Asthma patients that reported occurrences of at least one asthma attack in twelve months. Multiple logistic regression was used to determine what factors are associated with the risk of absent controller drug therapy despite the frequent use of quick relief drugs, i.e., zero use of controllers in 12 months despite the use of 3 or more quick relief canisters in three months. RESULTS: A total of 1164 patients reported at least one asthma attack in past 12 months. Among these patients, 434 (37%) reported no use of controller drugs despite the frequent use of quick relief canisters. The patients that did not have peak flow meter at home were more likely to report no use of controller drugs despite the frequent use of quick relief drugs (OR = 1.93; 95% CI: 1.92, 1.93). Health insurance (OR = 1.44; 95% CI: 1.43, 1.45) and prescription drug benefits (OR = 1.21; 95% CI: 1.21, 1.21) also increased the risk of absent controller drug therapy. CONCLUSION: Having peak flow meter increased the likelihood of controller drug use indicating the usefulness of peak flow meter in asthma treatment and management. Health insurance and prescription drug benefit improved the likelihood of using controller drugs because of improved access. In order to improve the controller drug utilization amongst asthma patients, attention should be focused on patients lacking health insurance, prescription drug benefits and peak flow meters.
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AVAILABILITY AND PRICE OF TWO INHALATION MEDICINES FOR TREATMENT OF ASTHMA IN DIFFERENT STATES OF INDIA
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OBJECTIVE: Rational treatment of bronchial asthma is a serious problem in developing countries mainly because of access to medicines. This paper reports the availability and price of two inhalers in different states of India. METHODS: The data collected for essential medicines at five sites utilizing a standardized methodology on medicine prices and availability was used to collate data for secondary analysis. The surveys were conducted in public (20–60) and private (20–60) facilities. Anti-asthma medicines, beclomethasone (50µg/dose) and salbutamol (0.1 mg/dose) inhalers represented both as innovator brand (IB) and generic equivalent, were included in the analysis. Medicine prices were expressed as median price ratio (MPR) to an international reference price. The surveys were conducted in four states, Haryana, Karnataka, Maharashtra, Rajasthan and Chennai, capital TamilNadu, state. Surveys were conducted from October–December 2004 except Rajasthan survey (April–June 2003). RESULTS: Public sector: Availability—Generic version of both the inhalers was found only in the Rajasthan state. Availability of beclomethasone inhaler was 25% and 30% for salbutamol. Procurement price—Beclomethasone inhaler was 0.74 and salbutamol inhaler was 0.56 times the international reference price. Private sector: Availability—Beclomethasone inhaler was available as innovator brand (55%) and generic version (90%) in Chennai. In other states only generic version was available, in the range of 10% to 65%. Salbutamol inhaler was available in all states and in both the versions, availability ranging between 20%–95% as innovator brand and 83 –100% as generic equivalent. Price—MPR for generic beclomethasone was in the range of 0.87–1.49 at all sites, IB was available in Chennai and the MPR was 1.08. MPRs for IB and generic salbutamol range between 0.86–1.12 and 0.82–0.96 respectively. CONCLUSION: Policy interventions are required to improve access of affordable essential asthma medicines. Asthma needs to be recognized as a health priority chronic disease in India.
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ASTHMA DISEASE BURDEN, EVIDENTIARY REQUIREMENTS, AND FORMULARY CONSIDERATIONS AMONG MANAGED CARE AND EMPLOYER DECISION MAKERS REGARDING INHALED CORTICOSTEROIDS (ICS)

O’Day K1, Salamanca-Brosig E1, Regan TS1, Boswell K1, Nightengale B1, Seal B2

1Xcenda, Palm Harbor, FL, USA, 2Sanofi-Aventis, Bridgewater, NJ, USA

OBJECTIVE: To gather information from managed care organization (MCO) decision makers and employers about asthma burden, evidentiary requirements, and product attributes that may influence formulary decision making for an emerging once-daily ICS asthma therapy. METHODS: Telephone interviews were conducted among 10 MCO decision makers and 8 employers representing more than 51 million covered lives. Research focused on asthma burden, disease management strategies, and formulary decision making. Mean ratings on a scale from 1 (no effect; not at all important) to 10 (very large effect, very important). RESULTS: Both MCOs and employers recognized the prevalence of asthma and challenges associated with management, including patient compliance with ICS. MCOs reported the economic impact of asthma was most notable in terms of hospitalizations (7.3), emergency department visits (7.2), and pharmaceutical costs (7.0). Employers identified burden of illness with employee absenteeism (6.0), presenteeism (5.3), workplace productivity (5.4), and employer healthcare costs stemming from both employee asthma and dependent children with asthma (5.3). ICS product efficacy, safety, compliance, and cost-effectiveness/value were the most important product attributes driving formulary decision making among MCOs. Both MCOs and employers had a favorable overall opinion of the product (6.9 and 6.8, respectively) and felt that compliance (8.4 and 8.9, respectively) would have a considerable impact on decision making. Majority of MCOs (7 of 10) reported once-daily dosing to be the most important product attribute (6.9). MCO interviewees emphasized that the product will need to be priced competitively to secure favorable positioning in a crowded ICS market. Post-launch evidentiary requirements may include the need for “real world” head-to-head comparative effectiveness studies. CONCLUSION: Efficacy, safety, compliance, and cost are primary factors driving formulary decision making when evaluating emerging ICS asthma therapies in a crowded market. MCO and employer organizations have similar priorities with respect to asthma management and formulary decision making.
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ECONOMIC BURDEN OF ASTHMA AMONG CHILDREN IN THE UNITED STATES
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OBJECTIVE: Recent estimates of cost of asthma among children in the USA are not available. The objective of this study was to estimate the incremental direct medical expenditures of treating asthma among children in the USA. METHODS: Retrospective analysis was conducted using the 2004 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS) data. Asthmatic children (age < 18 years; n = 968) were identified as those with International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-9 diagnosis codes for asthma or those that had a self-report of having asthma in 2004. Separate regression models were developed for total expenditures and expenditures for various categories of resource use adjusting for age, gender, race, ethnicity, education, geographic region, insurance status and number of medications.