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#### Abstract

It is known that if one of the factors of a decomposition of a manifold into Cartesian product is an interval then the decomposition is not unique. We prove that the decomposition of a 4-manifold (possibly with boundary) into 2 -dimensional factors is unique, provided that the factors are not products of 1-manifolds. © 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

In 1945 Borsuk [2] showed that any connected compact $n$-dimensional manifold without boundary has at most one decomposition into a Cartesian product of factors of dimension $\leqslant 2$. If we consider Cartesian products of higher-dimensional manifolds then such
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uniqueness property does not hold (see Theorem 11.5 in [4] and [11]). Even if we consider the classical Ulam problem [17] of uniqueness of Cartesian squares, one can find counterexamples for 3-manifolds (cf. [12]).

The uniqueness of the decomposition into Cartesian products fails if the factors are 2 -manifolds with boundary. A torus with a hole and a disk with two holes are not homeomorphic, however, their Cartesian products with the interval $I=[0,1]$ are homeomorphic.

Similarly, the product of a Möbius band with a hole and the interval $I$ is homeomorphic to the product of a Klein bottle with a hole and the interval $I$. All 2-manifolds in the examples above can be constructed by identifying two pairs of disjoint arcs in the boundary of a disk. After multiplication by the interval $I$, the order of identified arcs on the boundaries of disks becomes inessential. If 3-manifold or more general 3-polyhedron has two different decompositions into Cartesian product then one of the factors in these decompositions must be an interval (see [14]).

The uniqueness property holds for Cartesian squares (cf. [5]) and Cartesian powers (cf. [15]) of 2-manifolds with boundary. The uniqueness (up to permutation of factors) of a Cartesian product of circles and intervals is obvious. We have the uniqueness of decomposition into a finite Cartesian product of 1-polyhedra (cf. [1]) and 1-dimensional locally connected continua (cf. [3]). A Cartesian product of 1-polyhedra does not have another decomposition into a Cartesian product of polyhedra of dimension $\leqslant 2$ (cf. [16]). Before we begin to consider uniqueness of Cartesian products of connected 2-manifolds with boundary we need some preliminaries.

Definition 1.1. Let $X$ be a compact connected 2-manifold with nonempty boundary. We associate to $X$ the following number:

$$
s(X)=\operatorname{rank} H_{1}(X)-\operatorname{rank} H_{1}(\partial X)+1 .
$$

Lemma 1.1. Let $X, Y, X^{\prime}$, and $Y^{\prime}$ be any compact connected 2 -manifolds with nonempty boundary and suppose that the Cartesian products $X \times Y$ and $X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic. Then

$$
s(X) s(Y)=s\left(X^{\prime}\right) s\left(Y^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof. We use an argument similar to the one in [15, Theorem 2.1]. We consider the map

$$
i_{*}: H_{2}(X \times Y) \rightarrow H_{2}(X \times Y, \partial(X \times Y)),
$$

which is induced by the inclusion of the pair $(X \times Y, \emptyset)$. The image of this map is generated by all products $\zeta_{1} \otimes \zeta_{2}$ such that $\zeta_{1} \in H_{1}(X)$ and $\zeta_{2} \in H_{1}(Y)$, such that $j_{k *}\left(\zeta_{k}\right) \neq 0$, for $k=1,2$, where

$$
j_{1_{*}}: H_{1}(X) \rightarrow H_{1}(X, \partial X) \quad \text { and } \quad j_{2 *}: H_{1}(Y) \rightarrow H_{1}(Y, \partial Y)
$$

are given by inclusions. The number $s(X)$ is equal to rank im $j_{1 *}$ and the number $s(Y)$ is equal to rank $\operatorname{im} j_{2_{*}}$. So $s(X) s(Y)$ is equal to rank $\operatorname{im} i_{*}$.

Hence if $X \times Y$ and $X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic it follows that $s(X) s(Y)=$ $s\left(X^{\prime}\right) s\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$.

Lemma 1.2. Let $X, Y, X^{\prime}$, and $Y^{\prime}$ be any compact connected 2-manifolds with nonempty boundary and suppose that the Cartesian products $X \times Y$ and $X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic. Then with respect to the order of the factors we have:
(i) $H_{1}(X)=H_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ and $H_{1}(Y)=H_{1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$;
(ii) $H_{1}(X, \partial X)=H_{1}\left(X^{\prime}, \partial X^{\prime}\right)$ and $H_{1}(Y, \partial Y)=H_{1}\left(Y^{\prime}, \partial Y^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Let $H_{1}(X)=Z^{x}, H_{1}(Y)=Z^{y}, H_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=Z^{x^{\prime}}$ and $H_{1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=Z^{y^{\prime}}$. By the Künneth formula we conclude that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z^{x y} \cong H_{2}(X \times Y) \cong H_{2}\left(X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}\right) \cong Z^{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}} \text { and } \\
& Z^{x+y} \cong H_{1}(X \times Y) \cong H_{1}\left(X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}\right) \cong Z^{x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $x=x^{\prime}$ and $y=y^{\prime}$ or $x=y^{\prime}$ and $y=x^{\prime}$. We can assume that the first case holds. This completes the proof of (i).

If $X$ is orientable then $H_{1}(X, \partial X)=Z^{x}$. If it is not then $H_{1}(X, \partial X)=Z^{x-1} \oplus Z_{2}$. Similarly, for $Y, X^{\prime}$, and $Y^{\prime}$. By the relative Künneth formula,

$$
H_{2}(X \times Y, \partial(X \times Y))=Z^{x y-o_{2} x-o_{1} y+o_{1} o_{2}} \oplus Z_{2}^{o_{2} x+o_{1} y-o_{1} o_{2}},
$$

where $o_{1}=1$ if $X$ is nonorientable and $o_{1}=0$ if $X$ is orientable, and $o_{2}=1$ if $Y$ is nonorientable and $o_{2}=0$ if $Y$ is orientable. Similarly for $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$. Hence $x y-o_{2} x-o_{1} y+$ $o_{1} o_{2}=x y-o_{2}^{\prime} x-o_{1}^{\prime} y+o_{1}^{\prime} o_{2}^{\prime}$. So, if $x>1$ and $y>1$ then $H_{1}(X, \partial X)=H_{1}\left(X^{\prime}, \partial X^{\prime}\right)$ and $H_{1}(Y, \partial Y)=H_{1}\left(Y^{\prime}, \partial Y^{\prime}\right)$.

If $x=0$ then $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic to the disk. Therefore $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are both orientable or both nonorientable, and their relative first homology groups are the same.

If $x=1$ then $X$ can be the annulus $A=S^{1} \times I$ or the Möbius band $M$. Similarly for $X^{\prime}$.

If $X$ is an annulus then $H_{2}(X \times Y, \partial(X \times Y))=Z \otimes H_{1}(Y, \partial Y)=H_{1}(Y, \partial Y)$. If $X^{\prime}$ is a Möbius band then $H_{2}\left(X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}, \partial\left(X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}\right)\right)=Z_{2} \otimes H_{1}\left(Y^{\prime}, \partial Y^{\prime}\right)$. These groups can be isomorphic only if $H_{1}(Y, \partial Y)=Z_{2}$ and if $H_{1}\left(Y^{\prime}, \partial Y^{\prime}\right)$ is equal to $Z$ or $Z_{2}$. The spaces $A \times M$ and $M \times M$ are not homeomorphic by Lemma 1.1; by definition $s(A)=0$, and $s(M)=1$, so $s(A) s(M) \neq s(M) s(M)$.

We start the consideration of the Cartesian products of connected 2-manifolds with boundary by presenting the case where one of the factors is not prime. In this paper a prime manifold is a manifold which is not a nontrivial Cartesian product. There exist three nonprime surfaces: $I \times I, I \times S^{1}$, and $S^{1} \times S^{1}$. We have the following:

Proposition 1.1. Let $X$ and $Y$ be any compact 2-manifolds, possibly with boundary, and suppose that the Cartesian products $X \times Y$ and $X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic. If $X$ is prime and $Y$ is a product of two 1-manifolds, then $X^{\prime}$ is also a prime 2 -manifold and $Y^{\prime}$ is a product of two 1-manifolds (up to a permutation of $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ ). In both cases, $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic. Furthermore, if $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are not homeomorphic, then $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic either to $I^{2}$ or to $S^{1} \times I$.

Proof. By Kosiński's theorem [10], all 2-dimensional Cartesian factors of a polyhedron are polyhedra, so $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are 2-manifolds, possibly with boundary. If $\partial X=\emptyset$ and $Y=$ $S^{1} \times S^{1}$ then we have the uniqueness by a classical result of Borsuk [2].

If $\partial X=\emptyset$ and $Y=I \times S^{1}$, then one of the factors $X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}$, say $X^{\prime}$ has an empty boundary, because $H_{3}\left(X \times Y ; Z_{2}\right)=H_{3}\left(X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime} ; Z_{2}\right) \neq 0$. Since $\partial(X \times Y)=X \times \partial Y=X^{\prime} \times \partial Y^{\prime}=$ $\partial\left(X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}\right)$, the surfaces $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic. Hence, comparing the homology groups we obtain that $Y^{\prime}$ is an annulus, also.

Now, let $\partial X=\emptyset$ and $Y=I^{2}$. If $X$ is nonorientable then $0=H_{2}(X)=H_{2}(X \times Y)=$ $H_{2}\left(X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}\right)$, so one of the factors $X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}$ is a disk. The second factor is homeomorphic to $X$. If $X$ is orientable, $\partial X^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ and $\partial Y^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ then $Z=H_{2}(X)=H_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \otimes H_{1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic to $S^{1} \times I$ and $X$ is a torus. If $\partial X^{\prime}=\emptyset$ then the boundaries $\partial(X \times Y)$ and $\partial\left(X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}\right)$ are homeomorphic, so $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic and $Y^{\prime}$ is a disk.

If $\partial X \neq \emptyset$ and $Y=S^{1} \times S^{1}$, then $Y^{\prime}=S^{1} \times S^{1}$ because $\partial(X \times Y)$ is a disjoint union of the sets homeomorphic to $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}$. Hence $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic by a special case of Theorem 2 [16]. If $Y$ is homeomorphic to a disk or to an annulus and $\partial X \neq \emptyset$, then by Lemma 1.2, $Y^{\prime}$ is also homeomorphic to a disk or to an annulus.

## 2. The main result

The following is the main result of our paper:
Theorem 2.1. Any connected 4 -dimensional manifold, possibly with boundary, has at most one decomposition into Cartesian products of prime 2-manifolds, possibly with boundary.

The techniques which were used in a similar lemma in [13] are not strong enough for our purpose. We shall use the Splitting theorem in the proof of our theorem above (see [ 8,9$]$ )-for investigation of the boundaries of the manifolds $X \times Y$ and $X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}$. So we use this theorem in the case when $\partial M$ is empty.

In $[8,9]$ manifolds are orientable, so we must also assume that the manifold $M$ is orientable. We denote by $\sigma_{W}(M)$ the 3-manifold obtained by splitting $M$ along $W$. Similarly we define the 2 -manifold $\sigma_{\partial W}(\partial M)$, which can be naturally identified with a submanifold of the boundary of $\sigma_{W}(M)$.

Theorem 2.2 (Splitting theorem [8, p. 157]). Let $M$ be any compact, orientable, suf-ficiently-large, irreducible and boundary-irreducible 3-manifold. Then there exists a twosided, incompressible 2-manifold, $W$ properly embedded in $M$, unique up to ambient isotopy, having the following three properties:
(a) The components of $W$ are annuli and tori, and none of them is boundary-parallel in $M$;
(b) Each component of $\left(\sigma_{W}(M), \sigma_{\partial W}(\partial M)\right)$ is either a Seifert pair or a simple pair; and
(c) $W$ is minimal with respect to inclusion among all two-sided 2-manifolds in $M$ having properties (a) and (b).

Proof of Theorem 2.1. If both surfaces $X$ and $Y$ are without boundary, the uniqueness holds by Borsuk's theorem [2].

If $\partial X=\emptyset$ and $\partial Y \neq \emptyset$ then $\partial(X \times Y)=X \times \partial Y$. Since $Y \neq I^{2}$, like in the proof of Proposition 1.1, one of the factors $X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}$, say $X^{\prime}$ has an empty boundary, because $H_{3}(X \times$ $\left.Y ; Z_{2}\right)=H_{3}\left(X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime} ; Z_{2}\right) \neq 0$ and $\partial Y^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. So, $\partial\left(X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}\right)=X^{\prime} \times \partial Y^{\prime}$. Therefore $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic and the numbers of the components of the boundaries $\partial Y$ and $\partial Y^{\prime}$ are the same. Looking at the homology and relative homology groups we obtain that the surfaces $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are also homeomorphic.

Now we consider the case when $\partial X$ and $\partial Y$ are nonempty. Again by Lemma 1.2, the first Betti numbers of $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are the same and the first Betti numbers of $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$, are also the same. The coincidence of the first relative homology groups implies that the orientability of $X$ and $Y$ agree with the orientability of $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$, respectively. We consider three cases.

In the first case, $X$ and $Y$ are orientable, $M=\partial(X \times Y), W=\partial X \times \partial Y$. Since by assumption, $X$ and $Y$ are not homeomorphic to $I^{2}$ or $S^{1} \times I$, the manifolds $M$ and $W$ satisfy the hypotheses of the Splitting theorem. Since the boundary of $M$ is empty, the manifold $W$ is a disjoint union of tori.

For somebody who is familiar with 3-manifolds the irreducibility of $M$ is a simple exercise, but for the reader's covenience we outline a proof. If $S$ is a 2 -sphere contained in $M$ we can assume that it is in a general position with $W$, so the intersection $S \cap W$ is a disjoint union of closed curves. Some of them bound innermost disks in $S$. Such a disk lies in one of components of $\sigma_{W}(M)$. The boundaries of the components are incompressible [8, II.2.4], so the boundary of the disk bound a disk in $W$. The components of $\sigma_{W}(M)$ are irreducible [8, II.2.3], so the union of our two disk bounds a ball. Via this ball we isotope parts of $S$ into the adjacent component of $\sigma_{W}(M)$ eliminating one closed curve of $S \cap W$. We repeat this operation as many times as $S$ lies in one component and it bounds a ball.

We will show that $W$ is minimal. Assume that $V=W \backslash\left(S_{1} \times S_{2}\right)$ where $S_{1} \times S_{2}$ is a component of $W$ also gives a splitting in the sense of Theorem 2.2. According to $V$, we have $U=\left(X \times S_{2}\right) \cup\left(S_{1} \times Y\right)$ as a component of $\sigma_{V}(M)$. It must be either a Seifert pair or a simple pair. The set $U$ is not a simple pair because the incompressible torus $S_{1} \times S_{2}$ is not boundary-parallel in $U$ (see [8, p. 154]).

The fundamental group of $U$ is infinite, so by Corollary 8.3 in [6] or VI.11.a in [7], the manifold $U$ is a Seifert manifold if and only if its fundamental group has a normal cyclic infinite subgroup. Let an element $\alpha$ of $\pi_{1}(U)$ be a generator of this subgroup. By Seifertvan Kampen theorem $\pi_{1}(U)$ is a sum with amalgamation of the groups $\pi_{1}\left(X \times S_{2}\right)$ and $\pi_{1}\left(S_{1} \times Y\right)$. The natural projections map the element $\alpha$ onto elements of the centers of $\pi_{1}\left(X \times S_{2}\right)$ and $\pi_{1}\left(S_{1} \times Y\right)$. So, if $\pi_{1}(X)$ and $\pi_{1}(Y)$ have more than one generator, it is impossible.

The same holds for $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$, where $M^{\prime}=\partial\left(X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}\right), W^{\prime}=\partial X^{\prime} \times \partial Y^{\prime}$. The components of $\sigma_{W}(M)$ are homeomorphic to spaces $X \times S^{1}$ and $S^{1} \times Y$. Because the manifolds $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic and $W$ is unique up to ambient isotopy, the components of $\sigma_{W}(M)$ and the components of $\sigma_{W^{\prime}}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ are homeomorphic. The components of $\sigma_{W^{\prime}}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ are homeomorphic to spaces $X^{\prime} \times S^{1}$ and $S^{1} \times Y^{\prime}$, so the manifolds $X$ and $Y$ are homeomorphic to $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$.

In the second case only one manifold is orientable. Let $X$ be nonorientable and $Y$ be orientable. We consider the oriented double covers $\widetilde{X}$ and $\widetilde{X}^{\prime}$ of $X$ and $X^{\prime}$. The manifolds $\widetilde{X} \times Y$, and $\widetilde{X}^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}$ are orientable double covers of the homeomorphic manifolds $X \times Y$ and $X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}$, so our manifolds are homeomorphic.

If $X$ is the Möbius band, then $X^{\prime}$ is also nonorientable and $H_{1}(X)=H_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=Z$, by Lemma 1.2, so $X^{\prime}$ is the Möbius band, too.

If $X$ is not the Möbius band, then as before, we have homeomorphy either according to $\widetilde{X} \approx \widetilde{X}^{\prime}$ and $Y \approx Y^{\prime}$ or according to $\widetilde{X} \approx Y^{\prime}$ and $Y \approx \widetilde{X}^{\prime}$ by the Splitting theorem. In the first case $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are also homeomorphic. In the second case if $H_{1}(X)=Z^{x}$ then $H_{1}(Y)=Z^{2 x-1}$. Putting $s\left(X^{\prime}\right)=s(X)+a, s\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=s(Y)+b, s(\widetilde{X})=2(s(X)-1)$ and $s\left(\widetilde{X}^{\prime}\right)=2\left(s\left(X^{\prime}\right)-1\right)$ to the equations

$$
s(X) s(Y)=s\left(X^{\prime}\right) s\left(Y^{\prime}\right), \quad s(\widetilde{X}) s(Y)=s\left(\widetilde{X}^{\prime}\right) s\left(Y^{\prime}\right)
$$

we obtain $s(Y)=s\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$, so $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic. Then

$$
\tilde{X} \approx Y^{\prime} \approx Y \approx \tilde{X}^{\prime}
$$

so $X \approx X^{\prime}$ also.
If $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are Möbius bands then we use Lemma 1.1. We have that $s(X) s(Y)=$ $s\left(X^{\prime}\right) s\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$. Hence $s(Y)=s\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$, because $s(X)=s\left(X^{\prime}\right)=1$. Since $H_{1}(Y)=H_{1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ and $s(Y)=s\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$, they have the same number of components of their boundaries, so they are homeomorphic.

In the third case both surfaces $X$ and $Y$ are nonorientable. We cannot use exactly the same argument, but we make a similar consideration. First, we know by Lemma 1.2 that both surfaces $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are also nonorientable. We consider the manifolds $X \times S_{i}$ where $S_{i}$ are components of $\partial Y$, and $S_{j} \times Y$ where $S_{j}$ are components of $\partial X$.

Next, we take the oriented double covers $\widetilde{X}$ and $\widetilde{Y}$ of $X$ and $Y$. The manifolds $\widetilde{X} \times S_{i}$ and $S_{j} \times \widetilde{Y}$ are the oriented double covers of $X \times S_{i}$ and $S_{j} \times Y$. Each of the tori $S_{j} \times S_{i}$ is covered by tori $S_{j}^{\prime} \times S_{i}$ and $S_{j}^{\prime \prime} \times S_{i}$ in $\widetilde{X} \times S_{i}$ and is covered by tori $S_{j} \times S_{i}^{\prime}$ and $S_{j} \times S_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ in $S_{j} \times \tilde{Y}$.

By identifying $S_{j}^{\prime} \times S_{i}$ with $S_{j} \times S_{i}^{\prime}$ and $S_{j}^{\prime \prime} \times S_{i}$ with $S_{j} \times S_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, we obtain the oriented double cover $M$ of $\partial(X \times Y)$. It is not essential which circles we denoted by $S_{i}^{\prime}, S_{j}^{\prime}$ and $S_{i}^{\prime \prime}, S_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ because in every case we obtain the unique the oriented double cover of $\partial(X \times Y)$.

Analogously, we construct the oriented double cover $M^{\prime}$ of $\partial\left(X^{\prime} \times Y^{\prime}\right)$. Of course $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic. If the manifolds $X$ and $Y$ are not the Möbius bands then we solve the problem by the Splitting theorem.

If $X$ is a Möbius band then we solve the problem using Lemma 1.1, like in the second case.

We also include the following new related result:
Theorem 2.3. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ and $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ be any surfaces with nonempty boundary and suppose that their Cartesian products $X_{1} \times \cdots \times X_{n}$ and $Y_{1} \times \cdots \times Y_{n}$ are homeomorphic. Then there exists a one-to-one correspondence between them (assume $X_{i}$ corresponds to $\left.Y_{i}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{rank} H_{1}\left(X_{i}\right)=\operatorname{rank} H_{1}\left(Y_{i}\right)$ and if

$$
s\left(X_{i}\right)=\operatorname{rank} H_{1}\left(X_{i}\right)-\operatorname{rank} H_{1}\left(\partial X_{i}\right)+1
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ then

$$
s\left(X_{1}\right) s\left(X_{2}\right) \cdots s\left(X_{n}\right)=s\left(Y_{1}\right) s\left(Y_{2}\right) \cdots s\left(Y_{n}\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $H_{1}\left(X_{i}\right)=Z^{n_{i}}$ and $H_{1}\left(Y_{1}\right)=Z^{m_{i}}$. We can conclude from the Künneth formula that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}\left(X_{1} \times \cdots \times X_{n}\right)=Z^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{i}}, \\
& H_{2}\left(X_{1} \times \cdots \times X_{n}\right)=Z^{\sum_{i_{1} \neq i_{2}} n_{i_{1}} n_{i_{2}}}, \quad \text { and } \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& H_{n}\left(X_{1} \times \cdots \times X_{n}\right)=Z^{n_{1} \cdots n_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain similar formulae for the product $Y_{1} \times \cdots \times Y_{n}$. Because rank $H_{i}\left(X_{1} \times \cdots \times\right.$ $\left.X_{n}\right)=\operatorname{rank} H_{i}\left(Y_{1} \times \cdots \times Y_{n}\right)$ we can conclude that $n_{i}=m_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. This follows from the fact that the ranks of the homology groups above are the coefficients of the polynomials $\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-n_{i}\right)$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-m_{i}\right)$. The polynomials are equal, so the numbers $n_{i}$ and $m_{i}$ are the same.

We obtain the equality $s\left(X_{1}\right) s\left(X_{2}\right) \cdots s\left(X_{n}\right)=s\left(Y_{1}\right) s\left(Y_{2}\right) \cdots s\left(Y_{n}\right)$ like in the previous proof.
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