t}_q \)-fixed vector \( w_{ij} \) of (2.13) to
\[
\text{pr}_3(w_{ij}) = (1 + q^2) \sum_{k=1}^n v_{2k-1} \wedge v_{2k} a_k = (1 + q^2) w_1. \tag{3.28}
\]
Hence \( w_1 \) is a \( \mathfrak{t}_q \)-fixed vector in \( \bigwedge^3_q(V) \). We now compute the \( r \)th power of \( w_1 \) in the \( q \)-exterior algebra \( \bigwedge_q(V) \) using the \( q \)-binomial theorem, to obtain
\[(w_i)'' = \left( \sum_{k_1 < \cdots < k_n \leq n} v_{2k_1-1} \wedge v_{2k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{2k_n} a_{k_1} \cdots a_{k_n} \right)^{r'} = [r]^{q!} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_n \leq n} v_{2k_1-1} \wedge v_{2k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{2k_n} a_{k_1} \cdots a_{k_n} = [r]^{q!} w_r. \]  

(3.29)

Since \( I_q \) is a coideal, equality (3.29) shows that \( w_r \) is a \( t_r \)-fixed vector in \( \Lambda^*_+(V) \) for \( 1 \leq r \leq n \).

In each case, we have now a system of generators of the monoid \( P^+_1 \), consisting of weights \( \lambda \) with \( V(\lambda)_1 \neq 0 \). In order to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1(2), we have only to show that, for any \( \lambda, \mu \in P^+ \), the tensor product \( V(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu) \) has a nonzero \( t_r \)-fixed vector, if both \( V(\lambda) \) and \( V(\mu) \) do. This follows from the fact that there exists a nontrivial \( U_q(g) \)-homomorphism \( V(\lambda) \otimes V(\mu) \rightarrow V(\lambda + \mu) \), up to scalar multiple. If \( v \) and \( w \) are nonzero \( t_r \)-fixed vectors of \( V(\lambda) \) and \( V(\mu) \) respectively, the tensor product \( v \otimes w \) is annihilated by \( I_q \) since \( I_q \) is a coideal. Since \( (v \otimes w)_{r+n} = v_r \otimes w_r \neq 0 \), the image of \( v \otimes w \) in \( V(\lambda + \mu) \) then gives a nonzero \( t_r \)-fixed vector.

We have thus proved that \( V(\lambda) \) has a nonzero \( t_r \)-fixed vector if and only if \( \lambda \in P^+_1 \) and that \( \dim_{k_q} V(\lambda)_1 = 1 \) for each \( \lambda \in P^+_1 \).

### 3.4. Passage from the Left to the Right

In this section, we have discussed \( t_r \)-fixed vectors in left \( U_q(g) \)-modules. The same argument naturally applies to right \( U_q(g) \)-modules. The passage from left \( U_q(g) \)-modules to right \( U_q(g) \)-modules can be described, functorially, by the \( * \)-operation of \( U_q(g) \) explained in Section 1.4.

For a left \( U_q(g) \)-module \( M \), let us denote by \( M^* \) the right \( U_q(g) \)-module obtained from \( M \) by regarding it as a right \( U_q(g) \)-module through this involution:

\[ x.a = a^* . x \quad \text{for all} \quad a \in U_q(g) \quad \text{and} \quad x \in M. \]  

(3.30)

Then, for each dominant integral weight \( \lambda \in P^+ \), the right \( U_q(g) \)-module \( V(\lambda)^* \) gives rise to the irreducible right \( U_q(g) \)-module of highest weight \( \lambda \).

We now look at the coideal \( t_r(\lambda) \) \( (\lambda \in \Lambda^+ \Lambda^+) \) of \( U_q(g) \). One can easily compute the action of the involution on the matrix \( M(a) = L^{-} - J(a) S(L) J(a)^{-1} \), by using \( J(a)^{-1} = J(a^{-1}) \) in Case (SO), or \( J(a)^{-1} = -q J(a^{-1}) \) in Case (Sp). In fact we have

\[ M(a)^* = -(J(a) M(a^{-1}) J(a)^{-1}), \]  

(3.31)
where the left-hand side denotes the matrix $(M(a)_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$. Hence we have $\mathfrak{I}_q(a)^* = \mathfrak{I}_q(a^{-1})$ for any $a \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$. This implies that each $\mathfrak{I}_q(a)$-fixed vector in a left $U_q(g)$-module $M$ can be read as a $\mathfrak{I}_q(a^{-1})$-fixed vector in the right $U_q(g)$-module $M^\vee$.

4. QUANTUM HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND ZONAL SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS

This section is devoted to the study of quantum analogue of the coset spaces $G/K$ and $K\backslash G/K$, for the closed subgroup $K$ of $G = \text{GL}(N)$, corresponding to the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of $\mathfrak{gl}(N)$ of (2.1). We fix the parameter $a \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ involved in the definition of the coideal $\mathfrak{I}_q(a)$ and set $J = J(a)$, $M = M(a)$, $\mathfrak{I}_q = \mathfrak{I}_q(a)$.

4.1. Quantum Analogue of the Homogeneous Space $G/K$

In terms of the coideal $\mathfrak{I}_q = \mathfrak{I}_q(a)$ ($a \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$), we can study the quantum analogue of the homogenous space $G/K$ for the following closed subgroup $K$:

Case (SO). $K = \text{SO}(n) = \{ g \in \text{GL}(n); g g' = \text{id}_n, \det(g) = 1 \}$ $\quad (N = n)$

Case (Sp). $K = \text{Sp}(2n) = \{ g \in \text{GL}(2n); g J_n g' = J_n \}$ $\quad (N = 2n)$

Recall that the “coordinate ring” $A_q(G)$ of the quantum general linear group $\text{GL}_q(N)$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra with two-sided $U_q(g)$-symmetry. By means of the bimodule structure over $U_q(g)$, we consider the $\mathbb{K}$-vector subspace of $\mathfrak{I}_q$-invariant elements in $A_q(G)$ under the left action of $U_q(g)$:

$$A_q(G)_{K} := \{ \varphi \in A_q(G); \mathfrak{I}_q \varphi = 0 \}. \quad (4.2)$$

Thanks to the fact that $\mathfrak{I}_q$ is a coideal (Proposition 2.1), this subspace actually becomes $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra of $A_q(G)$. Note also that the subalgebra $A_q(G)_{K}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra with right $U_q(g)$-symmetry, and is regarded as the algebra of regular functions on the left quantum $G_q$-space $(G/K)_q$.

Recall that $A_q(G)$ has the irreducible decomposition

$$A_q(G) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} W(\lambda), \quad (4.3)$$

as a $U_q(g)$-bimodule. Here $W(\lambda)$ is the $\mathbb{K}$-subspace of $A_q(G)$ spanned by the matrix elements of the irreducible right $A_q(G)$-comodule $V(\lambda)$. Note
also that the $U_q(g)$-bimodule $W(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to the tensor product of \(\text{Hom}_{U_q(g)}(V, \mathbb{K})\), regarded as a right $U_q(g)$-module, and the left $U_q(g)$-module $V(\lambda)$. We denote by $V(\lambda)^\ast$ the irreducible right $U_q(g)$-module with highest weight $\lambda$, so that $W(\lambda) \cong V(\lambda)^\ast \otimes \mathbb{K} V(\lambda)$. From the irreducible decomposition (4.3) and Theorem 3.1, we obtain the multiplicity free decomposition of the invariant ring $A_q(G/K)$.

**Proposition 4.1.** The $K$-subalgebra $A_q(G/K)$ of left $1_q$-invariants in $A_q(G)$ decomposes into the form

$$A_q(G/K) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+} V(\lambda)^\ast$$

as a right $U_q(g)$-module.

**Proof.** For each dominant integral weight $\lambda \in P^+$, we have $W(\lambda) \cong V(\lambda)^\ast \otimes \mathbb{K} V(\lambda)$ as a $U_q(g)$-bimodule. Hence $W(\lambda)_1 \cong V(\lambda)^\ast \otimes \mathbb{K} V(\lambda)_1$, as a right $U_q(g)$-module. On the other hand, we know that $\dim \mathbb{K} V(\lambda)_1 = 1$ if $\lambda \in P^+$ and $V(\lambda)_1 = 0$ otherwise, from Theorem 3.1. Hence we have the multiplicity free decomposition (4.4) by the irreducible decomposition (4.3) of $A_q(G)$.

For the description of the invariant ring $A_q(G/K)$, we define a family of quadratic elements $x_{ij} \in A_q(G)$ by the formula

$$X = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq \infty} x_{ij} t^i t^j.$$  

These elements $x_{ij}$ are explicitly written as

$$x_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_{ik} t_{jk} a_k$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6.a) 

in Case (SO) and

$$x_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (t_{i,2k-1} t_{j,2k} - qt_{i,k} t_{j,2k-1}) a_k$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6.b) 

in Case (Sp).

**Lemma 4.2.** The elements $x_{ij} \in A_q(G)$ defined as above are invariant under the left action of the coideal $1_q$. Namely, $x_{ij} \in A_q(G/K)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq \infty$.

**Proof.** Note that from (1.21) we have

$$L_1^+, T_2 = T_2 R_{12}^+, \quad S(L^-)^i_1, T_2 = T_2 R_{12}^{i+},$$

(4.7)
since \((R_{12})^{-1} = R_{21}^+\) and \(R_{12}^{\pm n} = R_{12}^{\pm 2n}\). Hence we have

\[
\begin{align*}
L_1^+ \cdot X_2 &= L_1^+ \cdot T_1R_{12}^+J_2R_{12}^{+2}T_2^+ \cdot T_2^+ \cdot T_2^+ = T_2R_{12}^{+2}J_2R_{12}^{+2}T_2^+ \\
S(L^-)_1 \cdot X_2 &= S(L^-)_1 \cdot T_2J_2S(L^-)_1 \cdot T_2^+ = T_2R_{12}^{+2}J_2R_{12}^{+2}T_2^+ \quad (4.8)
\end{align*}
\]

Since the matrix \(J\) satisfies the reflection equation (2.7), we have

\[
(L_1^+ J_1 - J_1 S(L^-)_1) \cdot X_2 = 0. \quad (4.9)
\]

This means that \(M_1 \cdot X_2 = 0\), namely, \(I_q \cdot X = 0\). \(\blacksquare\)

As to the structure of this invariant ring, we have

**Theorem 4.3.** The \(k\)-subalgebra \(A_q(G/K)\) of left \(I_q\)-invariants in \(A_q(G)\) is generated by the quadratic elements \(x_{ij}\) (1 \(\leq i, j \leq N\)) defined by (4.5), together with \(\det_q(T)^{\pm 1}\).

The proof of Theorem 4.3 will be given later in Section 4.4. As we will see later, the square \(\det_q(T)^2\) of the quantum determinant is actually represented by \(x_{ij}\)'s in Case (SO), and, in Case (Sp), so is \(\det_q(T)\) (see Remark 4.12 below). Note that, in each case, \(\det_q(T)\) lies in the center of \(A_q(G/K)\). For the present, we will show that the commutation relations among the quadratic elements \(x_{ij}\) (1 \(\leq i, j \leq N\)) are described again by the reflection equation.

**Proposition 4.4.** The elements \(x_{ij}\) (1 \(\leq i, j \leq N\)) defined above satisfy

**Case (SO).** \(x_{ij} = qx_{ji}\) \((1 \leq i < j \leq n)\) \hspace{1cm} (4.10)

**Case (Sp).** \(x_{ii} = 0\) \((1 \leq i \leq 2n)\), \(qx_{ij} + x_{ji} = 0\) \((1 \leq i < j \leq 2n)\).

In each case, they have the commutation relations

\[
R_{12}^{\pm 1}X_3R_{12}^{\pm 3}X_1 = X_1R_{12}^{\pm 3}X_3R_{12}^{\pm 1}. \quad (4.11)
\]

**Proof.** In each case, formula (4.10) can be shown directly by using the expression (4.6) and the commutation relations (1.3) of the “coordinates” \(t_i\). We show that the matrix \(X\) satisfies the reflection equation (4.11). Note that commutation relations (1.4) for \(T\) implies

\[
\begin{align*}
T_1^2T_1^2T_1 &= T_1R_{12}^{2T_1}T_2^2, \quad T_2R_{12}^{2T_2}T_2^2 = T_2R_{12}^{2T_2}T_1^2, \\
R_{12}^{2T_1}T_1^2 &= T_1^2T_2^2R_{12}^{2T_3}. \quad (4.12)
\end{align*}
\]
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(Note that \( R_{12}^{+1} = R_{21}^{+1} \) and \( R_{21}^{-1} = R_{12}^{-1} \)). By using (1.4), (4.12) and the reflection equation for \( J \), we obtain

\[
R_{12}^{+1}X_1R_{12}^{+2}X_1 = R_{12}^{+1}T_1J_1R_{12}^{+2}X_1T_1J_1T_1 = R_{12}^{+1}T_1J_2T_1R_{12}^{+2}X_1T_1J_1T_1
= T_1T_2J_1R_{12}^{+1}J_2R_{12}^{+2}X_1T_1J_1T_1
= T_1T_2R_{12}^{+1}J_2R_{12}^{+2}X_1T_1J_1T_1
= T_1T_2R_{12}^{+1}J_2R_{12}^{+2}X_1T_1J_1T_1
= X_1R_{12}^{+1}X_1R_{12}^{+2},
\]

(4.13)
as desired.

This description of the invariant ring corresponds to the realization of the homogeneous space \( \text{SL}(n)/\text{SO}(n) \) and \( \text{SL}(2n)/\text{Sp}(2n) \) as an orbit of symmetric and skew-symmetric matrices, respectively. The quadratic elements \( x_{ij} (1 \leq i, j \leq N) \) above can be thought of as the quantum analogue of the coordinates for the space of symmetric or skew-symmetric matrices.

Remark 4.5. By the right action of \( U_q(g) \) on \( A_q(G) \), we can also consider the quantum analogue of the right homogeneous space \( K \backslash G \). The subalgebra of right \( I_q \)-invariants

\[
A_q(K \backslash G) := \{ \varphi \in A_q(G) ; \varphi.I_q = 0 \} \tag{4.14}
\]
of \( A_q(G) \) is a \( \mathcal{B} \)-algebra with left \( U_q(g) \)-symmetry, and has the multiplicity free decomposition

\[
A_q(K \backslash G) \leftarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} V(\lambda) \tag{4.15}
\]
as a left \( U_q(g) \)-module, similarly to (4.4). In this case we define the quadratic elements \( y_{ij} (1 \leq i, j \leq N) \) by the formula

\[
Y = (y_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}, \quad Y = T'J^{-1}T. \tag{4.16}
\]

For these elements \( y_{ij} \), we have exactly the same statement as Proposition 4.4. This follows from that fact that \( J^{-1} = J(a^{-1}) \) satisfies the same reflection equation (2.7); \( J(a^{-1}) \) is a constant multiple of \( J(a^{-1}) \). The invariant ring \( A_q(K \backslash G) \) is then generated by the quadratic elements \( y_{ij} (1 \leq i, j \leq N) \) and \( \det(T)^{\pm 1} \). We remark that all these properties of \( A_q(K \backslash G) \) are obtained from those of \( A_q(G/K) \) by using involutions as we explained in Section 3.4.
4. Quantum Analogue of the Double Coset Space \( K \backslash G / K \)

The next step is to study the quantum analogue of the double coset space \( K \backslash G / K \). We consider the following \( \mathbb{K} \)-subalgebra of \( \mathfrak{I}_q \)-biinvariant elements in \( A_q(G) \):

\[
\mathcal{H} = A_q(K \backslash G / K) := \{ \varphi \in A_q(G); \mathfrak{I}_q \varphi = \varphi \mathfrak{I}_q = 0 \}. \tag{4.17}
\]

From the irreducible decomposition (4.3) of \( A_q(G) \) again, we have the direct decomposition of the \( \mathbb{K} \)-subalgebra \( \mathcal{H} \) of \( \mathfrak{I}_q \)-biinvariants

\[
\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+_e} \mathcal{H}(\lambda), \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{H}(\lambda) = \mathcal{H} \cap W(\lambda). \tag{4.18}
\]

We remark that \( \dim \mathcal{H}(\lambda) = 1 \) if \( \lambda \in P^+_e \) and \( \mathcal{H}(\lambda) = 0 \) otherwise, as is immediately seen from Theorem 4.1 and its right \( U_q(g) \)-module version. We say that a nonzero element \( \varphi \in \mathcal{H}(\lambda) \) is a zonal spherical function associated with the representation \( V(\lambda) \). From this, we see that a nonzero element \( \varphi \in \mathcal{H}(\lambda) \) is a zonal spherical function associated with \( V(\lambda) \) if and only if

1. \( \mathfrak{I}_q \varphi = \varphi \mathfrak{I}_q = 0 \), and
2. \( C \varphi = \chi_\lambda(C) \varphi \) for any central \( C \in U_q(g) \).

Summarizing these remarks, we have

**Proposition 4.4.** The subalgebra \( \mathcal{H} = A_q(K \backslash G / K) \) of \( \mathfrak{I}_q \)-biinvariant elements in \( A_q(G) \) has the simultaneous eigenspace decomposition

\[
\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+_e} \mathcal{H}(\lambda) \]

under the action of the center of \( U_q(g) \). Furthermore, the simultaneous eigenspace \( \mathcal{H}(\lambda) \) is one-dimensional for each \( \lambda \in P^+_e \).

For the description of the zonal spherical functions \( \varphi \), we consider their “restriction” \( \varphi|_T \) to the diagonal subgroup \( T = (\mathbb{K}^*)^N \) of the quantum group \( GL_q(N) \). Recall that the quantum general linear group \( GL_q(N) \) “contains” the \( N \)-dimensional algebraic torus \( T = (\mathbb{K}^*)^N \) on its diagonal. Let \( z = (z_1, \ldots, z_N) \) be the canonical coordinates of \( T \); the coordinate ring \( A(T) \) is the \( \mathbb{K} \)-algebra of Laurent polynomials \( \mathbb{K}[z^{\pm 1}] = \mathbb{K}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_N^{\pm 1}] \).

Then there exists a unique Hopf algebra homomorphism \( \varphi \mapsto \varphi|_T : A_q(G) \to A(T) \) such that \( t_{ij}|_T = \delta_{ij} z_i \) for \( 1 \leq i, j \leq N \).
The restriction of $\mathcal{H}$-biinvariant "functions" on $GL_q(N)$ to the diagonal subgroup $T$ is described by the composition of $K$-algebra homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{H} = A_q(G) \rightarrow A_q(G) \rightarrow A(T).$$

**Theorem 4.7.** The restriction mapping $\mathcal{H} = A_q(G) \rightarrow A(T)$ is an injective $K$-algebra homomorphism; hence $\mathcal{H}$ is a commutative $K$-subalgebra of $A_q(G)$ Furthermore the image $\mathcal{H}|_T$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is given by

$$\begin{align*}
\text{Case (SO). } & \mathcal{H}|_T = K[z_1^2, \ldots, z_n^2] \cong \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z}_2^n) \\
\text{Case (Sp). } & \mathcal{H}|_T = K[z_1 z_2, \ldots, z_{2n}] \cong \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}^{2n}/\mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}).
\end{align*}$$

The proof of Theorem 4.7 will be given in Section 4.5. Theorem 4.7 for Case (SO) is stated also in [UT].

4.3. $K_q$-Invariant Matrix Elements

In order to investigate the invariant rings $A_q(G)$ and $A_q(G^\ast)$, we study the $K_q$-invariance of matrix elements of irreducible representations $V(\lambda)$. For this purpose, we will make use of the unitarizability of $A_q(G)$-comodules, with respect to the Hopf $*$-algebra structure of $A_q(G)$ explained in Section 1.4. In our setting of $K = \mathbb{Q}(q)$, we take the conjugation on $K$ to be the identity mapping, which means that $q$ is "real".

Let $M$ be an arbitrary finite dimensional right $A_q(G)$-comodule $M$, and $\rho: M \rightarrow M \otimes_K A_q(G)$ its right coaction. We use the subscript $G$ for the coaction to remember that this structure corresponds to a group representation. It is known that there exists a nondegenerate hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: M \times M \rightarrow K$ conjugate linear in the first argument, which is invariant.

Here, we use the same notation $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to refer to the hermitian form $(M \otimes_K A_q(G)) \times (M \otimes_K A_q(G)) \rightarrow A_q(G)$, naturally defined on $M \otimes_K A_q(G)$; namely,

$$\langle u \otimes \varphi, v \otimes \psi \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle \varphi^* \psi \in A_q(G).$$

for $u, v \in M$ and $\varphi, \psi \in A_q(G)$. Furthermore, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be chosen so that it should be positive definite when $q$ is specialized to a real number with $|q| \neq 0, 1$; then one has $\langle u, u \rangle \neq 0$ for any nonzero vector $u \in M$. As to the left $U_q(g)$-module structure of $M$, the $U_q(N)$-invariance of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ implies

$$\langle u, a \cdot v \rangle = \langle a^* \cdot u, v \rangle \quad \text{for } u, v \in M \quad \text{and } a \in U_q(g),$$

for $u, v \in M$ and $\varphi, \psi \in A_q(G)$. Furthermore, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be chosen so that it should be positive definite when $q$ is specialized to a real number with $|q| \neq 0, 1$; then one has $\langle u, u \rangle \neq 0$ for any nonzero vector $u \in M$. As to the left $U_q(g)$-module structure of $M$, the $U_q(N)$-invariance of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ implies

$$\langle u, a \cdot v \rangle = \langle a^* \cdot u, v \rangle \quad \text{for } u, v \in M \quad \text{and } a \in U_q(g),$$

for $u, v \in M$ and $\varphi, \psi \in A_q(G)$. Furthermore, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be chosen so that it should be positive definite when $q$ is specialized to a real number with $|q| \neq 0, 1$; then one has $\langle u, u \rangle \neq 0$ for any nonzero vector $u \in M$. As to the left $U_q(g)$-module structure of $M$, the $U_q(N)$-invariance of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ implies

$$\langle u, a \cdot v \rangle = \langle a^* \cdot u, v \rangle \quad \text{for } u, v \in M \quad \text{and } a \in U_q(g),$$

for $u, v \in M$ and $\varphi, \psi \in A_q(G)$. Furthermore, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be chosen so that it should be positive definite when $q$ is specialized to a real number with $|q| \neq 0, 1$; then one has $\langle u, u \rangle \neq 0$ for any nonzero vector $u \in M$. As to the left $U_q(g)$-module structure of $M$, the $U_q(N)$-invariance of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ implies

$$\langle u, a \cdot v \rangle = \langle a^* \cdot u, v \rangle \quad \text{for } u, v \in M \quad \text{and } a \in U_q(g),$$

for $u, v \in M$ and $\varphi, \psi \in A_q(G)$. Furthermore, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be chosen so that it should be positive definite when $q$ is specialized to a real number with $|q| \neq 0, 1$; then one has $\langle u, u \rangle \neq 0$ for any nonzero vector $u \in M$. As to the left $U_q(g)$-module structure of $M$, the $U_q(N)$-invariance of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ implies

$$\langle u, a \cdot v \rangle = \langle a^* \cdot u, v \rangle \quad \text{for } u, v \in M \quad \text{and } a \in U_q(g),$$

for $u, v \in M$ and $\varphi, \psi \in A_q(G)$. Furthermore, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be chosen so that it should be positive definite when $q$ is specialized to a real number with $|q| \neq 0, 1$; then one has $\langle u, u \rangle \neq 0$ for any nonzero vector $u \in M$. As to the left $U_q(g)$-module structure of $M$, the $U_q(N)$-invariance of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ implies

$$\langle u, a \cdot v \rangle = \langle a^* \cdot u, v \rangle \quad \text{for } u, v \in M \quad \text{and } a \in U_q(g),$$

for $u, v \in M$ and $\varphi, \psi \in A_q(G)$. Furthermore, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be chosen so that it should be positive definite when $q$ is specialized to a real number with $|q| \neq 0, 1$; then one has $\langle u, u \rangle \neq 0$ for any nonzero vector $u \in M$. As to the left $U_q(g)$-module structure of $M$, the $U_q(N)$-invariance of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ implies

$$\langle u, a \cdot v \rangle = \langle a^* \cdot u, v \rangle \quad \text{for } u, v \in M \quad \text{and } a \in U_q(g),$$

for $u, v \in M$ and $\varphi, \psi \in A_q(G)$. Furthermore, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be chosen so that it should be positive definite when $q$ is specialized to a real number with $|q| \neq 0, 1$; then one has $\langle u, u \rangle \neq 0$ for any nonzero vector $u \in M$. As to the left $U_q(g)$-module structure of $M$, the $U_q(N)$-invariance of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ implies

$$\langle u, a \cdot v \rangle = \langle a^* \cdot u, v \rangle \quad \text{for } u, v \in M \quad \text{and } a \in U_q(g),$$

for $u, v \in M$ and $\varphi, \psi \in A_q(G)$. Furthermore, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be chosen so that it should be positive definite when $q$ is specialized to a real number with $|q| \neq 0, 1$; then one has $\langle u, u \rangle \neq 0$ for any nonzero vector $u \in M$. As to the left $U_q(g)$-module structure of $M$, the $U_q(N)$-invariance of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ implies
under the $*$-operation of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ (see Section 1.4). Note that, if $M$ is irreducible, a $U_q(N)$-invariant hermitian form is determined uniquely up to a scalar multiple.

Fixing a hermitian form on $M$ as above, we define the matrix element $\phi_M(u, v) \in A_q(G)$ of $M$ associated with a pair $(u, v)$ of elements in $M$ by

$$\phi_M(u, v) := \langle u, \rho_G(v) \rangle \in A_q(G).$$  \hfill (4.25)

By the $U_q(N)$-invariance of the hermitian form, one can easily show

**Lemma 4.8.**

\((1)\) $\phi_M(v, u) = \tau(\phi_M(u, v))$ for all $u, v \in M$.

\((2)\) $\alpha \phi_M(u, v) = \phi_M(u, \alpha v)$ and $\phi_M(u, v) \cdot \alpha = \phi_M(\alpha \cdot u, v)$ for all $u, v \in M$ and $\alpha \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

When $M = V(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in P^+$), we will write $\phi_\lambda(u, v) = \phi_{V_q}(u, v)$ for short. Denoting $V(\lambda)$ the right $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module obtained from $V(\lambda)$, we can regard the hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ as a $\mathbb{K}$-bilinear form $V(\lambda)^* \times V(\lambda) \to \mathbb{K}$. Accordingly, the mapping $(u, v) \mapsto \phi_\lambda(u, v)$ give rise to a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-bimodule homomorphism

$$\phi_\lambda : V(\lambda)^* \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V(\lambda) \to A_q(G),$$  \hfill (4.26)

and its image coincide with the subspace $W(\lambda)$ of matrix elements of $A_q(G)$. This give a description of the isomorphism $V(\lambda)^* \otimes V(\lambda) \to W(\lambda)$.

We fix a highest weight vector $u(\lambda)$ in $V(\lambda)$. We now assume that $\lambda \in P_1^+$ and denote by $w(\lambda)$ the $I_q$-fixed vector in $V(\lambda)$ normalized so that the highest weight component of $w(\lambda)$ should give $u(\lambda)$; this normalization makes sense by Lemma 3.2. Recalling that $I_q = I_q(a)$ implies $I_q^* = I_q(a^{-1})$, we also take the $I_q^*$-fixed vector $w^*(\lambda)$ in $V(\lambda)$ such that $w^*(\lambda) = u(\lambda)$. By using these vectors, we define the matrix elements $\varphi_\lambda(\lambda)$ and $\varphi(\lambda)$ by

$$\varphi_\lambda(\lambda) = \phi_\lambda(u(\lambda), w(\lambda))/\langle u(\lambda), u(\lambda) \rangle,$$

$$\varphi(\lambda) = \phi_\lambda(w^*(\lambda), w(\lambda))/\langle u(\lambda), u(\lambda) \rangle.$$

\hfill (4.27)

**Lemma 4.9.** Let $\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k e_k$ be an element of $P_1^+$.

\((1)\) The matrix element $\varphi_\lambda(\lambda)$ gives a highest weight vector of the right $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module $W(\lambda)|_\lambda$. Furthermore, its restriction to the diagonal subgroup $\mathbb{T}$ is given by

$$\varphi(\lambda)|_{\mathbb{T}} = z^\lambda = z_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots z_N^{\lambda_N}.$$  \hfill (4.28)
The matrix element \( \varphi(\lambda) \) gives a \( \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{R} \)-biinvariant element in \( W(\lambda) \), i.e., \( \varphi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{W}(\lambda) \). Furthermore, its restriction to the diagonal subgroup \( \mathbb{T} \) is a homogenous Laurent polynomial in the form

\[
\varphi(\lambda)|_\mathbb{T} = z^2 + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} a_{\mu} z^\mu \quad (a_{\mu} \in \mathbb{K}),
\]

where \( \prec \) denotes the dominance order of weights in \( P \).

Proof. From Lemma 4.8, it follows directly that \( \varphi_0(\lambda) \) is a highest weight vector of \( W(\lambda) \) and that \( \varphi(\lambda) \) is a \( \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{R} \)-biinvariant element in \( W(\lambda) \). Setting \( u_0 = u(\lambda) \), take a basis \( \{ u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_m \} \) for \( V(\lambda) \), consisting of weight vectors \( u_j \) of weight \( \mu^{(j)} \), so that \( \langle u_0, u_j \rangle = 0 \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, m \). Write the vectors \( w(\lambda) \) and \( w^*(\lambda) \) in the form

\[
w(\lambda) = u_0 + \sum_{j=1}^m c_j u_j,
\]

\[
w^*(\lambda) = u_0 + \sum_{j=1}^m d_j u_j, \tag{4.30}
\]

where \( c_j, d_j \in \mathbb{K} \). Note that the restriction of \( \varphi_0(\lambda) \) and \( \varphi(\lambda) \) to \( \mathbb{T} \) can be written as follows by using the coaction \( \rho_\mathbb{T}: V(\lambda) \to V(\lambda) \otimes_\mathbb{K} A(\mathbb{T}) \):

\[
\varphi_0(\lambda)|_\mathbb{T} = \langle u(\lambda), \rho_\mathbb{T}(w(\lambda)) \rangle / \langle u(\lambda), u(\lambda) \rangle,
\]

\[
\varphi(\lambda)|_\mathbb{T} = \langle w^*(\lambda), \rho_\mathbb{T}(w(\lambda)) \rangle / \langle u(\lambda), u(\lambda) \rangle. \tag{4.31}
\]

Since \( \rho_\mathbb{T}(w(\lambda)) = \sum_{j=0}^m c_j u_j \otimes z^{\mu^{(j)}} \), we compute

\[
\langle u(\lambda), u(\lambda) \rangle \varphi_0(\lambda)|_\mathbb{T} = \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \langle u(\lambda), u_j \rangle \ z^{\mu^{(j)}} = \langle u(\lambda), u(\lambda) \rangle \ z^2. \tag{4.32}
\]

Similarly, we have

\[
\langle u(\lambda), u(\lambda) \rangle \varphi(\lambda)|_\mathbb{T} = \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \langle w^*(\lambda), u_j \rangle \ z^{\mu^{(j)}}
\]

\[
= \langle u(\lambda), u(\lambda) \rangle \ z^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \langle w^*(\lambda), u_j \rangle \ z^{\mu^{(j)}}, \tag{4.33}
\]

as desired. \( \blacksquare \)

For the proof of Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.7, we need to determine the explicit form of \( \varphi_0(\lambda) \) and \( \varphi(\lambda) \) for the fundamental weights \( \lambda \) in \( P_+^\ast \). Let \( 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_r \leq N \) and \( 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_s \leq N \) be two increasing sequence of indices of length \( r \) (\( 1 \leq r \leq N \)). Then we denote by \( \lambda_{j_1 \cdots j_r} \) the
quantum minor determinant of the matrix $T = (t_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}$, with row indices $i_1, ..., i_r$ and column indices $j_1, ..., j_r$:

$$
\xi_{j_1 \cdots j_r} = \sum_{w \in S_r} (-q)^{l(w)} t_{w(i_1)} t_{w(2)} \cdots t_{w(i_r)}.
$$

(4.34)

When $(i_1, i_2, ..., i_r) = (1, 2, ..., r)$, we write $\xi_{j_1 \cdots j_r} = \xi_{j_1 \cdots j_r}^{1 \cdots r}$ for short. It is known that the fundamental representation $V(A_r) = \Lambda^N_q(V)$ has a $U_q(N)$-invariant hermitian form such that the basis $\{ v_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{i_r} \}_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq N}$ is an orthonormal basis (see [NYM]). Under this hermitian form, we have

$$
v_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{i_r} = \phi_{\Lambda^N_q(V)}(v_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{i_r}),
$$

(4.35)

for $i_1 < \cdots < i_r$.

**Lemma 4.10.A.** In Case $(SO)$, the matrix elements $\varphi_{\Lambda^N_q(V)}(2A_r)$ and $\varphi(2A_r)$ are determined as follows:

$$
\varphi_{\Lambda^N_q(V)}(2A_r) = \sum_{j_1 < \cdots < j_r} (\xi_{j_1 \cdots j_r})^2 a_1^{-1} \cdots a_r^{-1} a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_r},
$$

$$
\varphi(2A_r) = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_r < j_1 < \cdots < j_r} (\xi_{i_1 \cdots i_r})^2 a_1^{-1} \cdots a_r^{-1} a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_r}.
$$

(4.36)

Furthermore, for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\varphi_{\Lambda^N_q(V)}(lA_r) = \varphi(lA_r) = \det_q(T)^l$.

**Proof.** It is directly checked that the $f_q$-fixed vector $w_s$ of Lemma 3.3.A lies in the $U_q(g)$-submodule of $\Lambda^N_q(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda^N_q(V)$. In fact, each summand $v_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k_r} \otimes v_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k_r}$ of $w_s$ is obtained from $v_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k_r} \otimes v_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k_r}$ by applying the elements $f_j^2$ ($1 \leq j \leq n - 1$) repeatedly. Hence, we can compute the matrix elements $\varphi_{\Lambda^N_q(V)}(2A_r)$ and $\varphi(2A_r)$ by means of the $f_q$-fixed vector in $\Lambda^N_q(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda^N_q(V)$. Setting $w(2A_r) = v_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k_r} \otimes v_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k_r}$, we have

$$
w(2A_r) = a_1^{-1} \cdots a_r^{-1} w_s
$$

$$
= \sum_{k_1 < \cdots < k_r} v_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k_r} \otimes v_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k_r} a_1^{-1} \cdots a_r^{-1} a_{k_1} \cdots a_{k_r},
$$

$$
w^n(2A_r) = \sum_{k_1 < \cdots < k_r} v_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k_r} \otimes v_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k_r} a_1 \cdots a_{k_1}^{-1} \cdots a_{k_r}^{-1}.
$$

(4.37)
This implies
\[
a_{1} \cdots a_{r} \rho_{c}(w(2A_{r})) = \rho_{c}(w_{r})
\]
\[
= \sum_{n_{1} < \cdots < n_{r} < \cdots < n_{j}} v_{n_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{n_{r}} \bigotimes v_{n_{r}} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{n_{j}}
\]
\[
\otimes \sum_{k_{1} < \cdots < k_{r}} \xi_{k_{1} \cdots k_{r}} a_{k_{1}} \cdots a_{k_{r}}.
\]

(4.38)

Hence, under the induced hermitian form on \( \wedge_{q}^{*}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \wedge_{q}^{*}(V) \), we compute
\[
\phi_{2,6}(u(2A_{r}), w(2A_{r})) = \sum_{n_{1} < \cdots < n_{r} < \cdots < n_{j}} (\xi_{n_{1} \cdots n_{r}}) a_{1}^{-1} \cdots a_{r}^{-1} a_{k_{1}} \cdots a_{k_{r}}.
\]

(4.39)

and
\[
\phi_{2,6}(w^{*}(2A_{r}), w(2A_{r})) = \sum_{n_{1} < \cdots < k_{1} < \cdots < n_{r}} (\xi_{n_{1} \cdots k_{1}}) a_{1}^{-1} \cdots a_{r}^{-1} a_{k_{1}} \cdots a_{k_{r}}.
\]

(4.40)

The last statement of lemma is clear since \( V(IA_{n}) \) is one dimensional and its matrix element is given by \( \det(T)^{i} \).

**Lemma 4.10.B.** In Case (Sp), the matrix elements \( \varphi_{d}(A_{2r}) \) and \( \varphi(A_{2r}) \) are determined as follows:

\[
\varphi_{d}(A_{2r}) = \sum_{1 \leq n_{1} < \cdots < n_{r} \leq n} (\xi_{2n_{1} - 1, 2n_{2} - 1, \ldots, 2n_{r} - 1, 2n}) a_{1}^{-1} \cdots a_{r}^{-1} a_{n_{1}} \cdots a_{n_{r}},
\]

(4.41)

\[
\varphi(A_{2r}) = \sum_{1 \leq n_{1} < \cdots < n_{r} < \cdots < n_{j} \leq n} (\xi_{2n_{1} - 1, 2n_{2} - 1, \ldots, 2n_{r} - 1, 2n_{j}} a_{1}^{-1} \cdots a_{r}^{-1} a_{n_{1}} \cdots a_{n_{r}}).
\]

Furthermore, for any \( I \in \mathbb{Z}, \) we have \( \varphi_{d}(IA_{2n}) = \varphi(IA_{2n}) = \det(T)^{i} \).

Proof. As to \( \wedge_{q}^{*}(V) \), we can take
\[
u(2A_{2r}) = v_{1} \wedge v_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{2r},
\]
\[
w(2A_{2r}) = a_{1}^{-1} \cdots a_{r}^{-1} w_{r},
\]
\[
\otimes
\]
\[
\otimes
\]
\[
\otimes
\]
\[
\otimes
\]
\[
\otimes
\]

(4.42)
Then we have
\[
a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_p} \rho(w(A_{2r})) = \rho(w_r)
\]
\[
= \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p} e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_p}
\]
\[
\otimes \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_n \leq n} \frac{x}{2k_1 - 1, 2k_2 - 1, 2k_3 - 1, 2k_4 \cdots \cdots a_{k_n}}.
\]
(4.43)

With the vectors in (4.42), we can easily see that the elements \(\phi_{A_2}(w(A_{2r}))\) and \(\phi_{A_2}(w^*(A_{2r}))\) are written in the form (4.41).

4.4. Proof of Theorem 4.3.

We now prove that the quadratic elements \(x_{ij} (1 \leq i, j \leq N)\) and \(\text{det}_q(T)^{-1}\) generate the invariant ring \(A_{q}(G/K)\). For this purpose, we look at the highest weight vectors in \(A_{q}(G/K)\). Consider the subalgebra

\[
A_q(N \setminus G/K) = \{ \varphi \in A_q(G/K); \varphi \cdot n_q = 0 \}\)
(4.44)
of highest weight vectors in \(A_q(G/K)\), where \(n_q\) denotes the coideal of \(U_q(g)\) spanned by \(L_{ij} (i < j)\), corresponding to the nilpotent Lie subalgebra of lower triangular matrices. By the irreducible decomposition of Proposition 4.1, we see that the matrix elements \(\varphi_0(\lambda) (\lambda \in P_+^*)\) form a \(k\)-basis for \(A_q(N \setminus G/K)\):

\[
A_q(N \setminus G/K) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_+^*} k\varphi_0(\lambda).
\]
(4.45)

Then by Lemma 4.9.(1), we see that this algebra is isomorphic to the following subalgebra of \(A(\mathbb{T}) = k[z, z^{-1}]\), through the restriction mapping \(A_q(N \setminus G/K) \to A(\mathbb{T})\):

\[
A_q(N \setminus G/K) \mid_{\mathbb{T}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_+^*} k z^\lambda.
\]
(4.46)

This implies:

Case (SO). \(A_q(N \setminus G/K) \mid_{\mathbb{T}} = k[z^{2A_1}, z^{2A_1}, \ldots, z^{2A_{n-1}}, z^{A_n}]\),

Case (Sp). \(A_q(N \setminus G/K) \mid_{\mathbb{T}} = k[z^{A_1}, z^{A_2}, \ldots, z^{2A_{n-1}}, z^{2A_n}]\).

(4.47)
Hence we have

**Lemma 4.11.** The algebra $A_q(N \setminus G/K)$ is a commutative $K$-algebra generated by the following matrix elements:

*Case (SO).* \( \varphi_0(2A_r) \ (1 \leq r \leq n - 1), \quad \det_q(T)^\pm \).

*Case (Sp).* \( \varphi_0(A_{2r}) \ (1 \leq r \leq n - 1), \quad \det_q(T)^\pm \).

Note that the algebra $A_q(G/K)$ is generated by the subalgebra $A_q(N \setminus G/K)$ as a right $U_q(g)$-module. Hence, in order to prove that the quadratic elements $x_{ij}$ $(1 \leq i, j \leq N)$ and $\det_q(T)^\pm$ generate the algebra $A_q(G/K)$, we have only to show that the generators of $A_q(N \setminus G/K)$ above are actually contained in the algebra $K[x_{ij} (1 \leq i, j \leq N), \det_q(T)^\pm]$.

It should be noted that the quadratic elements $x_{ij}$ arise from the coaction of $A_q(G)$ at the $k_q$-invariant element $w_j \in V \otimes_K V$ of Proposition 2.3:

$$\rho_G(w_j) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} v_i \otimes v_j \otimes \sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq N} t_{kl}^J J_{kl}^J,$$

where

$$= \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} v_i \otimes v_j \otimes x_{ij} \quad \text{(4.49)}$$

*Case (SO).* We show that the matrix elements $\varphi_0(2A_r) \ (1 \leq r \leq n)$ are contained in the subalgebra $K[x_{ij} (1 \leq i, j \leq N)]$ of $A_q(G/K)$. We make use of the intertwining operators $\Phi: (V \otimes_K V)^{\otimes r} \rightarrow V^{\otimes r} \otimes_K V^{\otimes r}$ and $\Psi: (V \otimes_K V)^{\otimes r} \rightarrow \wedge^r (V) \otimes_K \wedge^r (V)$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.3.A. We take the following matrix representation of $\Phi$:

$$\Phi(v_1 \otimes v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_r} \otimes v_r) = v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_r \otimes \cdots v_r \otimes \Phi_1 \cdots \Phi_r \cdots v_r,$$

where

$$\Phi_1 \cdots \Phi_r = R_{i_1}^- \cdots R_{i_r}^+ R_{i_1} \cdots R_{i_r}^- R_{i_1}^+ \cdots R_{i_r}^-. \quad \text{(4.50)}$$

Then we have

$$\Psi(v_1 \otimes v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_r} \otimes v_r) = \sum_{\mu_1 < \cdots < \mu_r, \nu_1 < \cdots < \nu_r} v_{\mu_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\mu_r} \wedge v_{\nu_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\nu_r} \Psi_1 \cdots \Psi_r \cdots v_r \Psi_1 \cdots \Psi_r \cdots v_r,$$

where the matrix coefficients of $\Psi$ are given by

$$\Psi_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_r, \nu_1 \cdots \nu_r} = \sum_{\pi, \sigma} (-q)^{(\sigma) + (\pi)} \Phi_{\mu_1} \cdots \Phi_{\mu_r} \cdots \Psi_1 \cdots \Psi_r \cdots \Phi_{\nu_1} \cdots \Phi_{\nu_r} \cdots \Phi_{\nu_1} \cdots \Phi_{\nu_r}.$$
Since \( \Psi \) is a homomorphism of right \( \mathcal{A}_q(G) \)-comodules, the equality 
\[
\Psi(w_J \otimes^r) = [r]_{q^1} w_J,
\]
in (3.26) implies 
\[
\Psi(p_G(w_J \otimes^r)) = [r]_{q^1} p_G(w_J). \tag{4.54}
\]
As the right-hand side is already given in (4.38), we now compute the left-hand side of (4.54) explicitly. From 
\[
p_G(w_J) = \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_j} v_{i_1} \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_n} \otimes v_{j_n} \otimes x_{n_1, j_1} \cdots x_{n_j, j_n}, \tag{4.55}
\]
we have 
\[
p_G(w_J \otimes^r) = \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_j} v_{i_1} \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_n} \otimes v_{j_n} \otimes x_{n_1, j_1} \cdots x_{n_j, j_n}. \tag{4.56}
\]

Hence we have 
\[
\Psi(p_G(w_J \otimes^r)) = \sum_{k_1, \ldots, k_j} (\xi_{k_1 \cdots k_j})^2 a_{k_1} \cdots a_{k_j}, \tag{4.57}
\]
which gives an expression of \( \vartheta_d(2A_\ell) \) in terms of \( x_{ij} (1 \leq i, j \leq n) \). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.3 for Case (SO).

Case (Sp). We show that the matrix elements \( \vartheta_d(A_{2\ell}) \) \((1 \leq r \leq n)\) are contained in the subalgebra \( \mathbb{K}[x_{ij} (1 \leq i, j \leq 2n)] \) of \( \mathcal{A}_q(G/K) \). With the notation of Lemma 3.3.B, we consider the \( \mathfrak{I}_q \)-fixed vector \( w_r \) in \( \wedge_{q}^r (V) \). From (4.49), we obtain 
\[
p_G(w_1) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n} v_i \otimes v_j \otimes x_{ij}. \tag{4.58}
\]
Since the coaction \( p_G : \wedge_q (V) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_q(G)} \to \wedge_q (V) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_q(G)} \) is an algebra homomorphism, we have 
\[
p_G(w^\wedge_1) = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_n} v_{i_1} \otimes v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_n} \otimes v_{j_n} \otimes x_{i_1, j_1} \cdots x_{i_n, j_n}. \tag{4.59}
\]

On the other hand, we know that \( w^\wedge_1 = [r]_{q^1} w_r \), hence equality (4.59) gives a formula for \( [r]_{q^1} p_G(w_r) \). Comparing this with (4.43), we have
\[ [r]_{q^1} = \sum_{k_1 < \ldots < k_r} \sum_{2k_1 - 1, 2k_2 - 1, \ldots, 2k_r - 1} a_{k_1} \cdots a_{k_r} \]
\[ = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}_2: \text{w}(1) < \text{w}(2), \ldots, \text{w}(2r-1) < \text{w}(2r)} (-q)^{m(w)} X_{w(1) w(2)} \cdots X_{w(2r-1) w(2r)}. \]

(4.60)

This gives the expression of \( \varphi_I(A_{3p}) \) in terms of \( x_{ij} \) (1 \( \leq i, j \leq 2n \)). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.3 for Case (Sp).

**Remark 4.12.** In Case (Sp), (4.60) contains the following formula for the quantum determinant \( \det_q(T) \):
\[ [n]_{q^1} \det_q(T) a_1 \cdots a_n \]
\[ = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}_2: \text{w}(1) < \text{w}(2), \ldots, \text{w}(2n-1) < \text{w}(2n)} (-q)^{m(w)} X_{w(1) w(2)} \cdots X_{w(2n-1) w(2n)} \]

(4.61)

which can be regarded as the “quantum Pfaffian” of the \( q \)-skew-symmetric matrix \( X = TJT' \). In Case (SO), (4.57) implies a formula representing the square \( \det_q(T)^2 \) of the quantum determinant in terms of the matrix elements of \( X = TJT' \). We do not know, however, whether (4.57) reduces to a simple formula, even in the case when \( r = n \).

### 4.5. Proof of Theorem 4.7

By Lemma 4.9(2), we see that the zonal spherical functions \( \varphi_\lambda \) (\( \lambda \in P^+ \)) form a \( \mathcal{H} \)-basis of the subalgebra \( \mathcal{H} = A_q(K \backslash G/K) \) of \( \mathfrak{t}_q \)-biinvariant elements. Furthermore, the restriction of \( \varphi_\lambda \) to the diagonal subgroup \( \mathbb{T} \) has the leading term \( z^\lambda \) under the lexicographic order of the monomials in \( A(\mathbb{T}) = K[z^{-1}] \). This shows that the restriction mapping \( \mathcal{H} \rightarrow A(\mathbb{T}) \) is injective.

From Lemma 4.10.A, it follows that
\[ \varphi(2A_r) \big|_\mathbb{T} = e_r(z_1^2, \ldots, z_n^2) \quad (1 \leq r \leq n) \]  
(4.62.a)

in Case (SO). Here we denoted by \( e_r(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) the elementary symmetric function of degree \( r \) in the variables \( (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \). Similarly, from Lemma 4.10.B it follows that
\[ \varphi(A_{2r}) \big|_\mathbb{T} = e_r(z_1z_2, \ldots, z_{2n-1}z_{2n}) \quad (1 \leq r \leq n), \]  
(4.62.b)

in Case (Sp). Note also that
\[ \varphi(lA_{ln}) \big|_{x_i} = \det_q(T)^l \big|_{x_i} = (z_1z_2 \cdots z_n)^l \quad (l \in \mathbb{Z}), \]  
(4.63)
Lemma 4.13. The algebra \( \mathcal{H} = A_q(K \backslash G/K) \) of \( \Gamma_q \)-biinvariants is generated by the following matrix elements:

**Case (SO).** \( \varphi(2A_r) \quad (1 \leq r \leq n-1), \det_q(T)^{\pm 1} \)

**Case (Sp).** \( \varphi(2A_{2r}) \quad (1 \leq r \leq n-1), \det_q(T)^{\pm 1} \) \hspace{1cm} (4.64)

**Proof:** Recall that the “coordinate ring” \( A_q(\text{Mat}(N)) \) of the quantum matrix space \( \text{Mat}_q(N) \) has the following irreducible decomposition as a \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \)-bimodule:

\[
A_q(\text{Mat}(N)) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+ \cap L} W(\lambda), \hspace{1cm} (4.65)
\]

where \( L = \{ \lambda \in P; \langle \lambda, \epsilon_q \rangle \geq 0 \quad (1 \leq r \leq N) \} \) denotes the first quadrant of the weight lattice \( P \). Let us denote by \( \mathcal{H}_{p,0} = A_q(K \backslash \text{Mat}(N)/K) \) the subalgebra of all \( \Gamma_q \)-biinvariants in \( A_q(\text{Mat}(N)) \). Then from the decomposition (4.65) we have

\[
\mathcal{H}_{p,0} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+ \cap L} \mathbb{C}\varphi(\lambda), \hspace{1cm} (4.66)
\]

just as in the case of \( \mathcal{H} \). It is clear that

\[
\varphi(\lambda) \det_q(T)^{\pm 1} = \varphi(\lambda + lA_q) \quad \text{for any} \quad \lambda \in P^+_1, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}. \hspace{1cm} (4.67)
\]

Hence we have only to prove that \( \mathcal{H}_{p,0} \) is generated by the following matrix elements:

**Case (SO).** \( \varphi(2A_r) \quad (1 \leq r \leq n-1), \det_q(T)_r \)

**Case (Sp).** \( \varphi(A_{2r}) \quad (1 \leq r \leq n). \) \hspace{1cm} (4.68)

Recall that any \( \lambda \in P^+_1 \cap L \) can be written in the form

**Case (SO).** \( \lambda = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} 2m_r A_r + lA_n \) \hspace{1cm} (4.69)

**Case (Sp).** \( \lambda = \sum_{r=1}^n m_r A_{2r} \)

for some \( m_r \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1 \leq r \leq n) \) and \( l \in \mathbb{N} \). In view of (4.69), we define the element \( \varphi(\lambda) \) in \( \mathcal{H}_{p,0} \) by
Case (SO). \( \hat{\varphi}(\lambda) = \varphi(2A_1)^{m_1} \cdots \varphi(2A_{n-1})^{m_{n-1}} \varphi(A_n)^{m_n} \) 

Case (Sp). \( \hat{\varphi}(\lambda) = \varphi(A_2)^{m_1} \cdots \varphi(A_{2n})^{m_n} \) \hspace{1cm} (4.70)

Note that, under the lexicographic order \( \leq \) of \( L \), the polynomials \( \varphi(\lambda)|_T \) and \( \hat{\varphi}(\lambda)|_T \) in \( K[z] \) have the common leading term \( z^s \). From this fact, one can easily show that \( H_{>0} \) is generated by the elements in (4.68), by the induction with respect to the well-ordering \( \leq \) of \( L \). If \( \varphi \) is a nonzero element in \( H_{>0} \), its restriction \( \varphi|_T \) has the leading term \( cz^s \) for some \( \mu \in P^+_L \cap L \) and \( c \in K \) by (4.66). By the induction hypothesis, the element \( \psi = \varphi - c\hat{\varphi}(\mu) \) is expressed as a linear combination of elements in (4.70), since \( \psi \) has the leading exponent strictly less than \( \mu \) under \( \leq \). Hence \( \varphi = \psi + c\hat{\varphi}(\mu) \) also lies in the subalgebra of \( H_{>0} \) generated by the elements in (4.68). \( \blacksquare \)

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.7.

Remark 4.14. As we have seen in the proof of Lemma 4.13, we have

\[
\bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+_L \cap L} K[\varphi(\lambda)]|_T = K[z_1z_2, \ldots, z_{2n-1}z_{2n}]^\mathbb{Z}^\ast. \tag{4.71.b}
\]

in Case (Sp). In Case (SO), we have

\[
\bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+_L \cap 2L} K[\varphi(\lambda)]|_T = K[z_1^2, \ldots, z_n^2]^\mathbb{Z}^\ast. \tag{4.71.a}
\]

To show (4.71.a), we have to prove that, if \( \lambda \in P^+_L \cap 2L \), then \( \varphi(\lambda)|_T \) belongs to the algebra \( K[z_1^2, \ldots, z_n^2] \). If \( \lambda \in P^+_L \cap 2L \), we can set \( l = 2m_n \) in (4.69) and we have

\[
\varphi_0(\lambda) = \varphi_0(2A_1)^{m_1} \cdots \varphi_0(2A_n)^{m_n} \tag{4.72}
\]

By Lemma 4.10.A, it is clear that, in the weight decomposition of \( \varphi_0(2A_1) \), nonzero components occurs only for the weights in \( 2L \), for any \( 1 \leq r \leq n \). Accordingly \( \varphi_0(\lambda) \) also has the same property by (4.72). In view of (4.33), we conclude that \( \varphi(\lambda)|_T \) lies in \( K[z_1^2, \ldots, z_n^2] \) as desired.

5. MACDONALD’S SYMMETRIC POLYNOMIALS AS ZONAL SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS

In this section we investigate the restriction of the zonal spherical functions \( \varphi(\lambda) \ (\lambda \in P^+_L) \) to the diagonal subgroup \( T \) of \( GL_n(N) \). They are
expressed by Macdonald's symmetric polynomials $P_\mu(x; q, t)$ in $n$ variables with a special value of $(q, t)$. This result will be established by computing the radial component of a central element of $U_q(g)$.

5.1. Macdonald's Symmetric Polynomials

We begin with a recall on Macdonald's symmetric polynomials ([M2, M3]). Macdonald's symmetric polynomials $P_\mu(x; q, t)$ are a family of symmetric polynomials in $Q(q, t)[x_1, ..., x_n]$, homogeneous of degree $\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k$, parametrized by partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n (\mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_n \geq 0)$. Among many characterizations of $P_\mu(x; q, t)$, we recall now the following two properties.

(i) For each $\mu$, the polynomial $P_\mu(x; q, t)$ has an expression

$$ P_\mu(x; q, t) = m_\mu(x) + \sum_{\nu < \mu} c_{\mu\nu} m_\nu(x) \quad (c_{\mu\nu} \in Q(q, t)), \quad (5.1) $$

where $m_\mu(x)$ stands for the monomials symmetric function of monomial type $\mu$ and the symbol $<$ denotes the dominance order of partitions.

(ii) For each $\mu$, $P_\mu(x; q, t)$ satisfies the $q$-difference equation

$$ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{A(x_1, ..., tx_k, ..., x_n)}{A(x_1, ..., x_n)} T_{q, x_k} P_\mu(x; q, t) = \left( \sum_{k=1}^n t^{n-k} q^\mu_k \right) P_\mu(x; q, t), \quad (5.2) $$

where $A(x_1, ..., x_n)$ stands for the difference product

$$ A(x_1, ..., x_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i) \quad (5.3) $$

and $A(x_1, ..., tx_k, ..., x_n)$ for $A(x_1, ..., x_n)$ with $x_k$ replaced by $tx_k$. The symbol $T_{q, x_k}$ denotes the $q$-shift operator in $x_k$ defined by

$$ (T_{q, x_k} f)(x_1, ..., x_n) = f(x_1, ..., qx_k, ..., x_n). \quad (5.4) $$

We remark that property (i) implies that the symmetric polynomials $P_\mu(x; q, t)$ form a $Q(q, t)$-basis for the algebra $Q(q, t)[x]$, as $\mu$ runs over the set of all partitions in $\mathbb{N}^n$. This means that the $q$-difference operator in the left-hand side of (5.2) is diagonalizable on $Q(q, t)[x]$ and that its eigenspaces are all one-dimensional. Note that the coefficients of the $q$-difference operator are also written in the form

$$ \frac{A(x_1, ..., tx_k, ..., x_n)}{A(x_1, ..., x_n)} = \prod_{1 \leq j < n, j \neq k} \frac{tx_k - x_j}{x_k - x_j}. \quad (5.5) $$
Returning to the setting of Section 4, we consider the zonal spherical function \(\varphi(\lambda)\) associated with the representation \(V(\lambda)\) \((\lambda \in P^+_1)\). For the description of \(\varphi(\lambda)|_T\), we use the following parametrization of \(\lambda\) by partitions \(\mu\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Case (SO).} & \quad \lambda = \sum_{k=1}^n 2\mu_k \epsilon_k + lA_n, \\
\text{Case (Sp).} & \quad \lambda = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k (\epsilon_{2k-1} + \epsilon_{2k}) + lA_{2n},
\end{align*}
\]

(5.6)

where \(\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)\) stands for a partition in \(\mathbb{N}^m\) and \(l \in \mathbb{Z}\).

**Theorem 5.1.** For each \(\lambda \in P^+_1\), the restriction of the zonal spherical function \(\varphi(\lambda)\) to the diagonal subgroup \(T\) is expressed in terms of Macdonald’s symmetric polynomial \(P_\mu\). To be more precise, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Case (SO).} & \quad \varphi(\lambda)|_T = P_\mu(z_1^2, \ldots, z_n^2; q^4, q^2)(z_1 \cdots z_n)^l, \\
\text{Case (Sp).} & \quad \varphi(\lambda)|_T = P_\mu(z_1z_2, \ldots, z_{2n-1}z_{2n}; q^4, q^2)(z_1z_2 \cdots z_{2n})^l,
\end{align*}
\]

(5.7)

under the parametrization of \(\lambda\) as in (5.6).

For the identification of \(\varphi(\lambda)|_T\) with Macdonald’s symmetric polynomial \(P_\mu\), we will show that \(\varphi(\lambda)|_T\) satisfies a \(q\)-difference equation corresponding to (5.2). Such a \(q\)-difference equation arises as the radial component of a central element of \(U_q(g)\).

**5.2. Radial Component of a Central Element in \(U_q(g)\)**

Let \(C\) be a central element of \(U_q(g)\). Then its action on \(A_q(G)\) preserves the subalgebra \(H = A_q(K^\times G^\times)\). Hence, the action of \(C\) on \(H\) induces a \(K\)-linear operator on its image \(H|_T\) by the restriction \(H \rightarrow A(T)\). This operator acting on \(H|_T\) will be called the radial component of \(C\) and denoted by \(C|_T\). Note that, since \(C\) is central, the two actions of \(C\) on \(A_q(G)\), one from the left and the other from the right, eventually coincide.

In what follows, we define the elements \(x_1, \ldots, x_n\) in \(A(T) = \mathbb{K}[z^\pm 1]\) by

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Case (SO).} & \quad x_1 = z_1^2, \ldots, x_n = z_n^2, \\
\text{Case (Sp).} & \quad x_1 = z_1z_2, \ldots, x_n = z_{2n-1}z_{2n}.
\end{align*}
\]

(5.8)

With these elements, the image \(H|_T\) is written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Case (SO).} & \quad H|_T = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{3z_1^2 + (z_1 \cdots z_n)^{-1}], \\
\text{Case (Sp).} & \quad H|_T = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{3z_1z_2 + (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{-1]].}
\end{align*}
\]

(5.9)
We now recall the central elements $C_r$ ($r = 1, 2, \ldots$) of $U_q(g)$ proposed by [RTF]. They are defined as

$$C_r = \text{tr}_q((L^+(S(L^-)))^r),$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.10)

where we use the notation of $q$-trace

$$\text{tr}_q(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} q^{2(N-k)} a_{kk},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.11)

for a matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}$ in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U_q(g)$. Note that the central element $C_1$ takes the form

$$C_1 = \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq N} q^{2(N-i)} L^+_i S(L^-_j).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.12)

Its eigenvalue on the irreducible representation $V(\lambda)$ is given by

$$\chi_\lambda(C_1) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} q^{2(\lambda, \lambda + \rho)} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} q^{2(\lambda, \lambda + N-k)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.13)

where $\rho = \sum_{k=1}^{N} (N-k) \epsilon_k$.

**Theorem 5.2.** On the subalgebra $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ of $\mathcal{H}_T$, the radial component of the central element $C_1 \in U_q(g)$ above is given by the following $q$-difference operator $D_1$:

**Case (SO).** $D_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{A(x_1, \ldots, q^2 x_k, \ldots, x_n)}{A(x_1, \ldots, x_n)} T^{q^2 x_k}$.

**Case (Sp).** $D_1 = (1+q^2) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{A(x_1, \ldots, q^4 x_k, \ldots, x_n)}{A(x_1, \ldots, x_n)} T^{q^4 x_k}$.

(5.14)

It is easy to show that Theorem 5.2 implies Theorem 5.1. From (4.67), it is clear that

$$\varphi(\lambda + IA_N)|_T = \varphi(\lambda)|_T (z_1 \cdots z_N)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.15)

for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, we may assume that $l = 0$ in the parametrization of (5.6). When $l = 0$, the restriction $\varphi(\lambda)|_T$ lies in the algebra $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ as we mentioned in Remark 4.14. Note also that, under the parametrization of (5.6), the eigenvalue $\chi_{\lambda}(C_1)$ is written as
Case (SO). \( \chi_s(C_1) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{2(n-k)} q^{4n_k} \).

(5.16)

Case (Sp). \( \chi_s(C_1) = (1 + q^2) \sum_{k=1}^{n} q^{4(n-k)} q^{2n_k} \).

Since \( \varphi(\lambda) \) satisfies the equation \((C_1 - \chi_s(C_1)) \varphi(\lambda) = 0\), its restriction \( \varphi(\lambda)|_\mathcal{T} \) satisfies the \( q \)-difference equation

\[
(D_1 - \chi_s(C_1)) \varphi(\lambda)|_\mathcal{T} = 0.
\]

(5.17)

By Theorem 5.2 and (5.16), we see that (5.17) gives rise to the \( q \)-difference equation (5.2) with \((q, t)\) replaced by \((q^4, q^2)\) in Case (SO), and by \((q^2, q^4)\) in Case (Sp). This \( q \)-difference equation determines \( \varphi(\lambda)|_\mathcal{T} \) up to a scalar multiple since the mapping \( + \) \((C_1) \) is still injective after the specialization of \((q, t)\). Noting that \( \varphi(\lambda)|_\mathcal{T} \) and the corresponding \( P_\mu \) has the common leading term \( z^* = x^* \), we obtain Theorem 5.1 for the case where \( l = 0 \).

We remark that this argument is also valid in the setting where \( K = C \) and \( q \) is a real number with \( 0 < |q| < 1 \), as the partitions \( + \) comparable under the dominance order are separated by the values of \( \chi_s(C_1) \).

5.3. How to Compute the Radial Component \( C|_\mathcal{T} \)

Before the proof of Theorem 5.2, we explain how we are going to compute the radial component \( C|_\mathcal{T} \) of a central element \( C \) of \( U_q(g) \). Our method is based on the duality between \( A(G) \) and \( U_q(g) \); its spirit is the same as that of Koornwinder [K2].

Recall that there exists a pairing of Hopf algebras \((\, , ) : U_q(g) \times A_q(G) \to \mathbb{K}\) between \( A_q(G) \) and \( U_q(g) \) (see Section 1.3). This pairing induces a \( \mathbb{K} \)-linear mapping \( \varphi \mapsto (\, , \varphi) \) from \( A_q(G) \) to \( U_q(g)^* = \text{Hom}_\mathbb{K}(U_q(g), \mathbb{K}) \). As to the \( U_q(g)\)-bimodule structure of \( A_q(G) \), we can easily show by the definition (1.18) that

\[
(c, a, \varphi, b) = (bca, \varphi)
\]

(5.18)

for all \( a, b, c \in U_q(g) \) and \( \varphi \in A_q(G) \). This means that the natural mapping \( A_q(G) \to U_q(g)^* \) is a homomorphism of \( U_q(g)\)-bimodules. Hence, we see that \( A_q(G) \to U_q(g)^* \) is actually injective. If its kernel is nontrivial, the irreducible decomposition (1.23) implies that the kernel contains some irreducible component \( W(\lambda) \); this leads to a contradiction since the pairing \( U_q(g) \times W(\lambda) \to \mathbb{K} \) cannot be trivial for any \( \lambda \in P^+ \).

We now consider the subalgebra \( \mathcal{F} = A_q(K\backslash G/K) \) of \( A_q(G) \). From (5.18), it is immediately seen that an element \( \varphi \in A_q(G) \) is \( I_q \)-biinvariant if and only if it satisfies

\[
(U_q(g) I_q, \varphi) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (I_q U_q(g), \varphi) = 0.
\]

(5.19)
This implies that there exists a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A_q(K \backslash G/K) & \longrightarrow & A_q(G) \\
(U_q(g)/U_q(g) \mathbf{I}_q + I_q U_q(g)) \rightarrow & U_q(g)^\vee \\
\end{array}
\]

(5.20)

where the four arrows are all injective. It should be noted here that the left action of a central element \( C \in U_q(g) \) on \( \mathfrak{g} = A_q(K \backslash G/K) \) corresponds by duality to the \( \mathbb{k} \)-endomorphism of the quotient space \( U_q(g)/U_q(g) \mathbf{I}_q + I_q U_q(g) \) induced from the right multiplication by \( C \) in \( U_q(g) \).

The next step is to take the restriction \( A_q(K \backslash G/K) \rightarrow A(\mathbb{T}) \) into the duality argument. In what follows, we denote by \( U_q(t) \) the commutative subalgebra \( \mathbb{k}[q^h (h \in P^*)] \) of \( U_q(g) \), regarding it as the quantum analogue of the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{t} \) of the diagonal subgroup \( \mathbb{T} \). Then the pairing \((,\rangle\) between \( U_q(g) \) and \( A_q(G) \) induces a nondegenerate pairing between the subalgebra \( U_q(t) \) and the quotient algebra \( A(\mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{k}[z^\pm 1] \). For symmetry, we also use the notation \( \zeta^h = q^h \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq N \) and set \( \zeta^h = \zeta^{(h_1, \alpha_1)} \cdots \zeta^{(h_n, \alpha_n)} \) for any \( h \in P^* \). With this notation, the pairing between \( U_q(t) = \mathbb{k}[z^\pm 1] \) and \( A(\mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{k}[z^\pm 1] \) is described by

\[
(\zeta^h, z^\lambda) = q^{\langle \zeta^h, \lambda \rangle} \quad (h \in P^*, \lambda \in P).
\]

(5.21)

Then the diagram (5.20) is complemented as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A_q(K \backslash G/K) & \longrightarrow & A_q(G) \longrightarrow A(\mathbb{T}) \\
(U_q(g)/U_q(g) \mathbf{I}_q + I_q U_q(g)) \rightarrow & U_q(g)^\vee \rightarrow U_q(t)^\vee. \\
\end{array}
\]

(5.22)

Hence we have the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A_q(K \backslash G/K) & \longrightarrow & A(\mathbb{T}) \\
(U_q(g)/U_q(g) \mathbf{I}_q + I_q U_q(g)) \rightarrow & U_q(t)^\vee, \\
\end{array}
\]

(5.23)

where the arrow \( (U_q(g)/U_q(g) \mathbf{I}_q + I_q U_q(g)) \rightarrow U_q(t)^\vee \) is the transposition of the natural \( \mathbb{k} \)-linear mapping \( U_q(t) \rightarrow U_q(g)/U_q(g) \mathbf{I}_q + I_q U_q(g) \) which represents the “modulo reduction” of an element of \( U_q(t) \) by the subspace \( U_q(g) \mathbf{I}_q + I_q U_q(g) \).

The pairing (5.21) between the two algebras of Laurent polynomials \( \mathbb{k}[z^\pm 1] \) and \( \mathbb{k}[z^\pm 1] \) induces the “multiplicative Fourier transform”
between $q$-difference operators acting on them. We denote by $\mathbb{K}[\zeta^{\pm 1}; T_{q, \zeta}^{\pm 1}]$ the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra of $q$-difference operators in the form of finite sum

$$Q(\zeta; T_{q, \zeta}) = \sum_{\zeta \in P} a_{\zeta}(\zeta) T_{q, \zeta}^\zeta \quad (a_{\zeta}(\zeta) \in \mathbb{K}[\zeta^{\pm 1}]),$$

(5.24)

where $T_{q, \zeta}^{\zeta} = T_{q, \zeta}^{z_1} \cdots T_{q, \zeta}^{z_N}$. Similarly we denote by $\mathbb{K}[\zeta^{\pm 1}; T_{q, \zeta}^{\pm 1}]$ the algebra of $q$-difference operators in the variable $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_N)$. Between these two algebras of $q$-difference operators, there exists a unique anti-isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras

$$Q \mapsto \hat{Q}: \mathbb{K}[\zeta^{\pm 1}; T_{q, \zeta}^{\pm 1}] \to \mathbb{K}[\zeta^{\pm 1}; T_{q, \zeta}^{\pm 1}]$$

(5.25)

such that

$$\hat{\zeta}_k = T_{q, \zeta_k}, \quad \hat{T}_{q, \zeta_k} = z_k \quad (1 \leq k \leq N).$$

(5.26)

We will call $\hat{Q} = \hat{Q}(z; T_{q, \zeta})$ the Fourier transform of $Q = Q(\zeta; T_{q, \zeta})$. It is easy to see that

$$(Q(\zeta; T_{q, \zeta}) f(\zeta), g(z)) = (f(\zeta), \hat{Q}(z; T_{q, \zeta}) g(z)),$$

(5.27)

for any $f(\zeta) \in \mathbb{K}[\zeta^{\pm 1}]$ and $g(z) \in \mathbb{K}[z^{\pm 1}]$.

We are now ready to formulate our method to compute the radial component $C_\mathbf{r}$ of a central element of $C \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

**Proposition 5.3.** Let $C$ be an element of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $I_q C \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g}) I_q$. Then the left action of $C$ on $A_q(G)$ preserves the subalgebra $\mathcal{H} = A_q(K \backslash G/K)$ of $I_q$-invariants. Suppose that there exist a nonzero Laurent polynomial $a(z) \in \mathbb{K}[z^{\pm 1}]$ and a $q$-difference operator $Q(\zeta; T_{q, \zeta}) \in \mathbb{K}[\zeta^{\pm 1}; T_{q, \zeta}^{\pm 1}]$ such that

$$(a(T_{q, \zeta}) f) \equiv Q(\zeta; T_{q, \zeta}) f \mod U_q(\mathfrak{g}) I_q + I_q U_q(\mathfrak{g})$$

(5.28)

for any Laurent polynomial $f = f(\zeta)$ in $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{K}[\zeta^{\pm 1}]$. Then the radial component $C |_{\mathcal{H} | \mathbf{r}}$ is given by the Fourier transform $a(z)^{-1} \hat{Q}(z; T_{q, \zeta})$. Namely, one has

$$(C, \varphi) |_{\mathbf{r}} = a(z)^{-1} \hat{Q}(z; T_{q, \zeta}) (\varphi |_{\mathbf{r}}),$$

(5.29)

for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$.

**Proof.** It is clear that the left action of $C$ preserves $\mathcal{H}$. Note also that the right multiplication by $C$ in $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ preserves the subspace $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) I_q + I_q U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $\varphi$ be an element of $\mathcal{H}$. Then, for any element $f = f(\zeta)$ in $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{K}[\zeta^{\pm 1}]$, we have

$$(f, a(z)(C, \varphi) |_{\mathbf{r}}) = (a(T_{q, \zeta}) f, C, \varphi) = ((a(T_{q, \zeta}) f(\zeta)) C, \varphi)$$

$$= (Q(\zeta; T_{q, \zeta}) f(\zeta), C, \varphi) = (f, \hat{Q}(z; T_{q, \zeta}) (\varphi |_{\mathbf{r}})).$$

(5.30)
This shows that
\[ a(z)(C, \varphi \mid z) = \hat{Q}(z; T_{q, z})(\varphi \mid z) \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.31)
as desired.

The computation of the radial component \( C \mid z \) is thus translated to the practical problem how to describe the reduction of elements in \( U_q(1) \) \( C \) modulo \( U_q(g) I_q + 1, U_q(g) \), in terms of \( q \)-difference operators on \( U_q(1) = \mathbb{K}[z^{\pm 1}] \).

5.4. A Recurrence Formula Related to Macdonald’s \( q \)-Difference Operator

Our computation of the radial component of \( C_1 \) will be carried out by using a recurrence formula for the “symbol”

\[ \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \xi_k \frac{A(x_1, ..., tx_k, ..., x_n)}{A(x_1, ..., x_n)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.32)
of the \( q \)-difference operator in the left-hand side of (5.2). We introduce a family of rational functions \( F_{ij} = F_{ij}(x, \xi; t) \in \mathbb{Q}(t, x)[[\xi]] \) \( (1 \leq i < j \leq n) \) in \( x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \) and \( \xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n) \). They are defined by the recurrence relations

(i) \( F_{jj} = \xi_j \) \( (1 \leq j \leq n) \),

(ii) \( F_{ij} = \frac{1 - t}{t(1 - x_i x_j^{-1})} \left( \sum_{i < k < j} F_{ik} - \sum_{i < k < j} x_k x_j^{-1} F_{kj} \right) \) \( (1 \leq i < j \leq n) \).

\hspace{1cm} (5.33)

**Lemma 5.4.** The rational functions \( F_{ij} = F_{ij}(x, \xi; t) \) defined above have the explicit formulas

\[ F_{ij}(x, \xi; t) = t^{i-j}(1 - t)^j \sum_{i \leq k \leq n} \xi_k \frac{x_k x_j A(x_1, ..., tx_k, ..., x_n)}{(tx_k - x_i)(tx_k - x_j) A(x_1, ..., x_n)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.34)

for \( 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \). Furthermore, one has

\[ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} t^{j-i} F_{ij}(x, \xi; t) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \xi_k \frac{A(x_1, ..., tx_k, ..., x_n)}{A(x_1, ..., x_n)}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.35)

In order to clarify the structure behind these formulas, we consider the upper unitriangular \( n \times n \) matrix \( A(t) \) defined by

\[ A(t) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} e_{ij} + (1 - t^{-1}) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} e_{ij}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.36)
We remark that the inverse of $A(t)$ is given by

$$A(t)^{-1} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} e_j + (1-t) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} t^{i-j} e_{ij}. \tag{5.37}$$

With this matrix $A(t)$, we set $A(x; t) = A(t) \text{diag}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Then the recurrence relations in (5.33) is equivalent to the commutativity

$$[A(x; t), F(x, \xi; t)] = 0 \tag{5.38}$$

for the matrix $F(x, \xi; t) = (F_{ij}(x, \xi; t))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ defined by setting $F_{ij}(x, \xi; t) = 0$ for $i > j$. In other words, all the upper triangular matrices that commute with $A(x; t)$ are parametrized by their diagonal entries $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n)$ and the other entries are explicitly determined by (5.34). We remark that the matrix $A(t)$ arises as the “diagonal” part of an $R$-matrix as we will see later.

A proof of Lemma 5.4 is given by diagonalizing the matrix $A(x; t)$. It is clear that there exists a unique upper unitriangular matrix $G(x; t)$ such that

$$A(x; t) G(x; t) = G(x; t) \text{diag}(x_1, \ldots, x_n). \tag{5.39}$$

With the matrix $G(x; t)$, $F(x, \xi; t)$ is realized as

$$F(x, \xi; t) = G(x; t) \text{diag}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) G(x; t)^{-1}. \tag{5.40}$$

**Lemma 5.5.** (1) The entries of the matrices $G(x; t) = (G_{ij}(x; t))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ and $G(x; t)^{-1} = (G_{ij}^-(x; t))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ are explicitly given by

$$G_{ij}^+(x; t) = t^{i-j} \frac{(1-t)x_i A(x_i, \ldots, x_{i-1}, tx_j)}{(tx_i - x_j) A(x_i, \ldots, x_j)}$$

$$G_{ij}^-(x; t) = t^{j-i} \frac{(1-t)x_j A(tx_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_j)}{(tx_j - x_i) A(x_i, \ldots, x_j)} \tag{5.41}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$.

(2) For any $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, one has

$$\sum_{i \leq k \leq j} t^{i-k} G_{kj}^+(x; t) = \frac{A(x_i, \ldots, x_{i-1}, tx_j)}{A(x_i, \ldots, x_j)}$$

$$\sum_{i \leq k \leq j} G_{ik}^-(x; t) = t^{j-i} \frac{A(tx_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_j)}{A(x_i, \ldots, x_j)} \tag{5.42}$$

**Proof.** Formula (5.39) is equivalent to the recurrence relations

$$(1 - x_i x_j^{-1}) G^+_{ij}(x; t) = (1 - t^{-1}) \sum_{i < k \leq j} x_k x_j^{-1} G^-_{kj}(x; t) \tag{5.43}$$
for \( i < j \) with initial condition \( G_{ij}^+ = 1 \). By comparing (5.43) and the formula obtained from (5.43) replacing \( i+1 \) for \( i \), we obtain

\[
G_{ij}^+(x; t) = t^{-1} \frac{X_{i+1} - tx_j}{x_j - x_j} G_{i+1,j}^+(x; t).
\]  

(5.44)

Hence we compute

\[
G_{ij}^+(x; t) = t^{i-j} \prod_{1 < k < j} \frac{x_k - tx_j}{x_j - x_j},
\]  

(5.45)

to get (5.41) for \( G_{ij}^+(x; t) \). On the other hand, from \( A(x; t)^{-1} G(x; t) \) \( \text{diag}(x) = G(x; t) \) we have

\[
(x_j^{-1} x_j - 1) G_{ij}^+(x; t) = (1 - t) \sum_{i < k < j} t^{i-k} G_{ij}^+(x; t).
\]  

(5.46)

Formula (5.42) for \( G_{ij}^+(x; t) \) is an easy consequence of (5.41) and (5.45).

The same method is available to prove formulas for \( G_{ij}^{-}(x; t) \).

Formulas (5.34) and (5.35) of Lemma 5.4 follows immediately from (5.41) and (5.42) of Lemma 5.5 respectively.

From the above description (5.40), we also see that the matrix \( F(x, \xi; t) \) has the multiplicative property

\[
F(x, 1; t) = \text{id}, \quad F(x, \xi; t) F(x, \eta; t) = F(x, \xi \eta; t)
\]  

and

\[
F(x, x; t) = A(x; t).
\]  

(5.47)

We remark that the formula (5.35) is also related to the Hall-Littlewood polynomials \( P_{(l)}(x; t) \) \((l = 1, 2, \ldots)\) for the Young diagrams of one row. In fact, by the substitution \( \xi_k = x_k^{(1)} (1 \leq k \leq n) \), the right-hand side of (5.35) gives rise to

\[
t^{n-1} P_{(l)}(x; t^{-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{x_k A(x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}, \ldots, x_n)}{A(x_1, \ldots, x_n)}.
\]  

(5.48)

for \( l = 1, 2, \ldots \) (see [M1]).

5.5. Proof of Theorem 5.2

We determine the radial component of the central element \( C_1 \in U_q(g) \) in the two cases (SO) and (Sp). Taking an arbitrary \( h \in P^* \), we will compute the reduction of the element \( q^h C_1 = \xi^h C_1 \) modulo \( U_q(g) t_q + L_q U_q(g) \) to find an explicit representative of its modulo class in \( U_q(g) \).
In view of (5.12), we begin with looking for relations among the modulo classes of $q^t L^+_1 S(L^-_2)'$. For this purpose we use the commutation relations

$$L^+_1 S(L^-_2)' R^{+2}_{12} = R^{+2}_{12} S(L^-_2)' L^+_1.$$  (5.49)

Note also that we have

$$q^t L^+_1 S(L^-_2)' = H^{-1} L^+_1 H q^t, \quad q^t S(L^-_2) = H^{-1} S(L^-_2) H q^t,$$  (5.50)

for the constant matrix $H = \text{diag}(q^{(h \cdot e)}_1, \ldots, q^{(h \cdot e)}_n)$. By using (5.49) and (5.50), we compute

$$q^t L^+_1 S(L^-_2)' R^{+2}_{12} = q^t R^{+2}_{12} S(L^-_2)' L^+_1$$

$$= R^{+2}_{12} H_2 S(L^-_2)' H^{-1}_2 q^t L^+_1$$

$$= R^{+2}_{12} H_2 J^{-1}_2 L^+_2 J_2 H^{-1}_2 q^t L^+_1$$

$$= q^t R^{+2}_{12} H_2 J^{-1}_2 H_2 L^+_2 H^{-1}_2 J_2 H^{-1}_2 L^+_1$$

$$= q^t R^{+2}_{12} H_2 J^{-1}_2 H_2 L^+_2 H^{-1}_2 J_2 H^{-1}_2 J_1 S(L^-_1)' J^{-1}_1.$$  (5.51)

modulo $U_q(g)$. Hence we have

$$q^t L^+_1 S(L^-_2)' R^{+2}_{12} = q^t R^{+2}_{12} J_1 H_2 J^{-1}_2 H_1 S(L^-_1)'.$$  (5.52)

By applying the matrix $P_{12}$ from the right, we have

$$q^t L^+_1 S(L^-_2)' R^{+2}_{12} P_{12} J_1 H_2 J^{-1}_2 H_1 = q^t R^{+2}_{12} J_1 H_2 J^{-1}_2 H_1 S(L^-_1)'.$$  (5.53)

This means that the constant matrix $R = R^{+2}_{12} P_{12} J_1 H_2 J^{-1}_2 H_1$ “intertwines” the modulo class of $q^t L^+_1 S(L^-_2)'$. From this formula (5.53), we can derive necessary informations for determining the modulo class of $q^t C_1$, by extracting its “diagonal part”.

Let $W = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathbb{K} u_j$ be the $n$-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-vector space with canonical basis $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$. For each case, we define below two $\mathbb{K}$-linear mappings

$$t_W: V \to V \otimes \mathbb{K} V \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_W: V \otimes \mathbb{K} V \to W.$$  (5.54)

By using these mapping, we pull back the equality (5.53) by the composition

$$W \xrightarrow{t_W} V \otimes \mathbb{K} V \xrightarrow{\pi_W} V \otimes \mathbb{K} V \xrightarrow{\pi_W} W,$$  (5.55)

to obtain the statement for $n \times n$ matrix $Z = \pi_W^{-1}((L^+_1 S(L^-_2)')') t_W$ with entries in $U_q(g)$. From now on, we treat the two cases (SO) and (Sp), separately.
Case (SO). We define $\iota_W$ and $\pi_W$ by

$$
\iota_W(u_k) = v_k \otimes v_k \quad (1 \leq k \leq n), \quad \pi_W(v_i \otimes v_j) = S_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n).
$$

(5.56)

Under this definition, the matrix $Z = \pi_W : (L_1^j S(L_2^j)') \iota_W$ takes the form

$$
Z = (Z_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \quad Z_{ij} = L_{ij} S(L_{ji}) \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n).
$$

(5.57)

**Lemmas 5.6.A.** Set $\tilde{R} = R_{12}^{1/2} P_{12} J_2 H_1 J_1^{-1} H_1$. Then we have

$$
\iota_W : \tilde{R} = q A(q^2) \text{diag}(q^{2(h,k)}, \ldots, q^{2(h,k^n)}) \pi_W,
$$

$$
\iota_W : \iota_W = q^2 A(q^2) \text{diag}(q^{2(h,k)}, \ldots, q^{2(h,k^n)}),
$$

(5.58)

where $A(t)$ is the matrix defined by (5.36).

Lemmas 5.6.A is clear from the expression of $R_{12}^{1/2} P_{12}$:

$$
R_{12}^{1/2} P_{12} = \sum_{i,j} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji} q^2 + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{i < j} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}.
$$

(5.59)

By using (5.58), we obtain the following formula for $Z$ from (5.53):

$$
q^2 Z A(q^{2(h,k)}; q^2) \equiv q^2 A(q^{2(h,k)}; q^2) Z \mod U_q(g) I_q + I_q U_q(g).
$$

(5.60)

Here we used the notation

$$
A(q^{2(h,k)}; q^2) = (q^{2(h,k)}; \ldots, q^{2(h,k^n)}).
$$

(5.61)

This means that the modulo classes of $q^2 Z_{ij}$ satisfy the same recurrence relation as that of $F_{ij}(q^{2(h,k)}; \xi; q^2)$ that we discussed in Section 5.4. Note that, as to the diagonal entries, we have

$$
q^{2h} Z_{jj} \equiv q^{2h} q^{2h} \quad \mod U_q(g) I_q + I_q U_q(g),
$$

(5.62)

for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Taking $\xi_j = A(q^{2(h,k)}; q^{2h}) (1 \leq j \leq n)$, we conclude inductively that

$$
A(q^{2(h,k)}; q^2) Z_{ij} \equiv q^2 F_{ij}(q^{2(h,k)}; A(q^{2(h,k)}; q^{2h}) q^{2h}; q^2) \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n),
$$

(5.63)

where $q^{2h} = (q^{2h_1}, \ldots, q^{2h_n})$. In fact, both sides of (5.63) have the same initial values for $j - i = 0$ and satisfy the same recurrence relations for $j - i > 0$. Recall that

$$
C_\epsilon = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} q^{2n-2i} L_1^j S(L_2^j) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} q^{2n-2i} Z_{ij}.
$$

(5.64)
Hence we obtain the following expression for the modulo class of $A(q^{2\langle h, e\rangle})C_1$
by Lemma 5.4:

$$A(q^{2\langle h, e\rangle}, ..., q^{2\langle h, e\rangle}) q^h C_1$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n q^{h+2k} A(q^{2\langle h, e\rangle}, ..., q^{2\langle h, e\rangle}, ..., q^{2\langle h, e\rangle}).$$

(5.65)

In terms of the operators in the variables $\zeta = (\zeta_1, ..., \zeta_n)$, this formula can be rewritten as

$$A(T^2_{q, \zeta_1}, ..., T^2_{q, \zeta_n}) f(\zeta) = \sum_{k=1}^n \zeta_k^2 A(T^2_{q, \zeta_1}, ..., q^2 T^2_{q, \zeta_k}, ..., T^2_{q, \zeta_n}) f(\zeta)$$

(5.66)

for any $f(\zeta) \in \mathbb{K}[\zeta^{\pm 1}] = U_\phi(t)$. By Proposition 5.3, we finally get the explicit formula for the radial component

$$C_1 |_{T} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{A(z_1^2, ..., q^2 z_k^2, ..., z_n^2)}{A(z_1^2, ..., z_n^2)} T^2_{q, z_k}$$

(5.67)

as the Fourier transform of (5.66). On the subalgebra $\mathbb{K}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ of $\mathcal{M}$, consisting of symmetric polynomials in $x_1 = z_1^2, ..., x_n = z_n^2$, this reduces to the $q$-difference operator

$$D_1 = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{A(x_1, ..., q^2 x_k, ..., x_n)}{A(x_1, ..., x_n)} T^2_{q, x_k}$$

(5.68)

in the variables $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.2 for Case (SO).

Case (Sp). In Case (Sp), we define the linear mapping $\pi_t: W \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{K}$ by

$$\pi_t (u_k) = v_{2k-1} \otimes v_{2k-1} + v_{2k} \otimes v_{2k} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n),$$

(5.69)

The linear mapping $\pi_\psi: V \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow W$ is defined as follows:

$$\pi_\psi (v_{2k-1} \otimes v_{2k-1}) = q u_k, \quad \pi_\psi (v_{2k} \otimes v_{2k}) = q^{-1} u_k \quad (1 \leq k \leq n)$$

(5.70)

and $\pi_\psi (v_i \otimes v_j) = 0$ for the other pairs $(i, j)$. With these linear mappings, we see that the entries of the matrix $Z = \pi_\psi \circ L^+_1 \circ L^+_1 \circ \phi_1$ are given by

$$Z_{ij} = q L^+_1 (L^+_{2j-1, 2j-1}) + q L^+_{2j-1, 2j-1}, \quad q^{-1} L^+_1 (L^+_{2j-1, 2j-1})$$

(5.71)
for \(1 \leq i, j \leq n\). We also see that the central element \(C_1\) is rewritten in terms of \(Z_{ij}\) as follows:

\[
C_1 = q \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} q^{4(n-1)}Z_{ij}.
\] (5.72)

By a direct computation, one can prove

**Lemma 5.6.B.** For the matrix \(\overline{R} = R_{12}^{-1} P_{12} J_2 H_1 J_1^{-1} H_1\), we have

\[
\pi_{W} \overline{R} = -q^2 A(q^4) \text{diag}(q^{(h, e_1 + e_2)}, \ldots, q^{(h, e_{n-1} + e_n)}) \pi_{W},
\]

\[
\overline{R} \pi_{W} = -q^2 \pi_{W} A(q^4) \text{diag}(q^{(h, e_1 + e_2)}, \ldots, q^{(h, e_{n-1} + e_n)}).\] (5.73)

Setting \(\xi_k = \epsilon_{2k-1} + \epsilon_{2k}\) for \(1 \leq k \leq n\), we will use below the notations \(q^\xi = (q^{\xi_1}, \ldots, q^{\xi_n})\) and \(q^{(h, \xi_k)} = (q^{(h, \xi_1)}, \ldots, q^{(h, \xi_n)})\). By using (5.73), we obtain the commutation relation

\[
q^\xi Z_{ij}(q^{(h, \xi_k)}; q^4) \equiv q^\xi A(q^{(h, \xi_k)}; q^4) Z \mod U_q(g) \mathcal{I}_q + I_q U_q(g),
\] (5.74)

for (5.53). As for the diagonal entries of \(Z\), we have

\[
q^\xi Z_{jj} \equiv q^\xi(q^{2\xi_{2k-1}} + q^{-1} q^{2\xi_{2k}})
\]

\[
\equiv q^\xi(q + q^{-1}) q^{\xi_j} \mod U_q(g) \mathcal{I}_q + I_q U_q(g),
\] (5.75)

for \(1 \leq j \leq n\). Hence we have

\[
A(q^{(h, \xi_k)}; q^4) q^\xi Z_{jj} \equiv q^\xi(q + q^{-1}) F_{ij}(q^{(h, \xi_k)}, A(q^{(h, \xi_k)}); q^4) \equiv (1 + q^2)^n (1 + q^{(h, \xi_k)}; q^4) C_1 (1 \leq i, j \leq n),
\] (5.76)

by a similar inductive argument as in Case (SO). This leads to the following expression for the modulo class of \(A(q^{(h, \xi_k)}; q^4) C_1\) by (5.72):

\[
A(q^{(h, \xi_1)}, \ldots, q^{(h, \xi_n)}) q^\xi C_1
\]

\[
\equiv (1 + q^2)^n (1 + q^{(h, \xi_1)}; q^4) \ldots (1 + q^{(h, \xi_n)}; q^4)
\]

\[
\equiv (1 + q^2)^n \prod_{k=1}^{n} q^{4\xi_{2k-1}} A(q^{(h, \xi_1)}, \ldots, q^{(h, \xi_n)}).\] (5.77)

In terms of the operators in the variables \(\zeta = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{2n})\), this formula can be rewritten as

\[
A(\tilde{T}_1, \ldots, \tilde{T}_n) f(\zeta) C
\]

\[
\equiv (1 + q^2)^n \prod_{k=1}^{n} \zeta_{2k-1}^{-1} \zeta_{2k} A(\tilde{T}_1, \ldots, q^4 \tilde{T}_k, \ldots, \tilde{T}_n) f(\zeta)\] (5.78)
for any \( f(\xi) \in \mathbb{K}[\zeta^{\pm 1}] = U_q(1) \), where \( \tilde{T}_k = T_{q, z_k}, T_{q, z_k} \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq n \).

Hence, by Proposition 5.3, we get the explicit formula for the radial component

\[
C_1 |_T = (1 + q^2) \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{A(z_1 z_2, ..., q^2 z_{2k-1} z_{2k}, ..., z_{2n-1} z_{2n})}{A(z_1 z_2, ..., z_{2n-1} z_{2n})} T_{q, z_k, z_k}
\]

as the Fourier transform of (5.78). On the subalgebra \( \mathbb{K}[x_1, ..., x_n] \subset \mathcal{H} \) of symmetric polynomials in \( x_1 = z_1 z_2, ..., x_n = z_{2n-1} z_{2n} \), this reduces to the

\[
D_1 = (1 + q^2) \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{A(x_1, ..., q^4 x_k, ..., x_n)}{A(x_1, ..., x_n)} T_{q^2, x_k}.
\]

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.2 for Case (Sp).

6. SCALAR PRODUCT AND ORTHOGONALITY

In this section, we will discuss the orthogonality relations for Macdonald’s symmetric polynomials \( P_\mu(x; q, t) \) with \( t = q^{1/2} \) or \( t = q^3 \) which are obtained from their interpretation as zonal spherical functions on quantum homogeneous spaces.

6.1 Invariant Functional and Schur’s Orthogonality

Recall that there exists a unique homomorphism \( h_G: A_q(G) \to \mathbb{K} \) of \( U_q(g) \)-bimodules with \( h_G(1) = 1 \). This invariant functional, corresponding to the Haar measure of the unitary group \( U(N) \), is given as the projection \( A_q(G) \to W(0) = \mathbb{K} \) in the decomposition (1.23). We remark that the invariance of \( h_G \) means that, for any element \( a \in U_q(g) \), one has

\[
h_G(a \cdot \varphi) = h_G(\varphi \cdot a) = e(a) h_G(\varphi) \quad (\varphi \in A_q(G)).
\]

By using the \( * \)-operation of \( A_q(G) \), we define a hermitian form \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G \) on \( A_q(G) \) by the formula

\[
\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_G = h_G(\varphi^* \psi) \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi, \psi \in A_q(G).
\]

From the invariance of \( h_G \) it follows that \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G \) is invariant in the sense that

\[
\langle \varphi, a \cdot \psi \rangle_G = \langle a^* \cdot \varphi, \psi \rangle_G
\]

for any $a \in U_q(g)$ and $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}_q(G)$. It is known that this hermitian form is nondegenerate, and induces a positive definite hermitian form when $q$ is specialized to a real number with $|q| \neq 0, 1$ (see [NYM], for instance).

The orthogonality relations for our zonal spherical functions $\varphi(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in P_+^*$) come from Schur’s orthogonality relations for the matrix elements of irreducible representations $V(\lambda)$. For each dominant integral weight $\lambda \in P^*$, we fix a nondegenerate $U_q(N)$-invariant hermitian form for $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $V(\lambda)$ as in Section 4.4. In what follows, we set

$$\rho = \sum_{k=1}^{N} (N-k) \epsilon_k.$$  \hfill (6.4)

The orthogonality relations for the matrix elements $\phi_{\lambda}(u, v)$ for $u, v \in V(\lambda)$ are formulated as follows.

**Proposition 6.1.** Let $\lambda, \mu$ be two dominant integral weights in $P^+$ and take nonzero vectors $u, v \in V(\lambda)$ and $u', v' \in V(\mu)$. If $\lambda \neq \mu$, one has

$$\langle \phi_{\lambda}(u, v), \phi_{\mu}(u', v') \rangle_G = 0.$$ \hfill (6.5)

If $\lambda = \mu$, one has,

$$\langle \phi_{\lambda}(u, v), \phi_{\mu}(u', v') \rangle_G = \frac{1}{d(\lambda)} \langle q^n u', q^n u \rangle \langle v, v' \rangle,$$ \hfill (6.6)

where $d(\lambda)$ is the following principal specialization of the Schur function $s_{\lambda}$:

$$d(\lambda) = s_{\lambda}(q^{2^n}), \quad q^n = (q^{2(n-1)}, q^{2(n-2)}, ..., 1).$$ \hfill (6.7)

We omit the proof of Proposition 6.1, since it is a variant of Schur’s orthogonality already given in Woronowicz [W] and [NYM].

**6.2. Orthogonality of Zonal Spherical Functions**

The zonal spherical function $\varphi(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in P_+^*$) is a matrix element of the representation $V(\lambda)$. From this fact, it directly follows that, if $\lambda$ and $\lambda'$ are two distinct weights in $P_+^*$, one has the orthogonality relation $\langle \varphi(\lambda), \varphi(\lambda') \rangle_G = 0$. This fact is also proved by the fact that the central element $C_1$ of $U_q(g)$ is self-adjoint with respect to the hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G$.

For the description of the square length $\langle \varphi(\lambda), \varphi(\lambda) \rangle_G$ of $\varphi$, we need to specialize appropriately the parameter $a \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ involved in the definition of the coideal $\mathbb{T}_q^a = T_q^a(a)$. In what follows, we set

**Case (SO).** $a = (q^{a-1}, q^{a-2}, ..., 1),$

**Case (Sp).** $a = (q^{2n-1}, q^{2n-2}, ..., 1).$  \hfill (6.8)
Proposition 6.2. For the special value of \( a \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^n \) in (6.8), the algebra \( \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{A}_q(K\backslash G/K) \) of \( \mathcal{I}_q \)-biinvariant elements is a \( * \)-subalgebra of \( \mathcal{A}_q(G) \).

Proof. We first consider Case (SO). In view of Lemma 4.13, we have only to show that \( \varphi(2A_r)^* \) (1 \( \leq r \leq n - 1 \)) and \( (\det_q(T)^{-1})^* \) belong to \( \mathcal{H} \) again. As to \( \det_q(T)^{-1} \), this statement is clear since \( \det_q(T)^* = \det_q(T)^{-1} \).

Note that, under the specialization (6.8), the element \( \varphi(2A_r) \) takes the form

\[
\varphi(2A_r) = \sum_{\|I\| = r} (z^I)^2 q^{2|I| - |J|},
\]

(6.9)

where \( |I| = \sum_{i \in I} i \). Hereafter we use the notation \( z^I = z_{I_1, \ldots, I_r} \) for \( I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_r\} \) and \( J = \{j_1 < \cdots < j_r\} \). Recall from [NYM] that, for each \( I, J \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \) with \( |I| = |J| = r \), we have

\[
(z^I)^* = (-q)^{-|I| + |J|} \tilde{z}_I^* \tilde{z}_J^* \det_q(T)^{-1},
\]

(6.10)

where \( \tilde{I} \) stands for the complement of \( I \) in \( \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \). Hence we compute

\[
\varphi(2A_r)^* = \det_q(T)^{-2} \sum_{|I| = |J| = r} (\tilde{z}_I)^2 q^{-|I| + |J|} \varphi(2A_n, -2A_n),
\]

(6.11)

by (4.36). This proves that \( \mathcal{H} \) is closed under the \( * \)-operation in Case (SO). In Case (Sp), the element \( \varphi(A_{2r}) \) can be written in the form

\[
\varphi(A_{2r}) = \sum_{|I| = |J| = r} \tilde{z}_I^2 q^{2|I| - |J|},
\]

(6.12)

for each \( 1 \leq r \leq n \). In (6.12), we used the notation \( \tilde{z}_I \) to refer to \( z_{2i_1, 2i_2, \ldots, 2i_r} \)

for two subsets \( I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_r\} \) and \( J = \{j_1 < \cdots < j_r\} \) of \( \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). Since \( (\tilde{z}_I)^* = (-q)^{|I| - |J|} \tilde{z}_I^* \tilde{z}_J^* \det_q(T)^{-1} \), we compute

\[
\varphi(A_{2r})^* = \det_q(T)^{-1} \sum_{|I| = |J| = r} \tilde{z}_I^2 q^{-|I| + 2|J|} = \varphi(A_{2n - r} - A_{2n}),
\]

(6.13)

where \( \tilde{I} \) stands for the complement of \( I \) in \( \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). Hence Lemma 4.13 implies that \( \mathcal{H} \) is closed under the \( * \)-operation also in Case (Sp).

Another way to prove Proposition 6.2 is to show that the left ideal \( \mathcal{U}_q(g) \mathcal{I}_q \)

and the right ideal \( \mathcal{I}_q \mathcal{U}_q(g) \) are both stable under the involution \( \tau \) (see (1.31)). This fact can be checked directly by using the generator system described in Proposition 2.4.

Under the specialization of the parameters \( a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \) as in (6.8), we have an expression of the square length \( \langle \varphi(\lambda), \varphi(\lambda) \rangle_G \) in terms of the principal specialization of \( \varphi(\lambda) \).
Proposition 6.3. The zonal spherical functions \( \varphi(\lambda) \) \( (\lambda \in \mathbb{P}_1^+) \) form an orthogonal basis of the algebra \( \mathcal{H} \). For each \( \lambda \in \mathbb{P}_1^+ \), the square length of \( \varphi(\lambda) \) is given by the formula

\[
\langle \varphi(\lambda), \varphi(\lambda) \rangle_\mathcal{H} = \frac{c(\lambda)^2}{d(\lambda)},
\]

where \( c(\lambda) = (q^\nu, \varphi(\lambda)) \) stands for the value of \( \varphi(\lambda) \) at the point \( q^\nu \in \mathbb{V} \) of the diagonal subgroup.

For the proof of Proposition 6.3, we describe how the *-operation acts on the coideal \( \mathcal{I}_\nu \).

Lemma 6.4. The coideal \( \mathcal{I}_\nu = \mathcal{I}_\nu(a) \) for the parameter \( a \) of (6.8), one has \( \mathcal{I}_\nu = q^{-\nu}\mathcal{I}_\nu q^\nu \). Accordingly, if \( w \in V(\lambda) \) is a \( \mathcal{I}_\nu \)-fixed vector, then \( q^{-\nu}w \) gives a \( \mathcal{I}_\nu^- \)-fixed vector.

Proof. Setting \( D = \text{diag}(q^{(N-1)}, q^{(N-2)}, \ldots, 1) \), we have

\[
q^{-\nu}Mq^\nu = DL^+D^{-1} - JD^{-1}S(L^-)^tDJ^{-1} = D(L^+ - D^{-1}JD^{-1}S(L^-)^tDJ^{-1}D)^{-1}.
\]

For the value (6.8) of \( a \), one can check easily the matrix \( D^{-1}JD^{-1} = D^{-1}J(a^{-1})D^{-1} \) is a scalar multiple of \( J(a^{-1}) \). This shows that \( q^{-\nu}\mathcal{I}_\nu(a) q^\nu = \mathcal{I}_\nu(a^{-1}) = \mathcal{I}_\nu^{-1}(a) \) as desired.

Proof of Proposition 6.3. Let us take the vectors \( w(\lambda), w^*(\lambda) \) as in the definition (4.4). Then by Lemma 6.4, we must have \( w^*(\lambda) = q^{\nu}\phi(\lambda, q^\nu, w, w) \), so that

\[
\varphi(\lambda) = q^{\nu}\phi(\lambda, q^\nu, w, w) = q^{\nu}\phi(\lambda, q^\nu, q^{-\nu}w, q^{-\nu}w),
\]

where \( w = w(\lambda) \) and \( u = u(\lambda) \). Hence we have,

\[
c(\lambda) = (q^\nu, \varphi(\lambda)) = c(\varphi(\lambda), q^\nu) = q^{\nu}\phi(\lambda, q^\nu, w, w),
\]

On the other hand, we have

\[
\langle \varphi(\lambda), \varphi(\lambda) \rangle_\mathcal{H} = q^{2\nu}\phi(\lambda, q^\nu, w, w) = \frac{1}{d(\lambda)^2} \frac{\langle w, w \rangle^2}{\langle u, u \rangle^2}.
\]

by Proposition 6.1. Comparing (6.17) and (6.18), we obtain the expression of (6.14).
6.3. Description of Orthogonality on the Diagonal Subgroup

From this subsection on, we take the field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers as the ground field and assume that $q$ is a real number with $0 < |q| < 1$.

We now consider to describe the invariant functional $h_G: \mathfrak{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ on the diagonal subgroup $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. For this purpose we use the subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\mathfrak{H}}$ of $\mathfrak{H}|_T$ of symmetric polynomials in the following variables:

**Case (SO).**

\[ x_1 = z_1^2, \ldots, x_n = z_n^2. \]  

**Case (Sp).**

\[ x_1 = z_1z_2, \ldots, x_n = z_{2n-1}z_{2n}. \]  

(6.19)

In what follows, we denote by $\mathfrak{H}$ the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{H}$ such that $\mathfrak{H}|_T = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\mathfrak{H}}$. Let us consider only the highest weights $\lambda \in P^+_T$ that are parametrized by the partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$ ($\mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_n > 0$) as follows:

**Case (SO).**

\[ \lambda = \sum_{k=1}^{n} 2\mu_k\epsilon_k, \]  

(6.20)

**Case (Sp).**

\[ \lambda = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k(\epsilon_{2k-1} + \epsilon_{2k}). \]  

Note that this parametrization can be graphically described as the duplication of Young diagrams, in the horizontal direction in Case (SO) and in the vertical direction in Case (Sp). As we noticed in Remark 4.14, the zonal spherical functions $\phi(\lambda)$ parametrized by partitions as above form a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{H}$. Furthermore, we know by Theorem 5.1 that, for each $\phi(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{H}$, its restriction to the diagonal subgroup $T$ coincides with the Macdonald symmetric polynomial $P_\mu(x) = P_\mu(x_1q^2, q^2)$ in Case (SO), and with $P_\mu(x) = P_\mu(x_1q^2, q^4)$ in Case (Sp), respectively. Note also that $P_\mu(x)$ form a $C$-basis for the algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{\mathfrak{H}}$ as $\mu$ ranges over all partitions.

On the subalgebra $\mathfrak{H}$, the invariant functional $h_G: \mathfrak{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ is described in terms of the following meromorphic function on the algebraic torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$:

\[ w(x; q, t) = \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \frac{(x_r/x_s; q)_\infty}{(tx_r/x_s; q)_\infty} \frac{(x_s/x_r; q)_\infty}{(tx_s/x_r; q)_\infty}, \]  

(6.21)

where $(a; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k)$. For a holomorphic function $F(x)$ defined in a neighborhood of the torus $T = \{ x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n ; |x_1| = \cdots = |x_n| = 1 \}$, we use the notation

\[ [F(x)]_1 = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \right)^n \int_T F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \frac{dx_1 \cdots dx_n}{x_1 \cdots x_n} \]  

(6.22)

to refer the constant term in the Laurent expansion of $F(x)$. 

---
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Proposition 6.5. Let $\varphi$ be an element in the subalgebra $H$ of $\mathcal{H}$ and $F(x) = \varphi \mid_2$ the corresponding symmetric polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x]$. Then the value $h_G(\varphi)$ of the invariant functional is described by the formula

$$h_G(\varphi) = [F(x)w(x)]_1 \frac{w(x)}{w(x)}_1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.23)

where the weight function $w(x)$ is given by

Case (SO). $w(x) = w(x; q^4, q^3),$

Case (Sp). $w(x) = w(x; q^2, q^4).$

Proof. It is well known that Macdonald's symmetric polynomials $P\alpha(x)$ have the property

$$\left[F(x)w(x)\right]_1 = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mu \neq 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.25)

(This is equivalent to saying that $P\alpha$ is orthogonal to 1 if $\mu \neq 0$.) Since $P\alpha(x)$ form a $C$-basis for $\mathbb{C}[x]^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, this property determines the functional $F \leftrightarrow [F(x)w(x)]_1$ on $\mathbb{C}[x]^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ up to scalar multiples. Hence, the proof of Proposition 6.5 is reduced to show that the left hand side of (6.23) has the same property, when it is regarded as a functional on $\mathbb{C}[x]^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. It can be done by using the invariance of the functional $h_G$. In fact, property (6.1) implies that, for the central element $C_1$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ in (5.12), we have

$$h_G(C_1, \varphi) = \varepsilon(C_1) h_G(\varphi) \quad \text{for any} \quad \varphi \in A_q(G),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.26)

where $\varepsilon(C_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} q^{2N-k}$. For each $\lambda \in P_+^+$, the zonal spherical function $\chi_{\lambda}(C_1)$ is an eigenfunction of $C_1$ with eigenvalue $\chi_{\lambda}(C_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} q^{2N-k}$. Hence we have

$$\chi_{\lambda}(C_1) h_G(\varphi(\lambda)) = \varepsilon(C_1) h_G(\varphi(\lambda)),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.27)

from (6.26). Since $\chi_{\lambda}(C_1) \neq \varepsilon(C_1)$ unless $\lambda = 0$, we have $h_G(\varphi(\lambda)) = 0$ for any $\lambda \neq 0$, as expected.

In our setting, the scalar product $\langle , \rangle_{q^1}$ of Macdonald [M2] takes the form

$$\langle F, G \rangle' = \frac{1}{n!} \left[F(x)^* G(x) w(x)\right]_1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.28)

Here the $*$-operation on $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is given by $x^*_k = x_k^{-1}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Note that, with this $*$-operation, $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is a $*$-subalgebra of $A(\mathbb{C})$ endowed with the $*$-operation such that $z^*_j = z_j^{-1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq N$. Recall that the subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ is closed under the $*$-operation of $A_q(G)$ representing the quantum unitary
group (Proposition 6.2). Since the restriction mapping $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow A(T)$ is a $*$-homomorphism, Proposition 6.5 implies that the hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{G}$ is related to Macdonald’s scalar product through

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{G} = \langle F, G \rangle_{\gamma},$$

(6.29)

if $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\varphi|_{\gamma} = F(x)$, $\psi|_{\gamma} = G(x)$.

Proposition 6.1 and formula (6.29) implies that Macdonald’s symmetric polynomials $P_{\mu}$ are orthogonal under the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Furthermore, as to the square length of $P_{\mu}$, we have

$$\frac{\langle P_{\mu}, P_{\mu} \rangle_{\gamma}}{\langle 1, 1 \rangle_{\gamma}} = \frac{c(\lambda)^{2}}{d(\lambda)},$$

(6.30)

by Proposition 6.3.

6.4. Computation of the Ratio $\langle P_{\mu}, P_{\mu} \rangle_{\gamma}/\langle 1, 1 \rangle_{\gamma}$

In the rest of this section, we will evaluate the ratio (6.30) of square lengths, by using a result of Macdonald on the value of the principal specialization of $P_{\mu}$.

We first recall a result of Macdonald [M2] on the principal specialization of Macdonald’s symmetric polynomials $P_{\mu}(x; q, t)$: For each partition $\mu = (\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n})$, one has

$$P_{\mu}(t^{\mu_{1}-1}, t^{\mu_{2}-2}, \ldots, 1 ; q, t) = q^{\sum_{x \in \mu} l(x)} \prod_{x \in \mu} \frac{1 - q^{a(x)}t^{-l(x)} + n}{1 - q^{a(x)}t^{l(x)} + 1}.$$  

(6.31)

In formula (6.31), the symbols $a(s)$, $a'(s)$, $l(s)$, $l'(s)$ stand for the arm-length, coarm-length, leg-length, coleg-length of a box $s$ in the Young diagram $\mu$, respectively. If the box $s$ has the coordinates $(i, j)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq \mu_{j}$) in the Young diagram, they are given by

$$a(s) = \mu_{j} - j, \quad a'(s) = j - 1, \quad l(s) = \mu'_{j} - i, \quad l'(s) = i - 1,$$

(6.32)

where $\mu'$ denotes the conjugate partition of $\mu$. We also remark that (6.31) is a generalization of the following well-known formula for the Schur functions $s_{\mu}(x) = P_{\mu}(x; q, q)$:

$$s_{\mu}(q^{a-1}, q^{a-2}, \ldots, 1) = q^{\sum_{x \in \mu} (k - 1) \mu_{k}} \prod_{s \in \mu} \frac{1 - q^{c(s)}}{1 - q^{h(s)}},$$

(6.33)

where $c(s) = a'(s) - l'(s) + n$ and $h(s) = a(s) + l(s) + 1$ are the content and the hook-length of the box $s$. At present, the author does not know whether
the principal specialization \((q', \varphi(\lambda))\) of the zonal spherical function \(\varphi(\lambda)\) can be effectively evaluated as in (6.31), within the framework of quantum homogeneous spaces.

As to the value \(\langle P_\mu(x; q, t), P_\nu(x; q, t) \rangle_{q, t}^{\lambda}\) of the scalar product, the following formula is proposed by Macdonald [M3]:

For each partition \(\mu = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_n)\), one has

\[
\frac{\langle P_\mu(x; q, t), P_\nu(x; q, t) \rangle_{q, t}^{\lambda}}{\langle 1, 1 \rangle_{q, t}^{\lambda}} = \prod_{x \in \mu} \frac{(1 - q^{a(x)+1})(1 - t^{\ell(x)+1})}{(1 - q^{a(x)+1})(1 - t^{\ell(x)+1})}
\]

(6.34)

We will derive this formula for the two special cases corresponding to Cases (SO) and (Sp), by using our expression (6.30) and Macdonald’s formula (6.31) for the principal specialization.

Case (SO). In this case, the point \((q', t) = (q^n, 1, 1, ..., 1)\) gives the value \(x = (q^{2(n-1)}, q^{2(n-2)}, ..., 1)\). Hence we have

\[
c(\lambda) = P_\lambda(q^{2(n-1)}, q^{2(n-2)}, ..., 1; q^4, q^2)
= q^2 \sum_{(k-1)\mu} \prod_{x \in \mu} \frac{1 - q^{2(2a(x) - \ell(x) + n)}}{1 - q^{2a(x) + \ell(x) + 1}},
\]

(6.35)

replacing \((q, t)\) in (6.31) by \((q', t, t)\). On the other hand, we compute

\[
d(\lambda) = s_\lambda(q^{2(n-1)}, q^{2(n-2)}, ..., 1)
= q^2 \sum_{(k-1)\lambda} \prod_{p \in \lambda} \frac{1 - q^{2a'(p) - \ell'(p) + n}}{1 - q^{2a(p) + \ell(p) + 1}}
= q^2 \sum_{(k-1)\lambda} \prod_{x \in \mu} \frac{1 - q^{2(2a'(x) - \ell'(x) + n)}}{1 - q^{2a'(x) + \ell'(x) + 1}}
\]

(6.36)

The last equality follows from the simple fact that the Young diagram \(\lambda = 2\mu\) is the duplication of \(\mu\) in the horizontal direction. When we reparametrize the factors by the boxes in \(\lambda\), each horizontally adjacent pair of boxes \(p\) in \(\lambda\), corresponding to a same \(s\) in \(\mu\), gives rise to the two factors with \(a(p)\) replaced by \(2a(s)\), \(2a(s) + 1\), and with \(a'(p)\) replaced by \(2a'(s)\), \(2a'(s) + 1\). Combining (6.35) and (6.36), we obtain

\[
\frac{\langle P_\mu, P_\nu \rangle}{\langle 1, 1 \rangle} = \left(\frac{c(\lambda)^2}{d(\lambda)}\right) \sum_{x \in \mu} \frac{1 - q^{2(2a(x) - \ell(x) + n)}}{1 - q^{2a(x) + \ell(x) + 1}} \left(1 - q^{2(2a(x) + \ell(x) + 1)}\right).
\]

(6.37)

This is exactly the formula obtained from (6.34) replacing \((q', t, t)\) for \((q, t)\).
Case (Sp). In this case, the point \( q^* = (q^{2n-1}, q^{2n-2}, \ldots, 1) \) corresponds to the value \( x = (q^{2n-1}, q^{2n-2}, \ldots, 1) \). Replacing \((q, t)\) in (6.31) by \((q^*, q^*)\), we have

\[
c(\lambda) = q^{\sum_{\mu} p_{\mu}} (q^{2n-1}, q^{2n-2}, \ldots, 1; q^2 q^4) = q^{\sum_{\mu} (4k-3) p_{\mu}} \prod_{s \in \mu} \frac{1 - q^{2(\alpha(s) - 2\ell(s)) + 2n}}{1 - q^{4\alpha(s) + 2(\ell(s) + 1)}}. 
\]

(6.38)

On the other hand, we compute

\[
d(\lambda) = s_{\lambda}(q^{2n-1}, q^{2n-2}, \ldots, 1) = q^{\sum_{\lambda} (k-1) \lambda_k} \prod_{\mu \in \lambda} \frac{1 - q^{2\alpha(p) - \ell(p) + 2n}}{1 - q^{2\alpha(p) + \ell(p) + 1}}, 
\]

\[
= q^{\sum_{\lambda} (4k-3) \lambda_k} \prod_{s \in \lambda \mu} \frac{(1 - q^{2(\alpha(s) - 2\ell(s)) + 2n})(1 - q^{2(\alpha(s) - 2\ell(s)) + 2n - 1})}{(1 - q^{2\alpha(s) + 2(\ell(s) + 1)})(1 - q^{2\alpha(s) + 2(\ell(s) + 2)})} 
\]

(6.39)

This time the Young diagram \( \lambda = (2\mu')' \) is the duplication of \( \mu \) in the vertical direction. Accordingly, \( \ell(p) \) should be replaced by \( 2\ell(s), 2\ell(s) + 1 \), and \( \ell'(p) \) by \( 2\ell(s), 2\ell(s) + 1 \). Combining (6.38) and (6.39), we obtain

\[
\langle P_{\mu'}, P_{\mu} \rangle_{\langle 1, 1 \rangle^T} = \frac{c(\lambda)}{d(\lambda)} \prod_{s \in \mu \mu} \frac{(1 - q^{2(\alpha(s) - 2\ell(s)) + 2n})(1 - q^{2(\alpha(s) + 2\ell(s) + 1)})}{(1 - q^{2(\alpha(s) - 2\ell(s)) + 2n - 1})(1 - q^{2(\alpha(s) + 2\ell(s) + 2)})}. 
\]

(6.40)

This coincides with the formula obtained from (6.34) replacing \((q^2, q^4)\) for \((q, t)\).
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