

Head-on crashes on two-way interurban roads: a public health concern in road safety



CrossMark

Marta Olabarria^{a,b,c}, Elena Santamaría-Rubio^{a,b,c}, Marc Marí-Dell'Olmo^{a,b,c}, Mercè Gotsens^{a,b,c}, Ana M. Novoa^{b,c}, Carme Borrell^{a,b,c}, Katherine Pérez^{a,b,c,*}

^a CIBER de Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Spain

^b Agència de Salut Pública de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

^c Institute of Biomedical Research (IIB Sant Pau), Barcelona, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 June 2014

Accepted 23 March 2015

Available online 2 September 2015

Keywords:

Accidents

Risk factors

Mortality

ABSTRACT

Objective: To describe the magnitude and characteristics of crashes and drivers involved in head-on crashes on two-way interurban roads in Spain between 2007 and 2012, and to identify the factors associated with the likelihood of head-on crashes on these roads compared with other types of crash.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted using the National Crash Register. The dependent variables were head-on crashes with injury (yes/no) and drivers involved in head-on crashes (yes/no). Factors associated with head-on crashes and with being a driver involved in a head-on crash versus other types of crash were studied using a multivariate robust Poisson regression model to estimate proportion ratios (PR) and confidence intervals (95% CI).

Results: There were 9,192 head-on crashes on two-way Spanish interurban roads. A total of 15,412 men and 3,862 women drivers were involved. Compared with other types of crash, head-on collisions were more likely on roads 7 m or more wide, on road sections with curves, narrowings or drop changes, on wet or snowy surfaces, and in twilight conditions. Transgressions committed by drivers involved in head-on crashes were driving in the opposite direction and incorrectly overtaking another vehicle. Factors associated with a lower probability of head-on crashes were the existence of medians (PR = 0.57; 95%CI: 0.48–0.68) and a paved shoulder of less than 1.5 meters (PR = 0.81; 95%CI: 0.77–0.86) or from 1.5 to 2.45 meters (PR = 0.90; 95%CI: 0.84–0.96).

Conclusions: This study allowed the characterization of crashes and drivers involved in head-on crashes on two-way interurban roads. The lower probability observed on roads with median strips point to these measures as an effective way to reduce these collisions

© 2014 SESPAS. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Colisiones frontales en vías interurbanas de doble sentido: un problema de salud pública en seguridad vial

RESUMEN

Palabras clave:

Accidente de tráfico

Factores de riesgo

Mortalidad

Objetivo: Describir la magnitud y las características de las colisiones y de los conductores/as implicados/as en colisiones frontales en carretera convencional, e identificar los factores asociados a la probabilidad de colisión frontal respecto a otro tipo de colisiones, en España, en 2007–2012.

Métodos: Estudio de diseño transversal utilizando el Registro de víctimas y accidentes. Las variables dependientes fueron la colisión frontal con víctimas (sí/no) y ser un conductor implicado en colisión frontal (sí/no). Se estudiaron los factores asociados a colisión o a ser un conductor implicado en colisión frontal respecto a los otros tipos de colisión, mediante un modelo multivariado de regresión de Poisson robusta, estimando razones de proporción (RP) y sus intervalos de confianza del 95% (IC95%).

Resultados: Ocurrieron 9192 colisiones frontales en carretera convencional, con 15.412 hombres y 3862 mujeres involucrados/as. Hubo una mayor probabilidad de colisión frontal respecto a otro tipo de colisión en carreteras con 7 m o más de calzada, en curvas, estrechamiento de calzada o cambios de rasante, con superficie húmeda o nevada, y durante el crepúsculo. Conducir en dirección contraria y realizar un adelantamiento indebido se asocian a colisión frontal en conductores/as. La existencia de mediana (RP = 0,57; IC95%: 0,48–0,68) o de arcén de menos de 1,5 m (PR = 0,81; IC95%: 0,77–0,86) o de 1,5 m a 2,45 m (PR = 0,90; IC95%: 0,84–0,96) se asocian a menor probabilidad de colisión frontal.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: cperez@aspb.cat (K. Pérez).

Conclusiones: Este estudio ha permitido caracterizar las colisiones y los conductores/as implicados en colisiones frontales en carretera convencional. La menor probabilidad de colisión frontal cuando existen medianas hace recomendable su implementación como medida efectiva para disminuir este tipo de colisiones.

© 2014 SESPAS. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

A two-way interurban road is that outside urban areas, which does not meet the requirements for being considered a freeway or highway, and which usually has a single carriageway in each direction.¹ These roads tend to have a worse design and are often not as well maintained as highways or trans-European road network roads.² Although more than half of crashes in Europe occur on two-way interurban roads, little attention has been given to this problem. In Spain, these roads account for over 90% of overall road network kilometres, they are the ones with higher crash rates, even though traffic density is lower than on motorways. According to the National Traffic Authority (DGT), mortality on interurban roads has been reduced by 70% since year 2000; however, 1,230 people were still killed in 2013 in traffic crash on these roads, representing 73.2% of overall fatalities.³

Head-on crash and run-off the road are dominant in this type of roads, mainly due to manoeuvres like overtaking, or to factors such as speeding, driver distraction, poor road design, restricted visibility or obstacles in the edges.² Some contributing factors to frequency or severity of head-on crashes reported are, maximum degree of horizontal and vertical curvature, shoulder width, side friction and increased percentage of light truck.^{4–6} Gårder reported driver contributing factors for head-on collisions such driver error or misjudgment, illegal or unsafe speed and inattention/distraction, fatigue and alcohol or drug use.⁷

The Community database on Accidents on the roads for Europe (CARE database) points that these crashes represent the most prevalent fatal crashes in most European countries, mainly due to head-on crashes.² In Spain in 2013, according to DGT, there were 2,661 head-on injury crashes which accounted for 3.0% of all injury crashes and 222 fatalities involved in head-on crashes which represent 13.2% of all road fatalities (including urban and non-urban crashes).³ Due to the high severity rate, head-on crashes on these types of roads are a primary focus study area and one of the priority objectives of the 2011–2020 of the Spanish Road Safety Strategy.⁸ Therefore, the aims of this study are to describe the magnitude and the characteristics of crashes and drivers involved on head-on crashes occurred on two-way interurban roads in Spain between 2007 and 2012, and to identify the factors associated with a higher likelihood of head-on crashes on these roads compared to other type crash.

Methods

A cross-sectional design study was carried out using the Accidents and Victims Register of DGT. There are two study populations: 1) crashes, in which at least one person has been injured (injury crashes), occurred on two-way interurban roads in Spain; 2) the drivers involved in these injury crashes. The definition of interurban roads includes roads defined by the National Traffic Authority as secondary roads, secondary roads with slow lane and fast-tracks, with at least one lane in each direction and with a lane width equal to or greater than 3.25 meters.

The dependent variables were: 1) head-on injury crash (yes; no, include the other types of crashes); 2) drivers involved in an injury head-on crash (yes; no, include drivers involved in other types of crash), all occurred on two-way interurban roads and between 2007

and 2012. There can be more than two vehicles involved in a crash, and therefore more than two drivers.

Explanatory variables include variables related to the crash and to the driver. Crash related variables were: 1) variables on road characteristics, such as width of the road, intersections (crossing roads), road marks, paved shoulder, median separating lanes, safety barriers and delineator posts; 2) variables on the circumstances of the crash, such as involvement of a car, a motorcycle or moped, a bicycle, a bus and a truck or van, time and day, traffic density, illumination, atmospheric factors and surface conditions. Driver related variables used were: sex, age, vehicle type, years of experience driving (from the age of the driver's license), type of driver (professional or private), trip purpose (from the reason for travelling), number of occupants, use of safety measures (seat-belt, child restraint and helmet), driving without a license, any offence done by the driver, speed violation, alcohol or drug reporting.

Statistical analysis

First, a descriptive analysis of head-on crashes was carried out using frequency distribution of road characteristics- and crash circumstances-related variables. Second, a descriptive analysis of the drivers involved on head-on crashes was carried out of driver characteristics and driver risk behaviour-related variables. Finally, crash-related factors associated to head-on crashes and, driver-related factors associated to be involved in a head-on crash, versus other type of crashes, were studied estimating Proportion Ratios (PR) and their 95% Confidence Intervals (95%CI). The most appropriate method to estimate PR would be Log- Binomial Regression method, however this model does not converge due to the presence of discrete explanatory variables with many categories. An alternative to estimate PR would be adjusted Robust Poisson regression models using generalized linear models (GLM) with a Poisson distribution and a log link. In addition, we used a robust method for estimating the variance of the errors, to avoid overestimation of this variance and obtain better estimates of PR.^{9,10} Models for men and women drivers were fitted separately.

The correlations between the explanatory variables were assessed using Spearman bivariate correlations and multicollinearity was analyzed by estimating the Variance Inflation Factor. We excluded from the final model traffic flow, post delineator and road markings because they have high multicollinearity with other variables of infrastructures such paved shoulder, medians and safety barriers. We removed also variables related to the cause of the crash, as some of them have more than 90% missing values and some of them refer to attitudes of the driver such alcohol or drug use, or inadequate speed, that were included in the models of drivers. Missing categories are included in the model in order to maintain statistical power, as some variables have a quite proportion of missing values. Only significant variables are shown in the final model.

Results

Head-on crashes on interurban roads

Between 2007 and 2012, 130,454 crashes occurred on Spanish two-way interurban roads, 7% of which were head-on crashes

Table 1

Characteristics of total and head-on crashes according to road characteristics, and factors associated to head-on crashes compared to other types of crashes, on two-way interurban roads of Spain 2007–2012. Multivariate Poisson robust regression model. Prevalence ratios (PR) and their 95% confidence intervals (95%CI).

	Total	Head-on crashes			
	N 130454	N 9192	(%) (100.0)	(%) (7.0)	PR (IC inf, IC sup)
Road characteristics					
<i>Road width</i>					
Less than 7 meters	27938	2107	(22.9)	(7.5)	1
7 meters or more	65116	5391	(58.7)	(8.3)	1.07 (1.02 - 1.13) ^a
Unknown	37400	1694	(18.4)	(4.5)	0.62 (0.57 - 0.66) ^b
<i>Presence of intersection</i>					
Intersection	33721	1791	(19.5)	(5.3)	0.71 (0.67 - 0.75) ^b
Straight	63036	3866	(42.1)	(6.1)	1
Mild curve	16258	1773	(19.3)	(10.9)	1.51 (1.42 - 1.60) ^b
Strong curve unmarked	3086	315	(3.4)	(10.2)	1.52 (1.36 - 1.70) ^b
Strong curve with signal but without posted speed	5614	507	(5.5)	(9.0)	1.37 (1.25 - 1.50) ^b
Strong curve with signal and posted speed	8739	940	(10.2)	(10.8)	1.57 (1.46 - 1.69) ^b
<i>Road marks</i>					
None or erased	1356	135	(1.5)	(10.0)	
Only separating lanes	2149	138	(1.5)	(6.4)	
Separating lanes and edges	83993	6602	(71.8)	(7.9)	
Only separating edges	2506	225	(2.5)	(9.0)	
Unknown	40450	2092	(22.8)	(5.2)	
<i>Paved shoulder</i>					
None or unworkable	57739	3446	(37.5)	(6.0)	1
Less than 1,5 meters	54856	4138	(45.0)	(7.5)	0.81 (0.77 - 0.86) ^b
From 1,5 to 2,49 meters	17009	1519	(16.5)	(8.9)	0.90 (0.84 - 0.96) ^a
More than 2,5 meters	850	89	(1.0)	(10.5)	1.07 (0.88 - 1.30)
<i>Median separating lanes</i>					
No	127915	9071	(98.7)	(7.1)	1
Yes	2539	121	(1.3)	(4.8)	0.57 (0.48 - 0.68) ^b
<i>Side safety barrier c</i>					
No	97920	6034	(65.6)	(6.2)	1
Yes	32533	3158	(34.4)	(9.7)	1.28 (1.23 - 1.34) ^b
<i>Delimiter posts</i>					
No	84604	5639	(61.4)	(6.7)	
Yes	45850	3553	(38.7)	(7.8)	
<i>Others characteristics</i>					
Narrowing	137	21	(0.2)	(15.3)	1.56 (1.06 - 2.30) ^a
Gradient change	819	115	(1.3)	(14.0)	1.67 (1.41 - 1.98) ^b
Strong drop	1694	209	(2.3)	(12.3)	1.24 (1.09 - 1.41) ^a
Others	5172	405	(4.4)	(7.8)	0.92 (0.84 - 1.01)
None	122632	8442	(91.8)	(6.9)	1
Crash circumstances					
<i>Car involved</i>					
No	26991	593	(6.5)	(2.2)	1
Yes	103463	8599	(93.6)	(8.3)	5.79 (5.30 - 6.32) ^b
<i>Two wheeled motor vehicle involved</i>					
No	103753	8034	(87.4)	(7.7)	1
Yes	26701	1158	(12.6)	(4.3)	1.28 (1.20 - 1.37) ^b
<i>Bicycle involved</i>					
No	126371	9058	(98.5)	(7.2)	
Yes	4083	134	(1.5)	(3.3)	
<i>Bus involved</i>					
No	129422	9060	(98.6)	(7.0)	1
Yes	1032	132	(1.4)	(12.8)	2.31 (1.97 - 2.71) ^b
<i>Truck or van involved</i>					
No	103598	6523	(71.0)	(6.3)	1
Yes	26856	2669	(29.0)	(9.9)	2.27 (2.17 - 2.37) ^b
<i>Time and day</i>					
Workable Day	68393	5007	(54.5)	(7.3)	
Workable Night	17217	1159	(12.6)	(6.7)	
Weekend Day	28401	1923	(20.9)	(6.8)	
Weekend Night	12940	840	(9.1)	(6.5)	
Unknown	3503	263	(2.9)	(7.5)	
<i>Traffic density</i>					
Flow or fluid	88268	7151	(77.8)	(8.1)	
Dense	3864	315	(3.4)	(8.2)	
Congested	945	34	(0.4)	(3.6)	
Unknown	37377	1692	(18.4)	(4.5)	
<i>Illumination</i>					
Daylight	90245	6283	(68.4)	(7.0)	1
Twilight	6313	522	(5.7)	(8.3)	1.14 (1.05 - 1.24) ^a
Sufficient lit (night)	6657	416	(4.5)	(6.3)	0.98 (0.89 - 1.08)
Insufficient lit (night)	8218	617	(6.7)	(7.5)	1.02 (0.94 - 1.10)
No lit (night)	19021	1354	(14.7)	(7.1)	0.96 (0.91 - 1.02)

Table 1 (Continued)

	Total	N 130454	N 9192	Head-on crashes		PR (IC inf, IC sup)
	(%) (100.0)			(%) (7.0)		
<i>Atmospheric factors</i>						
Good weather	109509	7370		(80.2)	(6.7)	
Strong or mild fog	1115	100		(1.1)	(9.0)	
Drizzling or strong rain	15770	1399		(15.2)	(8.9)	
Hailing or snowing	624	81		(0.9)	(13.0)	
Strong wind	1181	61		(0.7)	(5.2)	
Other	2255	181		(2.0)	(8.0)	
<i>Surface conditions</i>						
Dry and clean	105330	7082		(77.1)	(6.7)	1
Shady	1190	91		(1.0)	(7.7)	0.86 (0.71 - 1.04)
Wet	21102	1848		(20.1)	(8.8)	1.08 (1.03 - 1.14) ^a
Frost	572	47		(0.5)	(8.2)	0.99 (0.76 - 1.29)
Snowing	395	52		(0.6)	(13.2)	1.50 (1.17 - 1.93) ^a
Other	1865	72		(0.8)	(3.9)	0.86 (0.69 - 1.07)

^a p<0.05.^b p<0.001. c One missing value.

(n=9,192) in roads with a lane width equal to or greater than 3.25 meters. Two vehicles were involved in 90.6% of head-on crashes, 3 vehicles in 8% and between 4 and 8 in 1.4%. In 14.4% of head-on crashes there was a death and in 36.5% a severely injured, while in non head-on crashes these proportions were 3.5% and 16.6% respectively.

With regard to the road infrastructure, 58.7% of head-on crashes occurred in roads 7 meters wide or more, 42.1% occurred on a straight lane, 19.5% on an intersection, 19.3% on a smooth curve, and 10.2% on a strong curve with a speed signal (Table 1). 77.8% of head-on crashes occurred with fluid traffic density, 68.4% with daylight, 77.1% on a dry and clean surface, 80.2% with good weather conditions. In addition, 71.8% occurred on roads where road markings only defining lanes and edges, compared with 1.5% of crashes that occurred on roads where the marks were erased or non-existent. Most head-on crashes occurred on roads without any medians (98.7%), safety barriers (65.6%), or delineator posts (61.4%). At least a car was involved in 93.6% of head-on crashes, a truck or a van in 29.0%, a motorcycle in 8.6% and a moped in 4.1% (Table 1).

Factors associated to head-on crashes on interurban roads

Multivariate analysis showed road characteristics related factors associated with a greater likelihood of a head-on crash with respect to the other types of crash: a road width of 7 meters or more (PR = 1.07 [1.02-1.13]) versus lanes of 6.50-6.99 m; any type of curve instead straight lane, either a soft curve, a marked non-signposted curve, a marked signposted curve but without a speed signpost or a marked signposted curve with a speed signpost; the presence of side safety barrier on the road (PR = 1.28 [1.23-1.34]); the presence of a road narrowing (PR = 1.56 [1.06-2.30]), a gradient change (PR = 1.67 [1.41-1.98]) or a strong drop (PR = 1.24 [1.09-1.41]). Crash circumstances related factors are: involvement of a car (PR = 5.79 [5.30-6.32]), a two wheeled motor vehicle (PR = 1.28 [1.20-1.37]), a bus (PR = 2.31 [1.97-2.71]), and a truck or van (PR = 2.27 [2.17-2.37]); twilight instead of daylight (PR = 1.14 [1.05-1.24]); a wet (PR = 1.08 [1.03-1.14]) or snowy surface (PR = 1.50 [1.17-1.93]) instead of a dry and clean one (Table 1).

On the contrary, road characteristics related factors associated with a lower likelihood of head-on crash with respect to the other types of crash are: the presence of an intersection (PR = 0.71 [0.67-0.75]) instead straight lane; presence of a paved shoulder of

less than 1.5 meters (PR = 0.81 [0.77-0.86]) or 1.5 to 2.49 meters (PR = 0.90 [0.84-0.96]); and the presence of a median (PR = 0.57 [0.48-0.68]) (Table 1).

Drivers involved in a head-on crash on interurban roads

Between 2007 and 2012, 169,440 men and 46,477 women drivers were involved in a crash on two-way interurban roads with a lane width equal to or greater than 3.25 meters in Spain, 9.1% and 8.3% of which, respectively, in head-on crashes. Half of drivers involved in a head-on crash were aged 35 to 64 years old in both sexes, and 37.1% of men and 42.7% of women drivers were 18-34 years old. 69.8% of men drivers involved were car drivers while 9.8% and 9.3% were van or truck drivers, respectively. Among women, more than 90% were car drivers while only 3.0% were van drivers (Tables 2 and 3).

Factors associated with being involved in a head-on crash on interurban roads as a driver. The multivariate analysis shows that drivers factors associated to a greater likelihood of being involved in a head-on crash on interurban roads with respect to the other types of crash were: among men, car, bus, truck or van compared to two-wheeled motor vehicles riders, being a self-employed (PR = 1.13 [1.01-1.26]) or hired-employed (PR = 1.11 [1.03-1.20]) instead of particular driver and going to or returning from work (PR = 1.19 [1.13-1.26]) (Table 2); among women, car or van driver compared to two-wheeled motor vehicle riders (Table 3).

Driver behaviours associated with a greater likelihood of head-on crash compared to the other types of crash are driving in the opposite direction (for men PR = 4.19 [4.03-4.36] and for women PR = 4.77 [4.41-5.16]) and incorrectly overtaking (PR = 1.37 [1.25-1.51] and PR = 1.46 [1.17-1.83], respectively) compared to those who did not commit any transgression (Tables 2 and 3); and only among men, having more than 10 years of driver license PR = 1.07 [1.01-1.13] (Table 2).

In both men and women drivers factors associated with a lower likelihood of head-on crashes with respect to the other types of crash are offenses such as driving distractedly, not respecting the regulations or signalling, or driving too fast or at an inappropriate speed for the road conditions, compared to not committed any offence; Incorrectly turning and having consumed alcohol or drugs among men, and driving during workable hours in women are also associated with a lower likelihood of head-on crashes (Tables 2 and 3).

Table 2

Characteristics of men drivers involved in head-on crashes and factors associated to be a driver involved in a head-on crash compared to other types of crashes on two-way interurban roads of Spain in 2007-2012. Multivariate Poisson robust regression model. Proportion ratios (PR) and their 95% confidence intervals (95%CI).

	Total	Those involved in head-on crashes			PR (IC inf, IC sup)
	N 169440	N (%) (%) 15412	(100)	(9.1)	
Vehicle type					
Car	106127	10754	(69.8)	(10.1)	2.18 (2.05 - 2.31) ^b
Motorcycle or moped	25472	1161	(7.5)	(4.6)	1
Bicycle	4252	150	(1.0)	(3.5)	0.81 (0.68 - 0.95) ^a
Bus	969	121	(0.8)	(12.5)	2.31 (1.93 - 2.77) ^b
Truck	14621	1431	(9.3)	(9.8)	2.08 (1.91 - 2.26) ^b
Van	13990	1508	(9.8)	(10.8)	2.23 (2.07 - 2.40) ^b
Other	3559	263	(1.7)	(7.4)	1.46 (1.29 - 1.66) ^b
Unknown	450	24	(0.2)	(5.3)	1.37 (0.92 - 2.04)
Years of experience					
0-2 years	17894	1721	(11.2)	(9.6)	1
3-6 years	17685	1873	(12.2)	(10.6)	1.02 (0.96 - 1.09)
7-10 years	8091	925	(6.0)	(11.4)	1.06 (0.99 - 1.14)
>10 years	56194	6449	(41.8)	(11.5)	1.07 (1.01 - 1.13) ^a
Unknown	69576	4444	(28.8)	(6.4)	0.85 (0.80 - 0.91) ^b
Type of driver					
Self-employed	2844	360	(2.3)	(12.7)	1.13 (1.01 - 1.26) ^a
Hired employed	12986	1619	(10.5)	(12.5)	1.11 (1.03 - 1.20) ^a
Militar vehicle	588	24	(0.2)	(4.1)	0.44 (0.30 - 0.65) ^b
Rent vehicle without driver	715	101	(0.7)	(14.1)	1.23 (1.04 - 1.46) ^a
Particular	93576	9832	(63.8)	(10.5)	1
Unknown	58731	3476	(22.6)	(5.9)	0.76 (0.71 - 0.81) ^b
Trip purpose					
During workable hours	21399	2396	(15.5)	(11.2)	1.00 (0.93 - 1.07)
Going to or returning from work	10283	1378	(8.9)	(13.4)	1.19 (1.13 - 1.26) ^b
Going to or returning from holidays	1462	178	(1.2)	(12.2)	1.03 (0.90 - 1.18)
Leisure	51070	4969	(32.2)	(9.7)	1
Others	37094	3841	(24.9)	(10.4)	1.00 (0.96 - 1.04)
Unknown	48132	2650	(17.2)	(5.5)	0.77 (0.70 - 0.55) ^b
Number of occupants					
1	115610	10317	(66.9)	(8.9)	
2	35691	3335	(21.6)	(9.3)	
>2	18131	1759	(11.4)	(9.7)	
Unknown	7	1	(0.0)		
Use of safety accessories					
Used belt	119047	12338	(80.1)	(10.4)	
Used helmet	26692	1179	(7.7)	(4.4)	
None	7072	675	(4.4)	(9.5)	
Unknown	16629	1220	(7.9)	(7.3)	
Driving without license					
No	111395	11683	(75.8)	(10.5)	1
Yes	1745	200	(1.3)	(11.5)	1.38 (1.21 - 1.56) ^b
Unknown	56300	3529	(22.9)	(6.3)	1.04 (0.97 - 1.12)
Driver committed some transgression					
None	93581	8542	(55.4)	(9.1)	1
Driving distractedly	26572	1300	(8.4)	(4.9)	0.42 (0.40 - 0.45) ^b
Driving in the opposite direction	5260	2524	(16.4)	(48.0)	4.19 (4.03 - 4.36) ^b
Incorrectly turning	3030	288	(1.9)	(9.5)	0.78 (0.70 - 0.87) ^b
Incorrectly overtaking	2645	396	(2.6)	(15.0)	1.37 (1.25 - 1.51) ^b
Did not respect the regulations or signalling	9109	531	(3.4)	(5.8)	0.46 (0.42 - 0.50) ^b
Others	29243	1831	(11.9)	(6.3)	0.71 (0.68 - 0.75) ^b
Transgression of speed					
Circulated to inappropriate speed for the conditions	20790	1524	(9.9)	(7.3)	0.66 (0.63 - 0.70) ^b
Driving too fast	3488	288	(1.9)	(8.3)	0.68 (0.61 - 0.76) ^b
Driving too slow	167	18	(0.1)		
None	121031	10763	(69.8)	(8.9)	1
Unknown	23964	2819	(18.3)	(11.8)	1.08 (1.04 - 1.13) ^b
Presence of alcohol or drug					
No	148743	13134	(85.2)	(8.8)	1
Yes	9196	838	(5.4)	(9.1)	0.93 (0.87 - 0.99) ^a
Unknown	11501	1440	(9.3)	(12.5)	1.27 (1.21 - 1.34) ^b
Age					
0-13 years	97	4	(0.0)		
14-17 years	1937	134	(0.9)	(6.9)	1.44 (1.23 - 1.70) ^b
18-34 years	64230	5720	(37.1)	(8.9)	1
35-64 years	79146	7609	(49.4)	(9.6)	1.05 (1.01 - 1.09) ^a
65-74 years	9792	949	(6.2)	(9.7)	1.05 (0.98 - 1.12)
>74 years	5820	512	(3.3)	(8.8)	0.98 (0.90 - 1.07)
Unknown	8418	484	(3.1)	(5.8)	0.91 (0.83 - 0.99) ^a

^a p < 0.05.^b p < 0.001.

Table 3

Characteristics of women drivers involved in head-on crashes and factors associated to be a driver involved in a head-on crash compared to other types of crashes on two-way interurban roads of Spain in 2007–2012. Multivariate Poisson robust regression model. Proportion ratios (PR) and their 95% confidence intervals (95%CI).

	Total	Those involved in head-on crashes			PR (IC inf,IC sup)
	N 46477	N 3862	(%) (100)	(%) (8.3)	
Vehicle type					
Car	41961	3599	(93.2)	(8.6)	2.21 (1.77 - 2.78) ^b
Motorcycle or moped	2165	75	(1.9)	(3.5)	1
Bicycle					
Bus					
Truck					
Van	1390	117	(3.0)	(8.4)	2.12 (1.60 - 2.81) ^b
Other	938	70	(1.8)	(7.5)	1.92 (1.41 - 2.61) ^b
Unknown	23	1	(0.0)	(4.4)	
Years of experience					
0-2 years	5048	445	(11.5)	(8.8)	
3-6 years	5978	570	(14.8)	(9.5)	
7-10 years	3141	299	(7.7)	(9.5)	
>10 years	12430	1397	(36.2)	(11.2)	
Unknown	19880	1151	(29.8)	(5.8)	
Type of driver					
Profesional self-employed	148	14	(0.4)		
Profesional	606	54	(1.4)	(8.9)	
Militar vehicle	22	0			
Rent vehicle without driver	155	21	(0.5)	(13.6)	
Particular	27911	2894	(74.9)	(10.4)	
Unknown	17635	879	(22.8)	(5.0)	
Trip purpose					
During workable hours	2032	167	(4.3)	(8.2)	0.81 (0.70 - 0.94) ^a
Going to or returning from work	4376	462	(12.0)	(10.6)	1.00 (0.91 - 1.10)
Going to or returning from holidays	328	47	(1.2)	(14.3)	1.30 (1.01 - 1.67) ^a
Leisure	11403	1172	(30.3)	(10.3)	1
Others	13491	1299	(33.6)	(9.6)	0.94 (0.88 - 1.02)
Unknown	14847	715	(18.5)	(4.8)	0.46 (0.42 - 0.51) ^b
Number of occupants					
1	31573	2566	(66.4)	(8.1)	
2	9579	846	(21.9)	(8.8)	
>2	5323	450	(11.7)	(8.5)	
Unknown	2	0			
Use of safety accessories					
Used belt	39548	3449	(89.3)	(8.7)	
Used helmet	2199	73	(1.9)	(3.3)	
None	623	60	(1.6)	(9.6)	
Unknown	4107	280	(7.3)	(6.8)	
Driving without license					
No	29776	2948	(76.3)	(9.9)	
Yes	183	18	(0.5)		
Unknown	16518	896	(23.2)	(5.4)	
Driver committed some transgression					
None	28060	2249	(58.2)	(8.0)	1
Driving distractedly	6944	328	(8.5)	(4.7)	0.45 (0.40 - 0.50) ^b
Driving in the opposite direction	1137	550	(14.2)	(48.4)	4.77 (4.41 - 5.16) ^b
Incorrectly turning	667	73	(1.9)	(10.9)	1.01 (0.81 - 1.26)
Incorrectly overtaking	436	67	(1.7)	(15.4)	1.46 (1.17 - 1.83) ^a
Did not respect the regulations or signalling	2631	177	(4.6)	(6.7)	0.62 (0.53 - 0.72) ^b
Others	6602	418	(10.8)	(6.3)	0.79 (0.72 - 0.88) ^b
Transgression of speed					
Circulated to inappropriate speed for the conditions	4751	339	8.8	7.1	0.70 (0.62 - 0.77) ^b
Driving too fast	310	27	0.7	8.7	0.81 (0.58 - 1.12)
Driving too slow	47	2	2	0.1	
None	35377	2819	73.0	8.0	1
Unknown	5992	675	17.5	11.3	1.12 (1.03 - 1.21) ^a
Presence of alcohol or drug					
No	43054	3476	90.1	8.1	
Yes	730	71	1.8	9.7	
Unknown	2693	315	8.2	11.7	
Age					
0-13 years	23	0			
14-17 years	264	12	0.3	4.6	1.12 (0.64 - 1.95)
18-34 years	21504	1650	42.7	7.7	1
35-64 years	20780	1904	49.3	9.2	1.18 (1.11 - 1.25) ^b
65-74 years	1097	113	2.9	10.3	1.27 (1.06 - 1.51) ^a
>74 years	357	31	0.8	8.7	1.12 (0.83 - 1.52)
Unknown	2452	152	3.9	6.2	1.09 (0.93 - 1.28)

^a p < 0.05.^b p < 0.001.

Discussion

Main results

This paper shows, as far as the authors know, for the first time an analysis of head-on crashes on two-way interurban roads in Spain, including national data. Factors related to road infrastructure such as roads of 7 meters width or more and the presence of curves, slope changes, road narrowing, a side safety barrier, twilight, wet and snowy surface conditions were identified as characteristics with higher probability of head-on crashes comparing to other type of crashes. On the other hand, when there are medians to separate opposite lanes, intersections and paved shoulder there is a lower likelihood of head-on crashes with respect to the other types of crash. Men driver patterns, driving in the opposite direction or incorrectly overtaking, employed, going to or returning from work were associated with an increased probability of head-on crashes compared to the other crashes. Women driver patterns, during working hours, driving in the opposite direction or incorrectly overtaking were associated with a higher likelihood of head-on crashes.

Strengths and limitations

The major strength of the study is that due to the availability of a comprehensive database from the National Traffic Authority for six years, which includes an important number of crashes occurred at national level. It allowed studying not only factors related to the environment and conditions of the crash but also those related to the drivers involved.

However it must be highlighted as a limitation that the design of the study allows knowing the factors associated to head-on crash compared to factors associated to other types of crashes. There could be some factors associated to head-on crashes that are not seen because they are associate as well with other types of crashes. However the results observed are consistent with the literature. It has not been possible to identify factors related to head-on crashes comparing with no crashes because there is no available data when there is no crash. In any way, this design is commonly used to study disease mortality patterns by cause in settings where population denominators are not available.¹⁰

Comparison with other studies

Regarding road infrastructure, our results coincide with others in the literature revealing that the presence of curves, whether soft or marked, the narrowing of the road, slope changes and side barriers are factors associated with an increased risk of head-on crashes.^{2,6} On the other hand, the existence of paved shoulders, and intersections reduces the likelihood of head-on crashes with respect to the other types of crash. Some authors found that paved shoulders and intersections are factors that contribute to a lower number of head-on collisions, but on the other side are factors associated to higher severity.^{6,7}

Matena et al.² reported that slippery roads due to rain or winter time are related to head-on crashes. Our study confirms this relationship, as a wet or snowy surface has been associated with head-on crashes compared to other type of crashes. In addition, driving during twilight was identified as a factor associated with an increased risk for head-on crashes. This could be due to the decreased visibility at that hour of the day, when factors related to an increased likelihood of a head-on impact such as glare from the sun or presence of fog may be present.¹¹

Regarding driver related factors, several studies have associated head-on crashes to factors such as inattention of drivers resulting from fatigue or drowsiness, or inappropriate speed, especially on

road stretches with curves, and alcohol or drug use.^{2,7} In our study, crashes caused by distraction or inappropriate speed occurred in almost half of head-on crashes; however, they are associated with a lower probability of head-on crash comparing to other types of crash. We found other counterintuitive results such lower risk of head-on crash if not respecting regulations or use of alcohol. This may be due to the fact that those studies analyzed factors related to head-on crashes, regardless of factors related to other types of crash. The fact that some tracks favour quick driving or distraction is a factor related to any type of crash and not specifically to head-on crashes. On the other hand incorrectly overtaking or driving in the inappropriate direction are obvious factors specifically related to head-on crash.

It must be highlighted that only among men drivers, being a professional driver and travelling to and from work are factors related to an increased probability of head-on crashes compared to other types of crashes. Shariat-Mohaym et al. reported a conflicts of head-on crashes occurred more often on workdays than on holidays.⁵ In Spain according to a report from DGT,¹² men were injured three times more likely than women going to and from work, and twelve deaths of men occurred for each of the women in crashes in the normal working day. This points to men workers as a work related crashes risk group, and could be due to the different occupational exposure by gender, being men those who are more likely to work in transportation sector. This is also coherent with our results in the sense that only among men being a bus or truck driver is a factor associated to head-on crashes.

Finally, our results showed a lower likelihood of head-on crash when there is a median. This reinforces that implementing systems to separate lanes in two-way interurban roads could avoid severe crashes such are the head-on. A number of studies in other countries have demonstrated the effectiveness of the installation of measures of central separation between opposing lanes: the installation of rumble strips between opposite lanes of rural roads has been associated with a 25% reduction of frontal crashes,¹³ the installation of flexible barriers (cable type) has been associated with a 56% reduction of head-on crashes with serious injuries¹⁴ and installing concrete medians, guardrails or raised medians has been linked to a reduction of overall crashes^{15,16} and specifically to head-on crashes.^{17,18} As recommended by Hosseinpour et al.⁶ the installation of centreline median barriers on undivided roadways seems to be the most effective counter-measure; however, due to the great expenses associated with the installation and maintenance of continuous median barriers, for lower risk locations, centreline rumble strips could be effectively installed to reduce crossover collisions.

Conclusions and implications

This paper has allowed characterizing head-on crashes and drivers involved in these crashes in Spain, and to identify factors associated with a higher likelihood of head-on crashes comparing to other types of crashes. Environmental factors such as roads of 7 meters width or more, the presence of curves, slope changes, road narrowing, a side safety barrier, twilight, wet and snowy surface conditions were identified as characteristics with higher probability of head-on crashes comparing to other type of crashes. Driver patterns, such driving in the opposite direction or incorrectly overtaking, employed, going to or returning from work among men were associated with a higher likelihood of head-on crashes. The existence of medians to separate lanes has been pointed as being significantly associated with lower probability of head-on crashes. It is necessary to implement adapted systems to these small roads, different from highways, to separate lanes in two-way interurban roads that could avoid severe crashes such are the head-on.

What is known about the topic?

More than half of crashes in Europe occur on two-way interurban roads, of which head-on crashes are the most severe. Central two-way separation systems are effective measures for reducing head-on crashes. Due to their high severity, head-on crashes are a primary focus for research.

What does this study add to the literature?

This study identifies crash-related factors (bends, slopes, road narrowing, and side safety barriers) and driver-related factors (driving in the opposite direction, performing incorrect overtaking manoeuvres, being employed, men travelling to or from work) that were associated with greater risk of head-on crashes on two-lane interurban roads, compared to other types of crash.

Editor in Charge

Miguel Ángel Negrín Hernández.

Authorship contributions

K. Pérez, M. Olabarria and E. Santamaría-Rubio conceived the study and performed the analysis. M. Olabarria wrote the draft. K. Pérez, E. Santamaría-Rubio, M. Olabarria, M. Marí-Dell'Olmo, M. Gotsens, A.M. Novoa and C. Borrell contributed substantially to the interpretation of the data, revised critically the draft and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work is part of the project “Semi-separación de calzadas en carretera convencional: análisis de diferentes sistemas y evaluación de intervenciones ya realizadas” (Núm. Expediente: 0100DGT22160). The results of this study are owned by the National Traffic Authority (DGT).

Conflicts of interest

One of the authors (C. Borrell) belongs to the GACETA SANITARIA editorial committee, but was not involved in the editorial process of the manuscript.

References

- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Safety strategies for rural roads. 1999;14:2 [Consulted on 13/07/2014]. Available at: http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/transport/safety-strategies-for-rural-roads_9789264172913-en.
- Matena S, Hegewald A, Louwerse W, et al. RIPCORD ISEREST Project - Sixth Framework Programme Priority. Reduction of head-on collisions and run-off-the-road accidents. Final report. 2007; 2-82. [Consulted on 09/07/2014]. Available at: http://ripcord.bast.de/pdf/RI-BAST-WP3-R2-Measures_HO_and_ROR_Accidents.pdf
- Dirección General de Tráfico. Las principales cifras de siniestralidad vial en España. 2013; 252. [Consulted on 05/12/2014]. Available at: <http://www.dgt.es/Galerias/seguridad-vial/estadisticas-e-indicadores/publicaciones/principales-cifras-siniestralidad/Siniestralidad.Vial.2013.pdf>
- Zhang C, Ivan J. Effects of geometric characteristics on head-on crash incidence on two-lane roads in Connecticut. *Transp Res Rec J Transp Res Board*. 2005;1908:159–64.
- Shariat-Mohammadi A, Tavakoli-Kashani A, Nosrati H, et al. Identifying significant predictors of head-on conflicts on two-lane rural roads using inductive loop detectors data. *Traffic Inj Prev*. 2011;12:636–41.
- Hosseinpour M, Yahaya AS, Sadullah AF. Exploring the effects of roadway characteristics on the frequency and severity of head-on crashes: case studies from Malaysian Federal Roads. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2014;62:209–22.
- Gårder P. Segment characteristics and severity of head-on crashes on two-lane rural highways in Maine. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2006;38:652–61.
- Dirección General de Tráfico. Estrategia de Seguridad Vial 2011-2020. 2010; 68. [Consulted on 12/05/2014]. Available at: http://www.dgt.es/Galerias/seguridad-vial/politicas-viales/estrategicos-2011-2020/doc/estrategico_2020.003.pdf
- Barros AJD, Hirakata VN. Alternatives for logistic regression in cross-sectional studies: an empirical comparison of models that directly estimate the prevalence ratio. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2003;3:21.
- Rothman K, Greenland S. Modern epidemiology. 3rd ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins; 2012. p. 758.
- Abdel-Aty M, Ekram A-A, Huang H, et al. A study on crashes related to visibility obstruction due to fog and smoke. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2011;43:1730–7.
- Ruiz F. Los accidentes de tráfico laborales. In: Dimensión del problema. Los accidentes al ir y volver al trabajo y los accidentes durante la jornada laboral. La accidentabilidad de los conductores profesionales. Temario ESTT-OEP. Madrid: Dirección General de Tráfico; 2011.
- Persaud BN, Retting R, Lyon C. Crash reduction following installation of centerline rumble strips on rural two-lane roads. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2004;36: 1073–9.
- Candappa N, D'Elia A, Corben B, et al. Wire rope barrier effectiveness on Victorian roads - Monash University Accident Research Centre. 2009; 8. [Consulted on 06/09/2013]. Available at: <http://acrs.org.au/files/arsrpe/Wire%20Rope%20Barrier%20Effectiveness%20on%20Victorian%20Roads.pdf>
- Jang H, Lee S, Kim SW. Bayesian analysis for zero-inflated regression models with the power prior: applications to road safety countermeasures. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2010;42:540–7.
- Elvik R. The safety value of guardrails and crash cushions: a meta-analysis of evidence from evaluation studies. *Accid Anal Prev*. 1995;27:523–49.
- Vieira S, Cardoso JL. Safety effects of low-cost engineering measures. An observational study in a Portuguese multilane road. *Accid Anal Prev*. 2012;48: 346–52.
- Tarko AP, Villwock NM, Blond N. The effect of median design on rural freeway safety-flush medians with concrete barriers and depressed medians. 2008; 1-22. [Consulted on 19/09/2013]. Available at: <http://trid.trb.org/view.aspx?id=848434>.