

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article



Vahid Noroozi Karbasdehi^a, Sina Dobaradaran^{a,b,c,*}, Iraj Nabipour^d, Hossein Arfaeinia^e, Roghayeh Mirahmadi^a, Mozhgan Keshtkar^a

in the northern part of the Persian Gulf

Data on metal contents (As, Ag, Sr, Sn, Sb, and Mo)

in sediments and shells of Trachycardium lacunosum

^a Department of Environmental Health Engineering, Faculty of Health, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Bushehr, Iran

^b The Persian Gulf Marine Biotechnology Research Center, The Persian Gulf Biomedical Sciences Research Institute, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Bushehr, Iran

^c Systems Environmental Health, Oil, Gas and Energy Research Center, The Persian Gulf Biomedical Sciences Research Institute, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Bushehr, Iran

^d The Persian Gulf Tropical Medicine Research Center, The Persian Gulf Biomedical Sciences Research Institute, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Bushehr, Iran

^e Environmental Health Department, School of Public Health, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 May 2016 Received in revised form 26 June 2016 Accepted 30 June 2016 Available online 6 July 2016

Keywords: Heavy metal Persian Gulf Sediment Trachycardium lacunosum

ABSTRACT

In this data article, by using inductively coupled plasma optical spectrometry (ICP-OES)¹, we aimed to (1) determine the concentration levels of As, Ag, Sr, Sn, Sb, and Mo in the sediments and the shells of *Trachycardium lacunosum* simultaneously in two separated areas (unpolluted and polluted areas) (2) comparison between the metal contents of sediments in the unpolluted and polluted areas as well as shells. Analysis of data showed that sediment as well as shell samples in polluted area contained significantly higher concentration levels of all measured metals compared with unpolluted area.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

E-mail address: s.dobaradaran@bpums.ac.ir (S. Dobaradaran).

¹ Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Spectrometry.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.06.065

2352-3409/© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author at: The Persian Gulf Marine Biotechnology Research Center, The Persian Gulf Biomedical Sciences Research Institute, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Boostan 19Alley, Imam Khomeini Street, Bushehr, Iran. Tel./fax: +98 7514763448.

| Toxicology Heavy metal contents in marine environment |
|--|
| Table and figure ICP-OES (SPECTRO (Germany), Spectro arcos) |

Specifications Table

Subject area

ject area

More specific sub-

| Type of data | Table and figure |
|--------------------------|---|
| How data was acquired | ICP-OES (SPECTRO (Germany), Spectro arcos) |
| Data format | Raw, analyzed |
| Experimental factors | All sediment samples were dried at 105 °C for 24 h, homogenized, and packed in polyethylene bags and stored at -20 °C prior to analysis. The shell samples washed under a jet of tap water to remove algae, sand, clay and other impurities, and then dried at 105 °C for 24 h and stored at -20 °C prior to analysis |
| Experimental features | Evaluate the metal contents of As, Ag, Sr, Sn, Sb, and Mo in sediments and shells of Trachycardium lacunosum in the northern part of the Persian Gulf |
| Data source location | Bushehr, Asaluyeh bay, along the Persian Gulf, Iran |
| Data accessibility | Data is with this article |

Value of the data

- Data can be used as a base-line data for metal concentration levels in marine environments and understanding industrial activities effects on these environments.
- Data shown here can be useful for policy makers, managers, and all related stakeholders, companies, agencies, and institutes working in the fields of environment by imposing proper measures to protect environment.
- Data shown here may serve as benchmarks for other groups working or studying in the field of effluent disposal, pollution control, aquatic ecosystem, toxicology.

1. Data

In the unpolluted area the concentration levels of As, Ag, Sr, Sn, Sb, and Mo in sediment samples ranged from 0.07-0.81 (Mean: 0.3), 0.4-0.9 (Mean: 0.65), 85-172 (Mean: 134.3), 1.3-3.6 (Mean: 2.63), 2-9.4 (Mean: 3.79) 0.24–0.85 (Mean: 0.45) μ g g⁻¹ respectively while in the polluted area the concentration levels of As, Ag, Sr, Sn, Sb and Mo ranged from 11.2–16.3 (Mean: 13.4), 1.1–1.7 (Mean: 1.33), 249–1354 (Mean: 715), 4.12–8.32 (Mean: 5.3), 5.9–20.7 (Mean: 16.16), 1.29–9.52 (Mean: 4.7) μg g⁻¹ respectively (Table 1 and Fig. 1). In the unpolluted area the concentration levels of As, Ag, Sr, Sn, Sb, and Mo in shell samples ranged from 0.01-0.06 (Mean: 0.035), 0.001-0.008 (Mean 0.004), 21-45 (Mean: 27.8), 0.1–1.6 (Mean: 0.71), 0.5–2.7 (Mean: 1.33), 0.01–0.05 (Mean: 0.03) μ g g⁻¹ respectively while in the polluted area the concentration levels of As, Ag, Sr, Sn, Sb and Mo ranged from 1.32–6.6 (Mean: 4.15), 011-0.33 (Mean: 0.17), 78-185 (Mean: 131.7), 2.1-3.3 (Mean: 2.5), 3.9-13.8 (Mean: 8.3), 0.7–9.4 (Mean: 4.7) μ g g⁻¹ respectively (Table 2 and Fig. 2).

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

2.1. Study area description

Two different areas were selected in the Asaluyeh as sampling points including polluted area (Nayband Bay) and unpolluted area (Lavar-e-Saheli) (Fig. 3).



Fig. 1. Comparison of metals concentration levels in the sediment samples in polluted and unpolluted areas.

Table 1 Concentration levels of metals ($\mu g \: g^{-1} \: dw)$ in sediment samples in polluted and unpolluted areas.

| Area | Station | AS | Ag | Sr | Sn | Sb | Мо |
|-----------------|---------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | 1 | 0.13 | 0.6 | 95 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 0.5 |
| | 2 | 0.16 | 0.7 | 121 | 2.1 | 2 | 0.35 |
| | 3 | 0.20 | 0.6 | 99 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 0.24 |
| | 4 | 0.20 | 0.6 | 145 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 0.36 |
| | 5 | 0.25 | 0.7 | 152 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 0.41 |
| | 6 | 0.33 | 0.8 | 136 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 0.61 |
| | 7 | 0.52 | 0.8 | 137 | 3.2 | 6.4 | 0.74 |
| | 8 | 0.70 | 0.9 | 128 | 2.1 | 7.8 | 0.71 |
| | 9 | 0.81 | 0.4 | 85 | 3.4 | 9.4 | 0.39 |
| Unpolluted area | 10 | 0.79 | 0.6 | 154 | 3.3 | 8.1 | 0.42 |
| • | 11 | 0.46 | 0.4 | 126 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 0.54 |
| | 12 | 0.10 | 0.5 | 126 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 0.57 |
| | 13 | 0.14 | 0.6 | 146 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 0.85 |
| | 14 | 0.07 | 0.7 | 137 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 0.24 |
| | 15 | 0.17 | 0.8 | 152 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 0.36 |
| | 16 | 0.14 | 0.9 | 163 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 0.35 |
| | 17 | 0.21 | 0.5 | 172 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 0.24 |
| | 18 | 0.14 | 0.6 | 132 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 0.24 |
| | 19 | 0.13 | 0.7 | 146 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 0.41 |
| Mean \pm SD | | $\textbf{0.3} \pm \textbf{0.24}$ | $\textbf{0.65} \pm \textbf{0.15}$ | $\textbf{134.3} \pm \textbf{22.7}$ | $\textbf{2.63} \pm \textbf{0.67}$ | $\textbf{3.79} \pm \textbf{2.38}$ | $\textbf{0.45} \pm \textbf{0.18}$ |
| | 20 | 12.5 | 1.1 | 325 | 4.23 | 5.9 | 1.5 |
| | 21 | 12.3 | 1.1 | 452 | 4.5 | 19.3 | 1.65 |
| | 22 | 13.5 | 1.2 | 489 | 4.78 | 19.4 | 1.75 |
| | 23 | 14.2 | 1.2 | 1234 | 5.36 | 16 | 1.71 |
| | 24 | 11.2 | 1.3 | 249 | 8.23 | 17.4 | 3.25 |
| | 25 | 11.3 | 1.1 | 895 | 6.32 | 14.8 | 2.75 |
| | 26 | 12.6 | 1.4 | 1124 | 7.32 | 16.9 | 4.53 |
| | 27 | 14.3 | 1.5 | 1243 | 5.6 | 15.3 | 5.21 |
| | 28 | 15.3 | 1.4 | 865 | 4.23 | 15.3 | 3.22 |
| Polluted area | 29 | 11.2 | 1.6 | 875 | 4.56 | 16.4 | 6.52 |
| | 30 | 13.8 | 1.7 | 652 | 4.12 | 17 | 7.21 |
| | 31 | 11.6 | 1.3 | 452 | 4.25 | 18.4 | 6.32 |
| | 32 | 12.6 | 1.3 | 365 | 4.34 | 20.7 | 8.21 |
| | 33 | 12.5 | 1.2 | 263 | 5.32 | 16.4 | 5.32 |
| | 34 | 14.6 | 1.5 | 965 | 8.32 | 16.2 | 4.38 |
| | 35 | 16.2 | 1.6 | 1354 | 7.54 | 13.6 | 1.29 |
| | 36 | 11.7 | 1.5 | 562 | 4.23 | 17.8 | 7.98 |
| | 37 | 15.3 | 1.3 | 786 | 4.12 | 14.8 | 8.31 |
| | 38 | 16.3 | 1.1 | 896 | 4.21 | 15.3 | 9.52 |
| | 39 | 14.9 | 1.1 | 254 | 4.33 | 16.2 | 3.22 |
| Mean \pm SD | | $\textbf{13.4} \pm \textbf{1.7}$ | $\textbf{1.33} \pm \textbf{0.192}$ | $\textbf{715} \pm \textbf{357.6}$ | $\textbf{5.30} \pm \textbf{1.45}$ | $\textbf{16.16} \pm \textbf{2.99}$ | $\textbf{4.7} \pm \textbf{2.6}$ |

2.2. Sample collection

Samples from surface sediments (0–10 cm) and shells of *Trachycardium lacunosum* in selected polluted and unpolluted areas were collected. 20 sediment samples and 18 shell samples in polluted area and 19 sediment samples and 13 shell samples in unpolluted area were collected during summer 2013. After transferring the collected sediment samples to the laboratory, the samples were dried at 105 °C for 24 h, homogenized, and packed in polyethylene bags and stored at -20 °C prior to analysis. The shell samples washed under a jet of tap water to remove algae, sand, clay and other impurities, and then dried at 105 °C for 24 h and stored at -20 °C prior to analysis.

2.3. Reagents

All the employed oxidants and mineral acids including HNO_3 , H_2O_2 , HF, $HClO_4$ and HCl were of suprapure quality (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). All glassware and plastic were cleaned by soaking overnight in a $10\% (w/v) HNO_3$ solution and then rinsed with deionized water before use. All solutions were prepared by using ultrapure water (18.2 M Ω cm).

Table 2

Concentration levels of metals ($\mu g g^{-1} dw$) in shell samples in polluted and unpolluted areas.

| Area | Station | AS | Ag | Sr | Sn | Sb | Мо |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | 1 | 0.02 | 0.002 | 35 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.01 |
| | 2 | 0.03 | 0.001 | 22 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 0.03 |
| | 3 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 21 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.02 |
| | 4 | 0.04 | 0.003 | 25 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 0.03 |
| | 5 | 0.06 | 0.001 | 31 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 0.04 |
| Unpolluted area | 12 | 0.02 | 0.006 | 22 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.05 |
| - | 13 | 0.03 | 0.006 | 23 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.05 |
| | 14 | 0.06 | 0.007 | 26 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.03 |
| | 15 | 0.04 | 0.008 | 35 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.02 |
| | 16 | 0.02 | 0.006 | 45 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.04 |
| | 17 | 0.03 | 0.001 | 22 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.03 |
| | 18 | 0.04 | 0.002 | 26 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.04 |
| | 19 | 0.05 | 0.003 | 28 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.03 |
| Mean \pm SD | | $\textbf{0.035} \pm \textbf{0.016}$ | $\textbf{0.004} \pm \textbf{0.003}$ | $\textbf{27.8} \pm \textbf{7.04}$ | $\textbf{0.71} \pm \textbf{0.62}$ | $\textbf{1.33} \pm \textbf{0.65}$ | $\textbf{0.032} \pm \textbf{0.012}$ |
| | 20 | 2.5 | 0.12 | 150 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 0.7 |
| | 22 | 3.3 | 0.23 | 185 | 3.1 | 7.4 | 1.7 |
| | 23 | 3.2 | 0.21 | 97 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 1.8 |
| | 24 | 4.2 | 0.33 | 144 | 3.2 | 12.4 | 3.3 |
| | 25 | 1.3 | 0.22 | 125 | 2.3 | 11.8 | 2.6 |
| | 26 | 2.9 | 0.21 | 125 | 3.3 | 10.9 | 4.4 |
| | 27 | 4.7 | 0.11 | 85 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 5.6 |
| | 28 | 5.3 | 0.12 | 162 | 2.2 | 6.3 | 3.4 |
| Polluted area | 29 | 1.6 | 0.13 | 168 | 2.5 | 9.4 | 6.3 |
| | 31 | 2.6 | 0.15 | 139 | 2.2 | 9.4 | 6.9 |
| | 32 | 6.6 | 0.25 | 145 | 2.3 | 6.7 | 8.3 |
| | 33 | 4.5 | 0.26 | 99 | 2.3 | 6.4 | 5.7 |
| | 34 | 6.6 | 0.11 | 78 | 2.3 | 6.2 | 4.6 |
| | 35 | 6.2 | 0.12 | 135 | 3.2 | 5.6 | 1.3 |
| | 36 | 5.7 | 0.12 | 85 | 2.2 | 5.8 | 7.2 |
| | 37 | 5.3 | 0.13 | 185 | 2.1 | 13.8 | 8.3 |
| | 38 | 4.3 | 0.14 | 136 | 2.2 | 11.3 | 9.4 |
| | 39 | 3.9 | 0.15 | 128 | 2.3 | 12.2 | 3.6 |
| $\textbf{Mean} \pm \textbf{SD}$ | | $\textbf{4.15} \pm \textbf{1.6}$ | $\textbf{0.17} \pm \textbf{0.06}$ | $\textbf{131.7} \pm \textbf{32.8}$ | $\textbf{2.5} \pm \textbf{0.4}$ | $\textbf{8.28} \pm \textbf{3.12}$ | $\textbf{4.73} \pm \textbf{2.63}$ |



Fig. 2. Comparison of metals concentration levels in the shell samples in polluted and unpolluted areas.



Fig. 3. The map and locations of sampling station.

2.4. Digestion and analytical procedures

The sediment samples (0.5 g) were digested with 2 ml HNO₃ (65%), 6 ml HCl (37%) in a microwave digestion system for 30 min and then diluted to 25 ml with ultrapure water and stored in polyethylene bottle until analysis. 0.5 g of powdered shell was completely digested in a Teflon cup using a mixture of conc. HNO₃, HClO₄ and HF with the ratio 3:2:1 respectively. Acids were slowly added to dried sample and left overnight before further process. Then, the samples were heated at 200 °C then left to cool and filtered. The filtered solution was justified to a volume of 25 ml. Blank digest was also performed in the same way. ICP-OES instrumental method [1–3] was used to determine the concentration level of metals including As, Ag, Sr, Sn, Sb and Mo (Table 3).

Table 3 ICP-OES instrumental operating details.

| Parameters | |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Company, model | SPECTRO (Germany), Spectro arcos |
| RF generator power (W) | 1400 |
| Frequency of RF generator (MHz) | 27.12 MHz |
| Type of detector | Charge coupled devices (CCD) |
| Torch type | Flared-end EOP torch 2.5 mm |
| Plasma, auxiliary, and nebulizer gas | High purity (99.99%) argon |
| Plasma gas flow rate $(L \min^{-1})$ | 14.5 |
| Auxiliary gas flow rate (L min $^{-1}$) | 0.9 |
| Nebulizer gas flow rate ($L \min^{-1}$) | 0.85 |
| Sample uptake time (s) | 240 total |
| Delay time of (s) | - |
| Rinse time of (s) | 45 |
| Initial stabilization time (s) | Preflush: 45 |
| Time between replicate analysis (s) | - |
| Measurement replicate | 3 |
| Pump rate | 30 RPM |
| Element (λ nm ⁻¹) | Ag 328.068; As 189.042; Sr 346.446 |
| | Sn 189.991; Sb 206.833; Mo 204.598 |

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the Bushehr University of Medical Sciences (Grant no. 8431) for their financial support and the laboratory staff of the Environmental Health Engineering Department for their cooperation. The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, or preparation of the manuscript.

Transparency document. Supporting information

Transparency data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.06.065.

References

- [1] H. Arfaeinia, I. Nabipour, A. Ostovar, Z. Asadgol, E. Abuee, M. Keshtkar, S. Dobaradaran, Assessment of sediment quality based on acid-volatile sulfide and simultaneously extracted metals in heavily industrialized area of Asaluyeh, Persian Gulf: concentrations, spatial distributions, and sediment bioavailability/toxicity, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 9 (2016) 1–20.
- [2] D.R. Abadi, S. Dobaradaran, I. Nabipour, X. Lamani, M. Ravanipour, R. Tahmasebi, S. Nazmara, Comparative investigation of heavy metal, trace, and macro element contents in commercially valuable fish species harvested off from the Persian Gulf, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res 22 (2015) 6670–6678.
- [3] S. Dobaradaran, K. Naddafi, S. Nazmara, H. Ghaedi, Heavy metals (Cd, Cu, Ni and Pb) content in two fish species of Persian Gulf in Bushehr Port, Iran, Afr. J. Bioethanol 9 (2013) 6191–6193.