

## Note

# A Counterexample to the Triangle Conjecture\*

P. W. SHOR

*Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139*

*Communicated by the Managing Editors*

Received July 15, 1983

The triangle conjecture sets a bound on the cardinality of a code formed by words of the form  $a^i b a^j$ . A counterexample exceeding that bound is given. This also disproves a stronger conjecture that every code is commutatively equivalent to a prefix code. © 1985 Academic Press, Inc.

### INTRODUCTION

We let  $A = \{a, b\}$  be a two-letter alphabet and  $A^*$  be the free monoid generated by  $A$ . A code over  $A$  is a set of words in  $A^*$  such that any string of words in the code can be uniquely deciphered into its components. That is, if  $u_1 u_2 \cdots u_j = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_k$ , with  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  words in the code, then  $j = k$  and  $u_i = v_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, j$ . Equivalently, a code is a set of words in  $A^*$  that generates a free submonoid of  $A^*$ .

Consider words of the form  $a^i b a^j$ , with  $i + j \leq m - 1$  for some fixed  $m$ . It is easy to find codes made up of  $m$  such words, for example  $\{b, ab, a^2 b, \dots, a^{m-1} b\}$ . Since each word has a distinct beginning, the code can be deciphered by pulling words from the left of any string. Perrin and Schützenberger [3, 4] conjectured that this was the maximum possible; that is, any code consisting only of words of the form  $a^i b a^j$  has at most  $m$  words, where  $i + j \leq m - 1$ .

\* The author was supported during this research by a National Science Foundation Graduate Fellowship.

## THE COUNTEREXAMPLE

Here we present a set of 16 words, with  $i + j \leq 14$ , that form a code. The words are

$$\begin{array}{cccc} b & a^3b & a^8b & a^{11}b \\ ba & a^3ba^2 & a^8ba^2 & a^{11}ba \\ ba^7 & a^3ba^4 & a^8ba^4 & a^{11}ba^2 \\ ba^{13} & a^3ba^6 & a^8ba^6 & \\ ba^{14} & & & \end{array}$$

We show that in a string of words from this code, the leftmost word is uniquely determined. Suppose we have

$$a^{i_0}ba^{j_0}a^{i_1}ba^{j_1}\cdots = a^{i'_0}ba^{j'_0}a^{i'_1}ba^{j'_1}\cdots.$$

Then  $i_0 = i'_0$ , and  $j_0 + i_1 = j'_0 + i'_1$ , that is,

$$j_0 - j'_0 = i'_1 - i_1.$$

So if the leftmost word in a string is not uniquely determined, then the difference of two  $j$ -values associated with the same  $i$ -value must be equal to the difference of two  $i$ -values. We can easily check that this does not happen in the code given above. The  $j$ -values for  $i = 0$  are  $\{0, 1, 7, 13, 14\}$ , and this has difference set  $\{1, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14\}$ . For  $i = 3$  and  $i = 8$ , we get  $\{0, 2, 4, 6\}$ , giving a difference set  $\{2, 4, 6\}$ . For  $i = 11$  we get a difference set of  $\{1, 2\}$ . All of these difference sets are disjoint from the one we get from the  $i$ -values  $\{0, 3, 8, 11\}$ , which is  $\{3, 5, 8, 11\}$ . Thus, the leftmost word is always uniquely determined. By pulling the leftmost word off a string of codewords, we obtain a shorter string of codewords. Repeating this process, we can decode the string.

By doubling  $m$ , and replacing each word  $a^i b a^j$  by two words,  $a^{2i} b a^{2j}$  and  $a^{2i} b a^{2j+1}$ , we get a larger code with the same ratio of (number of words)/ $m = 16/15$ . Hansel [2] has shown that this ratio cannot exceed  $1 + \sqrt{2}$ . Thus, we have

$$16/15 \leq \supremum \left( \frac{\text{number of words}}{\max(i+j+1)} \right) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},$$

where the supremum is taken over codes with words of the form  $a^i b a^j$ . It might be of interest to determine this ratio exactly.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank Jeff Kahn for bringing this problem to my attention and for his help in working on it.

## REFERENCES

1. C. DE FELICE, On the triangle conjecture, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **14** (1982), 197–200.
2. G. HANSEL, Baïonnettes et cardinaux, *Discrete Math.* **39** (1982), 331–335.
3. D. PERRIN AND M. P. SCHÜTZENBERGER, Un problème élémentaire de la théorie de l'information, in "Théorie de l'Information," Colloq. Internat. CNRS No. 276, pp. 249–260, 1977.
4. D. PERRIN AND M. P. SCHÜTZENBERGER, A conjecture on sets of differences of integer pairs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **30** (1981), 91–93.
5. J. E. PIN AND I. SIMON, A note on the triangle conjecture, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **32** (1982), 106–109.