provided by Elsevier - Publisher Connector

**DEVELOPMENTA RIOLOC** 

Developmental Biology 322 (2008) 74–85

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/00121606)



Developmental Biology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/developmentalbiology

# The lmx1b gene is pivotal in glomus development in Xenopus laevis

Caroline E. Haldin <sup>1,2</sup>, Karine L. Massé <sup>1</sup>, Surinder Bhamra, Subreena Simrick <sup>3</sup>, Jun-ichi Kyuno, Elizabeth A. Jones<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Biological Sciences, Warwick University, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

#### article info abstract

Article history: Received for publication 7 August 2007 Revised 3 July 2008 Accepted 7 July 2008 Available online 21 July 2008

Keywords: Over-expression Morpholino Pronephros Kidney Glomus Xenopus lmx1b

We have previously shown that  $lmx1b$ , a LIM homeodomain protein, is expressed in the pronephric glomus. We now show temporal and spatial expression patterns of  $lmx1b$  and its potential binding partners in both dissected pronephric anlagen and in individual dissected components of stage 42 pronephroi. Morpholino oligonucleotide knock-down of  $lmx1b$  establishes a role for  $lmx1b$  in the development of the pronephric components. Depletion of lmx1b results in the formation of a glomus with reduced size. Pronephric tubules were also shown to be reduced in structure and/or coiling whereas more distal tubule structure was unaffected. Over-expression of *lmx1b* mRNA resulted in no significant phenotype. Given that lmx1b protein is known to function as a heterodimer, we have over-expressed *lmx1b* mRNA alone or in combination with potential interacting molecules and analysed the effects on kidney structures. Phenotypes observed by overexpression of  $lim1$  and  $ldb1$  are partially rescued by co-injection with  $lim1b$  mRNA. Animal cap experiments confirm that co-injection of lmx1b with potential binding partners can up-regulate pronephric molecular markers suggesting that  $lmx1b$  lies upstream of wt1 in the gene network controlling glomus differentiation. This places  $lmx1b$  in a genetic hierarchy involved in pronephros development and suggests that it is the balance in levels of binding partners together with restricted expression domains of lmx1b and lim1 which influences differentiation into glomus or tubule derivatives in vivo.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

#### Introduction

The amphibian pronephros is derived from the intermediate mesoderm and consists of a single non-integrated nephron. The vascularised filtration unit, the glomus, forms from blood vessels branching from the dorsal aorta, and is not directly connected to the tubule but forms on one of the sides of the body cavity known as the nephrocoel, while the tubule anlage forms on the other ([Drummond and Majumdar, 2003\)](#page-10-0). The proximal tubules and glomus are specified by stage 12.5, and the more distal tubule components are specified between stages 13 and 14 [\(Brennan et al.,](#page-10-0) [1998, 1999](#page-10-0)). At stage 21, the pronephric anlage is indicated by a thickening of the lateral mesoderm, the differentiation of the kidney compartments starts at stage 28. At stage 31, the first nephrostome begins to function and the entire pronephros is fully functional by stage 37/38 with the Wolffian duct developed (Nieuwkoop and Faber, 1956). Since the pronephros is such a simple organ, it is an ideal model for the study of the later kidney forms, as many of the genes and pathways known to play a role in later kidney development are also expressed in the pronephros, where they are presumed to have an equally important role ([Vize et](#page-11-0) [al., 1997](#page-11-0)). Although there is increasing knowledge of the molecular control of pronephrogenesis, much of the molecular basis of induction and patterning of the pronephric glomus is still poorly understood [\(Saxén, 1987; Brändli, 1999; Drummond and Majum](#page-11-0)[dar, 2003; Jones, 2003; Vize, 2003a\)](#page-11-0).

LIM domain proteins have been shown to have roles in the development of the kidney (reviewed in [Jones, 2003\)](#page-11-0). lim1 is expressed in the organiser and the notochord during gastrulation and then in the intermediate mesoderm of the presumptive pronephros at tailbud stages [\(Taira et al., 1992, 1994](#page-11-0)) and is often used as a molecular marker of pronephric tubules. Co-injection of lim1 with pax8 (a DNA-binding transcription factor) mRNA produces abnormally large pronephroi and ectopic tubules indicating a major role in kidney differentiation ([Carroll and Vize, 1999\)](#page-10-0). Recently it has been suggested that *lim1* does not initiate differentiation of the pronephros but that it is necessary for growth and elongation in the development of the pronephric tubules [\(Chan et al., 2000](#page-10-0)). The mouse homolog lim1 also appears to play an important role in kidney development as a lim1 knock-out results in embryos that lack pronephros, mesonephros and metanephros ([Shawlot and Behringer, 1995\)](#page-11-0).

Abbreviations: lmx1b, Xenopus LIM homeobox 1b; NPS, Nail Patella Syndrome; MO1 and MO2, lmx1b morpholinos; MO, Morpholino.

<sup>⁎</sup> Corresponding author. Fax: +44 2476 523701.

E-mail address: [elizabeth.jones@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:elizabeth.jones@warwick.ac.uk) (E.A. Jones).

 $1$  These authors contributed equally.

<sup>2</sup> Current affiliation: Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology and Cell Biology, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Current affiliation: Department of Craniofacial Development, King's College London, Floor 27, Guy's Tower, London, SE1 9RT, UK.

In the last few years, we have identified another member of the LIM homeodomain family in Xenopus laevis lmx1b<sup>4</sup>, which is highly expressed in the pronephric glomus in a temporal expression pattern consistent with a major role in glomus development ([Haldin et al.,](#page-11-0) [2003](#page-11-0)). Lmx1b is also expressed in the kidney of other vertebrates. In chick embryos, c-lmx-1 transcripts have been detected in the mesonephros ([Riddle et al., 1995\)](#page-11-0) and a recent study demonstrates the exclusive expression of Lmx1b in the mouse glomerulus ([Suleiman](#page-11-0) [et al., 2007\)](#page-11-0).

The LMX1b gene maps to the Nail Patella Syndrome (NPS) locus in humans [\(Dreyer et al., 1998\)](#page-10-0). NPS is an autosomal dominant condition, characterised by skeletal abnormalities, nail defects and nephropathy [\(Knoers et al., 2000; Sweeney et al., 2003](#page-11-0)). The main renal pathology is a defect in the glomerular basement membrane, associated with abnormal podocytes, leading, in extreme cases, to renal failure and death. Pathogenetic mutations of LMX1b have been identified most of which lie in the codons encoding the LIM and homeodomains domains but some have also been described in the COOH-terminal third of the protein ([Dreyer et al., 1998; McIntosh et al., 1998; Clough](#page-10-0) [et al., 1999; Vollrath et al., 1998; Dunston et al., 2004; Millá et al.,](#page-10-0) [2007\)](#page-10-0). Conventional mutants of the mouse Lmx1b gene, which is 99% identical to human LMX1B, show skeletal and renal phenotypes very similar to those seen in NPS patients [\(Chen et al., 1998; Miner et al.,](#page-10-0) [2002; Rohr et al., 2002](#page-10-0)). Mutant kidneys are characterised by distended convoluted tubules with accumulation of glycoproteins, prominent thickening of the glomerular basement membrane with occasional regions of membrane discontinuity and podocyte malformation. Unfortunately, homozygous mutant animals die 24 h after birth, preventing any further analysis during the development of the metanephric components. The creation of another Lmx1b transgenic model, a constitutive podocyte-specific knock-out mouse, demonstrates the role of Lmx1b in the initial differentiation and also in the maintenance of podocytes [\(Suleiman et al., 2007\)](#page-11-0).

Several proteins have been identified as binding partners for LIM homeodomain proteins, mediating the transcriptional activities of LIM domain proteins. Ldb1 (or Clim2) can bind to LIM domains and synergize with lim1 in vivo [\(Agulnick et al., 1996\)](#page-10-0). Ldb1 has also been shown to interact with Lmx1b ([Marini et al., 2003\)](#page-11-0) and to regulate its transcriptional activity ([Dreyer et al., 2000](#page-10-0)). Moreover, Lmx1b has been shown to cooperate with the basic helix–loop–helix protein E47/ shPan in activating promoter activity [\(German et al., 1992; Dreyer et](#page-11-0) [al., 2000\)](#page-11-0), an activation that can be down-regulated by Ldb1 ([Dreyer et](#page-10-0) [al., 2000\)](#page-10-0).

We report here the functional roles of  $lmx1b$  and its potential binding partners in pronephrogenesis, together with a detailed study of their distribution in dissected pronephric anlagen in the model vertebrate Xenopus laevis. Knock-down of lmx1b by morpholino (MO) technology, using two independent morpholinos, prevented full development of the glomus but also affected formation of the pronephric tubules. We demonstrate that both of these phenotypes can be rescued by over-expression of lmx1b-mut mRNA which fails to bind to both MO sequences, but still encodes wild type protein. Overexpression of lmx1b alone resulted in no significant pronephric phenotype. However, over-expression of lmx1b with its potential binding partners *ldb1* and *lim1* had significant effects on kidney structures. Over-expression of lim1 induced enlargement of both glomus and tubules whereas over-expression of ldb1, in contrast, caused a reduction in size of all pronephric components. Co-injection of lmx1b with either lim1 or ldb1 partially rescues these phenotypes. Cap experiments with co-injected mRNAs provide direct evidence for the role of LIM domain proteins in the up-regulation of pronephric genes, such as pax2, pax8 and wt1 but also differentiated podocyte markers such as podocin and podocalyxin. This is the first study to document the role of these important molecules in vertebrate pronephric development and we propose a model for the roles of the interactions between both lmx1b and ldb1, and lim1 and ldb1 during glomus and tubule development respectively in Xenopus.

#### Materials and methods

#### Production, dissection and microinjection of Xenopus laevis embryos

Embryos were produced by in vitro fertilisation by standard procedures, dejellied in 2% cysteine–HCL pH8.0, and washed several times with 1/10 BarthX (BarthX is 0.1 M NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 10 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM Hepes, 0.1 mM EDTA). Embryos were then cultured to the required stage in 1/10 Barth X and 10 mg/ml gentamycin. Staging was according to [Nieuwkoop and Faber \(1994\).](#page-11-0)

The intermediate mesoderm, pronephric anlagen and developing pronephros were dissected with an eyebrow hair knife as described in [Brennan et al. \(1998\)](#page-10-0). The pronephric proximal/intermediate tubules formed the major part of the dissected tissues from embryos at stages 35/36 and 37/38, due to the difficulty of dissecting the other more distal pronephric tubule components.

The different components of the pronephros, glomus, proximal and intermediate tubules, distal and connecting tubules (see [Reggiani](#page-11-0) [et al., 2007\)](#page-11-0) were removed from one side of 40 MS222 (ethyl-naminobenzoate methane sulfonate acid; tricaine methanesulfonate) anaesthetised embryos at stage 42. The epidermis was removed with an eyebrow hair knife and the whole pronephros teased out. The glomus was separated and the distal and connecting tubules dissected away from the proximal tubule mass. The intermediate tubules, which cannot be separated easily by eye, were included in the proximal tubule sample.

Animal caps were dissected in BarthX from stage 8/9 injected embryos using forceps and an eyebrow hair knife and cultured in BarthX until stage 26. Animal caps and control embryos were then harvested and RT-PCR performed.

Microinjections were performed at the one cell stage, one cell of 2 cell embryos or into the V2 blastomere of 8-cell stage embryos. The V2 injections effectively target mRNAs to the somitic and pronephric lineages [\(Huang et al., 1998; Moody and Kline, 1990](#page-11-0)). β-galactosidase (LacZ) or GFP (2 ng) was co-injected as lineage tracer. Red-Gal or X-Gal staining to identify the injected side was carried out as described in [Kyuno et al., 2003](#page-11-0).

# Morpholinos

lmx1b morpholino 1 (MO1) (5′-tgcaatatccatgccactctccaaa-3′) and morpholino 2 (MO2) (5'-gccactctccaaaactcacttcagt-3') were designed and supplied by GeneTools, LLC. MO's (5 ng/nl) were injected (5 to 20 ng) alone or in combination with mRNA (2.5 ng). The random sequence control morpholino (cMO) (5′-cctcttacctcagttacaatttata-3′) designed by GeneTools was used. anxa4a MO1 [\(Seville et al., 2002\)](#page-11-0) was also used to control the specificity of the lmx1b MO.

#### Expression clones, mRNA synthesis and microinjection

Capped mRNAs were transcribed in vitro, from template DNA previously linearised with the appropriate restriction enzymes with mMessage Machine™ kits from Ambion (SP6 or T3 RNA polymerase). mRNA synthesis was as follows; lmx1b:pRN3, SfiI and T3 RNA polymerase; lmx1b-mut:pRN3, SfiI and T3 RNA polymerase; lmx1b: pCS3 +MT, EcoRI and RNA SP6 polymerase; BJ069617 and BJ038889, XhoI and T3 RNA polymerase; ldb-1:pSP64RI, SalI and SP6 RNA polymerase; lim1:pSP64T, SalI and SP6 RNA polymerase; E47p: pGEM-T Easy, SstII and SP6 RNA polymerase; wt1:pSP64TS, SstI and Sp6 RNA polymerase; anxa4a:pRN3, SfiI and T3 RNA polymerase

<sup>(</sup>[Seville et al., 2002](#page-11-0)). <sup>4</sup> GenBank Accession no. AF414086.

# <span id="page-2-0"></span>In vitro and in vivo translation of construct mRNA

mRNA (0.5 μg) was translated in vitro in the Rabbit Reticulocyte Lysate System (Promega) according to manufacturer's protocol in presence of 10 μCi of  $[355]$  Methionine alone or with MO (10 μg). Translation products were analysed by autoradiography (Kodak) after an overnight exposure of the 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel.

For in vivo analysis, 25 ng of mRNA was microinjected into oocytes. After 24 h, oocytes were homogenised into 50 μl of homogenisation buffer (150 mM NaCl, 0.1% NP-40, 50 mM Tris–HCl pH8, 1 mM PMSF) and proteins were extracted following centrifugation.

# Western blot

Protein samples were run on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel and electrophoretically transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham) using a Mini Trans-Blot Electophoretic Transfer cell (Biorad). C-myc tagged proteins were detected using an anti-myc monoclonal antibody 9E10 antibody (Sigma) and a rabbit anti-mouse HRPconjugated secondary antibody (Sigma) following standard protocol.

#### Rt-pcr

Total RNA from whole or dissected embryos was extracted, and cDNA synthesis and non radioactive RT-PCR were performed as described by [Barnett et al. \(1998\).](#page-10-0) Primers and amplification conditions used in this work are listed in Table 1. Each experiment





contained −RNA, −RT and −cDNA negative controls and a linearity series to show that the PCR was in the linear range. For each experiment, the quantity of input cDNA was determined by equalisation of the ODC (ornithine decarboxylase) or  $EFA \sigma$  signal.

#### Wholemount in situ hybridisation

Wholemount in situ hybridisation was carried out using a standard protocol ([Harland, 1991\)](#page-11-0). Microinjected embryos were fixed in MEMFA (0.1 M MOPS pH 7.4, 100 mM EGTA, 1 mM MgSO4 and 4% formaldehyde) and hybridised with the antisense wt1 or nephrin RNA probe. The wt1 probe was transcribed in vitro with T3 RNA polymerase after linearization of wt1:pGEM7 by SacI and the nephrin probe was transcribed with T7 RNA polymerase from nephrin:pCMV-Sport6 previously linearized with SmaI. Probes were synthesised and labelled using a DIG labelling kit (Roche) and the hybridisation visualised using sheep anti-DIG-alkaline phosphatase antibody (Roche) and 4-nitroblue tetrazolium chloride/5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolylphosphate substrate (NBT/BCIP; Roche). After fixation overnight in Bouin's fixative, non-specific staining was removed by several washes in 70% ethanol/PBS and embryos were bleached  $(1\% H_2O_2, 5\%$  formamide and  $0.5\times$  SSC) on a fluorescent light source.

#### Wholemount immunostaining

Wholemount double immunohistochemistry was performed on microinjected pigmented embryos fixed in MEMFA and dehydrated in methanol after being washed in water. Embryos were rehydrated in PBS, bleached and immunostained with monoclonal antibody 3G8, specific for pronephric proximal tubules, as described in [Vize et al.](#page-11-0) [\(1995\)](#page-11-0). The colour reaction was performed using NBT/BCIP (purple staining) (Roche). Samples were fixed in MEMFA for 1 h at 4 °C and the second round of immunohistochemistry was performed with 4A6, a monoclonal antibody specific for intermediate, distal and connecting tubules ([Vize et al., 1995\)](#page-11-0) using Fast Red TR/Naphthol AS-MX (red staining) (Sigma).

#### Wax embedding, sectioning and Haematoxylin/Eosin staining

Injected embryos were fixed in Bouin Picro Formol fixative (BDH Laboratory Supplies) for 2 h at room temperature. After intensive washing in 70% ethanol/PBS, the embryos were dehydrated gradually in absolute ethanol. Samples were embedded in wax and sectioned at 11 μm as described in [Haldin et al. \(2003\).](#page-11-0) Rehydrated sections were then stained in Haematoxylin Harris (Surgipath 01562E) for 2 min, washed successively in water, acid alcohol (70% ethanol, 0.5% HCL), water and Scott's solution (MgSO<sub>4</sub> 20 g, NaHCO<sub>3</sub> 2 g, dH<sub>2</sub>O 1l) before staining in 1% eosin (Sigma). Slides were finally washed under running water, dehydrated and after xylene washes, mounted using DePex mountant (BDH Laboratory Supplies).

#### Measurement of embryos and statistical analysis

Following wt1 or nephrin in situ hybridisation, images of each group of embryos were captured at the same magnification using a Nikon SMZ1500 microscope and digital DXM1200F camera. The area of staining in the glomus of both sides of the embryos was measured using the computer software LuciaG. The area of the injected side was compared to the area of the uninjected contra-lateral side. Means, variance and standard deviations were calculated and t-test analysis was carried out. Chi-squared tests were carried out for each experiment analysed for tubule morphology following 3G8 and 4A6 immunostaining. Each experiment was performed in duplicate on separate batches of embryos.

# Results

lmx1b and its potential binding partners display specific temporal expression patterns during pronephric development

We have analysed by semi-quantitative RT-PCR the temporal expression profiles in dissected kidney anlagen of lmx1b and its potential binding partners lim1, ldb1 and E47 in addition to other important genes expressed early in pronephrogenesis. This technique is more sensitive than in situ hybridisation and therefore can allow the detection of genes expressed at relatively low levels. We show that the two LIM domain genes lim1 and lmx1b are expressed from stage 13 in the presumptive pronephric tissues and remain expressed at significant levels at all the stages tested. ldb1 and E47 are expressed at all stages tested. By stage 15 both pax8 and pod1 are transcriptionally activated in advance of the podocyte marker nephrin and wt1. pax2 transcripts can be detected weakly from stage 13 consistent with low levels of pax2 which can be detected in animal caps at these early stages (Fig. 1A and data not shown).

In addition, the different pronephric components were manually dissected from stage 42 tadpoles and the distribution of lmx1b, lim1, ldb1 and E47 assessed (Fig. 1B). The quality of the dissections was assessed with kidney specific markers: nephrin [\(Gerth et al., 2005\)](#page-11-0) and podocin [\(Boute et al., 2000\)](#page-10-0) for glomus, pax8 [\(Heller and Brandli,](#page-11-0) [1999](#page-11-0)) and SMP30 ([Sato et al., 2000\)](#page-11-0) for proximal tubules, clck [\(Vize,](#page-11-0) [2003b\)](#page-11-0) and Na,K-ATPase subunit gamma for the tubule compartments [\(Eid and Brändli, 2001](#page-11-0)). Wt1 is expressed in the glomus but also to a lesser extent in the other pronephric dissected components, due to unavoidable contamination of the dissections with coelomic epithelium. lmx1b is also expressed more in the glomus than in the different tubule fractions; this expression in the tubules compartments might be due to glomerular impurities since nephrin, wt1 and podocin are also detected in these samples. lim1 on the other hand is more expressed in the tubule fractions and is almost absent from the glomus. ldb1 is expressed at constant levels in all pronephric components. E47 is expressed at higher levels in the tubule fractions than in the glomus. This data demonstrates temporal and regional expression of LIM domain proteins and their potential binding partners in the pronephros suggesting that they play a specific role in pronephric development.

#### lmx1b morpholino prevents normal glomus development

Two distinct lmx1b morpholinos (MO1 and MO2) were designed to disrupt translation of lmx1b protein (Supplementary Fig. 1A). MO1 hybridisation spans the postulated start codon of lmx1b mRNA [\(Haldin](#page-11-0) [et al., 2003](#page-11-0)) in addition to 13 bases in the 5′ UTR whereas MO2 is located in the 5′UTR sequences, upstream of the ATG. To confirm the specificity of the two MOs, lmx1b and/or lmx1b-c-myc mRNAs were translated in the presence or absence of the lmx1b MO in both an in vitro rabbit reticulocyte lysate system and in vivo in oocytes (Supplementary Fig. 1 panels B, C and D). Both available pseudoallelic genes were tested (Supplementary Fig. 2 panels A and B). These data confirm the specificity of both morpholinos for lmx1b knockdown experiments. Embryos injected at the 1 cell stage (data not shown) or into the V2 blastomere at the 8-cell stage with  $lmx1b$  MO1 or MO2 showed no toxic effects and were analysed by in situ hybridisation for the glomus specific wt1 expression domain at stage 35/36. [Fig. 2](#page-4-0)A, shows that depletion of lmx1b by MO1 (compare panel b to e) or MO2 (compare panel h to k) prevents development of a full size glomus on the injected side. The wt1 in situ domain in the cMOinjected embryos identified a large, oval-shaped domain of the glomus identical to the uninjected side (compare panels a to d and g to j,). Staining in an elongated area towards the posterior end of the embryo corresponding to hybridisation to the walls of the coelomic cavity can also be seen in some embryos dependant on how long the embryos



Fig. 1. lmx1b and its binding partners display different temporal and spatial pronephric expression. (A) Embryos at different stages were dissected to isolate the intermediate mesoderm from the presumptive pronephric region (stage 13), the pronephric anlagen (stages 15 and 20) and the pronephros (stages 25, 30, 35/36 and 37/38). RT-PCR analysis shows lmx1b, ldb1, lim1 and E47 are expressed from stage 13 in the presumptive pronephric tissue and their expression is maintained in the pronephros until stage 37/ 38. Pax2 is also expressed from this early stage at a low level, whereas pax8 and pod1 transcripts are detected from stage 15. Wt1 is only expressed from stage 20 in the pronephros. ODC was used as a loading control. (B) The different pronephric components were dissected from stage 42 embryos and the spatial expression of lmx1b and its binding partners was analysed by RT-PCR. Analysis shows that ldb1 is expressed in all three components of the kidney at a similar level whereas E47 is more expressed in the proximal and intermediate tubules and in the distal and connecting tubules. As expected, lmx1b transcripts are mostly detected in the glomus whereas lim1 is expressed in the tubules. The identity of each dissected components was verified using specific pronephric markers: glomus by nephrin and podocin; proximal and intermediate tubules by pax8 and SMP30; as expected clck is mostly expressed in the distal and connecting tubules and Na,K-ATPase subunit gamma is detected in tubule components whereas wt1 is more expressed in the glomus. EF1 $\alpha$  was used as a loading control. G: Glomus; PT: Proximal tubules; IT: Intermediate tubules; DT: distal tubules; CT: Connecting Tubules; WE: Whole Embryo.

were left in the colorimetric stage. Embryos injected with lmx1b MO1 and MO2 showed a highly reduced glomus. The oval shape of the glomus capsule was still evident in most embryos although reduced in

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Fig. 2. lmx1b MO1 and MO2 prevent full size glomus formation. (A) lmx1b MO1 (panels b, e) or MO2 (panels h and k) was injected into the V2 blastomere at the 8-cell stage. All injections were carried out using a lineage tracer, either GFP (panels a-f) or LacZ (panels g-l). The size of the glomus was assessed by wt1 in situ hybridisation at stage 35/36. Injection of cMO does not induce any phenotype on the injected side (compare panels a to d and g to j). lmx1b MO1 injected embryos (5 ng) showed a reduced glomus (compare panel b to e). A similar phenotype was observed following the injection of lmx1b MO2 (10 ng) (compare panels h to k). Injection of lmx1b-mut mRNA (2.5 ng) can partially rescue the phenotype of MO1 (panels c and f) and MO2 (panels i and l). The injected side is marked with an asterisk. (B) lmx1b MO1 (panels b, e) or MO2 (panels h and k) was injected into one cell of 2-cell embryos. All injections were done using a lineage tracer, either GFP (panels a–f) or LacZ (panels g–l). The size of the glomus was assessed by nephrin in situ hybridisation at stage 33/ 34. Injection of cMO does not induce any phenotype on the injected side (compare panels a to d and g to j). lmx1b MO1 injected embryos (5 ng) showed a reduced nephrin-staining area on the injected side (compare panels b to e). A similar phenotype was observed following the injection of lmx1b MO2 (10 ng) (compare panels h to k). Injection of lmx1b-mut mRNA (2.5 ng) can partially rescue the phenotype of MO1 (panels c and f) and MO2 (panels i and l). The injected side is marked with an asterisk.

size and sometimes abnormal in shape. The expression of wt1 in the walls of the coelomic cavity was totally eliminated. Injection of  $lmx1b$ MO at the one cell stage sometimes caused some additional perturbation of normal development (data not shown) consistent with the expression domains of *lmx1b* in the nervous system, resulting in a slightly shortened anterior/posterior axis [\(Haldin et al., 2003\)](#page-11-0).

In order to establish the statistical significance of the reduction of glomus size, the area of wt1 in situ staining in the glomus region was measured on both sides of the embryo to generate a numerical value of glomus area using the Lucia G image analysis. This allowed full statistical comparison using the paired t-test between injected and uninjected sides (Supplementary Table 1). All comparisons were made using embryos of the same batch, injected on the same day. No phenotype can be observed after injection of cMO whereas injection of lmx1b MO1 (5 ng) or MO2 (10 ng) induced consistently significant reduction in the relative area of glomus staining on the injected side (the two tailed value P was 0.0038 and 0.0007 respectively). The expression of wt1 was reduced by 32% and 34% on the injected side following injection of lmx1b MO1 and MO2 respectively.

To confirm that the effect on glomus development was specific, lmx1b MO1 or MO2 was co-injected with approximately 2.5 ng of lmx1b-mut mRNA whose translation is unaffected in the presence of MO1 and MO2 (Supplementary Figs. 1B, C). Embryos were cultured until stage 35/36 and the effects on glomus morphology was analysed by wt1 in situ hybridisation. In both cases, embryos co-injected with MO1 or MO2 and *lmx1b-mut* mRNA had significantly larger glomus structures than MO alone treated embryos on the injected side (compare panel c to b and i to h) and no significant differences can be seen between injected and uninjected sides (compare panel c to f and i to l) (Supplementary Table 1).

To confirm that the results obtained by in situ analysis were actually due to a smaller glomus rather than just loss of the wt1 marker, MO injected embryos were analysed by in situ hybridisation for nephrin, an additional glomus marker at stage 33/34 (Fig. 2B). Similar phenotypes were observed. The nephrin domain was smaller on the injected side following knock-down of lmx1b by MO1 (compare panel b, e) or by MO2 (compare panel h, k). Following the injection of 10 ng MO2, the nephrin domain was reduced by 42% on the injected side. This phenotype was rescued by the injection of 2.5 ng of lmx1b-mut RNA (panel c, f and i, l). No differences in staining area could be observed between the injected and uninjected side and the embryos showed normal glomus on both sides, as seen in cMOinjected embryos (panels a, d and g, j).

Undifferentiated or damaged podocytes could lead to the loss of podocyte molecular marker expression. To assess if the reduction in staining by whole mount in situ analysis was due to a smaller glomus or to damaged podocytes, MO injected embryos were analysed by histological analyses. Transverse wax sections of lmx1b MO2 or cMOinjected embryos were Haematoxylin/Eosin stained and morphology of the pronephros analysed ([Fig. 3\)](#page-5-0). Lmx1b MO2-injected embryos display smaller glomus or lack any glomus structure on the injected side (panel a) compared to the uninjected side (panel b). No morphological differences could be observed after injection of cMO (panels c and d). In some cases, pronephric tubules were also reduced on the injected side of MO2-injected embryos (data not shown). Taken altogether, these data demonstrate that depletion of lmx1b prevents

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Fig. 3. lmx1b MO2 prevent full size glomus formation. (A) lmx1b MO2 (20 ng) (panels a and b) or cMO (20 ng) (panels c and d) was injected into the V2 blastomere at the 8-cell stage. All injections were carried out using GFP as a lineage tracer and embryos were selected based on the fluorescence before being fixed at stage 39. Wax transverse sections were stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin. Embryos injected with lmx1b MO2 display lack of or reduced glomus on the injected side (panel a) whereas injection of cMO do not affect the formation of the glomus (panel c). The injected side is marked with an asterisk. (B) Schematic drawing of a transverse section showing the structures of the glomus and pronephric tubules. c: coelome; e: ectoderm; g: glomus; i: intestine; n: notochord; s: somites; pt: pronephric tubules. Redrawn from [H. Field \(1891, Fig. 47\).](#page-11-0)

formation of the glomus in Xenopus, rather than just preventing glomus differentiation marker expression.

### lmx1b morpholino knock-down reduces pronephric tubule development

We also assessed whether MO depletion of lmx1b could affect the development of the pronephric tubules. 1-cell embryos were injected with either 10–20 ng of lmx1b MO1 or cMO and incubated until approximately stage 40. Immunohistochemistry was then carried out using the monoclonal antibodies 3G8 and 4A6, markers of proximal tubules and intermediate/distal/connecting tubules respectively [\(Vize](#page-11-0) [et al., 1995](#page-11-0)). Knock-down of lmx1b affects tubule formation. A basic scoring system was devised where embryos were scored as either with normal, reduced, or very reduced tubules (see Supplementary Table 2 for full data). The scoring system took into account both a reduction in the total amount of proximal tubules identified by the 3G8 staining, and also the amount of coiling observed. Both pronephroi were scored for each injected embryo. MO1 treated embryos show the reduced tubule phenotype in 80% of embryos, the remaining being normal (Fig. 4A–b, Supplementary Table 2A). No phenotype could be observed in cMO-injected embryos (Fig. 4A compare a to d). Statistical analysis using Chi-squared was performed using the null hypothesis "there is no difference in pronephric tubule phenotype between lmx1b MO1 and cMO-injected embryos". The results confirmed that the depletion of lmx1b expression produced a significant difference at the 99% confidence level, disproving the null hypothesis (Supplementary Table 2B). Targeted injections into the V2 blastomere at the 8-cell stage yielded the same significant phenotype (Fig. 4B). Knock-down of lmx1b by MO1 (Fig. 4B, compare f to h) or by MO2 (Fig. 4B, compare b to d) induces formation of a significant reduction of proximal tubules on the injected side.

Like the glomus phenotype, the tubule phenotype could be rescued by over-expression of lmx1b-mut mRNA in embryos injected at the 1 cell stage (Fig. 4A–c and Supplementary Table 2). 35.5% of the embryos display normal tubule morphology (compared to 10.9% MO1 injected embryos) and only 22.2% show very reduced tubules (compared to 43.9% of MO1 injected embryos). The statistical significance of the rescue was demonstrated by Chi-squared analysis using the null hypothesis "co-injection of lmx1b MO1 and lmx1b-mut mRNA produces the same tubules phenotype observed in lmx1b MO1 injected embryos" at the 99% confidence level (Supplementary Table 2B). The tubule phenotype induced by knock-down of lmx1b using MO2 in V2 targeted embryos could also be rescued by injection of



Fig. 4.  $lmx1b$  MO affects development of the pronephric tubules. (A)  $lmx1b$  MO1 was injected into one-cell embryos. Morphology of the pronephric tubules was assessed by immunohistochemistry using 3G8 (in purple) and 4A6 (in red) antibodies at stage 40 ([Vize et al., 1995\)](#page-11-0). Injection of the control MO (20 ng) had no effect on the formation of the pronephric tubules (a) and embryos displayed normal pronephros morphology as compared to control non-injected embryos (d). Injection of lmx1b MO1 (20 ng) affected the development of the proximal tubules, whereas the formation of the more distal tubules are unaffected (compare panel b to a). MO1-injected embryos showed reduced or very reduced proximal tubules. This phenotype can be rescued with the injection of lmx1b-mut mRNA (3 ng) (panel c). (B) control MO (panels a, c), lmx1b MO2 (panels b, d) or MO1 (panels f, h) and MO2 and lmx1b-mut mRNA (panels e, g) were injected into the V2 blastomere at 8-cells stage. LacZ (panels a–e, g) or GFP (panels f, h) was injected as lineage tracer. Injection of cMO has no effect on pronephric development (compare panel a to c) whereas knock-down of lmx1b by MO2 affected the development of the proximal tubules (compare panel b to d) on the injected side, as seen after injection of MO1 (compare panel f to h). The phenotype can be rescued with the over-expression of lmx1b-mut mRNA (panels e and g).

<span id="page-6-0"></span>lmx1b-mut mRNA ([Fig. 4B](#page-5-0), compare e to b), resulting in the formation of normal pronephric proximal tubules on the injected side.

No significant effect was observed on the morphology of the more posterior tubules components stained by 4A6 [\(Fig. 4](#page-5-0)). Intermediate, distal and connecting tubules were only affected on embryos which displayed a severe reduction of the proximal pronephric tubules which was considered a secondary effect (data not shown).

# Over-expression of lmx1b mRNA and its potential binding partners affects glomus formation

Since the LIM-HD proteins identified in other species exclusively exert their effects in combination with specific binding partners, we adopted a whole embryo assay to determine whether overexpression of potential binding partners and lmx1b either alone or in combination could generate a pronephric phenotype. This approach allowed us to modulate levels of potentially interacting binding partners.

We adopted a targeted approach to limit the toxicity affects of mRNA over-expression and enhance the phenotypic effects specifically in the pronephric region. 3 ng of lmx1b, lim1, ldb1 or E47 mRNA's were injected alone or in combination together with LacZ mRNA as a lineage tracer into one V2 blastomere at the 8-cell stage. As a control, lineage tracer alone was also injected. Only embryos that showed lineage tracer in the somites and pronephric region and which were phenotypically normal in gross morphology were analysed. No kidney

phenotype was induced by control lineage injected embryos (Supplementary Tables 3–5).

To confirm that exogenous mRNA persisted in injected embryos up to and including the stages where specification and patterning of the kidney occurs, RT-PCR was carried out with primers specific for the injected mRNA and not endogenous lmx1b mRNA ([Table 1](#page-2-0)). Injected mRNA transcripts could be detected in abundance up until stage 22 and were still present at lower levels until stage 35/36, which is considerably past the time of kidney specification and glomus formation (Supplementary Fig. 3).

Potential effects on glomus formation induced by lmx1b and its binding partners were assessed by in situ hybridisation for two glomus markers, wt1 and nephrin. Lmx1b mRNA over-expression has no effect on the wt1 expression pattern, whereas over-expression of its potential binding partners  $\frac{d}{dt}$  and  $\frac{d}{dt}$  alone resulted in opposite phenotypes; a statistically significant reduction in glomus area  $(p<0.0001)$  or a significant glomus enlargement (p= $0.0022$ ) respectively (Fig. 5A compare a–c to e–g and Supplementary Table 3A). Coinjection of lmx1b with ldb1 completely rescued the ldb1 phenotype, significantly increasing glomus structure to a normal size (Fig. 5 compare B–c to A–c), so that no significant difference could be observed between the injected and uninjected sides (Fig. 5B, c and g; Supplementary Table 3A). Co-injection of lmx1b with lim1 could partially rescue the lim1 phenotype, by decreasing the glomus to a more normal size (Fig. 5; compare B–b to A–b; Supplementary Table 3A). Co-injection of ldb1 and lim1 mRNAs rescued both ldb1 and lim1



Fig. 5. Over-expression of lmx1b and its potential binding partners affects wt1 expression. mRNAs of lmx1b and its potential binding partners were injected either alone (panel A) or in combination (panel B), together with lacZ, into one V2 blastomere at the 8-cell stage. Red Gal staining followed by wt1 in situ hybridisation was carried out at stage 35/36 in order to assess any glomus phenotype. For each embryo, the injected side (indicated by an asterisk, panels a–d) was compared to the uninjected side (panels e–h). Injection of lmx1b mRNA alone did not induce any significant glomus phenotype (A, compare panel a to e), whereas injection of lim1 or ldb1 alone, its potential binding partners, resulted in the formation of an enlarged and reduced glomus respectively (A, b and f; A, c and g). These phenotypes can be partially rescued by the co-injection of lmx1b (B, b, arrowhead and f; B, c and g). Interestingly, co-injection of lim1 and ldb1 rescued both phenotypes, resulting in the formation of a more normal glomus (B, a and e). Injection of E47 alone or in combination with  $lmx1b$  did not induce any statistically significant phenotype (A, d and h and B, d and h).

phenotype since no significant differences could be seen between injected and uninjected sides ([Fig. 5B](#page-6-0) compare a to e; Supplementary Table 3A). Injection of E47 either alone or in combination with lmx1b had no effect on glomus structure [\(Figs. 5](#page-6-0)A–d and B–d; Supplementary Table 3A).

The analysis carried out with a specific nephrin antisense probe confirmed these phenotypes. Lmx1b or E47 mRNA did not induce any significant phenotypes whereas injection of  $lim1$  and  $ldpb1$  resulted in a significant increase ( $p=0.0471$ ) or decrease ( $p<0.0001$ ) of nephrin stained domain respectively (Fig. 6A, Supplementary Table 3B). Coinjection of lmx1b and lim1 rescued the lim1 phenotype (Figs. 6 compare B–b to A–b, Supplementary Table 3B). Co-injection of lmx1b or lim1 with ldb1 could partially rescue the *ldb1* phenotype, increasing the *nephrin* stained domain to a more normal size (Figs. 6, compare B–c and B–a to 6A–c, Supplementary Table 3B). In this experiment, co-injection of E47 and lmx1b slightly reduced nephrin expression on the injected side.

Over-expression of lmx1b mRNA and its potential binding partners affects the development of the other pronephric components

The effect of over-expression of these potential binding partner combinations on tubule structure was also assessed by immunohistochemistry with 3G8 and 4A6 antibodies [\(Fig. 7\)](#page-8-0).

Over-expression of lmx1b alone failed to have any phenotype on any tubule domains [\(Fig. 7A](#page-8-0) compare a to e, Supplementary Tables 4 and 5). However, lim1 alone caused an anterior/posterior enlargement of the proximal tubule domain with tubules underlying approximately 5–6 somite widths ([Fig. 7A](#page-8-0) compare b and f). We confirmed the observation of [Carroll and Vize \(1999\)](#page-10-0) that formation of smaller tubules could also be observed in some embryos (Supplementary Table 4A). Defects on the morphology of more distal tubules elements were also induced by lim1 over-expression [\(Fig. 7A](#page-8-0)–b and Supplementary Table 5A). Injection of ldb1 mRNA alone caused the opposite phenotype with a statistically significant reduction in size of tubule domain [\(Fig. 7A](#page-8-0) compare c to g and Supplementary Table 4).

Co-injection of lmx1b and lim1 partially rescued the lim1 overexpression phenotypes, increasing the size of the most posterior tubules to a more normal morphology and decreasing the percentage of reduced proximal tubules [\(Fig. 7](#page-8-0)B–b Supplementary Tables 4A and 5A). However, statistical analysis by the Chi-squared test showed that the rescue effect was significant only on more distal tubule morphology as labelled by 4A6 antibody (Supplementary Tables 4B and 5B). Co-injection of lmx1b with ldb1 also partially rescued the ldb1 tubule phenotype, with almost 43% embryos displaying normal proximal tubule morphology [\(Fig. 7](#page-8-0)B–c and Supplementary Table 4A). The reduction in more distal tubules size caused by over-expression of ldb1 alone was worsened by the addition of lmx1b (Supplementary Table 5A). The Chi-squared test was used to compare the phenotype resulting from over-expression of both messages with that of ldb1 over-expression alone. The null hypothesis set was "there is no difference in tubules morphology between *ldb1* and *lmx1b* and *ldb1* injected embryos". A significant difference was observed in the case of



Fig. 6. Over-expression of lmx1b and its potential binding partners affects nephrin expression. mRNAs of lmx1b and its potential binding partners were injected either alone (panel A) or in combination (panel B), together with lacZ, into one cell of 2-cell embryos. Red Gal staining followed by nephrin in situ hybridisation was carried out at stage 33/34 in order to assess any glomus phenotype. For each embryo, the injected side (indicated by an asterisk, panels a-d) was compared to the uninjected side (panels e–h). Injection of *lmx1b* mRNA alone did not induce any significant change in nephrin staining (A, compare panel a to e), whereas injection of lim1 or ldb1 alone resulted in the formation of an enlarged and reduced nephrin domain respectively (A, b and f; A, c and g). These phenotypes can be partially rescued by the co-injection of lmx1b (B, b and f; B, c and g). Co-injection of lim1 and ldb1 rescued both phenotypes, the embryos displayed on the injected side a nephrin domain, similar in area to the uninjected side (B, a and e). Injection of E47 alone or in combination with *lmx1b* did not induce any statistically significant phenotype (A, d and h and B, d and h).

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Fig. 7. Over-expression of lmx1b and its potential binding partners affects the development of tubules. mRNAs of lmx1b and its potential binding partners were injected either alone (panel A) or in combination (panel B), together with lacZ into one V2 blastomere at the 8-cell stage. The morphology of the tubules was assessed at stage 40 by immunohistochemistry using 3G8 (in purple) and 4A6 (in red) monoclonal antibodies [\(Vize et al., 1995](#page-11-0)). The injected side was identified by blue β-galactosidase staining and is indicated by an asterisk (a–d). As comparison, the uninjected side of each embryo was photographed (e–h) lmx1b-injected embryos showed no pronephric phenotype (A–a and e). lim1 injection resulted in the formation of an enlarged proximal tubule mass and wider more distal tubules (A-b and f), whereas ldb1 over-expression caused reduction in size of proximal tubules and in some cases affected formation of the more distal tubules (A–c and g). Co-injection of lmx1b with either lim1 or ldb1 partially rescued these phenotypes (B–b and f and B-c and g). Co-expression of lim1 and ldb1 partially rescued both lim1 and ldb1 phenotypes (B-a and e). Injection of E47 resulted in the formation of slightly enlarged proximal tubule mass without affecting the more distal tubules (A-d and h) whereas co-injection of lmx1b and E47 caused the opposite effect with reduction of pronephric proximal tubules (B–d and h).

intermediate/distal/connecting tubules analysis at the 95% confidence level (Supplementary Tables 4B and 5B).

Over-expression of lim1 and ldb1 resulted in phenotypes on tubules which were intermediate between those caused by the injection of each of the single mRNA's alone (Fig. 7B–a; Supplementary Tables 4A and 5A). The Chi-squared tests showed that changes observed in proximal tubule morphology were significant, suggesting that a synergy existed between these two proteins during formation of the most proximal tubules (Supplementary Tables 4B and 5B).

Over-expression of E47 alone showed a statistically significant enlargement of the proximal tubule mass but unlike *lim1* overexpression, the mass remained in its normal A/P position (Fig. 7A–d). This phenotype was rescued by co-expression with lmx1b (Fig. 7B–d; Supplementary Tables 4). No effect on more posterior tubule morphology could be seen after injection of E47 alone or in combination with lmx1b (Supplementary Tables 5).

Over-expression of lmx1b with potential binding partners in animal caps modulates kidney marker gene expression

One-cell embryos were injected with 3 ng of lmx1b, lim1, ldb1, E47 and wt1 alone or in combination with lmx1b. Animal caps were taken at stage 8/9 and incubated until stage 26, according to control sibling whole embryos. RT-PCR analysis shows that *lmx1b* over-expression alone could induce the expression of pax2/8 and podocalyxin (Fig. 8). This effect could be mediated by the endogenous expression of ldb1 in normal caps, allowing interaction with exogenous lmx1b mRNA which might induce expression of kidney markers since over-expression of lmx1b and ldb1 up-regulate the same profile of genes. However, all the double injection combinations up-regulate the expression of pax2 and pax8. The combination of lmx1b and lim1 up-regulates the expression of wt1, podocalyxin and podocin all differentiation markers of podocytes indicating formation of glomus tissue. Podocalyxin is also up-regulated to a lesser extent by  $lmx1b$  and  $ldb1$  and  $lmx1b$  and  $wt1$ , relative to control caps. No up-regulation of nephrin expression was induced in this experiment, suggesting that the expression of nephrin



Fig. 8. Over-expression of lmx1b and its potential binding partners induces expression of kidney markers in animal cap assay. One-cell embryos were injected with mRNA encoding lmx1b and its potential binding partners either alone or in combination. Animal caps were removed at stage 8/9 and cultured until control embryos reached stage 26. Expression of kidney markers was assessed by RT-PCR. Wt1 expression is up-regulated by co-injection of lmx1b and lim1. Expression of pax-2 and pax-8 is up-regulated by co-injection of lmx1b alone and in combination with its potential binding partners. Expression of podocalyxin is also up-regulated by co-injection of lmx1b and its potential binding partners. A weak upregulation of podocin is also induced by co-injection of lmx1b and lim1. Over-expression of the injected exogenous mRNAs can still be detected in most samples at the time of harvesting. Over-expression of E47 was not demonstrated since in vitro transcribed human E47 mRNA, not detected by the primers used to amplify Xenopus E47, was used for the injection. EF1a was used as a loading control.

might be regulated by other pathways. These caps also expressed neural markers pax6 hoxb9 and otx2, but the presence of podocyte markers unambiguously identifies kidney tissue in addition to neural tissue (data not shown).

#### Discussion

lmx1b is expressed in the right place and the right time to affect pronephric glomus development

There is currently little molecular knowledge of either the initial inducing molecules or even the patterning molecular networks which define the functional glomus. We have previously described the expression pattern and growth factor inducibility of the lmx1b gene [\(Haldin et al., 2003\)](#page-11-0). Here we extend our published results of the gene expression pattern of lmx1b and describe the distribution of its transcripts in dissected pronephric primordia which indicate its expression when pronephric primordia are first specified and later primarily in the pronephric glomus ([Brennan et al., 1998, 1999\)](#page-10-0). Thus it is expressed at the right time and in the right place to play a developmental role in glomus development [\(Lamb et al., 1993](#page-11-0)).

#### lmx1b has a pivotal role in pronephric glomus development

We present new functional data which demonstrates clearly that lmx1b has a pivotal role in the development of the pronephric glomus. Morpholino oligonucleotide knock-down with two independent morpholinos affects the size of both the glomus and proximal tubule domains of the pronephros in an identical manner. Both morpholino phenotypes can be rescued with a mutant mRNA showing that the effect is specific to the gene tested. Knock-outs of Lmx1b have been achieved by both a non-conditional and a conditional strategy and analyses of the glomerular phenotype have been carried out in the metanephros of the newborn mice ([Chen et al., 1998; Suleiman et al., 2007](#page-10-0)). These analyses clearly demonstrate that Lmx1b has a role in the differentiation of the podocyte foot processes which, together with the basement membrane, provide the size filtration barrier. Our data, which are the first to address the role of lmx1b in pronephric development, suggest that this gene has a previously unidentified role in the earlier differentiation of the pronephric glomus.

Previous studies have identified developmental roles for WT1 in glomus development and podocyte determination [\(Wallingford et al.,](#page-11-0) [1998; Kreidberg et al., 1993; Kreidberg, 2003](#page-11-0)). Gene expression analysis in Xenopus shows that the Wilms tumor suppressor, wt1, is expressed from stage 20 in a ridge on the dorsal side of the tubule anlagen defining the presumptive pronephric glomus. At stage 35/36, both the glomus and the cells lining the coelom express this gene and by stage 38 the heart is also positive ([Carroll et al., 1999](#page-10-0)). Overexpression of wt1 disrupts glomus development and inhibits pronephric tubule formation. It has been hypothesised that wt1 may function to reserve the fate of pronephric competent cells for the formation of the glomus, possibly by negative interactions with pax2, lim1 and Wnt4, thus excluding their expression from the presumptive glomus ([Wallingford et al., 1998](#page-11-0)). This is supported by the zebrafish model in which the no isthmus mutant, which lacks functional pax2.1, shows abnormally expanded podocyte marker gene expression suggesting that pax2.1 negatively regulates wt1 [\(Majumdar et al., 2000](#page-11-0)). This mutual repression sets up a boundary which defines glomerular and tubular epithelial territories. In mammals, WT1 also acts as a positive regulator of the glomus markers nephrin, podocalyxin and amphiregulin and basement membrane components Col4a3 and Col4a4 ([Palmer et al., 2001;](#page-11-0) [Quaggin, 2002; Guo et al., 2004\)](#page-11-0).

We attempted to identify podocyte structure in our experiments using antibodies to desmin previously shown to immunoreact with adult Xenopus kidney podocytes and laminin to identify kidney structures ([Yaoita et al., 1999\)](#page-11-0). These failed to interact with embryonic preparations, thus precluding a direct analysis of podocyte structure in these studies. Furthermore, embryos previously subjected to in situ analysis do not provide histological samples of high quality due to the essential proteinase K steps, preventing histological analysis of phenotypically affected embryos. However, due to the observed effects on two podocyte molecular markers wt1 and nephrin, as in higher vertebrates we expect the podocyte structure and organisation to be disrupted.

# The importance of the balance in expression levels of lmx1b and other LIM domain binding partners in regulating glomus and tubule development

It is well known that LIM domain proteins need additional cofactors to both exert their transcriptional effects and also to determine their tissue specificity [\(Dawid et al., 1998](#page-10-0)). Recent studies have identified that Lmx1b binds to two such cofactors, Ldb1 and the helix-loop helix protein, E47 [\(German et al., 1992;](#page-11-0) [Dreyer et al., 2000; Marini et al., 2003\)](#page-11-0). Lim1 has also been shown to bind to Ldb1 both in vitro and in vivo [\(Agulnick et al., 1996\)](#page-10-0). Our data provide new insight into the role of expression levels of lmx1b and its binding partners in regulating glomus versus tubule development.

Lmx1b and Lim1 have been shown to interact in mesonephros and metanephros formation and patterning, but there is no information as to their roles in the formation of the initial kidney form, the pronephros, due to the inaccessibility of the pronephros or the embryonic lethality of the gene knock-outs.

[Suleiman et al. \(2007\),](#page-11-0) have recently reported the phenotypes of a series of podocyte-specific conditional knock-out mouse lines for Lmx1b and its potential binding partners. The Lmx1b line mimics more closely the phenotype observed in NPS than the conventional Lmx1b knock-out mouse; the pups do not die at birth and do not show downregulation of Col4a3, Col4a4 and Nphs2 genes. Furthermore, these authors generated a podocyte specific Ldb1 knock-out line which has a similar phenotype suggesting that Ldb1 is the binding partner for Lmx1b in the glomerulus. Our data supports this conclusion, but in the earlier kidney form, the pronephros.

We have shown in the Xenopus system that both during development of the pronephric anlagen and all the components of dissected pronephric material that ldb1 is expressed throughout the period that the kidney forms, and that its potential binding partners lim1 and lmx1b are temporally co-expressed. This information is not available in the developing mouse kidney where the exact distribution of Ldb1 is uncertain ([Suleiman et al., 2007](#page-11-0)). Our experiments indicate that lmx1b is expressed in advance of nephrin and wt1 and also when ectopically expressed can increase wt1 and nephrin expression in vivo. Furthermore, morpholino knock-down of lmx1b results in reduction of glomus size. Animal cap studies also indicate that over-expression of lmx1b and lim1 can up-regulate wt1 whereas wt1 over-expression fails to up-regulate *lmx1b*. These data suggest that  $lmx1b$  acts upstream of  $wt1$  in early glomus development. Up-regulation of wt1 could then potentially inhibit expression of pax2/8, lim1 and wnt4 allowing the separation of lateral pronephric mesoderm to give tubules under the influence of lim1 and ldb1 and splanchnic pronephric mesoderm to develop into glomus.

Minimal effects were seen on co-injection of E47 and lmx1b suggesting that E47 has no major role in kidney development, this is consistent with mammalian knock-out studies where either conventional or conditional knock-outs fail to show a kidney phenotype, even though E47 is able to interact with Lmx1b ([Zhuang et al.,](#page-11-0) [1994; Johnson et al., 1997; Jurata](#page-11-0) and Gill, 1997; Suleiman et al., [2007](#page-11-0)).

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Fig. 9. Working model for glomus and tubule allocation in Xenopus. lmx1b. lim1. ldb1 and E47 are expressed from late gastrula until medio/lateral separation of the glomus occurs at stage 20 in the pronephric primordial. Pax2/8 are up-regulated at about stage 15 and become restricted to the tubule primordium by stage 20. Podocyte-specific genes pod1 and wt1 are up-regulated at stage 15 and 20 respectively. The spatial changes in the unpatterned pronephric primordium are shown to reflect initially the separation of the proximal tubule from the more distal tubule elements and then their medio/lateral separation from the glomus. Gene interactions in the tubule separation from the glomus are shown together with putative interactions from epidermal and endodermal components. lim1 and ldb1 interact and up-regulate tubule specific genes, pax2/8. lmx1b and lim1 interact to up-regulate wt1, a marker of podocytes, which in turn down regulates lim1, pax2/82 to define the glomus domain. Wt1 up-regulates other markers of podocyte differentiation. Gene interactions indicated in blue are from previously published data (see text).

#### The genetic hierarchy involved in glomus development  $-$  a model

The expression profiles of transcription factors in early pronephric structures define to an extent, the roles they may have in the transcriptional network controlling differentiation. Several large scale screens have been carried out to identify genes involved in glomerular development (Cui et al., 2005; Takemoto et al., 2006) however little has been done in the pronephric glomus. Our animal cap experiments investigate the ability of combinations of these transcription factors to up-regulate pronephric downstream target genes. Our experiments indicate that co-injection of lim1 and ldb1 is able to switch on the expression of pax8 a marker of proximal and more distal tubule differentiation in the early pronephric anlagen. Over-expression of pax8 and lim1 has been shown to be able to induce the development of ectopic tubules indicating the importance of these genes in the development of tubules (Carroll and Vize, 1999). In addition, our data show that co-injection of *lmx1b* and *lim1* can up-regulate the expression of wt1, a transcription factor which marks medio-lateral separation of the glomus from the tubule anlagen. In mammals, several directly defined downstream targets of WT1 have been defined in glomerular development and podocyte differentiation ([Palmer et al., 2001; Guo et al., 2004\)](#page-11-0). Likewise some downstream targets of Lmx1b have been identified to include podocyte developmental genes ([Rohr et al., 2002](#page-11-0)). We propose that lmx1b in combination with lim1 or ldb1 performs a fundamental role controlling the differentiation of the glomus away from the tubule components, tubule differentiation on the other hand, being driven by the other LIM protein lim1, in combination with ldb1. While it is unknown what signals are responsible for the medio-lateral separation of the elements of the pronephros, we can speculate that epidermal signals either restrict the lmx1b:ldb1 interaction and thus wt1 expression, to the medio-lateral domain, or stabilise/up-regulate

the lim1:ldb1 interaction leading to pax8 expression [\(Vize, 2003a;](#page-11-0) [Urban et al., 2006\)](#page-11-0). There is evidence from the elegant work of Urban et al, that hedgehog signals over-expressed in the ectoderm overlying the pronephric anlagen inhibit the segregation of the pronephric anlagen from the intermediate mesoderm without affecting the pronephric glomus ([Urban et al., 2006\)](#page-11-0). This work establishes the feasibility of such a patterning event occurring in vivo. Additionally, it is possible that medial signals are received in the splanchnic mesoderm from the adjacent endoderm to help pattern this region, although the postulated nature of these signals is unknown (Fig. 9).

# Acknowledgments

We thank Bob Taylor and Paul Jarrett for maintaining Xenopus stocks. We are also very grateful to T. Carroll (wt1), I. Dawid (ldb1), C. Murre (E47), A. Philpott (LacZ), M. Taira (lim1), P. Vize (nephrin) for kind gifts of constructs used in this work.

This work was supported by the MRC (CEH) and the BBSRC (EAJ, KLM, SS and JK Grant no G19888, G12713).

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.07.012](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.07.012).

#### References

- Agulnick, A.D., Taira, M., Breen, J.J., Tanaka, T., Dawid, I.B., Westphal, H., 1996. Interactions of the LIM-domain-binding factor Ldb1 with LIM homeodomain proteins. Nature 384, 270–272.
- Barnett, M.W., Old, R.W., Jones, E.A., 1998. Neural induction and patterning by fibroblast growth factor, notochord and somite tissue in Xenopus. Dev. Growth Differ. 40 (1), 47–57.
- Bassez, T., Paris, J., Omilli, F., Dorel, C., Osborne, H.B., 1990. Post-transcriptional regulation of ornithine decarboxylase in Xenopus laevis oocytes. Development 110 (3), 955–962.
- Boute, N., Gribouval, O., Boute, N., Sich, M., Benessy, F., et al., 2000. NPHS2, encoding the glomerular protein podocin, is mutated in autosomal recessive steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome. Nat. Genet. 24, 349–354.
- Brändli, A.W., 1999. Towards a molecular anatomy of the Xenopus pronephric kidney. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 43, 381–395.
- Brennan, H.C., Nijjar, S., Jones, E.A., 1998. The specification of the pronephric tubules and duct in Xenopus laevis. Mech. Dev. 75, 127–137.
- Brennan, H.C., Nijjar, S., Jones, E.A., 1999. The specification and growth factor inducibility of the pronephric glomus in Xenopus laevis. Development 126, 5847–5856.
- Carroll, T.J., Vize, P.D., 1996. Wilms tumor suppressor gene is involved in the development of disparate kidney forms: evidence from expression in the Xenopus pronephros. Dev. Dyn. 206, 131–138.
- Carroll, T.J., Vize, P.D., 1999. Synergism between Pax-8 and Lim-1 in embryonic development. Dev. Biol. 214, 46–59.
- Carroll, T.J., Wallingford, J., Seufert, D., Vize, P.D., 1999. Dynamic patterns of gene expression in the developing pronephros of Xenopus laevis. Dev Genet. 24, 199–207.
- Chan, T.C., Takahashi, S., Asashima, M., 2000. A role for Xlim-1 in pronephros development in Xenopus laevis. Dev. Biol. 15, 256–269.
- Chen, H., Lun, Y., Ovchinnikov, D., Kokubo, H., Oberg, K.C., Pepicelli, C.V., Gan, L., Lee, B., Johnson, R.L., 1998. Limb and kidney defects in Lmx1b mutant mice suggest an involvement of LMX1B in human nail patella syndrome. Nat.Genet. 19, 51–55.
- Clough, M.V., Hamlington, J.D., McIntosh, I., 1999. Restricted distribution of loss-offunction mutations within the LMX1B genes of nail-patella syndrome patients. Hum. Mutat. 14 (6), 459–465.
- Cui, S., Li, C., Ema, M., Weinstein, J., Quaggin, S.E., 2005. Rapid isolation of glomeruli coupled with gene expression profiling identifies downstream targets in Pod1 knockout mice. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 16, 3247–3255.
- Dawid, I.B., Breen, J.J., Toyama, R., 1998. LIM domains: multiple roles as adapters and functional modifiers in protein interactions. Trends Genet. 14, 156–162.
- Dreyer, S.D., Zhou, G., Baldini, A., Winterpacht, A., Zabel, B., Cole, W., Johnson, R.L., Lee, B., 1998. Mutations in LMX1B cause abnormal skeletal patterning and renal dysplasia in nail patella syndrome. Nat. Genet. 19, 51–55.
- Dreyer, S.D., Morello, R., German, M.S., Zabel, B., Winterpacht, A., Lunstrum, G.P., Horton, W.A., Oberg, K.C., Lee, B., 2000. LMX1B transactivation and expression in nailpatella syndrome. Hum. Mol. Genet. 9, 1067–1074.
- Drummond, I.A., Majumdar, A., 2003. The pronephric glomus and vasculature, The Kidney: From Normal Development to Congenital Disease, 1st ed. Elsevier Science, Academic Press, London, pp. 61–73.
- Dunston, J.A., Hamlington, J.D., Zaveri, J., Sweeney, E., Sibbring, J., Tran, C., Malbroux,

<span id="page-11-0"></span>M., O'Neill, J.P., Mountford, R., McIntosh, I., 2004. The human LMX1B gene: transcription unit, promoter, and pathogenic mutations. Genomics 84, 565–576.

- Eid, S.R., Brändli, A.W., 2001. Xenopus Na,K-ATPase: primary sequence of the beta2 subunit and in situ localization of alpha1, beta1, and gamma expression during pronephric kidney development. Differentiation 68 (2–3), 115–125.
- Field, H.H., 1891. The development of the pronephros and segmental duct in Amphibia. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. (Harvard College) Vol. XXI (5).
- German, M.S., Wang, J., Chadwick, R.B., Rutter, W.J., 1992. Synergistic activation of the insulin gene by a LIM-homeodomain protein and a basic helix-loop-helix protein: building a functional insulin minienhancer complex. Genes Dev. 6, 2165–2176.
- Gerth, V.E., Zhou, X., Vize, P.D., 2005. Nephrin expression and three-dimensional morphogenesis of the Xenopus pronephric glomus. Dev Dyn. 233 (3), 1131–1139.
- Guo, G., Morrison, D.J., Licht, J.D., Quaggin, S.E., 2004. WT1 activates a glomerularspecific enhancer identified from the human nephrin gene. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 15, 2851–2856.
- Haldin, C.E., Nijjar, S., Massé, K., Barnett, M.W., Jones, E.A., 2003. Isolation and growth factor inducibility of the Xenopus laevis Lmx1b gene. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 47, 253–262.
- Harland, R.M., 1991. In situ hybridisation an improved whole mount method for Xenopus embryos. Methods Cell Biol. 36, 685–695.
- Heller, N., Brändli, A.W., 1999. Xenopus Pax-2/5/8 orthologues: novel insights into Pax gene evolution and identification of Pax-8 as the earliest marker for otic and pronephric cell lineages. Dev. Genet. 24 (3–4), 208–219.
- Huang, S., Johnson, K.E., Wang, H.Z., 1998. Blastomeres show differential fate changes in 8-cell Xenopus laevis embryos that are rotated 90 degrees before first cleavage. Dev Growth Differ. 40, 189–198.
- Johnson, J.D., Zhang, W., Rudnick, A., Rutter, W.J., German, M.S., 1997. Transcriptional synergy between LIM-homeodomain proteins and basic helix–oop–helix proteins: the LIM2 domain determines specificity. Mol. Cell. Biol. 17, 3488–3496.
- Jones, E.A., 2003. Molecular control of pronephric development: and overview, The Kidney: From Normal Development to Congenital Disease, 1st ed. Elsevier Science, Academic Press, London, pp. 93–118.
- Jurata, L.W., Gill, G.N., 1997. Functional analysis of the Nuclear LIM Domain interactor NLI. Mol. Cell. Biol. 17, 5688–5698.
- Knoers, N.V., Bongers, E.M., van Beersum, S.E., Lommen, E.J., van Bokhoven, H., Hol, F.A., 2000. Nail-patella syndrome: identification of mutations in the LMX1B gene in Dutch families. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 11 (9), 1762–1766.
- Kreidberg, J.A., 2003. Podocyte differentiation and glomerulogenesis. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 14, 806–814.
- Kreidberg, J.A., Sariola, H., Loring, J.M., Maeda, M., Pelletier, J., Housman, D., Jaenisch, R., 1993. WT-1 is required for early kidney development. Cell 2, 679–691.
- Kyuno, J., Fukui, A., Michiue, T., Asashima, M., 2003. Identification and characterization of Xenopus NDRG1. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 309 (1), 52–57.
- Lamb, T.M., Knecht, A.K., Smith, W.C., Stachel, S.E., Economides, A.N., Stahl, N., Yancopolous, G.D., Harland, R.M., 1993. Neural induction by the secreted polypeptide noggin. Science 262, 713–718.
- Majumdar, A., Lun, K., Brand, M., Drummond, I.A., 2000. Zebrafish no isthmus reveals a role for pax2.1 in tubule differentiation and patterning events in the pronephric primordia. Development 127, 2089–2098.
- Marini, M., Bongers, E.M., Cusano, R., Di Duca, M., Seri, M., Knoers, N.V., Ravazzolo, R., 2003. Confirmation of CLIM2/LMX1B interaction by yeast two-hybrid screening and analysis of its involvement in nail-patella syndrome. Int. J. Mol. Med. 12, 79–82.
- McIntosh, I., Dreyer, S.D., Clough, M.V., Dunston, J.A., Eyaid, W., Roig, C.M., Montgomery, T., Ala-Mello, S., Kaitila, I., Winterpacht, A., Zabel, B., Frydman, M., Cole, W.G., Francomano, C.A., Lee, B., 1998. Mutation analysis of LMX1B gene in nail-patella syndrome patients. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 63 (6), 1651–1658.
- Millá, E., Hernan, I., Gamundi, M.J., Martínez-Gimeno, M., Carballo, M., 2007. Novel LMX1B mutation in familial nail-patella syndrome with variable expression of open angle glaucoma. Mol. Vis. 13, 639–648.
- Miner, J.H., Morello, R., Andrews, K.L., Li, C., Antignac, C., Shaw, A.S., Lee, B., 2002. Transcriptional induction of slit diaphragm genes by Lmx1b is required in podocyte differentiation. J. Clin. Invest. 109, 1065–1072.
- Mohun, T.J., Taylor, M.V., Garrett, N., Gurdon, J.B., 1989. The CArG promoter sequence is necessary for muscle-specific transcription of the cardiac actin gene in Xenopus embryos. EMBO I. 8, 1153-1161.
- Moody, S.A., Kline, M.J., 1990. Segregation of fate during cleavage of frog (Xenopus laevis) blastomeres. Anat. Embryol. (Berl) 182, 347–362.
- Nieuwkoop, P.D., Faber, J., 1994. Normal table of Xenopus laevis (Daudin). Garland, New York. P -

almer, R.E., Kotsianti, A., Cadman, B., Boyd, T., Gerald, W., Haber, D.A., 2001. WT1 regulates the expression of the major glomerular podocyte membrane protein Podocalyxin. Curr. Biol. 11, 1805–1809.

- Quaggin, S.E., 2002. Transcriptional regulation of podocyte specification and differentiation. Micros. Res. Tech. 57, 208–211.
- Reggiani, L., Raciti, D., Airik, R., Kispert, A., Brändli, A.W., 2007. The prepattern transcription factor Irx3 directs nephron segment identity. Genes Dev. 21 (18), 2358–2370.
- Riddle, R.D., Ensini, M., Nelson, C., Tsuchida, T., Jessell, T.M., Tabin, C., 1995. Induction of the LIM homeobox gene Lmx1 by WNT7a establishes dorsoventral pattern in the vertebrate limb. Cell 83, 631–640.
- Rohr, C., Prestel, J., Heidet, L., Hosser, H., Kriz, W., Johnson, R.L., Antignac, C., Witzgall, R., 2002. The LIM-homeodomain transcription factor Lmx1b plays a crucial role in podocytes. J. Clin. Invest. 109, 1073–1082.
- Sato, A., Asashima, M., Yokota, T., Nishinakamura, R., 2000. Cloning and expression pattern of a Xenopus pronephros-specific gene, XSMP-30. Mech. Dev. 92 (2), 273–275.
- Saxén, L., 1987. Organogenesis of the Kidney, 1st ed. Cambridge University Press.
- Seville, R.A., Nijjar, S., Barnett, M.W., Massé, K., Jones, E.A., 2002. Annexin IV (Xanx-4) has a functional role in the formation of pronephric tubules. Development 129, 1693–1704.
- Shawlot, W., Behringer, R.R., 1995. Requirement for Lim1 in head-organizer function. Nature 374, 425–430.
- Simrick, S., Massé, K., Jones, E.A., 2005. Developmental expression of Pod 1 in Xenopus laevis. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 49, 59–63.
- Suleiman, H., Heudobler, D., Raschta, A.-S., Zhao, Y., Zhao, Q., Hertting, I., Vitzthum, H., Moeller, M.J., Holzman, L.B., Rachel, R., Johnson, R., Westphal, H., Rascle, A., Witzgall, R., 2007. The podocyte-specific inactivation of Lmx1b, Ldb1 and E2a yields new insight into a transcriptional network in podocytes. Dev. Biol. 304, 701–712.
- Sweeney, E., Fryer, A., Mountford, R., Green, A., McIntosh, I., 2003. Nail patella syndrome: a review of the phenotype aided by developmental biology. J. Med. Genet. 40, 153–162.
- Taira, M., Jamrich, M., Good, P.J., Dawid, I.B., 1992. The LIM domain-containing homeo box gene Xlim-1 is expressed specifically in the organiser region of Xenopus gastrula embryos. Genes Dev. 6, 356–366.
- Taira, M., Otani, H., Jamrich, M., Dawid, I.B., 1994. Expression of the LIM class homeobox gene Xlim-1 in pronephros and CNS cell lineages of Xenopus embryos is affected by retinoic acid and exogastrulation. Development 120, 1525–1536.
- Takemoto, M., He, L., Norlin, J., Patrakka, J., Xiao, Z., Petrova, T., Bondjers, C., Asp, J., Wallgard, E., Sun, Y., Samuelsson, T., Mostad, P., Lundin, S., Miura, N., Sado, Y., Alitalo, K., Quaggin, S.E., Tryggvason, K., Betsholtz, C., 2006. Large-scale identification of genes implicated in kidney glomerulus development and function. EMBO J. 25, 1160–1174.
- Urban, A.E., Zhou, X., Ungos, J.M., Raible, D.W., Altmann, C.R., Vize, P.D., 2006. FGF is essential for both condensation and mesenchymal-epithelial transition stages of pronephric kidney tubule development. Dev. Biol. 297, 103–117.
- Vize, P.D., 2003a. Induction, Development and Physiology of the pronephric tubules, The kidney: From Normal Development to Congenital Disease, 1st ed. Elsevier Science, Academic Press, London, pp. 19–50.
- Vize, P.D., 2003b. The chloride conductance channel ClC-K is a specific marker for the Xenopus pronephric distal tubule and duct. Gene Expr. Patterns 3 (3), 347–350.
- Vize, P.D., Jones, E.A., Pfister, R., 1995. Development of the Xenopus pronephric system. Dev. Biol. 171, 531–554.
- Vize, P.D., Seufert, D.W., Carroll, T.J., Wallingford, J.B., 1997. Model systems for the study of kidney development: use of the pronephros in the analysis of organ induction and patterning. Dev. Biol. 188, 189–204.
- Vollrath, D., Jaramillo-Babb, V.L., Clough, M.V., McIntosh, I., Scott, K.M., Lichter, P.R., Richards, J.E., 1998. Loss-of-function mutations in the LIM-homeodomain gene, LMX1B, in nail-patella syndrome. Hum. Mol. Genet. 7 (7), 1091–1098 Erratum in: Hum Mol Genet 1998 Aug;7(8):1333.
- Wallingford, J.B., Carroll, T.J., Vize, P.D., 1998. Precocious expression of the Wilms' tumor gene xWT1 inhibits embryonic kidney development in Xenopus laevis. Dev. Biol. 202, 103–112.
- Witta, S.E., Sato, S.M., 1997. XIPOU 2 is a potential regulator of Spemann's Organizer. Development 124, 1179–1189.
- Yaoita, E., Franke, W.W., Yamamoto, T., Kawasaki, K., Kihara, I., 1999. Identification of renal podocytes in multiple species: higher vertebrates are vimentin positive/lower vertebrates are desmin positive. Histochem. Cell Biol. 111 (2), 107–115.
- Zhuang, Y., Soriano, P., Weintraub, H., 1994. The helix–loop helix gene EA is required for B cell formation. Cell 79, 875–884.