Physics Letters B 751 (2015) 284-288

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

Small-angle scattering and quasiclassical approximation beyond leading order



P.A. Krachkov, R.N. Lee, A.I. Milstein*

Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 August 2015 Received in revised form 16 October 2015 Accepted 17 October 2015 Available online 23 October 2015 Editor: A. Ringwald

ABSTRACT

In the present paper we examine the accuracy of the quasiclassical approach on the example of smallangle electron elastic scattering. Using the quasiclassical approach, we derive the differential cross section and the Sherman function for arbitrary localized potential at high energy. These results are exact in the atomic charge number and correspond to the leading and the next-to-leading high-energy small-angle asymptotics for the scattering amplitude. Using the small-angle expansion of the exact amplitude of electron elastic scattering in the Coulomb field, we derive the cross section and the Sherman function with a relative accuracy θ^2 and θ^1 , respectively (θ is the scattering angle). We show that the correction of relative order θ^2 to the cross section, as well as that of relative order θ^1 to the Sherman function, originates not only from the contribution of large angular momenta $l \gg 1$, but also from that of $l \sim 1$. This means that, in general, it is not possible to go beyond the accuracy of the next-to-leading quasiclassical approximation without taking into account the non-quasiclassical terms.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

In the high-energy QED processes in the atomic field, the characteristic angles θ between the momenta of final and initial particles are small. Therefore, the main contribution to the amplitudes of the processes is given by the large angular momenta $l \sim \varepsilon \rho \sim$ $\varepsilon/\Delta \sim 1/\theta$, where ε , ρ , and Δ are the characteristic energy, impact parameter, and momentum transfer, respectively ($\hbar = c = 1$). The guasiclassical approach provides a systematic method to account for the contribution of large angular momenta. It was successfully used for the description of numerous processes such as charged particle bremsstrahlung, pair photoproduction, Delbrück scattering, photon splitting, and others [1–8]. The accurate description of such QED processes is important for the data analysis in modern detectors of elementary particles. The quasiclassical approach allows one to obtain the results for the amplitudes not only in the leading quasiclassical approximation but also with the first quasiclassical correction taken into account [9–14]. We stress the difference between the quasiclassical approximation and the eikonal approximation often used in the description of the high-energy processes (see, e.g., Ref. [15]). This difference was recognized already in Ref. [3] where it was shown that the Coulomb corrections

* Corresponding author.

to the cross section of e^+e^- pair photoproduction can be obtained within the quasiclassical approach but not within the eikonal approximation.

A natural question arises: how far can we advance in increasing accuracy within the quasiclassical framework? In this paper we examine this question by considering the process of high-energy small-angle scattering of polarized electrons in the atomic field. The general form of this cross section reads (see, e.g., Ref. [15])

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\sigma_0}{d\Omega} \left[1 + S \,\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\zeta}_1 + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_2) + T^{ij} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_1^i \boldsymbol{\zeta}_2^j \right], \quad \boldsymbol{\xi} = \frac{\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{q}}{|\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{q}|},$$
(1)

where $d\sigma_0/d\Omega$ is the differential cross section of unpolarized scattering, **p** and **q** are the initial and final electron momenta, respectively, ζ_1 is the polarization vector of the initial electron, ζ_2 is the detected polarization vector of the final electron, *S* is the so-called Sherman function, and T^{ij} is some tensor. In Section 2 we use the quasiclassical approach to derive the small-angle expansion of the cross section of electron elastic scattering in arbitrary localized potential. As for the unpolarized cross section $d\sigma_0/d\Omega$, its leading and subleading terms with respect to the scattering angle θ are known for a long time [16]. They can both be calculated within the quasiclassical framework. We show that the Sherman function *S* in the leading quasiclassical approximation is proportional to θ^2 . We compare this result with that obtained by means of the expansion with respect to the parameter $Z\alpha$ [17–21] (*Z* is the nuclear charge

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.10.049

0370-2693/© 2015 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.

E-mail addresses: peter_phys@mail.ru (P.A. Krachkov), R.N.Lee@inp.nsk.su (R.N. Lee), A.I.Milstein@inp.nsk.su (A.I. Milstein).

number, $\alpha \approx 1/137$ is the fine structure constant). The leading in $Z\alpha$ contribution to the Sherman function is due to the interference between the first and second Born terms in the scattering amplitude. In contrast to the guasiclassical result (proportional to θ^2), it scales as θ^3 at small θ . There is no contradiction between these two results because the expansion of our quasiclassical result with respect to $Z\alpha$ starts with $(Z\alpha)^2$. Therefore, depending on the ratio $Z\alpha/\theta$, the dominant contribution to the Sherman function is given either by the leading guasiclassical approximation or by the interference of the first two terms of the Born expansion. One could imagine that the terms $O(\theta^3)$ in the function S can be ascribed to the next-to-leading quasiclassical correction and, therefore, they come from the contribution of large angular momenta. However, by considering the case of a pure Coulomb field, we show in Section 3 that the account for the angular momenta $l \sim 1$ is indispensable for these terms. Thus, we are driven to the conclusion that, in general, it is not possible to go beyond the accuracy of the next-to-leading quasiclassical approximation without taking into account the non-quasiclassical terms.

2. Scattering of polarized electrons in the quasiclassical approximation

It is shown in Ref. [22] that the wave function $\psi_p(\mathbf{r})$ in the arbitrary localized potential $V(\mathbf{r})$ can be written as

$$\psi_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{r}) = [g_0(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{p}) - \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}_1(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{p}) - \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}_2(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{p})] u_{\boldsymbol{p}},$$
$$u_{\boldsymbol{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon + m}{2\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}}{\varepsilon + m} \boldsymbol{\phi} \right),$$
(2)

where ϕ is a spinor, $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \gamma^0 \boldsymbol{\gamma}$, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \gamma^0 \gamma^5 \boldsymbol{\gamma}$, *m* is the electron mass, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ are the Pauli matrices. In this section we assume that $m/\varepsilon \ll 1$. In the leading quasiclassical approximation, the explicit forms of the functions g_0 and g_1 , as well as the first quasiclassical correction to g_0 , are obtained in Ref. [9]. The first quasiclassical correction to g_1 and the leading contribution to g_2 are derived in Ref. [14]. The asymptotic form of the function $\psi_p(\mathbf{r})$ at large distances r reads

$$\psi_{\boldsymbol{p}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \approx e^{i\boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} u_{\boldsymbol{p}} + \frac{e^{ipr}}{r} [G_0 - \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{G}_1 - \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{G}_2] u_{\boldsymbol{p}}.$$
(3)

The functions G_0 , G_1 , and G_2 can be easily obtained from the expressions for g_0 , g_1 , and g_2 in Ref. [14]:

$$G_{0} = f_{0} + \delta f_{0}, \quad G_{1} = -\frac{\Delta_{\perp}}{2\varepsilon} [f_{0} + \delta f_{0} + \delta f_{1}],$$

$$G_{2} = i \frac{[\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{p}]}{2\varepsilon^{2}} \delta f_{1}, \qquad (4)$$

where

$$f_{0} = -\frac{i\varepsilon}{2\pi} \int d\rho \, e^{-i\mathbf{\Delta}_{\perp} \cdot \rho} \left[e^{-i\chi(\rho)} - 1 \right],$$

$$\delta f_{0} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\rho \, e^{-i\mathbf{\Delta}_{\perp} \cdot \rho - i\chi(\rho)} \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx V^{2}(r_{x})$$

$$\delta f_{1} = \frac{i}{4\pi \Delta_{\perp}^{2}} \int d\rho \, e^{-i\mathbf{\Delta}_{\perp} \cdot \rho - i\chi(\rho)} \mathbf{\Delta}_{\perp} \cdot \frac{\rho}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx V^{2}(r_{x}),$$

$$\chi(\rho) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx V(r_{x}), \quad r_{x} = \sqrt{x^{2} + \rho^{2}}.$$
(5)

Here $\Delta = \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}$, $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{p}\mathbf{r}/r$, $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is a two-dimensional vector perpendicular to the initial momentum \mathbf{p} , and the notation $\mathbf{X}_{\perp} = \mathbf{X} - (\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{n}_p)\mathbf{n}_p$ is used for any vector \mathbf{X} , $\mathbf{n}_p = \mathbf{p}/p$. For small scattering angle $\theta \ll 1$, we have $\delta f_0 \sim \delta f_1 \sim \theta f_0$. Taking this relation into account, we obtain the following expressions for $\frac{d\sigma_0}{d\Omega}$, T^{ij} , and *S* in Eq. (1)

$$\frac{d\sigma_0}{d\Omega} = |f_0|^2 \left[1 + 2\operatorname{Re}\frac{\delta f_0}{f_0} \right], \tag{6}$$

$$S = -\frac{m\theta}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\delta f_1}{f_0}.$$
(7)

In Eqs. (6) and (7) we keep only the leading and the next-toleading terms with respect to θ in $d\sigma_0/d\Omega$ and T^{ij} , and the leading term in the function *S*. The form of T^{ij} is a simple consequence of helicity conservation in ultrarelativistic scattering. The expression for $d\sigma_0/d\Omega$ coincides with that obtained in the eikonal approximation [16]. Note that $f_0 \rightarrow -f_0^*$, $\delta f_0 \rightarrow \delta f_0^*$, and $\delta f_1 \rightarrow \delta f_1^*$ at the replacement $V \rightarrow -V$ as it simply follows from Eq. (5). Therefore, the quasiclassical result for the Sherman function *S*, Eq. (7), is invariant with respect to the replacement $V \rightarrow -V$. In contrast, the term $2 \operatorname{Re}(\delta f_0/f_0)$ in $d\sigma_0/d\Omega$ in Eq. (6) results in the charge asymmetry in scattering, i.e., in the difference between the scattering cross sections of electron and positron, see, e.g., Ref. [15]. Similarly, the account for the first quasiclassical correction leads to the charge asymmetry in lepton pair photoproduction and bremsstrahlung in an atomic field [13,14,22].

Let us specialize Eqs. (6) and (7) to the case of a Coulomb field. Substituting $V(r) = -Z\alpha/r$ in Eq. (5), we have

$$f_{0} = \frac{2\eta}{\varepsilon\theta^{2-2i\eta}} \frac{\Gamma(1-i\eta)}{\Gamma(1+i\eta)},$$

$$\frac{\delta f_{0}}{f_{0}} = \frac{1}{4}\pi\theta\eta h(\eta), \quad \frac{\delta f_{1}}{f_{0}} = -\frac{\pi\theta\eta h(\eta)}{4(1+2i\eta)},$$

$$h(\eta) = \frac{\Gamma(1+i\eta)\Gamma(1/2-i\eta)}{\Gamma(1-i\eta)\Gamma(1/2+i\eta)},$$
(8)

where $\eta = Z\alpha$ and $\Gamma(x)$ is the Euler Γ function. Then, from Eqs. (6) and (7) we obtain

$$\frac{d\sigma_0}{d\Omega} = \frac{4\eta^2}{\varepsilon^2 \theta^4} \Big[1 + \frac{\pi \theta \eta}{2} \operatorname{Re} h(\eta) \Big], \tag{9}$$

$$S = \frac{\pi m \eta \theta^2}{4\varepsilon} \operatorname{Im} \frac{h(\eta)}{1 + 2i\eta}.$$
 (10)

The remarkable observation concerning the obtained Sherman function (10) is that it scales as θ^2 while the celebrated Mott result [17] for the leading in η contribution to *S* scales as $\theta^3 \ln \theta$. There is no contradiction because the expansion of (10) in η starts with η^2 , while the Mott result is proportional to η . Thus, the Mott result is not applicable if $\theta \leq \eta$. In the next section we obtain the result (10), along with smaller corrections with respect to θ , by expanding the exact Coulomb scattering amplitude represented as a sum of partial waves. We show that the Mott result is recovered in the order θ^3 , as it should be.

Let us now qualitatively discuss the influence of the finite nuclear size on the cross section $d\sigma_0/d\Omega$ and the Sherman function *S*. We use the model potential

$$V(r) = -\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{r^2 + R^2}},$$
(11)

where R is the characteristic nuclear size. For this potential we take all integrals in Eq. (4) and obtain



Fig. 1. The asymmetry *A*, Eq. (13), in units $\eta\theta$ as a function of $b = \theta \varepsilon R$ for $\eta = 0.1$ (solid curve), $\eta = 0.4$ (dashed curve), and $\eta = 0.7$ (dash-dotted curve).



Fig. 2. The Sherman function *S*, Eq. (14), in units $S_0 = m\eta^2 \theta^2 / \varepsilon$ as a function of $b = \theta \varepsilon R$ for $\eta = 0.1$ (solid curve), $\eta = 0.4$ (dashed curve), and $\eta = 0.7$ (dash-dotted curve).

$$\frac{d\sigma_0}{d\Omega} = \frac{4\eta^2}{\varepsilon^2 \theta^4} \left| \frac{bK_{1-i\eta}(b)}{\Gamma(1+i\eta)} \right|^2 (1+A), \qquad (12)$$

$$A = \frac{\pi \eta \theta}{2} \operatorname{Re} \frac{\Gamma(1+i\eta)(2K_{1/2-i\eta}(b) - bK_{3/2-i\eta}(b))}{\Gamma(3/2+i\eta)\sqrt{2b}K_{1-i\eta}(b)},$$
 (13)

$$S = \frac{\pi \eta m \theta^2}{4\varepsilon} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\Gamma(1+i\eta) K_{1/2-i\eta}(b)}{\Gamma(3/2+i\eta) \sqrt{2b} K_{1-i\eta}(b)}, \quad b = \theta \varepsilon R, \quad (14)$$

where $K_{\nu}(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind. The quantity *A* in Eq. (13) is nothing but the charge asymmetry,

$$A = \frac{d\sigma_0(\eta) - d\sigma_0(-\eta)}{d\sigma_0(\eta) + d\sigma_0(-\eta)}.$$
(15)

As it should be, in the limit $b \rightarrow 0$ the results (12) and (14) coincide with Eqs. (9) and (10), respectively. In Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 we plot the asymmetry *A* and the Sherman function *S* as the functions of *b* for a few values of η . It is seen that both functions strongly depend on *b* and η . It is interesting that they both change their signs at $b \sim 1$. Presumably, the latter feature takes place also for the commonly used parametrizations of the nuclear potential.

3. Small-angle expansion of the Coulomb scattering amplitude

In this section we investigate the nontrivial interplay between the contributions of large angular momenta *l* (quasiclassical contribution) and *l* ~ 1 to the cross section and Sherman function for electron elastic scattering in the Coulomb field. Note that, for small angle θ , the main contribution to the scattering amplitude is given by *l* \gg 1 not only in the ultrarelativistic limit, but for arbitrary $\beta = p/\varepsilon$ as well. Therefore, we treat the parameters $\eta = Z\alpha$ and $\nu = Z\alpha/\beta$ as independent ones. We perform small-angle expansion of the amplitude, but do not assume that $\eta \ll 1$, in contrast to the consideration in Ref. [21].

The elastic scattering amplitude reads (see, e.g., Refs. [15,23]):

$$M_{fi} = \frac{i}{2p} \phi_f^{\dagger} \left[G\left(\theta\right) - \frac{i\eta m}{p} F\left(\theta\right) - i \left(G\left(\theta\right) \tan \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{i\eta m}{p} F\left(\theta\right) \cot \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right] \phi_i ,$$

where ϕ_i and ϕ_f are the spinors of the initial and final electron, respectively. The functions $F(\theta)$ and $G(\theta)$ have the form

$$F(\theta) = -\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma_l - i\nu)}{\Gamma(\gamma_l + i\nu + 1)} e^{i\pi(l-\gamma_l)} l[P_l - P_{l-1}], \qquad (16)$$

$$G(\theta) = -\cot\frac{\theta}{2}\frac{dF}{d\theta}.$$
(17)

Here $P_l = P_l(\cos\theta)$ is the Legendre polynomial, $\gamma_l = \sqrt{l^2 - \eta^2}$. The unpolarized cross section $d\sigma/d\Omega$ and Sherman function $S(\theta)$ are readily expressed in terms of $F(\theta)$ and $G(\theta)$:

$$\frac{d\sigma_0}{d\Omega} = \frac{1}{4p^2} \left\{ \frac{|G\left(\theta\right)|^2}{\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}} + \frac{\eta^2 m^2 |F\left(\theta\right)|^2}{p^2 \sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}} \right\}$$
(18)
$$S\left(\theta\right) = \frac{\eta mp \sin\theta \operatorname{Re} FG^*}{|G\left(\theta\right)|^2 p^2 \sin^2\frac{\theta}{2} + \eta^2 m^2 |F\left(\theta\right)|^2 \cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}}$$

We want to find the expansion of $d\sigma_0/d\Omega$ and *S* with respect to θ . The main contribution to the sum in Eq. (16) comes from the region of large *l*. Let us write the function *F* as

$$F = F_{a} + F_{b},$$

$$F_{a} = -\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(l - i\nu)}{\Gamma(l + i\nu + 1)} l T_{l} [P_{l} - P_{l-1}],$$

$$F_{b} = -\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\gamma_{l} - i\nu)}{\Gamma(\gamma_{l} + i\nu + 1)} e^{i\pi(l-\gamma_{l})} - \frac{\Gamma(l - i\nu)}{\Gamma(l + i\nu + 1)} T_{l} \right]$$

$$\times l [P_{l} - P_{l-1}],$$

$$T_{l} = 1 + \frac{i\pi}{2l} \eta^{2} + \frac{\eta^{2}}{2l^{2}} \left(1 + 2i\nu - \frac{\pi^{2}\eta^{2}}{4} \right).$$
(19)

The quantity T_l is the expansion of $\frac{\Gamma(\gamma - i\nu)/\Gamma(l - i\nu)}{\Gamma(\gamma + i\nu + 1)/\Gamma(l + i\nu + 1)}e^{i\pi(l - \gamma)}$ over 1/l up to $O(1/l^2)$. The sum in the definition of F_a can be taken analytically at $\theta \ll 1$. In order to do this we use the integral representation

$$\frac{\Gamma(l-i\nu)}{\Gamma(l+i\nu+1)} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+2i\nu)} \int_{0}^{\infty} dy \, \frac{y^{2i\nu}}{(1+y)^{l+i\nu+1}}$$

and take the sum over *l* using the generating function for the Legendre polynomials. We obtain

$$F_{a}(\theta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+2i\nu)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dy \, y^{2i\nu}}{(1+y)^{1+i\nu}} \left\{ \frac{2s^{2} \, (2+y) \, (1+y)}{\varrho^{3}} + \frac{i}{2} \pi \, \eta^{2} \left(1 - \frac{y}{\varrho}\right) + \frac{\eta^{2}}{2} \left(1 + 2i\nu - \frac{\pi^{2} \eta^{2}}{4}\right) \times \ln\left[\frac{(1-s^{2}) \, (2s^{2} + y + \varrho)}{y + \varrho - 2s^{2} \, (1+y)}\right] \right\},$$
(20)

where $s = \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$ and $\rho = \sqrt{y^2 + 4s^2(1+y)}$. As it follows from Eq. (20), the convenient variable for the small-angle expansion is $s \ll 1$. There are two regions, which contribute to the integral over *y*:

I.
$$y \sim s \ll 1$$
, II. $y \sim 1$.

The first region provides contributions $\propto s^{n+2i\nu}$ (n = 0, 1, ...), while the second region provides contributions $\propto s^n$ (n = 2, 3, ...). Calculating the integral with the method of expansion by regions, see, e.g., Ref. [24], we arrive at

$$F_{a}(\theta) \approx \frac{\Gamma(1-i\nu)}{\Gamma(1+i\nu)}(t_{0}+t_{1}+t_{2}),$$

$$t_{0} = s^{2i\nu}, \quad t_{1} = i\pi \eta^{2} \frac{s^{1+2i\nu}}{1+2i\nu}h(\nu),$$

$$t_{2} = i \frac{s^{2+2i\nu}\eta^{2}}{2(1+i\nu)\nu} \left[1+2i\nu - \frac{\pi^{2}\eta^{2}}{4}\right] - is^{2}\eta^{2} \left[\frac{1}{2\nu} + i + \frac{\pi}{2(1-2i\nu)} - \frac{\pi^{2}\eta^{2}}{8\nu}\right].$$
(21)

Here t_0 and t_1 correspond, respectively, to the leading quasiclassical approximation and first quasiclassical correction ($|t_0| = 1$, $|t_1| \sim \theta^1$). The relative magnitude of t_2 is θ^2 and it is tempting to interpret t_2 as a second quasiclassical correction. However, this is not true because the magnitude of t_2 is the same as that of the individual terms at $l \sim 1$ in the sum in Eq. (16). It is easy to check that the contribution to t_2 proportional to $s^{2+2i\nu}$ remains intact even if the sum over l starts from some $l_0 \gg 1$, provided that $l_0 \ll 1/s$. Therefore, this contribution is natural to identify with the second quasiclassical correction.

Let us now consider the function F_b in Eq. (19). The sum over l converges at $l \sim 1$, and we can approximate $P_l(\theta) - P_{l-1}(\theta)$ by $-2ls^2$. Since F_b in the leading order is proportional to s^2 , it is natural to sum up F_b and the term in $F_a(\theta)$, Eq. (21), proportional to s^2 . Finally we have

$$F \approx F_{QC} + \delta F,$$

$$F_{QC} = \frac{\Gamma (1 - i\nu)}{\Gamma (1 + i\nu)} s^{2i\nu} \left[1 + \frac{i\pi \eta^2}{1 + 2i\nu} h(\nu) s + \frac{i\eta^2}{2 (1 + i\nu) \nu} \left(1 + 2i\nu - \frac{\pi^2 \eta^2}{4} \right) s^2 \right],$$

$$\delta F = \frac{\Gamma (1 - i\nu)}{\Gamma (1 + i\nu)} C(\eta, \nu) s^2,$$
(22)

where

$$C(\eta, \nu) = -i\eta^{2} \left[\frac{1}{2\nu} + i + \frac{\pi}{2(1-2i\nu)} - \frac{\pi^{2}\eta^{2}}{8\nu} \right] + \frac{\Gamma(1+i\nu)}{\Gamma(1-i\nu)} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2l^{2} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\gamma_{l}-i\nu)e^{i\pi(l-\gamma_{l})}}{\Gamma(\gamma_{l}+i\nu+1)} - \frac{\Gamma(l-i\nu)}{\Gamma(l+i\nu+1)}T_{l} \right],$$
(23)

 T_l is defined in Eq. (19), and $h(\nu)$ is given in Eq. (8). The smallangle expansion of the function *F* was investigated in Ref. [21] at small η and arbitrary ν . Expanding in η up to η^4 under the sum sign in Eq. (23) and taking the sum over *l*, we find the agreement with Ref. [21] up to a misprint in Eq. (3.27) of that paper (in the right-hand side of Eq. (3.27) one should make the replacement



Fig. 3. The real (solid curve) and imaginary (dashed curve) parts of $C(\eta, \nu)$, Eq. (23), at $\nu = \eta$ as functions of η .

 $j \rightarrow j + 1$). The function $C(\eta, \nu)$ strongly depends on the parameters η and ν . This statement is illustrated by Fig. 3 where the real and imaginary parts of $C(\eta, \nu)$ at $\nu = \eta$ ($\beta = 1$) are shown as functions of η .

Substituting Eq. (22) in Eq. (18), we find

$$\frac{d\sigma_{0}}{d\Omega} = \frac{\nu^{2}}{4p^{2}s^{4}} \left[1 + \pi \eta \beta \operatorname{Re} h(\nu) s - 2\nu^{-1} \operatorname{Im}[s^{2i\nu}C^{*}(\eta,\nu)]s^{2} \right], \quad (24)$$

$$S(\theta) = \frac{ms^{2}}{\varepsilon\nu} \left\{ \pi \eta^{2} \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{h(\nu)}{1+2i\nu}\right] + \left[\frac{\eta^{2}}{1+\nu^{2}}\left(1 - \frac{3\pi^{2}\eta^{2}}{4\left(1+4\nu^{2}\right)}\right) - \frac{\pi^{2}\eta^{4}}{\nu} \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{h(\nu)}{1+2i\nu}\right] \operatorname{Re} h(\nu) - 2\operatorname{Re}[(1+i\nu)s^{2i\nu}C^{*}(\eta,\nu)] \right]s \right\}. \quad (25)$$

It is quite remarkable that the second correction to the cross section entirely comes from interference between the quasiclassical and nonquasiclassical terms. Therefore, this correction cannot be calculated within the quasiclassical approach. In Ref. [9] we have shown that the high-energy small-angle elastic scattering amplitude obtained within the quasiclassical approach with the first quasiclassical correction taken into account coincides with that obtained within the eikonal approach. The eikonal approach allows one to perform the regular expansion of the wave function, see, e.g., Refs. [25,26]. Therefore, one may ask whether it is possible to obtain the $O(s^2)$ term in Eq. (24) within the eikonal approach. It is easy to understand that the answer is 'no'. Indeed, the eikonal scattering amplitude for $\Delta_{\perp} \neq 0$ has the form

$$M_{fi} = -\frac{ip}{2\pi} \int d\rho e^{-i\mathbf{\Delta}_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho} - i\chi_0(\boldsymbol{\rho})} \phi_f^{\dagger} \\ \times \left[1 - i\chi_1 - i\chi_2 - \chi_1^2/2 + \dots \right] \phi_i.$$
(26)

For the Coulomb field we have $\chi_0 = 2Z\nu \ln p\rho$. Due to dimensional reasons the eikonal corrections have the form $\chi_{n>0}(\rho) = \mathcal{P}_n(\ln p\rho)/(p\rho)^n$, where $\mathcal{P}_n(x)$ are some polynomials with coefficients being the 2 × 2 matrices acting on the spinors. Performing the change of variables $\rho \to (2/\Delta_\perp)\rho$, we obtain

$$M_{fi} = -s^{2i\nu} \frac{2ip}{\pi \Delta_{\perp}^2} \int d\boldsymbol{\rho} e^{-2i\delta \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}} \rho^{-2i\nu} \phi_f^{\dagger} \left\{ 1 - i\frac{s}{\rho} \mathcal{P}_1 \left(\ln \frac{\rho}{s} \right) - \frac{s^2}{\rho^2} \left[i\mathcal{P}_2 \left(\ln \frac{\rho}{s} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_1^2 \left(\ln \frac{\rho}{s} \right) \right] + \dots \right\} \phi_i, \qquad (27)$$



Fig. 4. The dependence of the differential cross section $d\sigma_0/d\Omega$, Eq. (18), in units $\Sigma_0 = \frac{\nu^2}{4p^2s^4}$, as a function of $s = \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$ for $\varepsilon \gg m$ and $\nu = \eta = 0.6$. Solid curve: the exact result, obtained using Eqs. (16) and (17); dashed curve: the result obtained using Eqs. (22) and (17); dotted curve: the result obtained using *F* in the next-to-leading quasiclassical approximation (given by $F_a(\theta)$, Eq. (21), with t_2 omitted).

where $\delta = \Delta_{\perp} / \Delta_{\perp}$ is the unit vector. Taking the integral over ρ we find that the amplitude M_{fi} in the eikonal approach reads

$$M_{fi} = \frac{\Gamma(1-i\nu)}{\Gamma(1+i\nu)} s^{2i\nu} \frac{\nu}{2ps^2} \phi_f^{\dagger} [1+sQ_1(\ln s) + s^2Q_2(\ln s) + \ldots] \phi_i, \qquad (28)$$

where Q_1 and Q_2 are some polynomials with the coefficients being the functions of ν and η . The common phase factor $s^{2i\nu}$ factor disappears in $|M_{fi}|^2$. Therefore, Eq. (28) cannot reproduce the oscillatory factor $\text{Im}[s^{2i\nu}C^*(\eta,\nu)]$ in Eq. (24). This is not surprising because the applicability condition of the eikonal approximation is violated at small distances.

We are now in position to discuss the nontrivial interplay between the small-angle approximation and the small- ν approximation. Keeping only the leading in ν terms in the coefficients of the expansion in *s*, we have

$$\frac{d\sigma_0}{d\Omega} = \frac{\nu^2}{4p^2 s^4} (1 + s\pi \eta\beta - s^2\beta^2)$$
(29)

$$S(\theta) = \frac{2\eta ms^2}{\varepsilon} \left[\pi \eta (2\ln 2 - 1) + \beta s \ln s \right]$$
(30)

The cross section (29) agrees with the small-angle expansion of the corresponding result in Refs. [18,19]. The function *S*, Eq. (30), agrees with the small-angle expansion of the Sherman function in Ref. [20]. The term proportional to $s \ln s$ in (30) corresponds to the celebrated Mott result [17].

We see that the relative magnitude of the first and the second corrections with respect to *s* to the differential cross section is proportional to the ratio ν/θ of two small parameters, and this ratio can be smaller or larger than unity. The same phenomenon takes place also in the Sherman function: the ratio of the leading quasiclassical term and the correction is proportional to $\nu/(\theta \ln \theta)$.

Our result was obtained in the small-angle approximation, $\theta \ll 1$. However, it appears that our expression (22) for the function *F* agrees with the exact formula (16) surprisingly well for all θ . Therefore, using Eqs. (22) and (17) in Eq. (18) one obtains a remarkable approximation for both the cross section and the Sherman function in all region of angles and nuclear charge numbers. This statement is illustrated in Fig. 4 where we plot the ultrarelativistic cross section for Z = 82 (lead) as a function of $s = \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$. Note that the agreement at large angles disappears if one neglects in Eq. (22) the terms of order s^2 (dotted curve).

4. Conclusion

In the present paper we have examined the accuracy of the quasiclassical approach when applied to the calculation of the small-angle electron elastic scattering cross section, including the polarization effects. Using the quasiclassical wave function, we have derived the differential cross section with the account of the first correction in θ , Eq. (6), and the Sherman function in the leading order in θ , Eq. (7). The results (6) and (7) are valid for ultrarelativistic scattering in the localized central potential of arbitrary strength. In particular, we have investigated the nuclear size effect and found that both the Sherman function and the charge asymmetry (arising from the correction to the cross section) may change their signs in the region where the momentum transfer Δ is of the order of inverse nuclear radius R^{-1} . Using the small-angle expansion of the exact amplitude of electron elastic scattering in the Coulomb field, we have derived the cross section, Eq. (24), and the Sherman function, Eq. (25), with a relative accuracy θ^2 and θ^1 , respectively. The coefficients of the derived expansions in θ are the exact functions of the parameters $\eta = Z\alpha$ and $\nu = Z\alpha/\beta$. In particular, Eqs. (24) and (25) are valid even for $\beta \ll 1$. We have shown that the correction of relative order θ^2 to the cross section, as well as that of relative order θ to the Sherman function, originate not only from the contribution of large angular momenta $l \gg 1$, but also from that of $l \sim 1$. Thus, we are driven to the conclusion that, in general, it is not possible to go beyond the accuracy of the next-to-leading quasiclassical approximation without taking into account the non-quasiclassical terms.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by Russian Science Foundation (Project No. 14-50-00080). Partial support of RFBR through Grant No. 14-02-00016 is also acknowledged.

References

- [1] H.A. Bethe, L.C. Maximon, Phys. Rev. 93 (1954) 768.
- [2] H. Davies, H.A. Bethe, L.C. Maximon, Phys. Rev. 93 (1954) 788.
- [3] H. Olsen, L.C. Maximon, H. Wergeland, Phys. Rev. 106 (1957) 27.
- [4] H. Olsen, L.C. Maximon, Phys. Rev. 114 (1959) 887.
- [5] A. Milstein, M. Schumacher, Phys. Rep. 243 (1994) 183.
- [6] R. Lee, A. Maslennikov, A. Milstein, V. Strakhovenko, Y. Tikhonov, Phys. Rep. 373 (2003) 213.
- [7] P. Krachkov, R. Lee, A. Milstein, Phys. Rev. A 90 (2014) 062112.
- [8] P. Krachkov, R. Lee, A. Milstein, Phys. Rev. A 91 (2015) 062109.
- [9] R.N. Lee, A.I. Milstein, V.M. Strakhovenko, JETP 90 (2000) 66.
- [10] R.N. Lee, A.I. Milstein, V.M. Strakhovenko, Phys. Rev. A 69 (2004) 022708.
- [11] R.N. Lee, A.I. Milstein, V.M. Strakhovenko, O.Y. Schwarz, Zh. Exp. Teor. Fiz. 127 (2005) 5, JETP 100 (2005) 1.
- [12] R.N. Lee, A.I. Milstein, Zh. Exp. Teor. Fiz. 135 (2009) 1125, JETP 108 (2009) 977.
- [13] R. Lee, A. Milstein, V. Strakhovenko, Phys. Rev. A 85 (2012) 042104.
- [14] P. Krachkov, A. Milstein, Phys. Rev. A 91 (2015) 032106.
- [15] H. Überall, Electron Scattering From Complex Nuclei V36A, Academic Press, 2012.
- [16] A.I. Akhiezer, V.F. Boldyshev, N.F. Shul'ga, Theor. Math. Phys. 23 (1975) 311.
- [17] N.F. Mott, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A, Math. Phys. Sci. 124 (1929) 425.
- [18] W.A. McKinley, H. Feshbach, Phys. Rev. 74 (1948) 1759.
- [19] R.H. Dalitz, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A, Math. Phys. Sci. 206 (1951) 509.
- [20] W.R. Johnson, T.A. Weber, C.J. Mullin, Phys. Rev. 121 (1961) 933.
- [21] R.L. Gluckstern, S.-R. Lin, J. Math. Phys. 5 (1964) 1594.
- [22] E. Downie, R. Lee, A. Milstein, G. Ron, Phys. Lett. B 728 (2014) 645.
- [23] V.B. Berestetskii, E.M. Lifshitz, L.P. Pitaevskii, Quantum Electrodynamics, vol. 4, Pergamon, Oxford, 1982.
- [24] M. Beneke, V.A. Smirnov, Nucl. Phys. B 522 (1998) 321.
- [25] S.J. Wallace, Phys. Rev. D, Part. Fields 8 (1973) 1846.
- [26] J. Tjon, S. Wallace, Phys. Rev. C, Nucl. Phys. 74 (2006) 064602.