Single incision laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A single center experience
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A B S T R A C T
Introduction: Single Incision Laparoscopic Surgery (SILS) is a variation in which trocar scars are hidden in
the umbilicus. We sought to determine whether SILS cholecystectomy is a safe alternative to a conven-
tional laparoscopic cholecystectomy.
Methods: We retrospectively reviewed our series of 205 SIL cholecystectomies (SILC) performed between
May 2008–June 2010. The first 50 cases were done by initially insufflating the abdomen with a veress
needle through the umbilicus and then placing 3, 5 mm ports in the umbilicus. The remaining cases were
performed using a cut down approach at the umbilicus, followed by placement of a three-trocar SILS port
under direct vision.
Results: Two hundred and five patients (M:F = 48:157) underwent SILC during the study period. Median
age was 45 (range = 21–62). Mean BMI range was 35 (range = 21–44). Mean operative time was 60 min
(range = 40–120 min) and a follow up period that ranges from 1 to 21 months. Patient pathologies
included: Chronic cholecystitis (74%), Acute cholecystitis (17%), Choledocholithiasis (6.8%), Gallstone
pancreatitis (2%) and gallbladder polyp (0.5%). An additional port was placed in the umbilicus in 3% of
cases. No cases were converted to open. Complications occurred in 4% of cases including: 3 patients with
retained stones, 2 patients with post-op wound infection, 2 patients with incisional hernias in the
umbilical region and 1 patient with a veress injury.
Conclusion: SIL cholecystectomy can be done safely. It offers a better cosmetic result, which may lead to
greater patient satisfaction.

© 2011 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Surgical Associates Ltd.

1. Introduction

Gallbladder disease represents a major cause of morbidity in
Western societies. In the United States, the incidence of symp-
tomatic cholelithiasis is 2.2/1000 people and accounts for 500,000
cholecystectomies each year.1 Most of these cholecystectomies are
performed laparoscopically. Transition from open cholecystectomy
to laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the late 1980s represents one of
the major changes in contemporary general surgery. This change
has brought with its improvement in Optics and the understanding
of the physics of laparoscopic technology such that almost all
general surgical procedures are now amenable to laparoscopic
surgery.

Disappointed with additional incisions required for port place-
ment during conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy, in 1997,
marked the beginning of a new approach, which employs only one
incision.2 This technique initially failed to gain popularity, however,
until it was re-introduced in 2007. Single incision laparoscopic
cholecystectomy hoped to create faster recovery, better cosmetic
result, enhanced patient’s satisfaction as well as reduced post-
operative pain. There is however, a growing concern for the
development of incisional hernia and increased risk of infection
among these patients. In this study, we retrospectively reviewed
our experience over a 25 month period.

2. Aim

To retrospectively review our institutional experience with
regards to safety, feasibility and short-term outcomes of Single
Incision Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy and to compare our results
with the national standard.

3. Patients and methods

This is a retrospective review of cholecystectomies performed via
the SILS approach in a high volume tertiary center in New York
conducted between May 2008 and June 2010. Data was recorded
prospectively in an excel database. The dataset contains demo-
graphic variables, admission, discharge details, and outpatient clinic
follow up. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) and Informed consent was obtained from all patients. The patients were initially carefully selected based on their basis of age, body mass index and history of previous abdominal surgery. After patient 50, all patients were sequentially offered a SILS approach. All patients had radiological evidence of gallstone.

3.1. Inclusion Criteria
- Age: 20–65
- Radiological evidence of gallstone
- Symptomatic disease

3.2. Exclusion Criteria
- History of umbilical hernia repair with mesh
- Previous laparotomy
- Pregnancy
- BMI > 44.

All procedures were performed by two senior attending surgeons with Fellowship training in advanced laparoscopy as well as formal training in single incision procedures... In preparation, all patients were placed in supine position with a slight reverse Trendeleberg and 30° right side tilt. Sequential pneumatic compression stockings were applied to both legs. With the exception of patients with Penicillin allergy, all other patients received perioperative antibiotic (Cefoxitin®) and orogastric tube decompression of the stomach.

During the early stage of the study, a veress needle was inserted through the umbilical stalk to establish pneumoperitoneum. Once sufficient pneumoperitoneum was created, 2 or 3, 5 mm ports were placed in the umbilicus. In the later part of the study, this closed technique was replaced by an open 1–2 cm transumbilical cut-down approach, followed by placement of SILSTM Port Multiple Instrument Access Port (Covidien, Norwalk CT).

Once the SILS port was in place, a 5 mm 0° laparoscope was placed along with 2 further 5 mm ports. In order to expose Calot’s triangle, the gallbladder was retracted cephalad with a 0 silk transabdominal suture introduced on a straight Keith needle. The suture was secured subcostally in the right upper quadrant.

Using a reticulating grasper (Covidien, Norwalk CT), the gallbladder was held laterally to allow for further exposure. Dissection was performed in a retrograde manner using a reticulating mari-land dissector (Covidien, Norwalk CT). Once the cystic duct and artery were identified and a critical view obtained, these structures were individually clipped with a standard 5 mm clip (Endoclip® applicer, Autosuture) and divided using standard endoskive.

The gallbladder was then dissected off its bed and extracted via the umbilical site.

After ensuring satisfactory hemostasis and pneumoperitoneum was evacuated, the SILSTM port was withdrawn. The fascial defect was approximated to its near pre-surgical state using absorbable suture.

All patients were seen in the clinic at 1, 6 months and yearly thereafter. During visits, all patients were examined for the presence of incisional hernia and trocar site infection, and bile duct injuries. Concern for the first two complications is based on the fact that the umbilicus represents the weakest part of the anterior abdominal wall and also has the least blood supply. Meanwhile, concern for the third complication (bile duct injury) results from the altered visualization of Calot’s triangle created by in-line visualization and non-triangulation of ports.

In our series, we recorded a 4% complication rate. Specifically, our incisional hernia and trocar site infection rates were 1% each. This compares favorably with the published infection and incisional hernia rate of 2% and 5.2% respectively after traditional laparoscopic cholecystectomy.3,4 In all patients, we routinely placed a suture through the gall bladder in order to obtain better exposure. This practice, led to bile spillage. Others who had adopted a similar approach ours have recorded low infection. Although, this finding suggests that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cholecystitis</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholelithiasis</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary pancreatitis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gall bladder polyp</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
follow up period is short, we believe that this time is suf-
ficient to detect early incisional hernia. This view is supported by a report by Mudge and colleagues who observed that 85% of all incisional hernia occurs within the first 5 years of the initial surgery.25,26

Compared with conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy, SILS cholecystectomy has limited access, which makes the procedure technically challenging. It is widely believed that this limitation lends the procedure to be more susceptible to conversion to the open approach. In our experience, to be proficient in Single incision laparoscopic cholecystectomy requires a minimum of 50 procedures. Of the 205 SILS cholecystectomies performed in our series, none was converted to an open approach. Others have reported similar results.27–29 In two separate reports by Rao and Kuon, the conversion rates were 15% (20 patients) and 13.5% (37 patients) respectively. The reasons given for conversion to conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy appears to be multifactorial. Commonly, they include difficult anatomy, difficult dissection, inability to insert trocar, bleeding, body habitus, and crowding of instruments (sword fighting effect).

Six patients (3%) in our series required additional port placement to facilitate exposure but none of these patients required conversion to open cholecystectomy. Hodgett et al.8 reported a similar result in 2 patients in their series.

In most published series of SILS cholecystectomy, the indication for surgery is commonly biliary colic. In our series, 92% of our patients had cholecystitis. Our mean operative time was 60 minutes. The average operative time is identical to Hodgett and Binenbaum,26,27 who separately reported a mean operative time of 74 and 149 min respectively. In a recent meta-analysis conducted by Antoniou et al.,28 mean operative time was 70.2 min and mean adjusted hospital stay was 1.4 days. This finding is consistent with the findings in conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Analysis of outcome from that study indicated that age > 45 years, BMI > 30 Kg/m² and the presence of acute cholecystitis are associated with adverse effects and are often major factors for technical failure. In our series, of the 6 patients that required additional port placement, 88% were clinically obese. Unlike Antoniou’s review, we did not encounter any technical difficulty in patients with acute cholecystitis. We believe that SILS cholecystectomy with a well-choreographed series of steps has an operative time comparable with the standard laparoscopic approach.

This study has several limitations. Our follow up period is short and therefore unable to predict the likelihood of herniation in future, as several studies in the past have shown that the risk of incisional hernia formation increases over time. In order to effectively assess this outcome, a long follow up period of at least 5 years are required. Furthermore, in most series, the number of cases of SILS cholecystectomies performed was small and therefore lacks the power to determine the true incidence of incisional hernia. To the best of our knowledge, this series represents the largest single institute series in the English-speaking world to be published.

6. Conclusion

SILS is technically challenging with a steep learning curve. Once mastered, operative time is comparable with conventional 4-port laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Early results indicate that it is cosmetically acceptable to patients and has high satisfactory index.
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