COMPLETENESS OF HOLOMORPHS

в¥

W. PEREMANS

(Communicated by Prof. J. F. KOKSMA at the meeting of May 25, 1957)

1. Introduction. A complete group is a group without centre and without outer automorphisms. It is well-known that a group G is complete if and only if G is a direct factor of every group containing G as a normal subgroup (cf. [6], p. 80 and [2]). The question arises whether it is sufficient for a group to be complete, that it is a direct factor in its holomorph. RÉDEI [9] has given the following necessary condition for a group to be a direct factor in its holomorph: it is complete or a direct product of a complete group and a group of order 2. In section 2 I establish the following necessary and sufficient condition: it is complete or a direct product of a group of order 2 and a complete group without subgroups of index 2. Obviously a group of order 2 is a trivial example of a non-complete group which is a direct factor of its holomorph (trivial, because the group coincides with its holomorph). For non-trivial examples we need non-trivial complete groups without subgroups of index 2. We quote some examples of complete groups from the literature.

The unrestricted symmetric group S_n of n objects, where n is an arbitrary finite or infinite cardinal number is complete, except if n=2 or n=6 ([5], p. 92 and [11]). If n is infinite, S_n does not contain subgroups of index 2 ([10] and [1]; in these papers general statements about normal subgroups of S_n are proved. A direct proof of the non-existence of subgroups of index 2 is easier).

The group of automorphisms of a non-abelian elementary group is complete (a group is called elementary, if it has no proper characteristic subgroups); cf. [3], p. 96 corollary, where the theorem is proved for finite groups. The generalization to infinite groups offers no difficulties.

The holomorph of a finite abelian group of odd order is complete (cf. [7]; in [4] some special cases of this theorem are rediscovered). In section 3 we direct our attention to a generalization of this theorem. The condition of being abelian of odd order is replaced by the condition that the mapping $x \to x^2$ is an automorphism. This condition means that the group is abelian without elements of order 2, and every element is a square. Obviously a finite group satisfies this condition if and only if it is abelian of odd order. Unfortunately the statement, that the holomorph of a group, in which $x \to x^2$ is an automorphism, is complete, is false. A counterexample is

the direct product of a quasicyclic group of type p^{∞} and a group of order p, where p is an odd prime (for details see section 3). In theorem 3.1 we give necessary and sufficient conditions in order that the holomorph of a group, for which $x \to x^2$ is an automorphism, be complete. These conditions, however, are complicated, but it seems that the conditions such a group has to satisfy in order that its holomorph is not complete, are rather strong. In theorem 3.2 some classes of groups are given, for which the holomorph is complete.

I have not investigated the question, whether an abelian group, in which $x \to x^2$ is not an automorphism, may have a complete holomorph. It is well-known, that a non-abelian group never has a complete holomorph (cf. [8]; see also section 2, iv).

2. The elements of a group are denoted by Latin characters; the identity element is denoted by e. Automorphisms are denoted by Greek characters and are written as left multipliers; accordingly $\alpha\beta$ denotes the automorphism, arising by first applying β and then α . The identity automorphism is denoted by 1. The inner automorphism $x \to axa^{-1}$ is denoted by $\tau(a)$. The group of automorphisms of a group G is denoted by A(G).

The holomorph K(G) of a group G may be defined as follows. The elements of K(G) are the pairs (a, α) with $a \in G$, $\alpha \in A(G)$; multiplication of elements of K(G) is given by the rule

$$(a, \alpha)$$
 $(b, \beta) = (a(\alpha b), \alpha \beta).$

The unit element of K(G) is (e, 1), the inverse of (a, α) is $(\alpha^{-1}a^{-1}, \alpha^{-1})$. The following facts about K(G) are well-known.

- i. K(G) contains the normal subgroup $G' = \{(x, 1) | x \in G\}$, isomorphic to G and $K(G)/G' \cong A(G)$.
- ii. K(G) contains the subgroup $A(G)'' = \{(e, \xi) | \xi \in A(G)\}$, isomorphic to A(G) and K(G) = G'A(G)''.
- iii. Every automorphism of G' is induced by an inner automorphism of K(G).
- iv. The mapping $(x, \xi) \to (x^{-1}, \tau(x)\xi)$ is an automorphism of K(G), which is outer if G is not abelian, because it maps the normal subgroup G' onto a group different from G'.

If H is a subgroup of G we denote by H' the group $\{(x, 1)|x \in H\}$; if B is a subgroup of A(G) we denote by B'' the group $\{(e, \xi)|\xi \in B\}$.

Theorem 2.1. If G is a group, G' is a direct factor of K(G) if and only if either G is complete or G is direct product of a group of order 2 and a complete group without subgroups of index 2.

Proof. G' is a direct factor of K(G) if and only if every coset of G' contains an element, which is permutable with every element of G', such that these elements constitute a group. If this element of the coset

 $\{(x, \alpha) | x \in G\}$ is denoted by $(f(\alpha)^{-1}, \alpha)$, a short calculation gives the following two conditions:

(2.1)
$$\alpha x = f(\alpha) x f(\alpha)^{-1},$$

(2.2)
$$f(\alpha\beta) = f(\alpha) f(\beta).$$

From (2.1) it follows that all automorphisms of G are inner; from (2.2) and (2.1) it follows that f is an isomorphic mapping of A(G) into G. If all automorphisms of G are inner, (2.1) and (2.2) are equivalent with the possibility of choosing one element from every coset of the centre C of G, such that these elements constitute a group. This is possible if and only if C is a direct factor of G. So we have proved the following statement.

G' is a direct factor of K(G) if and only if all automorphisms of G are inner and the centre of G is a direct factor of G.

Let $G=C \times D$; C the centre of G. Then D has no centre. If all automorphisms of G are inner, the same holds for C and D, so D is complete. As C is abelian, it has order 1 or 2. If C has order 1, then G (and therefore G') is complete, and by a theorem, mentioned in the introduction, G' is direct factor in every group containing G' as a normal subgroup, thus G' is a direct factor of K(G).

Now let $G = C \times D$; C of order 2 and D complete. An automorphism α of G induces on C the identical automorphism, because C is the centre of G and has order 2. It maps D onto a subgroup D^* of G of index 2. If α is outer, $D^* \neq D$, because D is complete and α is identical on C. Then $D^* \cap D$ is a subgroup of D of index 2 in D. Conversely if H is a subgroup of D of index 2 in D, we determine a mapping of G into G in the following way (c denotes the generating element of C):

$$\begin{aligned} h \to h & \text{for } h \in H, \\ d \to cd & \text{for } d \in D, \ d \notin H, \\ ch \to ch & \text{for } h \in H, \\ cd \to d & \text{for } d \in D, \ d \notin H. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously this mapping is an outer automorphism of G. So theorem 2.1 is proved.

3. In this section we consider abelian groups G; we adopt an additive notation for the group operation of G. If $x \to 2x$ is an automorphism of G, we denote it by 2. If G_1 and G_2 are abelian groups, the collection of all homomorphisms of G_1 into G_2 may be made in the obvious way into an additive group, which we denote by Hom (G_1, G_2) .

Theorem 3.1. The holomorph K(G) of an abelian group G, in which $x \to 2x$ is an automorphism, is not complete, if and only if G is a direct sum of groups B and C, satisfying the following requirements:

i. $B \neq 0$.

ii. Hom(C, B) = 0.

- iii. There exists an isomorphic mapping $x \to \delta(x)$ of B onto Hom(B, C).
- iv. There exists a function $f(x)(x \in B, f(x) \in B)$, mapping B onto B and satisfying $\delta(y)f(x) = \delta(x)y$ for all $x, y \in B$.

Remark. It is possible to show that, by iii, the function f(x) in iv is determined uniquely by $\delta(x)$ and that the fulfilment of iv does not depend on the choice of $\delta(x)$ in iii in this sense, that if $\delta(x)$ is replaced by another isomorphic mapping $x \to \delta_1(x)$ of B onto $\operatorname{Hom}(B, C)$, then f(x) can be replaced by $f_1(x)$, satisfying iv (with indices 1 at the appropriate places). As we do not need these facts in the sequel, we omit the proof.

Proof. We first prove that for any abelian group G, in which $x \to 2x$ is an automorphism, K(G) has no centre. An element (a, α) of the centre of K(G) has to satisfy

$$(a, \alpha)(x, \xi) = (x, \xi)(a, \alpha),$$

for all $x \in G$, $\xi \in A(G)$. So we must have

$$a + \alpha x = x + \xi a.$$

Taking $\xi = 1$, we get $\alpha x = x$, so $\alpha = 1$. Now $\alpha = \xi \alpha$. Taking $\xi = 2$, we get $\alpha = 0$, which completes the proof.

Let χ be an automorphism of K(G). G' is mapped by χ onto an abelian normal subgroup S of K(G). If $(a, \alpha) \in S$, $(0, 2)(a, \alpha)(0, 2)^{-1}(a, \alpha)^{-1} = (a, 1) \in S$ and $(a, 1)^{-1}(a, \alpha) = (0, \alpha) \in S$. So there exist subgroups C of G and B_1 of A(G), such that $S = \{(x, \xi) | x \in C, \xi \in B_1\}$. Obviously S is the direct product of C' and B''_1 . For $c \in C$ and $\alpha \in B_1$ we have $(c, \alpha) = (c, 1)(0, \alpha) = (0, \alpha)(c, 1) =$ $= (\alpha c, \alpha)$, so $\alpha c = c$. Moreover if $b \in G$ and $\alpha \in B_1$, we have $(b, 1)(0, \alpha)(b, 1)^{-1} =$ $= (b - \alpha b, \alpha) \in S$, so $b - \alpha b \in C$. Finally $C' = S \cap G'$ and C is a characteristic subgroup of G, because C' is a normal subgroup of K(G), contained in G'. W \ni may interpret K(G) also as the holomorph of S and repeat our preceding argument interchanging the rôles of S and G'. So we find that G is the direct sum of C and a subgroup B isomorphic to B_1 .

As we are only interested in the question, whether χ is outer or inner, we may replace χ by another automorphism χ_1 of K(G) belonging to the same automorphism class. As every automorphism of G' is induced by an inner automorphism of K(G), we may choose χ_1 in such a way, that it induces on G' any prescribed isomorphic mapping of G' onto S. We choose this mapping in such a way that it induces the identical mapping on C' and maps B' onto B''_1 . We need the following two lemma's.

Lemma 3.1. If the abelian group G is the direct sum of its subgroups B and C, C is a characteristic subgroup of G if and only if Hom(C, B) = 0.

Proof. If $\zeta \in \text{Hom}(C, B)$, $\zeta \neq 0$, the mapping $b \to b$ for $b \in B$ and $c \to \zeta c + c$ for $c \in C$ determines an automorphism of G; there exists a $c_1 \in C$ with $\zeta c_1 \neq 0$, so C is not a characteristic subgroup of G. We now

assume $\operatorname{Hom}(C, B) = 0$ and denote the projection of G onto B, corresponding to the given direct decomposition, by ϑ . If α is an automorphism of G, the restriction to C of $\vartheta \alpha$ is an element of $\operatorname{Hom}(C, B)$ and therefore zero. This means that α maps C into itself, so C is a characteristic subgroup of G.

Lemma 3.2. If the abelian group G is the direct sum of its subgroups B and C, where C is a characteristic subgroup of G, there exists a one-to-one mapping of A(G) onto the set of all triples (α, β, γ) , where $\alpha \in A(B), \ \beta \in A(C), \ \gamma \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$; the mapping $\lambda \to (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is determined by

(3.1)
$$\lambda(b+c) = \alpha b + \beta c + \gamma b$$

for $b \in B$, $c \in C$.

Proof. Let ϑ_1 and ϑ_2 denote the projections of G onto B and C respectively, corresponding to the given direct decomposition. Let $\lambda \in A(G)$. Because C is a characteristic subgroup of G, the restriction β of λ to C is an element of A(C). Let γ denote the restriction of $\vartheta_2\lambda$ to B; then $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$. Let α denote the restriction of $\vartheta_1\lambda$ to B. Then (3.1) holds. It remains to prove that $\alpha \in A(B)$. Let $\alpha b = 0$, then $\vartheta_1\lambda b = 0$, $\lambda b \in C$, $b \in C$, b = 0. If $b \in B$, there exists a $g \in G$, satisfying $\lambda g = b$. Now

$$b = \vartheta_1 \lambda g = \vartheta_1 \lambda (\vartheta_1 g + \vartheta_2 g) = \vartheta_1 \lambda \vartheta_1 g = \alpha (\vartheta_1 g).$$

So $\alpha \in A(B)$.

Conversely, let $\alpha \in A(B)$, $\beta \in A(C)$, $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$. Determine λ by (3.1); obviously λ is a homomorphism. If $\lambda(b+c)=0$, then $\alpha b=0$ and $\beta c+\gamma b=0$, so b=c=0. Finally

$$\lambda(\alpha^{-1}b+\beta^{-1}c-\beta^{-1}\gamma\alpha^{-1}b)=b+c.$$

This completes the proof.

We remark that if $\lambda_1 \to (\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1)$ and $\lambda_2 \to (\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2)$, then $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \to (\alpha_1 \alpha_2, \beta_1 \beta_2, \gamma_1 \alpha_2 + \beta_1 \gamma_2)$.

Let $\lambda \in B_1$ and $\lambda \to (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ according to lemma 3.2. If $c \in C$, then $c = \lambda c = \beta c$, so $\beta = 1$. If $b \in B$, then $b - \lambda b = b - \alpha b - \gamma b \in C$, so $b - \alpha b = 0$, $\alpha = 1$. Moreover $(1, 1, \gamma_1)(1, 1, \gamma_2) = (1, 1, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2)$. So an isomorphic mapping of B onto B_1 induces an isomorphic mapping of B into Hom(B, C); we denote the mapping corresponding to χ_1 by $b \to \delta(b)$; the mapping of B onto B_1 then reads $b \to (1, 1, \delta(b))$.

According to lemma 3.2 we now write the elements of K(G) in the form

$$(b+c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma),$$

with $b \in B$, $c \in C$, $\alpha \in A(B)$, $\beta \in A(C)$, $\gamma \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$.

We return to the automorphism χ_1 . We have

(3.2)
$$\chi_1(b+c, 1, 1, 0) = (c, 1, 1, \delta(b)).$$

We put

(3.3)
$$\chi_1(0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (B(\lambda) + C(\lambda), \Xi(\lambda), H(\lambda), Z(\lambda)),$$

where $B(\lambda) \in B$, $C(\lambda) \in C$, $\Xi(\lambda) \in A(B)$, $H(\lambda) \in A(C)$, $Z(\lambda) \in Hom(B, C)$, $\lambda = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Because χ_1 is a homomorphism, we have

 $\begin{array}{l} (B(\lambda_1\lambda_2) + C(\lambda_1\lambda_2), \ \mathbf{\Xi}(\lambda_1\lambda_2), \ \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1\lambda_2), \ \mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1\lambda_2)) = (B(\lambda_1) + C(\lambda_1) + \mathbf{\Xi}(\lambda_1)B(\lambda_2) + \\ + \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1)C(\lambda_2) + \mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1)B(\lambda_2), \ \mathbf{\Xi}(\lambda_1)\mathbf{\Xi}(\lambda_2), \ \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1)\mathbf{H}(\lambda_2), \ \mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1)\mathbf{\Xi}(\lambda_2) + \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1)\mathbf{Z}(\lambda_2)). \\ \text{So we get} \end{array}$

(3.4) $B(\lambda_1\lambda_2) = B(\lambda_1) + \Xi(\lambda_1)B(\lambda_2).$

(3.5)
$$C(\lambda_1\lambda_2) = C(\lambda_1) + \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1)C(\lambda_2) + \mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1)B(\lambda_2)$$

(3.6)
$$\mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1\lambda_2) = \mathbf{Z}(\lambda_1)\mathbf{\Xi}(\lambda_2) + \mathbf{H}(\lambda_1)\mathbf{Z}(\lambda_2).$$

Moreover we have

 $\chi_{1}(0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)\chi_{1}(b+c, 1, 1, 0) = \chi_{1}(\alpha b + \beta c + \gamma b, 1, 1, 0)\chi_{1}(0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma),$ $(B(\lambda) + C(\lambda), \Xi(\lambda), \mathbf{H}(\lambda), \mathbf{Z}(\lambda))(c, 1, 1, \delta(b)) = (\beta c + \gamma b, 1, 1, \delta(\alpha b))(B(\lambda) + C(\lambda), \mathbf{Z}(\lambda), \mathbf{H}(\lambda), \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)), (B(\lambda) + C(\lambda) + \mathbf{H}(\lambda)c, \Xi(\lambda), \mathbf{H}(\lambda), \mathbf{Z}(\lambda) + \mathbf{H}(\lambda)\delta(b)) =$ $= (\beta c + \gamma b + B(\lambda) + C(\lambda) + \delta(\alpha b)B(\lambda), \Xi(\lambda), \mathbf{H}(\lambda), \delta(\alpha b)\Xi(\lambda) + \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)),$

So we get

(3.7)
$$\mathbf{H}(\lambda)c = \beta c + \gamma b + \delta(\alpha b)B(\lambda).$$

(3.8)
$$\delta(\alpha b) \Xi(\lambda) = \mathbf{H}(\lambda) \delta(b).$$

Putting b=0 into (3.7) we get $\mathbf{H}(\lambda)c=\beta c$, and so

$$\mathbf{H}(\lambda) = \beta.$$

From (3.7) and (3.9) we infer

(3.10)
$$\delta(\alpha b)B(\lambda) + \gamma b = 0.$$

We now use the fact that 2 is an automorphism of K(G); obviously 2 is permutable with all other automorphisms of K(G). Moreover 2 corresponds to the triple (2, 2, 0).

So we infer from (3.4)

$$(3.11) B(\lambda) + \Xi(\lambda)B(2) = B(2) + \Xi(2)B(\lambda),$$

from (3.5), using (3.9),

$$(3.12) C(\lambda) + \beta C(2) + \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)B(2) = C(2) + 2C(\lambda) + \mathbf{Z}(2)B(\lambda),$$

and from (3.6), using (3.9),

(3.13)
$$\mathbf{Z}(\lambda)\mathbf{\Xi}(2) + \beta \mathbf{Z}(2) = \mathbf{Z}(2)\mathbf{\Xi}(\lambda) + 2\mathbf{Z}(\lambda).$$

From (3.12) we infer

(3.14)
$$C(\lambda) = \beta C(2) + \mathbf{Z}(\lambda)B(2) - C(2) - \mathbf{Z}(2)B(\lambda).$$

We are going to use now the fact that χ_1 is a mapping of K(G) onto K(G). Using (3.2), (3.3) and (3.9) we get

$$(3.15) \quad \chi_1(b+c,\,\alpha,\,\beta,\,\gamma) = (B(\lambda)+c+C(\lambda)+\delta(b)B(\lambda),\,\Xi(\lambda),\beta,\delta(b)\Xi(\lambda)+Z(\lambda)).$$

To every collection consisting of $b \in B$, $c \in C$ and a triple $\lambda = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ there exist $x \in B$, $y \in C$ and a triple $\omega = (\xi, \eta, \zeta)$ such that

- $(3.16) B(\omega) = b,$
- $(3.17) \qquad \qquad \Xi(\omega) = \alpha,$
- $(3.18) \qquad \eta = \beta,$

(3.19)
$$\delta(x)\alpha + \mathbf{Z}(\omega) = \gamma,$$

$$(3.20) y+C(\omega)+\delta(x)b=c.$$

Substituting ω for λ in (3.11) and using (3.16) and (3.17) we get

 $b + \alpha B(2) = B(2) + \Xi(2)b.$

Taking $\alpha = 1$, we get $b = \Xi(2)b$ for every $b \in B$, so

$$(3.21)$$
 $\Xi(2) = 1$

Taking $\alpha = 2$ and using (3.21) we get

$$(3.22) B(2) = 0$$

Using (3.21) we infer from (3.13)

(3.23) $\mathbf{Z}(\lambda) = \beta \mathbf{Z}(2) - \mathbf{Z}(2) \mathbf{\Xi}(\lambda).$

Using (3.17), (3.18) and (3.23) we infer from (3.19)

$$\delta(x)\alpha + \beta \mathbf{Z}(2) - \mathbf{Z}(2)\alpha = \gamma.$$

Taking $\alpha = \beta = 1$ we get $\delta(x) = \gamma$ and this means, that $x \to \delta(x)$ is a mapping of *B* onto Hom(*B*, *C*).

According to this fact there exists a $b_1 \in B$ satisfying $\delta(b_1) = \mathbb{Z}(2)$. Let ψ be the inner automorphism $\tau((b_1 + C(2), 1, 1, 0))$ of K(G) and $\chi_2 = \chi_1 \psi$. We get

$$\psi(0, 2, 2, 0) = (-b_1 - C(2), 2, 2, 0).$$

Using (3.15), (3.21) and (3.22) we get

$$(3.24) \qquad \qquad \chi_2(0, 2, 2, 0) = (0, 1, 2, 0).$$

Now χ_2 belongs to the automorphism class of χ , and it induces on G' the same mapping as χ_1 , i.e. (3.2) holds with χ_1 replaced by χ_2 . We replace χ_1 by χ_2 and take the functions $B(\lambda)$, $C(\lambda)$, $\Xi(\lambda)$, $\mathbf{H}(\lambda)$ and $\mathbf{Z}(\lambda)$ corresponding to χ_2 . All formulas deduced for these functions remain valid and moreover from (3.24) we infer

$$(3.25) C(2) = 0.$$

(3.26)
$$Z(2) = 0.$$

Using (3.22), (3.25) and (3.26), (3.14) turns into

$$(3.27) C(\lambda) = 0.$$

 $\mathbf{Z}(\lambda) = 0.$

We return to the fact, that χ_2 is a mapping onto K(G). Substituting ω for λ and t for b in (3.8) and using (3.9), (3.17) and (3.18) we get

(3.29)
$$\delta(\xi t) = \beta \,\delta(t) \alpha^{-1}.$$

Substituting ω for λ and t for b in (3.10) and using (3.16) we get

$$\delta(\xi t)b + \zeta t = 0,$$

and using (3.29), this turns into

(3.30)
$$\zeta t = -\beta \delta(t) \alpha^{-1} b$$

Obviously (3.29) determines ξ uniquely as a function of α and β and (3.30) determines ζ uniquely as a function of α , β and b. Moreover the mapping ξ determined by (3.29) is an element of A(B), and the mapping ζ determined by (3.30) is an element of Hom(B, C).

By (3.28), (3.19) turns into

$$\delta(x) = \gamma \alpha^{-1},$$

and by (3.27) and (3.31), (3.20) turns into

$$(3.32) y = c - \gamma \alpha^{-1} b.$$

Using (3.18), (3.29), (3.30), (3.31) and (3.32) we find that χ_2^{-1} is the mapping

$$(3.33) \qquad (b+c,\,\alpha,\,\beta,\,\gamma) \to (X_1(\gamma\alpha^{-1})+c-\gamma\alpha^{-1}b,\,\,\Xi_1(\alpha,\,\beta),\,\beta,\,\beta\mathbf{Z}_1(\alpha^{-1}b)),$$

where the functions $X_1(\gamma)$, $\Xi_1(\alpha, \beta)$ and $Z_1(b)$ are determined by

$$(3.34) \qquad \qquad \delta(X_1(\gamma)) = \gamma,$$

(3.35)
$$\delta(\boldsymbol{\Xi}_1(\alpha,\,\beta)t) = \beta\,\delta(t)\,\alpha^{-1},$$

$$\mathbf{Z}_{1}(b)t = -\delta(t)b.$$

Resuming the facts proved thus far, we get the following statement.

If χ is an automorphism of K(G), which maps G' onto S, G is the direct sum of B and C, where C is a characteristic subgroup of G and $C' = S \cap G'$. Moreover there exists an isomorphic mapping $x \to \delta(x)$ of B onto $\operatorname{Hom}(B,C)$ such that the mapping determined by (3.33), (3.34), (3.35) and (3.36) is the inverse of an automorphism χ_2 of K(G), belonging to the automorphism class of χ .

Obviously S=G', if and only if B=0. If B=0, (3.33) is the identical mapping. So K(G) contains an outer automorphism if and only if G is the direct sum of subgroups B and C, satisfying i, ii and iii and the mapping (3.33) is an automorphism of K(G).

It is a matter of straightforward verification that (3.33) is always a

homomorphism. This homomorphism is an isomorphism if and only if

(3.37)
$$X_1(\gamma \alpha^{-1}) = 0,$$

$$(3.38) c-\gamma \alpha^{-1}b=0,$$

(3.39)
$$\Xi_1(\alpha, 1) = 1,$$

(3.40)
$$\mathbf{Z}_{1}(\alpha^{-1}b) = 0$$

together imply $b=c=\gamma=0$ and $\alpha=1$. Now, by (3.34), (3.37) implies $\gamma=0$, and then (3.38) implies c=0. By (3.35), (3.39) is equivalent to (2.41)

$$(3.41) \delta(t)\alpha = \delta(t) ext{ for all } t \in B,$$

and by (3.36) and (3.41), (3.40) and (3.41) together are equivalent to (3.41) and

$$(3.42) \qquad \qquad \delta(t)b=0 \text{ for all } t \in B.$$

We now consider the conditions (3.33) has to satisfy in order that it is a mapping onto K(G). To every collection consisting of $b \in B$, $c \in C$ and a triple (α, β, γ) , there have to exist $x \in B$, $y \in C$ and a triple (ξ, β, ζ) , satisfying

$$(3.43) X_1(\zeta \xi^{-1}) = b,$$

$$(3.44) y - \zeta \xi^{-1} x = c,$$

$$(3.45) \qquad \qquad \mathbf{\Xi}_1(\xi,\,\beta) = \alpha,$$

$$(3.46) \qquad \qquad \beta \mathbf{Z}_1(\xi^{-1}x) = \gamma$$

By (3.34), (3.43) may be replaced by

$$(3.47) \qquad \qquad \zeta = \delta(b)\xi.$$

By (3.47), (3.44) may be replaced by

$$(3.48) y = c + \delta(b)x$$

By (3.35), (3.45) may be replaced by

(3.49)
$$\delta(\alpha t)\xi = \beta \delta(t) \text{ for all } t \in B.$$

By (3.36) and (3.49), (3.46) may be replaced by

(3.50)
$$\delta(t)x = -\gamma \alpha^{-1}t \text{ for all } t \in B.$$

If x and ξ are found, y and ζ follow from (3.47) and (3.48).

Suppose that i, ii, iii are satisfied and the mapping (3.33) is an automorphism of K(G). Take an element u of B and put $\gamma = -\delta(u), \alpha = 1$. The solvability of (3.50) implies the existence of a function $f(u)(u \in B, f(u) \in B)$, satisfying $\delta(t)f(u) = \delta(u)t$ for all $u, t \in B$. In order to prove that f(u) maps B onto B we take an element b of B. Clearly the mapping $t \to \delta(t)b$ $(t \in B)$ is an element of Hom(B, C), so, by iii, there exists a $u \in B$, satisfying $\delta(t)b = \delta(u)t = \delta(t)f(u)$ and therefore $\delta(t)(f(u) - b) = 0$. Because (3.42) implies b = 0, we find f(u) = b, so iv is satisfied. Suppose conversely that i, ii, iii, iv are satisfied. We first prove that if $b \in B$ and $\delta(x)b=0$ for all $x \in B$, then b=0. By iv, $\delta(x)b=0$ implies $\delta(b)f(x)=0$; because f(x) is a mapping onto B, this implies $\delta(b)=0$ and, by iii, b=0. We now prove that the mapping $x \to f(x)$ is an automorphism of B. Clearly

$$\delta(y)(f(x_1+x_2)-f(x_1)-f(x_2))=0,$$

so $f(x_1+x_2)=f(x_1)+f(x_2)$. If f(x)=0, $\delta(x)y=0$ for all $y \in B$, $\delta(x)=0$ and, by iii, x=0. So $x \to f(x)$ is an automorphism; we denote it by σ and we have $\delta(y)\sigma x = \delta(x)y$ for all $x, y \in B$. We have proved already that (3.42) implies b=0. If (3.41) is satisfied, we have $\delta(t)(\alpha u-u)=0$ for all $u, t \in B$, so $\alpha u = u$ for all $u \in B$, so $\alpha = 1$. In order to solve (3.50) we take a $u \in B$ satisfying $\delta(u) = -\gamma \alpha^{-1}$, which is possible by iii. Now x=f(u) solves (3.50). In order to solve (3.49) we remark that, for all $u \in B$, $\beta \delta(u)\sigma \alpha^{-1}\sigma^{-1} \in$ $\in \text{Hom}(B, C)$. So, by iii, there exists a function $g(u)(u \in B, g(u) \in B)$, satisfying $\delta(g(u)) = \beta \delta(u)\sigma \alpha^{-1}\sigma^{-1}$. It is easy to show that the mapping $u \to g(u)$ is an automorphism of B; we denote it by ξ . We now have for all $u, t \in B$:

$$\delta(\xi u)\sigma \alpha t = \beta \,\delta(u)\sigma t,$$

$$\delta(\alpha t)\xi u = \beta \,\delta(t)u,$$

so ξ indeed solves (3.49). This completes the proof of theorem 3.1.

From the proof of theorem 3.1 it follows that an automorphism of K(G) which maps G onto itself is inner. Moreover the mapping (3.33) maps G onto S, and this means that in the group of automorphism classes of K(G) the square of every element equals 1. This gives the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. If G is an abelian group, in which $x \to 2x$ is an automorphism, every automorphism of K(G) which maps G onto itself is inner and the group of automorphism classes of K(G) is a direct product of groups of order 2 or a group of order 1.

We now give an example of a group G, in which $x \to 2x$ is an automorphism and for which K(G) is not complete. Let p be an odd prime, B a group of order p, C a quasicyclic group of type p^{∞} and G the direct sum of B and C. Obviously $x \to 2x$ is an automorphism of G and i holds. A homomorphic image of C is zero or isomorphic to C, so ii holds. Let abe a generator of B and d_1, d_2, \ldots be generators of C, satisfying $pd_1=0$, $pd_{n+1}=d_n$ $(n=1, 2, \ldots)$. An element of Hom(B, C) is determined by $a \to kd_1$ $(k=0, 1, \ldots, p-1)$; we denote it by k. We determine $\delta(x)$ by putting $ka \to k$; then iii is satisfied. If we take for $x \to f(x)$ in iv the identical mapping, then iv is satisfied. By theorem 3.1 we find that K(G)is not complete.

In theorem 3.2 we give some sufficient conditions in order that K(G) be complete.

Theorem 3.2. If G is an abelian group, in which $x \to 2x$ is an automorphism, the holomorph K(G) of G is complete, if at least one of the following three conditions is satisfied:

- A. G is directly indecomposable.
- B. G is a direct sum of cyclic groups.
- C. G is a divisible group.

Proof. We assume that K(G) is not complete. Then G is a direct sum of B and C, where B and C satisfy the conditions of theorem 3.1. If C=0, then $\operatorname{Hom}(B, C)=0$, so, by iii, B=0, contradicting i. So $C\neq 0$. This proves already case A.

Let G be a direct sum of cyclic groups; the same holds for B and C ([5], p. 174). Suppose that C contains an infinite cyclic summand C_{∞} . By i, B contains a cyclic direct summand $\neq 0$. We may map C_{∞} homomorphically $\neq 0$ onto this summand of B and the other summands of C onto 0; this gives an element $\neq 0$ of $\operatorname{Hom}(C, B)$, contradicting ii. So C is periodic. Suppose that B contains a primary direct summand of order p^n . By iii, there exists a $\gamma \in \operatorname{Hom}(B, C)$ of order p^n . The image of γ is not zero and consists of elements, whose order divides p^n . So C has a direct summand of order p^k . We may map this summand of C non-trivially into the summand of order p^n of B and the other summands of C into 0. This gives an element $\neq 0$ of $\operatorname{Hom}(C, B)$, contradicting ii. So B is torsionfree and is a direct sum of infinite cyclic groups. Because $C \neq 0$ and C is periodic, C contains an element c of order p. We map the generators of the cyclic summands of G onto c; this gives an element of order p of $\operatorname{Hom}(B, C)$, which contradicts iii. So case B is proved.

Let G be divisible; the same holds for B and C ([5], p. 163). B and C both are direct sums of groups of types p^{∞} and R (additive group of the rational numbers). Suppose B contains a direct summand of type p^{∞} ; this group contains an element of order p. By iii, Hom(B, C) contains an element of order p. This implies, that C contains an element of order p and therefore a direct summand of type p^{∞} . This clearly contradicts ii. So B is torsion-free and contains at least one direct summand of type R. Suppose that C contains a direct summand of type R; we obtain again a contradiction with ii. So C is periodic. Because $C \neq 0$, it contains a direct summand of type p^{∞} . It is not difficult to show, that Hom (R, p^{∞}) is isomorphic to the additive group of the field of p-adic numbers, which has order \aleph (cardinal number of the continuum). Let B be a direct sum of α times a group of type R. The order of Hom $(B, C) \ge$ the order of Hom (B, p^{∞}) = the order of the unrestricted direct sum of α times Hom (R, p^{∞}) . This order is \aleph^{α} . If α is finite, the order of B is $\aleph_0 < \aleph^{\alpha}$ and if α is infinite, the order of B is $\alpha < \aleph^{\alpha}$. This contradicts iii. So case C is proved.

We remark that case B of theorem 3.2 implies MILLER's theorem ([7]), mentioned in the introduction.

If R is the additive group of the rational numbers, A(R) is isomorphic to the multiplicative group of the rational numbers $\neq 0$. By theorem 3.2, K(R) is complete. So the group consisting of the pairs (a, b) with $a, b \in R$ and $b \neq 0$ and with the multiplication rule (a,b)(c,d) = (a+bc,bd)is a countable complete group.

Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam.

REFERENCES

- 1. BAER, R., Die Kompositionsreihe der Gruppe aller eineindeutigen Abbildungen einer unendlichen Menge auf sich, Studia Math. 5, 15–17 (1934).
- 2. , Absolute retracts in group theory, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 52, 501-506 (1946).
- 3. BURNSIDE, W., Theory of groups of finite order, 2nd ed., Cambridge 1911.
- 4. GOL'FAND, YU A., On the automorphism group of the holomorph of a group (Russian), Mat. Sbornik 27, 333-350 (1950).
- 5. KUROSH, A. G., The theory of groups, Vol. I, New York 1955.
- 6. ____, The theory of groups, Vol. II, New York 1956.
- 7. MILLER, G. A., On the multiple holomorphs of a group, Math. Ann. 66, 133-142 (1909).
- 8. MILLS, W. H., On the non-isomorphism of certain holomorphs, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 74, 428-443 (1953).
- 9. RÉDEI, L., Die Holomorphentheorie für Gruppen und Ringe, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 5, 169–195 (1954).
- 10. SCHREIER, J. and S. ULAM, Über die Permutationsgruppe der natürlichen Zahlenfolge, Studia Math. 4, 134–141 (1933).
- 11. and , Über die Automorphismen der Permutationsgruppe der natürlichen Zahlenfolge, Fund. Math. 28, 258–260 (1937).