Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 9 (1983) 29-39 North-Holland Publishing Company

# Continued fractions and the polygamma functions

#### K.O. BOWMAN \*

*Mathematics and Statistics Research Department, Computer Sciences Division, Union Carbide Corporation, Nuclear Diorsron. Oak Ridge. TN 37830. USA* 

#### L.R. SHENTON

*Computing and Information Services, University of* Georgia, *Athens, GA 30602, USA* 

#### Received 1 September 1982

*Abstract:* In a previous study we have shown that the polygamma functions (derivatives of the logarithm of the gamma function) relate to Stieltjes transforms in the square of the argument. These transforms in turn may be converted to Stieltjes continued fractions; in the background is a determined Stieltjes moment problem.

In the present study we use the Hamburger form of the Stieltjes integral to produce a set of real monotonic increasing and monotonic decreasing approximants to each of the real and imaginary parts of a polygamma function when the argument is complex. The approximants involve rational fractions which appear to be new.

Special attention is given to  $\ln \Gamma(z)$  and the psi function.

*Keywords:* Generalized continued functions, monotonic sequences, Stieltjes integral.

# **1. Introduction**

It was shown by us [3] that the polygamma function

$$
\psi_m(z) = \frac{d^{m+1}}{dz^{m+1}} \ln \Gamma(z), \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots,
$$
 (1)

 $(\psi(z) = \psi_0(z)$  being the psi or digamma function,  $\psi_1(z)$  the trigamma function, etc.) satisfies the relation

$$
(-1)^{m+1}\psi_m(z) = \frac{(m-1)!}{z^m} + \frac{m!}{2z^{m+1}} + \left(\frac{2\pi}{z}\right)^m g_m(z), \quad \text{Re}(z) > 0,
$$
 (2)

 $m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ , where

$$
g_m(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{m/2} \theta_m(y) dx}{(x + z^2)(y - 1)^{m+1}}, \quad y = e^{2\pi\sqrt{x}},
$$
  

$$
\theta_m(y) = y(1 - y) \frac{d\theta_{m-1}}{dy} + my\theta_{m-1}, \quad \theta_0 = 1, m = 0, 1, 2, ....
$$

0377-0427/83/0000-0000/\$03.00 © 1983 North-Holland

29

<sup>\*</sup> Research sponsored in part by the Applied Mathematical Sciences Research Program, Office of Energy Research, U.S. Department of Energy under contract W-7405-eng-26 with-the Union Carbide Corporation.

(For  $m = 0$  the expression  $(m - 1)! / z^m$  is replaced by  $-\ln z$ , and 0! by unity). For example,

$$
\psi(z) = \ln z - \frac{1}{2z} - \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(x + z^2)(y - 1)},
$$
\n
$$
\psi_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{2z^2} + \frac{2\pi}{z} \int_0^\infty \frac{y\sqrt{x} dx}{(x + z^2)(y - 1)^2},
$$
\n
$$
\psi_2(z) = -\frac{1}{z^2} - \frac{1}{z^3} - \left(\frac{2\pi}{z}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{(y + y^2)xdx}{(x + z^2)(y - 1)^3},
$$
\n
$$
\psi_3(z) = \frac{2}{z^3} + \frac{3}{z^4} + \left(\frac{2\pi}{z}\right)^3 \int_0^\infty \frac{(y + 4y^2 + y^3)\sqrt{x^3} dx}{(x + z^2)(y - 1)^4},
$$
\n(3)

showing the polygamma functions in terms of Stieltjes integral transforms with parameter  $z^2$ .

Our object here is to derive from (2) new approximation sequences (monotonic increasing, and monotonic decreasing) for the real and imaginary parts of  $\psi_m(z)$ . These sequences are quite distinct from those which could be derived by separating out the real and imaginary parts from the Stieltjes type continued fractions (c.fs.) derived in Shenton and Bowman [3]; see expressions (19)-(24).

### 2. The polynomials  $\theta_m(y)$  and the integral  $g_m(z)$

From (3) the symmetry of the polynomials is obvious. A general proof is to consider the function

$$
\phi_m(y) = y^{-(m+1)/2} \theta_m(y), \quad m \ge 1,
$$
\n(4)

which satisfies the difference-differential equation

$$
\phi_m(y) = \frac{1}{2}m(\sqrt{y} + 1/\sqrt{y})\phi_{m-1}(y) + \sqrt{y}(1-y)\phi'_{m-1}(y).
$$

It is also readily shown that

$$
\phi_m(1/x) = \frac{1}{2}m(\sqrt{x} + 1/\sqrt{x})\phi_{m-1}(1/x) + (1 - 1/x)(1/\sqrt{x})\frac{d}{dx}\phi_{m-1}(1/x).
$$

But  $\phi_m(y) = \phi_m(1/y)$ ,  $m = 1, 2, 3$ .

It is now evident that under the mapping  $x = t^2$  in (2), we may write

$$
g_m(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{t^{m+1} \theta_m(e^{2\pi t}) dt}{(t^2 + z^2)(e^{2\pi t} - 1)^{m+1}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{t^{m+1} \phi_m(e^{2\pi t}) dt}{(t^2 + z^2)(e^{\pi t} - e^{-\pi t})^{m+1}}
$$
(5)

in which

$$
\phi_m(e^{2\pi t}) = \phi_m(e^{-2\pi t}).
$$

Hence the integrand in  $(5)$  is an even function and so

$$
g_m(z) = \frac{i}{z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t + iz} \quad (\text{Re}(z) > 0)
$$
 (6)

where  $\sigma(t)$  is a distribution function on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ ; i.e.

$$
(-1)^{m+1}\psi_m(z) = \frac{(m-1)!}{z^m} + \frac{m!}{2z^{m+1}} + \frac{1}{z^{m+1}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Theta_m(t)dt}{t + iz}
$$

where  $\Theta_m(-t) = \Theta_m(t)$  and  $m \ge 1$ .

But there is the basic c.f.-integral relation, namely

$$
i\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\Theta_m(t)dt}{t+iz}=\frac{c_0^{(m)}}{z+}\frac{c_1^{(m)}}{z+\cdots}.
$$

from expression (24a) of Shenton and Bowman (1971). Defining  $w = i z$ , we therefore have

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Theta_m(t) \mathrm{d}t}{t+w} = \frac{c_0^{(m)}}{w-w} \frac{c_1^{(m)}}{w-w} \,, \quad \text{Im } w > 0, \text{ Re } w \neq 0. \tag{7}
$$

Note we are interested in  $\psi_m(z)$  when z is complex; i.e. Im( $z$ )  $\neq$  0.

#### 3. **Real and imaginary parts of**  $\psi_m(z)$

Let  $z = r e^{i\theta}$  with  $-\pi/2 < \theta < \pi/2$ ,  $\theta \neq 0$ , and write  $(2\pi/z)^m g_m(z)$  in the form

$$
\Phi_m(z) = (-1)^{m+1} \psi_m(z) - \frac{(m-1)!}{z^m} - \frac{m!}{2z^{m+1}}.
$$
\n(8)

Then from (2) and (7), for  $m \ge 1$ ,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\{\Phi_m(z)\} = \frac{1}{r^{m+1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\left\{t \sin\left(m+1\right)\theta + r \cos\left(m+2\right)\theta\right\}\Theta_m(t) \mathrm{d}t}{t^2 - 2tr \sin \theta + r^2} \tag{9a}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Im}\{\Phi_m(z)\} = \frac{1}{r^{m+1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\left\{t \cos\left(m+1\right)\theta - r \sin\left(m+2\right)\theta\right\}\Theta_m(t) \mathrm{d}t}{t^2 - 2tr \sin \theta + r^2} \,. \tag{9b}
$$

We point out in the earlier paper on the subject that we missed the important symmetry properties of the integrand occurring in  $\psi_m(z)$ . This property focuses attention on the Hamburger aspect of the integral transforms - a simplification is now induced into the expression for the sequence approximants to  $\text{Re}(\psi_m)$  + Im( $\psi_m$ ) when we appeal to our own work on second-order continued fractions [2].

#### 4. **Link with second order continued fraction**

To set up increasing and decreasing sequences to (9a) and (9b), there are three important formal steps. A. To formulate rational fraction approximants to definite integrals of the form

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{A(x)B(x)\mathrm{d}\phi(x)}{C(x)}
$$

where, A, B, C are polynomials.

B. To describe the basis for the derivation of monotonic sequence approximants.

C. To formulate details of the computational schemes.

The scheme is described in detail in [2]. We recall the main points.

An nth order c.f. is associated with

$$
F(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \int_0^\infty \frac{A(x)B(x) \mathrm{d}\phi(x)}{C_n(x)}\tag{10}
$$

where A, B are real polynomials and

$$
C_n(x) = \prod_{\lambda=1}^n (x + z_\lambda) \quad \text{for } x \geq 0, z_\lambda \text{ distinct},
$$
 (11)

and linked to the c.f. (Stieltjes transform)

$$
F(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\phi(x)}{x + z} = \frac{a_0}{z + c_1} - \frac{a_1}{z + c_2} - \dots \quad \left\{ = \frac{P_s(z)}{Q_s(z)} \quad \text{as } s \to \infty \right\}.
$$
 (12)

In the paper we were interested in the general case and in particular, functions  $\phi(x)$  as a solution of the

Stieltjes moment problem – the formal change to moment problems for which  $\phi(x)$  is a constant for  $x < a$ , and  $x > b$  ( $> a$ ) presents no problem but care is needed over validity considerations. Again our present study concentrates on the case  $n = 2$ , involving second-order (generalized) c.fs. In (10) we need only to consider polynomials  $A(\cdot)$ ,  $B(\cdot)$  such that the product degree does not exceed two. Sequences of approximants appear involving the parameters in the denominator  $C_n$ , which in the present case ( $n = 2$ ) takes the form

$$
C_2(x) = x^2 + p_1 x + p_2. \tag{13}
$$

We need to define the following functions:

(i) 
$$
U_s^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{vmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ Q_s(z_1) & Q_s(z_2) \end{vmatrix}
$$
,  $U_s^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ Q_s(z_1) & Q_s(z_2) \end{vmatrix}$  ( $\Delta = z_2 - z_1$ ), (14)  
\n(ii)  $(A, B) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ B_1 & B_2 \end{vmatrix}$ 

where

$$
\beta_s = B(-z_s) \int_0^\infty \frac{\langle A(x) - A(-z_s) \rangle}{x + z_s} d\phi(x), \qquad s = 1, 2.
$$

(iii)  $W_s(B)$  is similar to  $(A, B)$  except that the last row of the numerator determinant is replaced by  $y_i$ ,  $y_{2s}$  where  $y_{rs} = P_s(z_r)B(-z_r)$ . As is readily shown,

$$
W_{s}(B)=\sum_{r=1}^{2}(-1)^{2-r}b_{r}V_{s}^{(2-r)}
$$

where  $B(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{n} b_r x^{2-r}$  and  $V_s^{(\lambda)}$ ,  $\lambda = 0$ , 1, is similar to  $U_s^{(1)}$  except that the last row of the numerator determinant is  $z_1^{\lambda}P_s(z_1)$ ,  $z_2^{\lambda}P_s(z_2)$ .

Then an approximating sequence to

$$
F(z_1, z_2) = \int_0^\infty \frac{A(x)B(x) d\phi(x)}{(x + z_1)(x + z_2)},
$$
\n(15)

assuming convergence of the integral, is

$$
P_s(A, B)/Q_s(z_1, z_2) \quad (s = 1, 2, ...)
$$
 (16)

where

$$
P_s(A, B) = \begin{vmatrix} -(A, B) & W_s(B) & W_{s+1}(B) \ U^{(1)}(A) & U_s^{(1)} & U_{s+1}^{(1)} \ U^{(0)}(A) & U_s^{(0)} & U_{s+1}^{(0)} \end{vmatrix}, \qquad Q_s(z_1, z_2) = \begin{vmatrix} U_s^{(1)} & U_{s+1}^{(1)} \ U_s^{(0)} & U_{s+1}^{(0)} \end{vmatrix}.
$$

Various choices of the polynomials A, *B* lead to different forms, but it is fairly obvious that

$$
P_{s}(A, B)=P_{s}(B, A).
$$

For our present purposes we need the result for  $A(x) = B(x) = Cx + D$ . We find formally the sequence of approximants to

$$
\int_0^\infty \frac{(Cx+D)^2 d\phi(x)}{x^2 + p_1 x + p_2},
$$
\n(17)

namely

$$
T_s(A, A; C_2) = C^2 a_0 + \frac{P_s^*(A, A)}{Q_s(z_1, z_2)},
$$
\n(17a)

where

$$
P_s^*(A, A) = \begin{vmatrix} DV_s^{(0)} - CV_s^{(1)} & DV_{s+1}^{(0)} - CV_{s+1}^{(1)} \\ CU_s^{(0)} - DU_s^{(1)} & CU_{s+1}^{(0)} - DU_{s+1}^{(1)} \end{vmatrix}.
$$

(Note that  $P_s^*(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a quadratic form in C, and D with variable coefficients.)

#### 5. **Monotonic sequences**

**5.1.** Returning to the function  $\Phi_m(z)$  involving the polygamma function  $\psi_m(z)$ , the real and imaginary parts ((9a), (9b)) will not necessarily, using (16), be approximated by monotone sequences. But for real polynomials there is always a solution to

$$
t\sin(m+1)\theta + r\cos(m+2)\theta = (C_1t + D_1)^2 - C_1^2(t^2 - 2tr\sin\theta + r^2),
$$
\n(18a)

namely

$$
C_1 = \sqrt{\left\{ \frac{1 - \cos(m+1)\theta}{2r\cos\theta} \right\}}, \qquad D_1 = \left\{ \frac{\sin(m+1)\theta - \sin\theta}{2C_1\cos\theta} \right\}.
$$
 (18b)

Recall that  $m=1, 2,...$ , and  $-\pi/2 < \theta < \pi/2, \theta \neq 0$ .

Similarly, a solution to

$$
t\sin(m+1)\theta + r\cos(m+2)\theta = C_2^2(t^2 - 2tr\sin\theta + r^2) - (C_2t + D_2)^2
$$

is

$$
C_2 = \sqrt{\left\{\frac{1+\cos((m+1)\theta)}{2r\cos\theta}\right\}}, \qquad D_2 = -\left\{\frac{\sin((m+2)\theta + \sin\theta)}{2C_2\cos\theta}\right\}.
$$
 (18c)

We now have for  $m=1, 2,..., -\pi/2 < \theta < \pi/2, \theta \neq 0$ ,

$$
Re{\Phi_{m}(z)} = \frac{1}{r^{m+1}} \{1 \text{.s. } P_{t}^{(m)}(r; \theta) \}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{r^{m+1}} \{1 \text{.d.s. } Q_{t}^{(m)}(r; \theta) \}
$$
\n(19a)

(limit of the increasing sequence  $=$  l.i.s, and limit of the decreasing sequence  $=$  l.d.s.) where

$$
(i) \quad P_t^{(m)} = \frac{1}{\omega_t(z)} \begin{vmatrix} D_1 V_t^{(0)} - C_1 V_t^{(1)} & D_1 V_{t+1}^{(0)} - C_1 V_{t+1}^{(1)} \\ C_1 U_t^{(0)} - D_1 U_t^{(1)} & C_1 U_{t+1}^{(0)} - D_1 U_{t+1}^{(1)} \end{vmatrix}
$$
 (19c)

(ii)  $Q_i^{(m)}$  is derived from  $P_i^{(m)}$  by replacing  $D_1$  by  $D_2$ ,  $C_1$  by  $C_2$ , and finally changing the sign. (iii)  $\omega_i(z) = U_{i+1}^{(0)} U_i^{(1)} - U_{i+1}^{(1)} U_i^{(0)}$ .

**5.2.** For Im( $\Phi_m(z)$ ),  $C_1$ ,  $D_1$  and  $C_2$ ,  $D_2$  are replaced by

$$
C_1^* = \sqrt{\left\{\frac{1+\sin(m+1)\theta}{2r\cos\theta}\right\}}, \qquad D_1^* = \left\{\frac{\cos(m+2)\theta - \sin\theta}{2C_1^* \cos\theta}\right\};
$$
\n(20a)

$$
C_2^* = \sqrt{\left\{\frac{1-\sin((m+1)\theta)}{2r\cos\theta}\right\}}, \qquad D_2^* = -\left\{\frac{\cos((m+2)\theta + \sin\theta)}{2C_2^*\cos\theta}\right\}.
$$
 (20b)

It is possible for one of the parameters  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_1^*$ ,  $C_2^*$  to be zero resulting in an infinite value for one of the *D 's.* This situation is resolved as follows; there are two cases:

(a)  $\sin(m + 1)\theta = 0$ .

Here for the real part of  $\Phi_2(z)$  use the sequence

$$
\frac{\cos(m+2)\theta}{r^m}\langle 1 \text{ i.s. } P_t^{(m)}(r;\theta) \rangle \tag{20c}
$$

where

$$
P_t^{(m)}(r; \theta) = \frac{1}{\omega_t(z)} \left| \frac{U_t^{(1)}}{V_t^{(0)}} \frac{U_{t+1}^{(1)}}{V_{t+1}^{(0)}} \right|,
$$

and for the complementary decreasing sequence

$$
\frac{\cos(m+2)\theta}{r^{m+2}\cos^2\theta}\left\{\text{l.d.s. }Q_t^{(m)}(r;\theta)\right\} \tag{20d}
$$

where

$$
Q_t^{(m)}(r;\theta) = \frac{1}{\omega_t(z)} \left| \frac{r \sin \theta V_t^{(0)} + V_t^{(1)}}{U_t^{(0)} + r \sin \theta U_t^{(1)}} - \frac{r \sin \theta V_{t+1}^{(0)} + V_{t+1}^{(1)}}{U_{t+1}^{(0)} + r \sin \theta U_{t+1}^{(1)}} \right|.
$$

(b)  $\cos(m+1)\theta = 0$ .

Use (20c) with  $\cos(m + 2)\theta/r^m$  replaced by  $-\sin(m + 2)\theta/r^m$ , and (20d) with the factor outside the braces replaced by  $-\sin(m+2)\theta/(r^{m+2}\cos^2\theta)$ .

5.3. The *fundamental entities*. The sequences  $\{U_t^{(0)}\}$ ,  $\{U_t^{(1)}\}$ ,  $\{V_t^{(0)}\}$  and  $\{V_t^{(1)}\}$  are set-up from the recurrences

$$
U_t^{(0)} = -C_{t-1}^{(m)} U_{t-2}^{(0)} + r^2 U_{t-1}^{(1)}, \qquad U_t^{(1)} = -2 y U_{t-1}^{(1)} - C_{t-1}^{(m)} U_{t-2}^{(1)} - U_{t-1}^{(0)},
$$
  
\n
$$
V_t^{(0)} = -C_{t-1}^{(m)} V_{t-2}^{(0)} + V_{t-1}^{(1)}, \qquad V_t^{(1)} = -2 y V_{t-1}^{(1)} - C_{t-1}^{(m)} V_{t-2}^{(1)} - r^2 V_{t-1}^{(0)}
$$
 (1 \ge 2) (21)

with initiators

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}\ns & U_s^{(0)} & U_s^{(1)} & V_s^{(0)} & V_s^{(1)} \\
\hline\n0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & C_0^{(m)}\n\end{array}
$$
\n(22)

Note that only the first few partial numerators  $C_0^{(m)}$ ,  $C_1^{(m)}$ , are known in the general case ([3], (24b)); however, general expressions are known for  $\psi_2(x)$  (Stieltjes [5]) and also for  $\psi_1(x)$  (Shenton, unpublished). For the latter

$$
\psi_1(x) = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^2} + \frac{1}{x^2} \left( \frac{\frac{1}{6}}{x + \frac{1}{x + \dots}} \right)
$$
\n(23)

where  $C_{s-1}^{(1)} = s^2(s^2 - 1) / \langle 4(4s^2 - 1) \rangle$ ,  $s = 2, 3, \ldots$ .

**Numerical example.** Let  $m = 1$ ,  $z = 1 + i\sqrt{3}$ ,  $r = 2$ , and  $\theta = \pi/3$ . Then

$$
C_1 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3}, \quad D_1 = -1, \quad C_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad D_2 = -\sqrt{3}, \tag{24}
$$

leading to Table 1.

For the *real part* we have for the *coefficients in the determinants*  $P_t^{(m)}(r; \theta)$ :

$$
\frac{1}{12}\sqrt{3} \quad -0.3
$$
\n0.503119521\n
$$
-0.1\overline{7}
$$
\n-1.609082689\n  
\n1\n0.173205081\n
$$
-4.714285710
$$
\n13.69145024\n
$$
-18.0
$$

(change sign of final determinant value), and for the *coefficients in the determinants*  $Q_i^{(m)}(r; \theta)$ :

 $\frac{1}{12}$  $0 -0.376190476$  1.154700536  $-1.607792206$  $\sqrt{3}$  $-3.9$   $5.691024110 - 1.428571490 - 19.83985464$ 

Table 1

s	$U_{s}^{(0)}$	$U^{(1)}$	$V^{(0)}$	$V^{(1)}$	
$\mathbf{0}$	$\qquad \qquad \blacksquare$				
				1/6	
2	4.2	$-3.46410$ 16	0.16	$-0.577350269$	
3	$-13.85640646$	7.28571430	$-0.577350269$	1.247619048	
4	25.14285720	$-8.08290382$	1.08	$-1.462620685$	
	$-11.33706004$	$-8.181818080$	$-0.587847550$	$-1.179220764$	

Table 2a Table 2b



The real part of

$$
\Phi_1(1 + i\sqrt{3}) = \text{Re}\left\{-\left(\frac{e^{-i\theta}}{r} + \frac{e^{-2i\theta}}{2r^2}\right) + \psi_1(z)\right\}
$$

follows from Table 2a.

For the *imaginary part* we have for the *coefficients in the determinants*  $P_t^{(m)*}(r; \theta)$ ,

$$
- (2 + \sqrt{3}) \begin{vmatrix} V_t^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} V_t^{(1)} & V_{t+1}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} V_{t+1}^{(1)} \\ \frac{1}{2} U_t^{(0)} + U_t^{(1)} & \frac{1}{2} U_{t+1}^{(0)} + U_{t+1}^{(1)} \end{vmatrix}
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{12}
$$
 - 0.122008468 0.046459255 0.357578546 - 1.177457932  
\n1 - 1.364101616 0.357511070 4.488524780 - 13.85034810

and for the *coefficients in the determinants*  $Q_t^{(m)}(r; \theta)$ ,

$$
-\left(2-\sqrt{3}\right)\begin{vmatrix}V_t^{(0)} - \frac{1}{2}V_t^{(1)} & V_{t+1}^{(0)} - \frac{1}{2}V_{t+1}^{(1)}\\ \frac{1}{2}U_t^{(0)} - U_t^{(1)} & \frac{1}{2}U_{t+1}^{(0)} - U_{t+1}^{(1)}\end{vmatrix}.
$$
  

$$
-\frac{1}{12}
$$
 0.455341801 -1.201159793 1.820199231 0.001762832  
1 5.566101616 -14.21391753 20.65433242 2.51328806

The imaginary part of

$$
\Phi_1(z) = \operatorname{Im}\left\{\psi_1(z) - \frac{e^{-i\theta}}{r} - \frac{e^{-2i\theta}}{2r^2}\right\}
$$

follows from Table 2b.

# 6. **Remarks on validity**

The distribution functions (weight functions  $\Theta_m(t)$  given in (7)) for  $\ln \Gamma(z)$  and the polygamma functions relate to determined Stieltjes moment problems. For example, omitting the first two terms in  $\psi_m(z)$ , the series coefficients in the remaining series are the moments of a bounded non-decreasing function with infinitely many points of increase on  $(0, \infty)$ . But in our earlier study we overlooked the fact (described in Section 1) that for  $m \ge 1$ , the distribution functions relate to the whole axis of reals, so that the corresponding moment problem is the Hamburger; i.e. the basic function (5) relates to

$$
I(z; \sigma) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{iz + t} \quad (z = x + iy). \tag{25}
$$

Clearly for validity here,  $\text{Re}(z) \neq 0$  at least. Notice that nothing is altered to any extent with the c.f. form

$$
\psi_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{2z^2} + \frac{1}{z} \left\{ \frac{1/6}{z^2 + 1} + \frac{C_1^{(1)}}{z^2 + \cdots} \right\}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{2z^2} + \frac{1}{z^2} \left\{ \frac{1/6}{z + z + 1} + \frac{C_1^{(1)}}{z^2 + \cdots} \right\},
$$

valid for  $Re(z) > 0$  (the forms are not valid for  $Re(z) < 0$  because of the initial formulation of the relation between  $\psi_1(z)$  and its asymptotic series).

We have to consider the validity of generalized c.f. derived from integrals such as (see  $(10)$ ,  $(15)$ ,  $(17)$ )

$$
I(z_1, z_2; \sigma) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{(t+z_1)(t+z_2)}
$$

Briefly one approach is to consider the problem of the validity of expansions stemming from

$$
\min_{\pi_s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (t+z_1)(t+z_2) \left\{ \frac{1}{(t+z_1)(t+z_2)} - \pi_s(t) \right\}^2 d\sigma(t) \tag{26}
$$

where we assume  $(t + z_1)(t + z_2) > 0$  for  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and  $\pi_s$  are real polynomials; i.e. the question whether Parseval's formula applies to distribution functions

$$
\sigma^*(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x + z_1)(x + z_2) d\sigma(x)
$$
\n(27)

for  $\sigma(\cdot)$  a solution of the Hamburger moment problem. But (Shohat & Tamarkin [4]) a necessary and sufficient condition due to M. Riesz [1] for the validity of Parseval's formula for functions  $f(\cdot)$ , is that  $f \in L^2$  and the moment problem is determined. Add to these Carleman's criterion for the moments and the validity question is settled sufficient for our requirements. In particular for  $\psi_m(z)$ ,  $m \ge 1$ , Carleman's criterion is satisfied for the moments [see [3], (14) and (15)), appropriate distribution functions exist, and the real and imaginary parts involve positives polynomials  $(t + z_1)(t + z_2)$  for all real *t*.

#### 7. **Sequences for**  $\ln \Gamma(z)$  and  $\psi(z)$

We have

$$
\ln \Gamma(z) = (z - \frac{1}{2}) \ln z - z + \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) + J(z),
$$

where

$$
J(z) = z \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t + z^2},
$$
\n(28)

with

$$
\sigma(t)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\left\{\ln\left(1-e^{-2\pi\sqrt{x}}\right)^{-1}\right\}dx \quad (\text{Re}(z)>0).
$$

Moreover

$$
J(z)=\frac{B_0}{z+A_1}-\frac{B_1}{z+A_2}-\cdots
$$

where

$$
B_0 = a_0, \quad B_s = a_{2s-1}a_{2s}, \quad s \ge 1, \qquad A_1 = a_1, \quad A_s = a_{2s-2} + a_{2s-1}, \quad s \ge 2.
$$

 $(a_0 = -1/12, a_1 = 1/30, a_2 = 53/210, a_3 = 195/371, a_4 = 22999/22737, etc.)$  A slight change of notation shows that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t+z^2} = \frac{\beta_0}{z^2 + A_1} - \frac{\beta_1}{z^2 + A_2} - \cdots
$$

But

$$
\text{Re}\{J(z)\}=x\int_0^\infty\frac{(t+x^2+y^2)}{(t+z^2)(t+\bar{z}^2)}d\sigma(t),\qquad \text{Im}\{J(z)\}=y\int_0^\infty\frac{(t-x^2-y^2)}{(t+z^2)(t+\bar{z}^2)}d\sigma(t),
$$

where  $z = x + iy$ ,  $x > 0$ , and  $y \ne 0$ . As in Section 5, we now can set up monotonic sequences of approximants. We find  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ 

$$
Re(J(z)) = x\langle 1 \text{ i.s. } P_m(r, \theta) \rangle = x\langle 1 \text{ d.s. } Q_m(r, \theta) \rangle \tag{29a}
$$

where

$$
P_m(r,\theta) = \frac{1}{\omega_m(z)} \begin{vmatrix} d_1 V_m^{(0)} - C_1 V_m^{(1)} & d_1 V_{m+1}^{(0)} - C_1 V_{m+1}^{(1)} \\ C_1 U_m^{(0)} - d_1 U_m^{(1)} & C_1 U_{m+1}^{(0)} - d_1 U_{m+1}^{(1)} \end{vmatrix},
$$
\n(29b)

$$
Q_m(r,\theta) = \frac{1}{\omega_m(z)} \begin{vmatrix} d_2 V_m^{(0)} - C_2 V_m^{(1)} & d_2 V_{m+1}^{(0)} - C_2 V_{m+1}^{(1)} \\ -C_2 U_m^{(0)} + d_2 U_m^{(1)} & -C_2 U_{m+1}^{(0)} + d_2 U_{m+1}^{(1)} \end{vmatrix},
$$
(29c)

with

$$
c_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\langle \sin \theta | - \sin^2 \theta \rangle}}{r \sin(2\theta)} , \qquad d_1 = \frac{2c_1^2 r^2 \cos(2\theta) + 1}{2c_1} ;
$$
  

$$
c_2 = \frac{\sqrt{\langle \sin \theta | + \sin^2 \theta \rangle}}{r \sin(2\theta)} , \qquad d_2 = \frac{2c_2^2 r^2 \cos 2\theta - 1}{2c_2} .
$$
 (30)

For the imaginary part we have similarly

$$
y^{-1} \operatorname{Im} \{ J(z) \} = 1 \text{.is. } P_m^*(r; \theta) = 1 \text{.d.s. } Q_m^*(r; \theta),
$$

for which  $c_1$ ,  $d_1$  etc. are replaced by

$$
c_1^* = \frac{\sqrt{\left(\cos \theta + \cos^2 \theta\right)}}{r |\sin(2\theta)|}, \qquad d_1^* = \frac{2c^* \pi r^2 \cos(2\theta) + 1}{2c_1^*};
$$
  

$$
c_2^* = \frac{\sqrt{\left(\cos \theta - \cos^2 \theta\right)}}{r |\sin(2\theta)|}, \qquad d_2^* = \frac{2c^* \pi r^2 \cos(2\theta) - 1}{2c_2^*}.
$$
 (31)

The fundamental entities are defined in

$$
U_s^{(0)} = A_s U_{s-1}^{(0)} - B_{s-1} U_{s-2}^{(0)} + r^4 U_{s-1}^{(1)},
$$
  
\n
$$
U_s^{(1)} = \left\{ A_s + 2r^2 \cos(2\theta) \right\} U_{s-1}^{(1)} - B_{s-1} U_{s-2}^{(1)} - U_{s-1}^{(0)};
$$
  
\n
$$
V_s^{(0)} = A_s V_{s-1}^{(0)} - B_{s-1} V_{s-2}^{(0)} + V_{s-1}^{(1)},
$$
  
\n
$$
V_s^{(1)} = \left\{ A_s + 2r^2 \cos(2\theta) \right\} V_{s-1}^{(1)} - B_{s-1} V_{s-2}^{(1)} - r^4 V_{s-1}^{(0)}.
$$
\n(32)



Table 3

# Table 4a Table 4b



# with **initiators**

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}\ns & U_s^{(0)} & U_s^{(1)} & V_s^{(0)} & V_s^{(1)} \\
\hline\n0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -A_1 & 1 & 0 & B_0\n\end{array}
$$

Numerical example. Let  $z=1+2i$ ,  $r=\sqrt{5}$ ,  $\sin \theta=2/\sqrt{5}$ ,  $\sin 2\theta=\frac{4}{5}\cos 2\theta=-\frac{3}{5}$ . Then

$$
c_1 = \frac{\sqrt{(2\sqrt{5} - 4)}}{4}, \quad d_1 = \frac{10 - 3\sqrt{5}}{8c_1}; \qquad c_2 = \frac{\sqrt{(2\sqrt{5} + 4)}}{4}, \quad d_2 = \frac{-10 - 3\sqrt{5}}{8c_2};
$$
  

$$
c_1^* = \frac{\sqrt{(\sqrt{5} + 1)}}{4}, \quad d_1^* = \frac{5 - 3\sqrt{5}}{16c_1^*}; \qquad c_2^* = \frac{\sqrt{(\sqrt{5} - 1)}}{4}, \quad d_2^* = \frac{-5 - 3\sqrt{5}}{16c_2^*},
$$

and we find the values of Table 3.

The approximants  $\langle P_m \rangle$  etc. to  $J(z)$ , and  $\ln \Gamma(z)$  for  $z = 1 + 2i$  follow from Tables 4a, b. Hence, at this stage

$$
-1.87607883 < \text{Re}\,\ln\Gamma(1+2i) < -1.87607877,
$$
\n
$$
0.12964627 < \text{Im}\,\ln\Gamma(1+2i) < 0.12964634.
$$

#### 8. **Further applications**

The derivation of the real and imagainary parts of  $\psi(z)$  follow similarly. Further, if validity can be

established, c.fs. such as

$$
f(z) = \frac{c_0}{z + \overline{z + \dots
$$

can be used to set up the sequence approximants to the real and imaginary parts of the corresponding function.

#### **Appendix**

It can be shown that the formulas  $(18b)$ - $(20b)$  also hold for  $m = 0$ , for which

$$
\Phi_0(z) = -\psi(z) + \ln z - \frac{1}{2z} \,. \tag{A1}
$$

Similarly we have (corresponding to  $m = -1$ ) from (28) the sequences

$$
\operatorname{Re}\{J(z)\} = -x \left\{ 1 \text{.is.} \left| \begin{array}{cc} V_t^{(0)} & V_{t+1}^{(0)} \\ U_t^{(1)} & U_{t+1}^{(1)} \end{array} \right| / \omega_t(z) \right\},\tag{A2}
$$

$$
= x^{-1} \left\{ 1 \text{d.s.} \left| \begin{array}{cc} yV_t^{(0)} + V_t^{(1)} & yV_{t+1}^{(0)} + V_{t+1}^{(1)} \\ U_t^{(0)} + yU_t^{(1)} & U_{t+1}^{(0)} + yU_{t+1}^{(1)} \end{array} \right| / \omega_t(z) \right\}. \tag{A3}
$$

For the imaginary part use (19c) with  $C_1 = 1/\sqrt{(2x)}$ ,  $D_1 = (x - y)/\sqrt{(2x)}$  for an increasing sequence, and  $C_2 = 1/\sqrt{(2x)}$ ,  $D_2 = -(x + y)/\sqrt{(2x)}$  for a decreasing sequence (changing the sign of the numerator determinant).

In both cases, we assume  $Re(z) > 0$ .

# **References**

- [I] M. Riesz. Sur le probkme des moments et le theoreme de Parseval correspondant. *Acta Litterarum ac Scientiarum (Szeged) 1 (1922-3) 209-225.*
- *[2]* L.R. Shenton. Generalized algebraic continued fractions related to definite integrals, *Proc. Edinburgh. Math. sot. 9 (2) (1955) 171-182.*
- *[3]* L.R. Shenton and K.O. Bowman, Continued fractions for the Psi funtion and its derivatives. *SIAM J. Appl. Math. 20 (4) (1971) 547-554.*
- *I41* J.A. Shohat and J.D. Tamarkin, *The Problem o/Moments,* Mathematical Surveys, No. 1. (Amer. Math. Sot., Providence, RI, 1943).
- [5] T.J. Stieltjes, Oeuores *Compktes.* TOME 11 (Noordhoff, Groningen, 1918).