



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 318 (2006) 43–52

Journal of
MATHEMATICAL
ANALYSIS AND
APPLICATIONS

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

A general iterative method for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces

Giuseppe Marino ^a, Hong-Kun Xu ^{b,*},¹^a *Dipartimento di Matematica, Universita della Calabria, 87036 Arcavacata di Rende (Cs), Italy*^b *School of Mathematical Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Westville Campus, Private Bag X54001, Durban 4000, South Africa*

Received 21 April 2005

Available online 9 June 2005

Submitted by T.D. Benavides

Abstract

Let H be a real Hilbert space. Consider on H a nonexpansive mapping T with a fixed point, a contraction f with coefficient $0 < \alpha < 1$, and a strongly positive linear bounded operator A with coefficient $\tilde{\gamma} > 0$. Let $0 < \gamma < \tilde{\gamma}/\alpha$. It is proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by the iterative method $x_{n+1} = (I - \alpha_n A)Tx_n + \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n)$ converges strongly to a fixed point $\tilde{x} \in \text{Fix}(T)$ which solves the variational inequality $\langle (\gamma f - A)\tilde{x}, x - \tilde{x} \rangle \leq 0$ for $x \in \text{Fix}(T)$.

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Nonexpansive mapping; Iterative method; Variational inequality; Fixed point; Projection; Viscosity approximation

1. Introduction

Iterative methods for nonexpansive mappings have recently been applied to solve convex minimization problems; see, e.g., [1,4,5,7,8] and the references therein. A typical

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: gmarino@unical.it (G. Marino), xuhk@ukzn.ac.za (H.-K. Xu).

¹ Supported in part by the National Research Foundation of South Africa.

problem is to minimize a quadratic function over the set of the fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping on a real Hilbert space H :

$$\min_{x \in C} \frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle x, b \rangle, \tag{1}$$

where C is the fixed point set of a nonexpansive mapping T on H and b is a given point in H . Assume A is strongly positive; that is, there is a constant $\bar{\gamma} > 0$ with the property

$$\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq \bar{\gamma} \|x\|^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in H. \tag{2}$$

Recall that $T : H \rightarrow H$ is nonexpansive if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in H$. The set of fixed points of T is the set $\text{Fix}(T) := \{x \in H : Tx = x\}$. We assume that $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $C = \text{Fix}(T)$. It is well known that $\text{Fix}(T)$ is closed convex (cf. [2]). In [5] (see also [7]), it is proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by the iterative method below, with the initial guess $x_0 \in H$ chosen arbitrarily,

$$x_{n+1} = (I - \alpha_n A)Tx_n + \alpha_n b, \quad n \geq 0, \tag{3}$$

converges strongly to the unique solution of the minimization problem (1) provided the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ satisfies certain conditions that will be made precise in Section 3.

On the other hand, Moudafi [3] introduced the viscosity approximation method for nonexpansive mappings (see [6] for further developments in both Hilbert and Banach spaces). Let f be a contraction on H . Starting with an arbitrary initial $x_0 \in H$, define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ recursively by

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \sigma_n)Tx_n + \sigma_n f(x_n), \quad n \geq 0, \tag{4}$$

where $\{\sigma_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$. It is proved [3,6] that under certain appropriate conditions imposed on $\{\sigma_n\}$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (4) strongly converges to the unique solution x^* in C of the variational inequality

$$\langle (I - f)x^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad x \in C. \tag{5}$$

In this paper we will combine the iterative method (3) with the viscosity approximation method (4) and consider the following general iterative method:

$$x_{n+1} = (I - \alpha_n A)Tx_n + \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n), \quad n \geq 0. \tag{6}$$

We will prove in Section 3 that if the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ of parameters satisfies appropriate conditions, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (6) converges strongly to the unique solution of the variational inequality

$$\langle (A - \gamma f)x^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad x \in C, \tag{7}$$

which is the optimality condition for the minimization problem

$$\min_{x \in C} \frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle - h(x),$$

where h is a potential function for γf (i.e., $h'(x) = \gamma f(x)$ for $x \in H$).

2. Preliminaries

This section collects some lemmas which will be used in the proofs for the main results in the next section. Some of them are known; others are not hard to derive.

Lemma 2.1. [4] *Assume $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that*

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \gamma_n)a_n + \delta_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $\{\gamma_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ and $\{\delta_n\}$ is a sequence in \mathbb{R} such that

- (i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$;
- (ii) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n / \gamma_n \leq 0$ or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_n| < \infty$.

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.

Lemma 2.2. [2] *Let H be a Hilbert space, K a closed convex subset of H , and $T : K \rightarrow K$ a nonexpansive mapping with $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in K weakly converging to x and if $\{(I - T)x_n\}$ converges strongly to y , then $(I - T)x = y$.*

The following lemma is not hard to prove.

Lemma 2.3. *Let H be a Hilbert space, K a closed convex subset of H , $f : H \rightarrow H$ a contraction with coefficient $0 < \alpha < 1$, and A a strongly positive linear bounded operator with coefficient $\bar{\gamma} > 0$. Then, for $0 < \gamma < \bar{\gamma} / \alpha$,*

$$\langle x - y, (A - \gamma f)x - (A - \gamma f)y \rangle \geq (\bar{\gamma} - \gamma\alpha)\|x - y\|^2, \quad x, y \in H.$$

That is, $A - \gamma f$ is strongly monotone with coefficient $\bar{\gamma} - \gamma\alpha$.

Recall the metric (nearest point) projection P_K from a real Hilbert space H to a closed convex subset K of H is defined as follows: given $x \in H$, $P_K x$ is the only point in K with the property

$$\|x - P_K x\| = \inf\{\|x - y\| : y \in K\}.$$

P_K is characterized as follows.

Lemma 2.4. *Let K be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Given $x \in H$ and $y \in K$. Then $y = P_K x$ if and only if there holds the inequality*

$$\langle x - y, y - z \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in K.$$

Lemma 2.5. *Assume A is a strongly positive linear bounded operator on a Hilbert space H with coefficient $\bar{\gamma} > 0$ and $0 < \rho \leq \|A\|^{-1}$. Then $\|I - \rho A\| \leq 1 - \rho\bar{\gamma}$.*

Proof. Recall that a standard result in functional analysis is that if V is linear bounded self-adjoint operator on H , then

$$\|V\| = \sup\{|\langle Vx, x \rangle| : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\}.$$

Now for $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$, we see that

$$\langle (I - \rho A)x, x \rangle = 1 - \rho \langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 1 - \rho \|A\| \geq 0$$

(i.e., $I - \rho A$ is positive). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I - \rho A\| &= \sup\{\langle (I - \rho A)x, x \rangle : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\} \\ &= \sup\{1 - \rho \langle Ax, x \rangle : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\} \\ &\leq 1 - \rho \bar{\gamma} \quad \text{by (2).} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Notation. We use \rightarrow for strong convergence and \rightharpoonup for weak convergence.

3. A general iterative method

Let H be a real Hilbert space, let A be a bounded linear operator on H , and let T be a nonexpansive mapping on H (i.e., $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for $x, y \in H$). Assume the set $\text{Fix}(T)$ of fixed points of H is nonempty; that is, $\text{Fix}(T) = \{x \in H : Tx = x\} \neq \emptyset$. Since $\text{Fix}(T)$ is closed convex, the nearest point projection from H onto $\text{Fix}(T)$ is well defined.

Throughout the rest of this paper, we always assume that A is strongly positive; that is, there is a constant $\bar{\gamma} > 0$ such that

$$\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq \bar{\gamma} \|x\|^2, \quad x \in H. \tag{8}$$

(Note: $\bar{\gamma} > 0$ is throughout reserved to be the constant such that (8) holds.)

Recall also that a contraction on H is a self-mapping f of H such that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \alpha \|x - y\|, \quad x, y \in H,$$

where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ is a constant.

Denote by Π the collection of all contractions on H ; namely,

$$\Pi = \{f : f \text{ a contraction on } H\}.$$

Now given $f \in \Pi$ with contraction coefficient $0 < \alpha < 1$, $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $t < \|A\|^{-1}$ and $0 < \gamma < \bar{\gamma}/\alpha$. Consider a mapping S_t on H defined by

$$S_t x = t\gamma f(x) + (I - tA)Tx, \quad x \in H. \tag{9}$$

It is easy to see that S_t is a contraction. Indeed, by Lemma 2.5, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_t x - S_t y\| &\leq t\gamma \|f(x) - f(y)\| + \|(I - tA)(Tx - Ty)\| \\ &\leq (1 - t(\bar{\gamma} - \gamma\alpha)) \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence S_t has a unique fixed point, denoted x_t , which uniquely solves the fixed point equation

$$x_t = t\gamma f(x_t) + (I - tA)Tx_t. \tag{10}$$

Note that x_t indeed depends on f as well, but we will suppress this dependence of x_t on f for simplicity of notation throughout the rest of this paper. We will also always use γ to mean a number in $(0, \bar{\gamma}/\alpha)$.

The next proposition summarizes the basic properties of $\{x_t\}$.

Proposition 3.1. Let x_t be defined via (10).

- (i) $\{x_t\}$ is bounded for $t \in (0, \|A\|^{-1})$.
- (ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|x_t - Tx_t\| = 0$.
- (iii) x_t defines a continuous curve from $(0, \|A\|^{-1})$ into H .

Proof. First observe that for $t \in (0, \|A\|^{-1})$, we have $\|I - tA\| \leq 1 - t\bar{\gamma}$ by Lemma 2.5.

To show (i) pick a $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_t - p\| &= \|(I - tA)(Tx_t - p) + t(\gamma f(x_t) - Ap)\| \\ &\leq (1 - \bar{\gamma}t)\|x_t - p\| + t\|\gamma f(x_t) - Ap\| \\ &= (1 - \bar{\gamma}t)\|x_t - p\| + t\|\gamma(f(x_t) - f(p)) + (\gamma f(p) - Ap)\| \\ &\leq (1 - \bar{\gamma}t)\|x_t - p\| + t[\gamma\alpha\|x_t - p\| + \|\gamma f(p) - Ap\|] \\ &= (1 - t(\bar{\gamma} - \gamma\alpha))\|x_t - p\| + t\|\gamma f(p) - Ap\|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|x_t - p\| \leq \frac{\|\gamma f(p) - Ap\|}{\bar{\gamma} - \gamma\alpha}.$$

Hence $\{x_t\}$ is bounded.

(ii) We have $\|x_t - Tx_t\| = t\|\gamma f(x_t) - ATx_t\| \rightarrow 0$ since the boundedness of $\{x_t\}$ implies that of $\{f(x_t)\}$ and of $\{ATx_t\}$.

To prove (iii) take $t, t_0 \in (0, \|A\|^{-1})$ and calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_t - x_{t_0}\| &= \|(t - t_0)\gamma f(x_t) + t_0\gamma(f(x_t) - f(x_{t_0})) - (t - t_0)ATx_t \\ &\quad + (I - t_0A)(Tx_t - Tx_{t_0})\| \\ &\leq (\gamma\|f(x_t)\| + \|ATx_t\|)|t - t_0| + (1 - t_0(\bar{\gamma} - \gamma\alpha))\|x_t - x_{t_0}\|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|x_t - x_{t_0}\| \leq \frac{\gamma\|f(x_{t_0})\| + \|ATx_{t_0}\|}{t_0(\bar{\gamma} - \gamma\alpha)}|t - t_0|.$$

This shows that x_t is locally Lipschitzian and hence continuous. \square

Our first main result below shows that $\{x_t\}$ converges strongly as $t \rightarrow 0$ to a fixed point of T which solves some variational inequality.

Theorem 3.2. We have that $\{x_t\}$ converges strongly as $t \rightarrow 0$ to a fixed point \tilde{x} of T which solves the variational inequality:

$$\langle (A - \gamma f)\tilde{x}, \tilde{x} - z \rangle \leq 0, \quad z \in \text{Fix}(T). \tag{11}$$

Equivalently, we have $P_{\text{Fix}(T)}(I - A + \gamma f)\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}$.

Proof. We first show the uniqueness of a solution of the variational inequality (11), which is indeed a consequence of the strong monotonicity of $A - \gamma f$. Suppose $\tilde{x} \in \text{Fix}(T)$ and $\hat{x} \in \text{Fix}(T)$ both are solutions to (11); then

$$\langle (A - \gamma f)\tilde{x}, \tilde{x} - \hat{x} \rangle \leq 0 \tag{12}$$

and

$$\langle (A - \gamma f)\hat{x}, \hat{x} - \tilde{x} \rangle \leq 0. \tag{13}$$

Adding up (12) and (13) gets

$$\langle (A - \gamma f)\tilde{x} - (A - \gamma f)\hat{x}, \tilde{x} - \hat{x} \rangle \leq 0.$$

The strong monotonicity of $A - \gamma f$ (Lemma 2.3) implies that $\tilde{x} = \hat{x}$ and the uniqueness is proved. Below we use $\tilde{x} \in \text{Fix}(T)$ to denote the unique solution of (11).

To prove that $x_t \rightarrow \tilde{x}$ ($t \rightarrow 0$), we write, for a given $z \in \text{Fix}(T)$,

$$x_t - z = t(\gamma f(x_t) - Az) + (I - tA)(Tx_t - z)$$

to derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_t - z\|^2 &= t\langle \gamma f(x_t) - Az, x_t - z \rangle + \langle (I - tA)(Tx_t - z), x_t - z \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - t\bar{\gamma})\|x_t - z\|^2 + t\langle \gamma f(x_t) - Az, x_t - z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_t - z\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{\bar{\gamma}}\langle \gamma f(x_t) - Az, x_t - z \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\bar{\gamma}}\{\gamma\langle f(x_t) - f(z), x_t - z \rangle + \langle \gamma f(z) - Az, x_t - z \rangle\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\bar{\gamma}}\{\gamma\alpha\|x_t - z\|^2 + \langle \gamma f(z) - Az, x_t - z \rangle\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|x_t - z\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\bar{\gamma} - \gamma\alpha}\langle \gamma f(z) - Az, x_t - z \rangle. \tag{14}$$

Since $\{x_t\}$ is bounded as $t \rightarrow 0$, we see that if $\{t_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ such that $t_n \rightarrow 0$ and $x_{t_n} \rightarrow x^*$, then by (14), we see $x_{t_n} \rightarrow x^*$. Moreover, by Proposition (3.1)(ii), we have $x^* \in \text{Fix}(T)$. We next prove that x^* solves the variational inequality (11). Since

$$x_t = t\gamma f(x_t) + (I - tA)Tx_t, \tag{15}$$

we derive, that

$$(A - \gamma f)x_t = -\frac{1}{t}(I - tA)(I - T)x_t.$$

It follows that, for $z \in \text{Fix}(T)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (A - \gamma f)x_t, x_t - z \rangle &= -\frac{1}{t} \langle (I - tA)(I - T)x_t, x_t - z \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{t} \langle (I - T)x_t - (I - T)z, x_t - z \rangle + \langle A(I - T)x_t, x_t - z \rangle \\ &\leq \langle A(I - T)x_t, x_t - z \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

since $I - T$ is monotone (i.e., $\langle x - y, (I - T)x - (I - T)y \rangle \geq 0$ for $x, y \in H$). This is due to the nonexpansivity of T). Now replacing t in (16) with t_n and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we, noticing that $(I - T)x_{t_n} \rightarrow (I - T)x^* = 0$ for $x^* \in \text{Fix}(T)$, obtain

$$\langle (A - \gamma f)x^*, x^* - z \rangle \leq 0.$$

This is, $x^* \in \text{Fix}(T)$ is a solution of (11); hence $x^* = \tilde{x}$ by uniqueness. In a summary, we have shown that each cluster point of $\{x_t\}$ (at $t \rightarrow 0$) equals \tilde{x} . Therefore, $x_t \rightarrow \tilde{x}$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

The variational inequality (11) can be rewritten as

$$\langle [(I - A + \gamma f)\tilde{x}] - \tilde{x}, \tilde{x} - z \rangle \geq 0, \quad z \in \text{Fix}(T).$$

This, by Lemma 2.4, is equivalent to the fixed point equation

$$P_{\text{Fix}(T)}(I - A + \gamma f)\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}. \quad \square$$

Taking $A = I$ and $\gamma = 1$ in Theorem 3.2, we get

Corollary 3.3. [6] *Let $z_t \in H$ be the unique fixed point of the contraction $z \mapsto (1 - t)Tz + tf(z)$. Then $\{z_t\}$ converges strongly as $t \rightarrow 0$ to the unique solution $\tilde{z} \in \text{Fix}(T)$ of the variational inequality*

$$\langle (I - f)\tilde{z}, z - \tilde{z} \rangle \geq 0, \quad z \in \text{Fix}(T).$$

Next we study a general iterative method as follows. The initial guess x_0 is selected in H arbitrarily, and the $(n + 1)$ th iterate x_{n+1} is recursively defined by

$$x_{n+1} = (I - \alpha_n A)Tx_n + \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n), \quad n \geq 0, \tag{17}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (C1) $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$;
- (C2) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$;
- (C3) either $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_n} = 1$.

Below is the second main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\{x_n\}$ be generated by algorithm (17) with the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ of parameters satisfying conditions (C1)–(C3). Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to \tilde{x} that is obtained in Theorem 3.2.*

Proof. Since $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ by condition (C1), we may assume, with no loss of generality, that $\alpha_n < \|A\|^{-1}$ for all n .

We now observe that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Indeed, pick any $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|(I - \alpha_n A)(Tx_n - p) + \alpha_n(\gamma f(x_n) - Ap)\| \\
 &\leq \|I - \alpha_n A\| \|Tx_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|\gamma f(x_n) - Ap\| \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n \bar{\gamma}) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n [\gamma \|f(x_n) - f(p)\| + \|\gamma f(p) - Ap\|] \\
 &\leq (1 - (\bar{\gamma} - \gamma \alpha) \alpha_n) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|\gamma f(p) - Ap\| \\
 &= (1 - (\bar{\gamma} - \gamma \alpha) \alpha_n) \|x_n - p\| + (\bar{\gamma} - \gamma \alpha) \alpha_n \frac{\|\gamma f(p) - Ap\|}{\bar{\gamma} - \gamma \alpha}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from induction that

$$\|x_n - p\| \leq \max \left\{ \|x_0 - p\|, \frac{\|\gamma f(p) - Ap\|}{\bar{\gamma} - \gamma \alpha} \right\}, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{18}$$

As a result, noticing $x_{n+1} - Tx_n = \alpha_n(\gamma f(x_n) - ATx_n)$ and $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$x_{n+1} - Tx_n \rightarrow 0. \tag{19}$$

But the key is to prove that

$$x_{n+1} - x_n \rightarrow 0. \tag{20}$$

To see this, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| &= \|(I - \alpha_n A)(Tx_n - Tx_{n-1}) - (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1})ATx_{n-1} \\
 &\quad + \gamma[\alpha_n(f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})) + (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1})f(x_{n-1})]\| \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n \bar{\gamma}) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|ATx_{n-1}\| \\
 &\quad + \gamma[\alpha_n \alpha \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \|f(x_{n-1})\|] \\
 &\leq (1 - (\bar{\gamma} - \gamma \alpha) \alpha_n) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + M |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}|,
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $M := \sup\{\max\{\|ATx_n\|, \|f(x_n)\|\}: n \geq 0\} < \infty$.

An application of Lemma 2.1 to (21) implies (20) which, combined with (19), in turns, implies

$$x_n - Tx_n \rightarrow 0. \tag{22}$$

Next we show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Tx_n - \tilde{x}, \gamma f(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x} \rangle \leq 0, \tag{23}$$

where \tilde{x} is obtained in Theorem 3.2.

To see this, we take a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n - \tilde{x}, \gamma f(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x} \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_{n_k} - \tilde{x}, \gamma f(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x} \rangle.$$

We may also assume that $x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup z$. Note that $z \in \text{Fix}(T)$ in virtue of Lemma 2.2 and (22).

It follows from the variational inequality (11) that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n - \tilde{x}, \gamma f(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x} \rangle = \langle z - \tilde{x}, \gamma f(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x} \rangle \leq 0.$$

So (23) holds, thanks to (22).

Finally, we prove $x_n \rightarrow \tilde{x}$. To this end, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - \tilde{x}\|^2 &= \|(I - \alpha_n A)(Tx_n - \tilde{x}) + \alpha_n(\gamma f(x_n) - A\tilde{x})\|^2 \\
 &= \|(I - \alpha_n A)(Tx_n - \tilde{x})\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 \|\gamma f(x_n) - A\tilde{x}\|^2 \\
 &\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle (I - \alpha_n A)(Tx_n - \tilde{x}), \gamma f(x_n) - A\tilde{x} \rangle \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n \bar{\gamma})^2 \|x_n - \tilde{x}\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 \|\gamma f(x_n) - A\tilde{x}\|^2 \\
 &\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle Tx_n - \tilde{x}, \gamma f(x_n) - A\tilde{x} \rangle - 2\alpha_n^2 \langle A(Tx_n - \tilde{x}), \gamma f(x_n) - A\tilde{x} \rangle \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n \bar{\gamma})^2 \|x_n - \tilde{x}\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 \|\gamma f(x_n) - A\tilde{x}\|^2 \\
 &\quad + 2\alpha_n \gamma \langle Tx_n - \tilde{x}, f(x_n) - f(\tilde{x}) \rangle + 2\alpha_n \langle Tx_n - \tilde{x}, \gamma f(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x} \rangle \\
 &\quad - 2\alpha_n^2 \langle A(Tx_n - \tilde{x}), \gamma f(x_n) - A\tilde{x} \rangle \\
 &\leq [(1 - \alpha_n \bar{\gamma})^2 + 2\alpha_n \gamma \alpha] \|x_n - \tilde{x}\|^2 + \alpha_n [2 \langle Tx_n - \tilde{x}, \gamma f(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x} \rangle \\
 &\quad + \alpha_n (\|\gamma f(x_n) - A\tilde{x}\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \|A(Tx_n - \tilde{x})\| \cdot \|\gamma f(x_n) - A\tilde{x}\|)] \\
 &= (1 - 2(\bar{\gamma} - \gamma \alpha)\alpha_n) \|x_n - \tilde{x}\|^2 + \alpha_n \{2 \langle Tx_n - \tilde{x}, \gamma f(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x} \rangle \\
 &\quad + \alpha_n (\|\gamma f(x_n) - A\tilde{x}\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \|A(Tx_n - \tilde{x})\| \cdot \|\gamma f(x_n) - A\tilde{x}\| \\
 &\quad + \alpha_n \bar{\gamma}^2 \|x_n - \tilde{x}\|^2)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, we can take a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$L \geq \|\gamma f(x_n) - A\tilde{x}\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \|A(Tx_n - \tilde{x})\| \cdot \|\gamma f(x_n) - A\tilde{x}\| + \alpha_n \bar{\gamma}^2 \|x_n - \tilde{x}\|^2$$

for all $n \geq 0$. It then follows that

$$\|x_{n+1} - \tilde{x}\|^2 \leq (1 - 2(\bar{\gamma} - \gamma \alpha)\alpha_n) \|x_n - \tilde{x}\|^2 + \alpha_n \beta_n, \tag{24}$$

where

$$\beta_n = 2 \langle Tx_n - \tilde{x}, \gamma f(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x} \rangle + L\alpha_n.$$

By (23), we get $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq 0$. Now applying Lemma 2.1 to (24) concludes that $x_n \rightarrow \tilde{x}$. \square

Corollary 3.5. [6] *Let $\{x_n\}$ be generated by the following algorithm:*

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n + \alpha_n f(x_n), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Assume the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ satisfies conditions (C1)–(C3). Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to \tilde{z} obtained in Corollary 3.3.

References

[1] F. Deutsch, I. Yamada, Minimizing certain convex functions over the intersection of the fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 19 (1998) 33–56.
 [2] K. Geobel, W.A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., vol. 28, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
 [3] A. Moudafi, Viscosity approximation methods for fixed-points problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 241 (2000) 46–55.

- [4] H.K. Xu, Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators, *J. London Math. Soc.* 66 (2002) 240–256.
- [5] H.K. Xu, An iterative approach to quadratic optimization, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 116 (2003) 659–678.
- [6] H.K. Xu, Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 298 (2004) 279–291.
- [7] I. Yamada, The hybrid steepest descent method for the variational inequality problem of the intersection of fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings, in: D. Butnariu, Y. Censor, S. Reich (Eds.), *Inherently Parallel Algorithm for Feasibility and Optimization*, Elsevier, 2001, pp. 473–504.
- [8] I. Yamada, N. Ogura, Y. Yamashita, K. Sakaniwa, Quadratic approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 19 (1998) 165–190.