



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 342 (2008) 742-746

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

Note

# Subharmonicity of $|f|^p$ for quasiregular harmonic functions, with applications

Vesna Kojić<sup>a</sup>, Miroslav Pavlović<sup>b,\*,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Fakultet organizacionih nauka, Jove Ilića 154, Belgrade, Serbia <sup>b</sup> Matematički fakultet, Studentski trg 16, 11001 Belgrade, p.p. 550, Serbia

Received 26 July 2007

Available online 5 December 2007

Submitted by P. Koskela

#### Abstract

We prove that if f is a quasiregular harmonic function, then there exists a number  $q \in (0, 1)$  such that  $|f|^q$  is subharmonic, and use this fact to generalize a result of Rubel, Shields, and Taylor, and Tamrazov, on the moduli of continuity of holomorphic functions.

© 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Subharmonic functions; Quasiregular functions; Moduli of continuity

It is well known that if f is a complex-valued harmonic function defined in a region G of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $|f|^p$  is subharmonic for  $p \ge 1$ , and that in the general case is not subharmonic for p < 1. However, if f is holomorphic, then  $|f|^p$  is subharmonic for every p > 0. In this paper we consider k-quasiregular harmonic functions (0 < k < 1). We recall that a harmonic function is k-quasiregular if

$$\left|\bar{\partial}f(z)\right| \leq k \left|\partial f(z)\right|, \quad z \in G,$$

where

$$\bar{\partial} f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)$$
 and  $\partial f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)$ ,  $z = x + iy$ .

We prove that  $|f|^p$  is subharmonic for  $p \ge 4k/(1+k)^2 =: q$  as well as that the exponent q (< 1) is the best possible (see Theorem 1). The fact that q < 1 enables us to prove that if f is quasiregular in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  and continuous on  $\overline{D}$ , then  $\tilde{\omega}(f, \delta) \le \text{const.}\,\omega(f, \delta)$ , where  $\tilde{\omega}(f, \delta)$  (respectively  $\omega(f, \delta)$ ) denotes the modulus of continuity of f on  $\mathbb{D}$  (respectively  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ ); see Theorem 2. In the case k = 0 (when f is holomorphic) this fact is known and was proved by Rubel, Shields, and Taylor [2], and Tamrazov [3].

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: vesnak@fon.bg.ac.yu (V. Kojić), pavlovic@matf.bg.ac.yu (M. Pavlović).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The author is supported by MN Project 144010, Serbia.

<sup>0022-247</sup>X/\$ – see front matter @ 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.12.003

## **1.** Subharmonicity of $|f|^p$

**Theorem 1.** If f is a complex-valued k-quasiregular harmonic function defined on a region  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ , and  $q = 4k/(k+1)^2$ , then  $|f|^q$  is subharmonic. The exponent q is optimal.

Recall that a continuous function u defined on a region  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  is subharmonic if for all  $z_0 \in G$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$u(z_0) \leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(z_0 + re^{it}) dt, \quad 0 < r < \varepsilon.$$

$$\tag{1}$$

If  $u(z_0) = |f(z_0)|^2 = 0$ , then (1) holds. If  $u(z_0) > 0$ , then there exists a neighborhood U of  $z_0$  such that u is of class  $C^2(U)$  (because the zeroes of u are isolated), and then we may prove that  $\Delta u \ge 0 \in U$ . Thus the proof reduces to proving that  $\Delta u(z) \ge 0$  whenever u(z) > 0. In order to do this we will calculate  $\Delta u$ . Before that, we state some lemmas. The next two lemmas are well known and easy to prove.

**Lemma 1.** If u > 0 is a  $C^2$  function defined on a region in  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\Delta(u^{\alpha}) = \alpha u^{\alpha - 1} \Delta u + \alpha (\alpha - 1) u^{\alpha - 2} |\nabla u|^2.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

**Lemma 2.** If u > 0 is a  $C^2$  function defined on a region in  $\mathbb{C}$ , then

$$|\nabla u|^2 = 4|\partial u|^2 \quad and \quad \Delta u = 4\partial\bar{\partial}u. \tag{3}$$

**Lemma 3.** If  $f = g + \bar{h}$ , where g and h are holomorphic functions, then

$$\Delta(|f|^2) = 4(|g'|^2 + |h'|^2).$$
(4)

**Proof.** Since  $|f|^2 = (g + \bar{h})(\bar{g} + h)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \big( |f|^2 \big) &= 4\partial \left( \overline{h'}(\bar{g} + h) + (g + \bar{h})\overline{g'} \right) \\ &= 4(\overline{h'}h + g\overline{g'}) \\ &= 4 \big( |g'|^2 + |h'|^2 \big). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4.** If  $f = g + \overline{h}$ , where g and h are holomorphic functions, then

$$\left|\nabla(|f|^{2})\right|^{2} = 4\left(|g'|^{2} + |h'|^{2}\right)|f|^{2} + 8\operatorname{Re}\left(\overline{g'}h'f^{2}\right).$$
(5)

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \nabla (|f|^2) \right|^2 &= 4 \left| \partial (|f|^2) \right|^2 \\ &= 4 \left| \partial ((g + \bar{h})(\bar{g} + h)) \right|^2 \\ &= 4 |g'\bar{f} + fh'|^2 \\ &= 4 (|g'|^2 + |h'|^2) |f|^2 + 8 \operatorname{Re}(\overline{g'}h'f^2). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.** If  $f = g + \overline{h}$ , where g and h are holomorphic functions, then

$$\Delta(|f|^{p}) = p^{2}(|g'|^{2} + |h'|^{2})|f|^{p-2} + 2p(p-2)|f|^{p-4}\operatorname{Re}(\overline{g'}h'f^{2})$$
(6)

whenever  $f \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** We take  $\alpha = p/2$ ,  $u = |f|^2$ , and then use (2), (4) and (5) to get the result.  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Theorem 1.** We have to prove that  $\Delta(|f|^p) \ge 0$ , where  $p = 4k/(1+k)^2$ . Since p - 2 < 0, we get from (6) that

$$\begin{split} \Delta \big( |f|^p \big) &\geq p^2 \big( |g'|^2 + |h'|^2 \big) |f|^{p-2} + 2p(p-2) |f|^{p-4} |g'| \cdot |h'| \cdot |f|^2 \\ &= p^2 |g'|^2 (m^2 + 1) |f|^{p-2} + 2p(p-2) |g'|^2 |f|^{p-2} m \\ &= p |g'|^2 |f|^{p-2} \big[ p \big( 1 + m^2 \big) + 2(p-2) m \big], \end{split}$$

where  $m = |h'|/|g'| \le k$ . The function  $m \mapsto p(1 + m^2) + 2(p - 2)m$  has a negative derivative (because p < 1 and m < 1), which implies that

$$(1+m^2)p + 2(p-2)m \ge (1+k^2)p + 2(p-2)k$$

On the other hand,  $(1 + k^2)p + 2(p - 2)k \ge 0$  if and only if  $p \ge 4k/(1 + k)^2$ , which proves that  $|f|^q$  is subharmonic. To prove that the exponent q is optimal we take  $f(z) = z + k\overline{z}$ . By (6),

$$\Delta(|f|^{p})(1) = p^{2}(1+k^{2})(1+k)^{p-2} + 2p(p-2)(1+k)^{p-2}k.$$

Hence  $\Delta(|f|^p)(1) \ge 0$  if and only if

$$p(1+k^2) + 2(p-2)k \ge 0,$$

which, as noted above, is equivalent to  $p \ge q$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\Box$ 

### 2. Moduli of continuity

For a continuous function  $f: \overline{\mathbb{D}} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  harmonic in  $\mathbb{D}$  we define two moduli of continuity

$$\omega(f,\delta) = \sup\{|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(e^{it})|: |e^{i\theta} - e^{it}| \leq \delta, \ t, \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad \delta \ge 0,$$

and

$$\tilde{\omega}(f,\delta) = \sup\{|f(z) - f(w)| : |z - w| \leq \delta, \ z, w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}\}, \quad \delta \ge 0.$$

Clearly  $\omega(f, \delta) \leq \tilde{\omega}(f, \delta)$ , but the reverse inequality need not hold. To see this consider the function

$$f(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n r^n \cos n\theta}{n^2}, \quad re^{i\theta} \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$$

This function is harmonic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and continuous on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . The function  $v(\theta) = f(e^{i\theta}), |\theta| < \pi$ , is differentiable and

$$\frac{dv}{d\theta} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \sin n\theta}{n} = \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad |\theta| < \pi.$$

This formula is well known, and can be verified by calculating the Fourier coefficients of the function  $\theta \mapsto \theta/2$ ,  $|\theta| < \pi$ . It follows that

$$\left|f\left(e^{i\theta}\right)-f\left(e^{it}\right)\right| \leq (\pi/2)|\theta-t|, \quad -\pi<\theta, t<\pi,$$

and hence  $\omega(f, \delta) \leq M\delta$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , where *M* is an absolute constant. On the other hand, the inequality  $\tilde{\omega}(f, \delta) \leq CM\delta$ , C = const., does not hold because it implies that  $|\partial f/\partial r| \leq CM$ , which is not true because

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} f(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^{n-1}}{n}, \quad \text{for } \theta = \pi, \ 0 < r < 1.$$

However, as was proved by Rubel, Shields, and Taylor [2], and Tamrazov [3], if f is a holomorphic function, then  $\tilde{\omega}(f, \delta) \leq C\omega(f, \delta)$ , where C is independent of f and  $\delta$ . In this note we extend that result to quasiregular harmonic functions.

**Theorem 2.** Let f be a k-quasiregular harmonic complex-valued function which has a continuous extension on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , then there is a constant C depending only on k such that  $\tilde{\omega}(f, \delta) \leq C\omega(f, \delta)$ .

In order to deduce this fact from Theorem 1, we need some simple properties of the modulus  $\omega(f, \delta)$ . Let

$$\omega_0(f,\delta) = \sup\left\{ \left| f\left(e^{i\theta}\right) - f\left(e^{it}\right) \right| \colon |\theta - t| \leq \delta, \ t, \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

It is easy to check that

$$C^{-1}\omega_0(f,\delta) \leqslant \omega(f,\delta) \leqslant C\omega_0(f,\delta),\tag{7}$$

where C is an absolute constant, and that

 $\omega_0(f, \delta_1 + \delta_2) \leq \omega_0(f, \delta_1) + \omega_0(f, \delta_2), \quad \delta_1, \delta_2 \geq 0.$ 

Hence  $\omega_0(f, 2^n \delta) \leq 2^n \omega_0(f, \delta)$ , and hence  $\omega_0(\lambda \delta) \leq 2\lambda \omega_0(\delta)$ , for  $\lambda \geq 1, \delta \geq 0$ . From these inequalities and (7) it follows that

$$\omega(f,\lambda\delta) \leq 2C\lambda\omega(f,\delta), \quad \lambda \geq 1, \ \delta \geq 0, \tag{8}$$

and

 $\infty$ 

$$\omega(f,\delta_1+\delta_2) \leqslant C\omega(f,\delta_1) + C\omega(f,\delta_2), \quad \delta_1,\delta_2 \ge 0, \tag{9}$$

where *C* is an absolute constant. As a consequence of (8) we have, for 0 ,

$$\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(f,t)^{p}}{t^{2}} dt \leqslant C \frac{\omega(f,x)^{p}}{x}, \quad x > 0,$$
(10)

where C depends only on p. Finally we need the following consequence of the harmonic Schwarz lemma (see [1]).

**Lemma 6.** If h is a function harmonic and bounded in the unit disk, with h(0) = 0, the  $|h(\xi)| \leq (4/\pi) ||h||_{\infty} |\xi|$ , for  $\xi \in \mathbb{D}$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2.** It is enough to prove that  $|f(z) - f(w)| \leq C\omega(f, |z - w|)$  for all  $z, w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , where *C* depends only on *k*. Assume first that  $z = r \in (0, 1)$  and |w| = 1. Then, by Theorem 1, the function  $\varphi(\xi) = |f(w) - f(\xi)|^q$ , where  $q = 4k/(1+k)^2 < 1$ , is subharmonic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and continuous on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , whence

$$\varphi(r) \leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi} \int\limits_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-r^2)\varphi(\zeta)}{|\zeta-r|^2} |d\zeta|.$$

Since, by (9),

$$\begin{split} \varphi(\zeta) &\leqslant \left( \omega \Big( f, |w-r| + |r-\zeta| \Big) \right)^q \\ &\leqslant C^q \omega \Big( f, |w-r| \Big)^q + C^q \omega \Big( f, |r-\zeta| \Big)^q, \end{split}$$

we have

$$\begin{split} \varphi(z) &\leq C^{q} \omega \big( f, |w-r| \big)^{q} + \frac{C^{q}}{2\pi} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-r^{2})\omega(f, |r-\zeta|)^{q}}{|\zeta-r|^{2}} \, |d\zeta| \\ &= C^{q} \omega \big( f, |w-r| \big)^{q} + \frac{C^{q}}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{(1-r^{2})\omega(|r-e^{it}|)^{q}}{|e^{it}-r|^{2}} \, dt. \end{split}$$

But simple calculation shows that

$$|r - e^{it}| = \sqrt{(1 - r)^2 + 4r\sin^2(t/2)} \approx 1 - r + |t| \quad (0 < r < 1, |t| \le \pi).$$

From this, (1), and (10) it follows that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{(1-r^2)\omega(f,|r-e^{it}|)^q}{|e^{it}-r|^2} dt \leq C_1 \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{(1-r)\omega(f,1-r+t)^q}{(1-r+t)^2} dt$$
$$= C_1 \left(\int_{0}^{1-r} + \int_{1-r}^{\pi}\right) \frac{(1-r)\omega(f,1-r+t)^q}{(1-r+t)^2} dt$$
$$\leq C_2 (\omega(1-r))^q + C_2 (1-r) \int_{1-r}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(f,t)^q}{t^2} dt$$
$$\leq C_3 (\omega(f,1-r))^q$$
$$\leq C_4 (\omega(f,|w-z|))^q.$$

Thus  $|f(w) - f(z)| \leq C_5 \omega(f, |w - z|)$  provided  $w \in \partial \mathbb{D}$  and  $z \in (0, 1)$ . By rotation and the continuity of f, we can extend this inequality to the case where  $w \in \partial \mathbb{D}$  and  $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ .

If 0 < |w| < 1, we consider the function  $h(\xi) = f(\xi w/|w|) - f(\xi z/|w|)$ ,  $|\xi| \le 1$ . This function is harmonic in  $\mathbb{D}$ , continuous on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , and h(0) = 0. Hence, by the harmonic Schwarz lemma, inequality (1), and the preceding case,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(w) - f(z) \right| &= \left| h(|w|) \right| \\ &\leq (4/\pi) |w| ||h||_{\infty} \\ &\leq C_6 |w| \omega \left( f, |w/|w| - z/|w| \right) \\ &\leq C_7 \omega \left( f, |w| |w/|w| - z/|w| \right) \\ &= C_7 \omega \left( f, |w - z| \right), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

#### Acknowledgment

The authors are very grateful to the referee, who found many misprints and non-explained places in the previous version of the paper.

## References

- [1] Sheldon Axler, Paul Bourdon, Wade Ramey, Harmonic Function Theory, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 137, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [2] L.A. Rubel, A.L. Shields, B.A. Taylor, Mergelyan sets and the modulus of continuity of analytic functions, J. Approx. Theory 15 (1) (1975) 23–40.
- [3] P.M. Tamrazov, Contour and solid structural properties of holomorphic functions of a complex variable, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 28 (1973) 131–161 (in Russian); English translation in: Russian Math. Surveys 28 (1973) 141–173.