Evaluation of Different Combinations of Gated Trapping, RF-Only Mode and Trap Compensation for In-Field MALDI Fourier Transform Mass Spectrometry

Jonathon K. Gooden, Don L. Rempel, and Michael L. Gross
Department of Chemistry, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, USA

MALDI, while providing advantages such as the ability to do in-depth and repeated exploration of the sample, challenges the existing performance capabilities of Fourier transform mass spectrometry (FTMS). The challenge arises because MALDI-produced ions have high mass-to-charge ratios and uncertain kinetic-energy distributions. We demonstrate that a combination of a gated trapping event, a RF-only mode pressure focusing event, and an electrically compensated trap provides a compelling advantage in meeting these challenges. Removal of any of the above combination elements significantly degrades the detection performance of substance P from 850 K resolving power at 34.9 kHz and of melittin from 278 K resolving power at 16.5 kHz when using a 3-Tesla magnet-based spectrometer. (J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 2004, 15, 1109 –1115) © 2004 American Society for Mass Spectrometry

Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI), when interfaced to Fourier transform mass spectrometry (FTMS) in principle has advantages with respect to electrospray ionization (ESI). First, MALDI places a lower vacuum load than does ESI on the instrument, permitting a simplified high vacuum system. Second, the low charge-state ions that are produced by MALDI allow more ions in the trap for a given charge capacity and give better utilization of the limited dynamic range of FTMS. Third, when the sample probe is located at the trap, a simple instrument results, negating the use of an external ion source, transmission ion optics, and associated pumping. The combination of random access and retrieval, a general characteristic of MALDI, and the versatility of FTMS (e.g., accurate mass, ion manipulation and activation) facilitate in-depth and repeated exploration of unknown samples.

The shortcomings of FTMS, however, are more apparent with MALDI-produced than ESI-produced ions. The principal problem is that the former ions have higher m/z, lower frequency than do corresponding ESI ions. The former ions often have a lower charge state, causing poor performance because magnetic forces decrease as m/z increases. Use of higher B fields reduces the problem while shifting it to higher m/z. Furthermore, distributions of initially formed MALDI ions are variable and broad with high velocities (up to ~ 660 m/s) [1], resulting in inefficient “catching” and unreliable and often poor detection.

An effective and logical plan to improve MALDI/FTMS could include three general approaches. The first is to modify the MALDI desorption to attenuate the translational velocities and internal energies of the desorbed ions. Examples of these efforts include the use of a comatrix [2] or a “waiting room,” [3] which in the limit becomes high-pressure MALDI [4]. An alternative is the use of an external source [5], which permits the use of quadrupole and higher order traps or ion guides to focus and thermalize the MALDI-produced ions before introducing them into the FTMS trap. The second approach is to introduce efficiently the MALDI ions, whether produced externally or in-field, into the trap. The third is to improve the trap for detection of the high-m/z ions that are often encountered in the MALDI experiment. We suggest that correcting the trapping electric fields is essential for this approach. In this article, we describe improvements found when adaptations from the second and third areas are incorporated into an in-field MALDI experiment. Before describing our results, we briefly review the precedents for the three chosen strategies that we use in combination.

Gated trapping is a common method for catching in-field MALDI-generated ions. Two efforts to improve performance through changes in gated trapping include shaping the trap electric field [6] and exploiting cylindrical traps for improved mass range [7]. A third
approach involves trapping ions with the wide velocity range by using parabolic retarding potentials [8], large retarding potentials [9], a theoretical “two-time constant and a delay” gated trapping strategy [10], and smooth deceleration at the entrance of an open cylindrical trap [11].

Early examples of correcting electric fields (compensation) in Penning traps were by Van Dyck et al. [12, 13] who used traps of hyperbolic geometry in physics experiments. Gabrielse later compensated a cylindrical Penning trap, and analyzed the cylindrical [14] and hyperbolic geometries [15] based on polynomial expansions of the potential at the trap origin. Subsequently Inoue et al. [16, 17] compensated a cylindrical trap for application in FTMS by first considering concentrically divided trapping electrodes and then building a trap with three pairs of ring electrodes arranged along the trap axis with the goal of reducing the radial electric field. Later, Marshall and co-workers [18] constructed a matrix-shimmed trap in which all electrodes of the trap were each segmented into 25 squares to effect control of the electric field shapes to obtain a more globally quadrupolar trapping potential.

Correcting the electric field for trapping must be accompanied by a method to reduce and center the ion cloud, which is especially diffuse when ions are made in-field. One means for this is quadrupolar excitation, first introduced to mass spectrometry by Schweikhard et al. [19, 20], and further developed by Marshall and co-workers [21, 22]. Quadrupolar excitation effects the ion-cloud size reduction by converting magnetron into cyclotron motion, which is then collisionally damped. Another method to reduce ion-cloud size is to add the features of the Paul trap to the Penning trap. The former trap, because it operates at 4–5 orders of magnitude higher pressure than the latter, has the ability to pressure focus. Paul and Penning traps were first combined by Fisher [23] and later discussed by Li and coworkers [24, 25, 26]. Gorshkov et al. [27] implemented a low-voltage, low-frequency version of the combined trap for simultaneous trapping and detection of positive and negative ions in FTMS.

We extracted from these strategies three independent approaches for improving instrument performance: gated trapping with a shaped retarding field, electrical compensation with two-segment trapping electrodes [28, 29, 30, 31, 32], and an RF-only-mode event [33, 34, 35]. The utility of combining the RF-only-mode event and compensation was first demonstrated by using an 1.2-T FT instrument: ions from a test system (benzene) were distributed in the trap by excitation of the magnetron mode to a diameter that is half the size of the cubic trap to emulate the outer reaches of an ion distribution that might be produced by MALDI. Without compensation or RF-only-mode, no signal was observed. We found a low resolving power signal with just the RF-only mode operating, a weak, but highly resolved signal with compensation, and finally, an intense high resolving-power signal with both the RF-only-mode event and compensation turned on [36]. We reproduced the trend with C60 evaporated from a heated solids probe [37]. In a larger 4.76-cm trap in a higher 3.0 T magnetic field, the resolving power not only improved from 25,000 to 1,000,000 via compensation of laser-desorbed C60, but could be sustained from shot to shot with a precision of ± 19% [38].

Subsequently, we showed that the [M + H]+ of substance P (m/z 1347.7, f ~ 36 kHz), which was introduced by MALDI, could be detected with a resolving power of 310,000 in a compensated trap with an ion cloud sized by quadrupolar excitation whereas we found only lower resolving power signals in the absence of compensation, with or without quadrupolar excitation [39]. We then demonstrated that the RF-only-mode event is a suitable replacement for quadrupolar excitation [40].

**Experimental**

The peptides substance P and melittin were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO) and used without further purification. Samples were dissolved in 1:1 MeOH/H2O at concentrations of approximately 20 pmol/μL. The matrix, 4-OH-α-cyanocinnamic acid (CHCA) (Sigma), was prepared as a saturated solution in 1:1 AcN/MeOH. Although not the first choice for FTMS experiments, CHCA was chosen as a representative of a worst case scenario and, if CHCA should work, other matrices should as well.

Ions were generated by MALDI using the third harmonic (355 nm) from a Nd:YAG laser (Quanta-Ray DCR-2(10)) aimed along the trap z-axis and located opposite the probe positioned 4 mm from the trap. Ion production was adjusted by use of a circular variable attenuator (Newport optics model 50%GOOAV.1). The laser power was reduced to a point just above the detection threshold for the signal of the ions of interest. A MIDAS data system with a Hewlett Packard (Everett, Washington) A-to-D converter (model HPE1437A) and a National Instruments (Austin, Texas) arbitrary waveform generator (model NI5411) was used to control the experiment.

To achieve asymptotic stability over a wide mass range (100 to 75,000 m/z) [39] during the RF-only-mode event, a 4.8-kV base-to-peak 0.885-MHz sine wave would be required. A waveform approximating this (3.3-kV base-to-peak 0.9045-MHz sine wave) was generated with a coil that resonates with the network of stray capacities of the trap and its leads. The RF-only-mode event was typically operated while He gas was introduced (4 x 10^-7 torr for substance P and 9 x 10^-5 torr for melittin experiments), and the RF was continued (stretch time) while the system was re-evacuated. Experiments used a 40-s RF/He time and a 20-s stretch time for substance P and a 1-s RF/He time and a 2-s stretch time for melittin.

To effect the RF-only mode in the FT trap, the tuned radio coil and MOSFET switch array, at the base of the
coil, were used to intercept the analog drives normally connected to the
trapping plates of the existing configuration of a 3-Tesla Finnigan T30 FTMS
mass spectrometer [41]. The switch array permitted the rapid switch-
ing of the trapping voltages supplied through the coil to the trapping plates.
The two 47.6-mm cubic trapping plates were previously segmented into an
electrically independent, 23.8-mm center disk and an outer segment (see
Figure 1), permitting electrical compensation of the trapping electric field
during detection. The trap segment voltages were 0.85 V for substance P
and 0.89 V for melittin applied to the outer segments and 0.5 V applied
to the disks during excitation and detection. The compensated trapping
voltages were optimized for each protein. The values were experimentally
different from one another, and we conclude from this that there is a mass
dependence.

Different voltages supplied to the segments during the gated-trapping
events shaped the retarding electric field used to catch the ions. For experi-
ments that involved substance P, the voltages used during the gated-
trapping event were $-30.0/-10.0$ V, for the entrance trapping plate outer
segment/disk, and $0.0/0.0$ V for the exit trapping plate outer segment/disk.
The potential surface created in the trap for this example is shown in
Figure 2. Unlike the surface used by Wilkins and co-workers [6], which was
the first shaped retarding field used in FTMS, the surface in Figure 2 requires
the higher energy ions that penetrate the furthest into the trap do work in the
radial direction as they are decelerated in the z direction whereas this is not
the case for the retarding field implemented by Wilkins. The voltages used
were held for approximately 60 $\mu$s after the laser shot. No effort was made
to optimize carefully the voltages or the time for the gated trapping
event in the experiment sequence for substance P. For experiments that
involved melittin, the voltages used during the gated-trapping event were $-18.0/-6.0$ V,
for the entrance trapping plate outer segment/disk, and 0.0/0.0 V for the exit trapping plate outer segment/disk
(the resulting surface has the same overall shape as that shown in Figure 2 but its depth is more shallow). These
voltages were also held for approximately 60 $\mu$s after the laser shot. The gated trapping voltages $-18.0/-6.0$
gave better performance when compared to $-30.0/-10.0$ for melittin, but these voltages were not carefully
optimized. The electrically isolated probe tip was electrically connected the adjacent trapping plate
disk.

In order to avoid limiting the measured resolving power by an optimal (3 times the transient decay time
constant) detection time, an excessively long detection time was used to show better peak shape detail at the
expense of a better signal-to-noise ratio. The data acquisition time was 104.9 seconds for all experiments
during which 4-M sample values were acquired with a 10-kHz band width and center frequencies of
34.8-kHz for substance P and 16.2-kHz for melittin. No apodization
was used in these experiments. All spectra show the
same frequency width of 6.08 Hz. One minor tick
interval is approximately 0.16 Hz. Resolving powers
were computed graphically based on the full peak
width at half maximum (FWHM).

Results and Discussion

The earlier results for C$_{60}$ at 1.2 T and substance P with
quadrupolar excitation at 3.0 T [37, 39] anticipate the
results reported here. After incorporating the RF-only
mode into the 3-T system and replacing quadrupolar
excitation, we obtained the spectra shown in Figure 3 of
the \([M + H]^+\) ion of substance P \((m/z = 1348.6)\) generated by in-field MALDI under various conditions. \(\text{Figure 3a}\) shows a peak shape obtained with a sequence that includes a shaped retarding field, a low-mass-eject event, an RF-only-mode event, and compensation; this panel serves as a reference point for comparison with the other peak shapes in the figure. The shaped retarding field employed here is different than that used by Wilkins and co-workers [6] and is discussed more fully in the experimental section.

The full combination provides a compelling advantage. Compensating the electric fields in the vicinity of the detection cyclotron orbits [frequency focusing (42, 43)] vastly improves peak shape: compare \(\text{Figure 3b}\), where the peak from an uncompensated trap was too wide to fit into the window (~20 K RP), to \(\text{Figure 3a}\), where the peak from a compensated trap produced a resolving power (RP) of 860 K. The use of the RF-only-mode would be expected to pressure focus the cloud and consequently reduce the range of field inhomogeneities sampled by the detected cyclotroning ions. The reduction in the sampled field inhomogeneities, apparently, is not sufficient to provide a substantial improvement in resolving power for the uncompensated trap (compare \(\text{Figures 3a and 3b}\)). One explanation is found in frequency vs. initial-mode-amplitude plots, which show that in an uncompensated trap, broad peaks are produced because frequency varies considerably for even a moderate range of z-mode amplitudes. In the compensated trap, however, frequencies are at a saddle point, and sharp peaks are produced because frequency is nearly constant for a moderate range of z-mode amplitudes. The peak shape in \(\text{Figure 3a}\) is non Lorentzian possibly because the compensation has revealed higher order field imperfections.

Using the RF-only mode to reduce the ion-cloud size also improves peak shape and sensitivity: compare \(\text{Figure 3c}\), where the RF-only mode had been removed, causing the RP to decrease to 170 K, to \(\text{Figure 3a}\). Pressure focusing of the ion cloud with the RF-only-mode event [36] allows us to fit mode-amplitude distributions into the constant frequency range of the compensated trap.

For comparison, Easterling et al. [44] obtained gramicidin a resolving power of 1.5 M for gramicidin S ions \((m/z = 1163.7)\) desorbed in field of a 4.7-T instrument with a Nd:YAG laser (355 nm) and axialized with quadrupolar excitation. Allowing for the higher magnetic induction and the slightly lower mass, we translate the 860-K resolving power shown in \(\text{Figure 3a}\) (as \(B^2/\left(m/z\right)\)) to 2.4 M for the Easterling experiment.

We believe that coulombic forces from the unexcited matrix ions that remain at the origin of the trap degrade performance: compare \(\text{Figure 3d}\), where a low mass ejection chirp \((m/z\) up to 500) was turned off, causing a reduction in RP and signal-to-noise ratio, to \(\text{Figure 3a}\). Further proof for the attribution to unexcited matrix ions, or even to fragment ions, must be the subject of future work. Gated trapping with a shaped retarding field improves ion catching efficiency over a more conventional retarding field, which produced a 450-K RP peak (compare \(\text{Figure 3e to 3a}\)). When we did not
use the RF-only mode and compensation, we found no signals.

Do these advantages apply for higher m/z ions? At roughly double the mass, the combination of gated trapping, low-mass eject, RF-only mode, and trap compensation allows the in-field MALDI-generated [M + H]+ ion of melittin (m/z 2845.7) to be detected (Figure 4a). A comparison of Figure 4a with 4b shows that ions in an uncompensated trap produce no detectable signal. If we replace the RF-only mode with a 0.1-s pressure pulse, we see (compare Figure 4a with 4c) a signal that is reduced in amplitude (by 3 times) and resolving power (280 K to 230 K). When we turned off the He pulse used to obtain Figure 4c and made no other modification to the sequence, we detected no signal. We again found that the omission of a low-mass eject (up to m/z 2353) gave no detectable signal, suggesting that coulombic forces from the unexcited matrix ions that remain at the origin of the trap degrade performance: compare Figure 4d and 4e with 4a. In an effort to reduce the overall time of the experiment, we carefully optimized the time for the RF-only-mode-event and found that we could achieve the same result with a much shorter time. The RF-only mode event time was longer in the experiments with substance P. We suggest that the longer RF-only mode event produces a tighter ion cloud, as evidenced by a shift to lower frequency and lower resolving power (data not shown).

In conclusion, we have evidence that the problems of detecting MALDI-produced ions (low frequency, relatively high m/z) in FTMS can be overcome by a judicious combination of ion injection, ion-cloud size reduction, and trap compensation. We suggest that this demonstration for in-field MALDI has broader implications for the detection by FTMS of high m/z ions formed by any means.
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