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#### Abstract

In this work, we prove that $M_{p}(\mathcal{K}(r), \varepsilon(r))>\pi / 2$ for all $r \in(0,1)$ if and only if $p \geq-1 / 2$, where $M_{p}(x, y)$ denotes the power mean of order $p$ of two positive numbers $x$ and $y$, and $\mathcal{K}(r)$ and $\mathcal{E}(r)$ denote the complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kinds, respectively.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this work, we write $r^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-r^{2}}$ for $0<r<1$. The well-known complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kinds [1,2] are defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{K}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(1-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} \theta,  \tag{1.1}\\
\mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)=\mathcal{K}\left(r^{\prime}\right), \\
\mathcal{K}(0)=\pi / 2, \quad \mathcal{K}(1)=\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{E}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(1-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} \theta  \tag{1.2}\\
\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(r)=\mathcal{E}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \\
\mathcal{E}(0)=\pi / 2, \quad \mathcal{E}(1)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

respectively.
In the sequel, we use the symbols $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ for $\mathcal{K}(r)$ and $\mathcal{E}(r)$, respectively.
It is well known that the complete elliptic integrals have many important applications in physics, engineering, geometric function theory, quasiconformal analysis, theory of mean values, number theory and other related fields [2-10].

Recently, the complete elliptic integrals have been the subject of intensive research. In particular, many remarkable properties and inequalities can be found in the literature [3,10-15].
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For $p \in \mathbb{R}$, the power mean $M_{p}(x, y)$ of order $p$ of two positive numbers $x$ and $y$ is defined by

$$
M_{p}(x, y)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{x^{p}+y^{p}}{2}\right)^{1 / p}, & p \neq 0  \tag{1.3}\\ \sqrt{x y}, & p=0\end{cases}
$$

The main properties of the power mean are given in [16].
In [4, Theorem 3.31], Anderson et al. studied the monotonicity and convexity of $\mathcal{K}(r) \mathcal{E}(r)$ in $(0,1)$, and obtained the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{0}(\mathcal{K}(r), \mathcal{E}(r))>\pi / 2 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r \in(0,1)$.
It is natural to ask what is the least value $p$ such that $M_{p}(\mathcal{K}(r), \mathcal{E}(r))>\pi / 2$ for all $r \in(0,1)$. The main purpose of this work is to answer this question. Our main result is the following Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1. Inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}(\mathcal{K}(r), \mathcal{E}(r))>\pi / 2 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$ if and only if $p \geq-1 / 2$.

## 2. Lemmas

In order to establish our main result we need several lemmas, which we present in this section.
For $0<r<1$, the following derivative formulas were presented in [4, Appendix E, pp. 474-475]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d} \mathcal{K}}{\mathrm{~d} r}=\frac{\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}}{r r^{\prime 2}}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} \mathcal{E}}{\mathrm{~d} r}=\frac{\mathcal{E}-\mathcal{K}}{r}, \\
& \frac{\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}{\mathrm{d} r}=r \mathcal{K}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E})}{\mathrm{d} r}=\frac{r \mathcal{E}}{r^{\prime 2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.1 ([4, Theorem 1.25]). For $-\infty<a<b<\infty$, let $f, g:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$, and be differentiable on $(a, b)$, and let $g(x) \neq 0$ on $(a, b)$. If $f^{\prime}(x) / g^{\prime}(x)$ is increasing (decreasing) on $(a, b)$, then so are

$$
\frac{f(x)-f(a)}{g(x)-g(a)} \text { and } \frac{f(x)-f(b)}{g(x)-g(b)}
$$

If $f^{\prime}(x) / g^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly monotone, then the monotonicity in the conclusion is also strict.
Lemma 2.2. (1) $(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}) /\left(r^{2} \mathcal{K}\right)$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(1 / 2,1)$;
(2) $\mathcal{E} / r^{\prime \frac{1}{2}}$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\pi / 2,+\infty)$;
(3) $r^{\prime 1 / 2} \mathcal{K}$ is strictly decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(0, \pi / 2)$;
(4) $\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) / r^{2}$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\pi / 4,1)$;
(5) $r^{\prime}(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}) / r^{2}$ is strictly decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(0, \pi / 4)$;
(6) $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) /\left[r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E})\right]$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(1,+\infty)$.

Proof. Parts (1)-(4) follow from [4, Exercise 3.43(32), Theorem 3.21(1), (7) and (8)].
For part (5), let $F(r)=r^{\prime}(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}) / r^{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(r)=\frac{\mathcal{K}}{r r^{\prime}}\left(1-2 \frac{\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}}{r^{2} \mathcal{K}}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.1), and parts (1) and (3) that $F^{\prime}(r)<0$ for $r \in(0,1)$ and $F(r)$ is strictly decreasing in ( 0,1 ). Moreover, making use of (1.1) and (1.2) together with part (3) and l'Hôpital's rule we get $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} F(r)=0$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} F(r)=\pi / 4$.

For part (6), note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}{r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E})}=\frac{\mathcal{E}}{r^{\prime \frac{1}{2}}} \cdot \frac{1}{r^{\prime \frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{K}} \cdot \frac{\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) / r^{2}}{r^{\prime}(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}) / r^{2}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, part (6) follows from (2.2) and parts (2)-(5).
Lemma 2.3. Let $r \in(0,1)$. Then the function $f(r) \equiv \frac{\varepsilon\left(\varepsilon-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)+r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}-\varepsilon)}{r^{2} \mathcal{K} \varepsilon^{2}}$ is strictly decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(0,2 / \pi)$.

Proof. By differentiation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(r)=\frac{f_{1}(r)}{r^{3} r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}^{2} \mathcal{E}^{3}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(r)=2 r^{2} r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}^{2} \mathcal{E}(2 \mathcal{E}-\mathcal{K})-\left[\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)+r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E})\right] \times\left(\mathcal{E}^{2}+3 r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{E}-2 r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}^{2}\right) \\
&=\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)\left(-\mathcal{E}^{3}-2{r^{\prime}}^{2} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{E}^{2}-2{\left.r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}^{3}+5 r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}^{2} \mathcal{E}\right)}\right. \\
&=-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E})\left(\mathcal{E}-{\left.r^{\prime} \mathcal{K}\right)\left[\frac{\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}{r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E})}+\frac{2 \mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{E}}-3\right]} .\right. \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

From Lemma 2.2(6) we know that $\frac{\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}{r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}-\varepsilon)}+\frac{2 \mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{E}}-3$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(0, \infty)$. Hence $f^{\prime}(r)<0$ for all $r \in(0,1)$ follows from (2.3) and (2.4). Moreover, making use of (1.1) and (1.2) together with Lemma 2.2(3)-(5) and l'Hôpital's rule we get $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} f(r)=0$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} f(r)=2 / \pi$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $r \in(0,1)$. Then the function $g(r) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{K}-\varepsilon-\left(\varepsilon-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}{\left(\varepsilon-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)(\mathcal{K}-\varepsilon)}$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(1 / \pi, 1)$.
Proof. Let $g_{1}(r)=\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}-\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)$ and $g_{2}(r)=\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E})$; then we clearly see that $g_{1}(0)=g_{2}(0)=0$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{g_{1}{ }^{\prime}(r)}{g_{2}{ }^{\prime}(r)} & =\frac{r\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}{r \mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)+r r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E})} \\
& =\frac{1 / \mathcal{E}}{1+r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}) /\left[\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) \mathcal{E}\right]} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.5) and Lemma 2.2(6) together with Lemma 2.1 that $g(r)$ is strictly increasing in $(0,1)$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} g(r)=$ $1 / \pi$. Moreover, the limiting value of $g(r)$ at $r=1$ can be obtained from (1.1) and (1.2) together with Lemma 2.2(3).

Lemma 2.5. Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the function $h(r) \equiv\left(\frac{\mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{E}}\right)^{p-1} \frac{\varepsilon-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}}{r^{\prime 2}(\mathcal{K}-\varepsilon)}$ is strictly increasing in $(0,1)$ if and only if $p \geq-1 / 2$, and there exists $\delta=\delta(p) \in(0,1)$ such that $h(r)<1$ for $r \in(0, \delta)$ and $h(r)>1$ for $r \in(\delta, 1)$ if $p<-1 / 2$.

Proof. Simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} h(r)=1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{h^{\prime}(r)}{h(r)} & =(p-1)\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}}{r r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}}+\frac{\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}}{r \mathcal{E}}\right)+\frac{r \mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}}+\frac{2 r}{r^{\prime 2}}-\frac{r \mathcal{E}}{r^{\prime 2}(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E})} \\
& =\frac{\mathcal{E}\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)+r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E})}{r r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{E}}\left[p-1+\frac{1 / \mathcal{E}+g(r)}{f(r)}\right] \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f(r)$ and $g(r)$ are defined as in Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 , respectively.
From Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and (2.7) we clearly see that the function $(1 / \mathcal{E}+g(r)) / f(r)$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(3 / 2, \infty)$, so $h(r)$ is strictly increasing in $(0,1)$ if and only if $p \geq-1 / 2$. Moreover, if $p<-1 / 2$, then it follows from (2.7) that there exists $r_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that $h^{\prime}(r)<0$ for $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right), h^{\prime}(r)>0$ for $r \in\left(r_{0}, 1\right)$, and $h(r)$ is strictly decreasing in ( $0, r_{0}$ ) and strictly increasing in ( $r_{0}, 1$ ). Therefore, Lemma 2.5 follows from (2.6) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} h(r) & =\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mathcal{E}^{1-p}\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}{r^{\prime 2} r^{2} \mathcal{K}^{2-p}(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}) /\left(r^{2} \mathcal{K}\right)}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1}\left(\frac{\left(1 / r^{\prime 2}\right)^{1 /(2-p)}}{\mathcal{K}}\right)^{2-p} \\
& =\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\left(\frac{2 r^{2}}{(2-p)\left(\varepsilon-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}\right)^{2-p}}{r^{\prime 2}}=+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

together with the monotonicity of $h(r)$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If $p=0$, then inequality (1.5) reduces to inequality (1.4). Thus, we only need to prove inequality (1.5) for $p \neq 0$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(r)=\frac{1}{p} \log \frac{\mathcal{K}(r)^{p}+\mathcal{E}(r)^{p}}{2}-\log \frac{\pi}{2} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then simple computation leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
G^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{\mathcal{K}^{p-1}\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) /\left(r r^{\prime 2}\right)-\mathcal{E}^{p-1}(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}) / r}{\mathcal{K}^{p}+\mathcal{E}^{p}} \\
& =\frac{\S^{p-1}(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}) / r}{\mathcal{K}^{p}+\mathcal{E}^{p}}\left[\left(\frac{\mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{E}}\right)^{p-1} \frac{\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}}{r^{\prime 2}(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E})}-1\right] . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from Eq. (3.2) and Lemma 2.5 that $G^{\prime}(r)>0$ for all $r \in(0,1)$ if and only if $p \geq-1 / 2$. If $p \geq-1 / 2$, then $G(r)$ is strictly increasing in $(0,1)$ and $G(r)>G(0)=0$. Then from (3.1) we know that inequality (1.5) holds for all $r \in(0,1)$ and $p \in[-1 / 2, \infty)$.

Finally, we prove that $p=-1 / 2$ is the best possible parameter such that inequality (1.5) holds for all $r \in(0,1)$. If $p<-1 / 2$, then from (3.2) and Lemma 2.5 we know that there exist $\delta=\delta(p) \in(0,1)$ and $\lambda \in(0, \delta)$ such that $G^{\prime}(r)<0$ and $G(r)<G(0)=0$ for $r \in(0, \lambda)$. Then (3.1) implies that $M_{p}(\mathcal{K}(r), \mathcal{E}(r))<\pi / 2$ for $r \in(0, \lambda)$.

Remark 3.1. For all $r \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}(\mathcal{K}(r), \mathcal{E}(r))<(1 / 2)^{1 / p} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $p \in(-\log 2 / \log (\pi / 2), 0)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}(\mathcal{K}(r), \mathcal{E}(r))<\pi / 2 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $p \in(-\infty,-\log 2 / \log (\pi / 2)]$.
Proof. We divide the proof into three cases. (1) If $-1 / 2 \leq p<0$, then from (3.1) and the monotonicity of $G(r)$ we know that $G(r)<\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} G(r)=-\log 2 / p-\log (\pi / 2)$ and inequality (3.3) holds for all $r \in(0,1)$. (2) If $p \in(-\log 2 / \log (\pi / 2),-1 / 2)$, then from (3.1) and (3.2) together with Lemma 2.5 we clearly see that $\sup _{r \in(0,1)} G(r)=\max \left\{G(0), \lim _{r \rightarrow 1} G(r)\right\}=$ $\max \{0,-\log 2 / p-\log (\pi / 2)\}=-\log 2 / p-\log (\pi / 2)$ and inequality (3.3) again holds. (3) If $p \leq-\log 2 / \log (\pi / 2)$, then $\sup _{r \in(0,1)} G(r)=\max \left\{G(0), \lim _{r \rightarrow 1} G(r)\right\}=\max \{0,-\log 2 / p-\log (\pi / 2)\}=0$ and inequality (3.4) holds.

Remark 3.2. Inequalities (3.3) and (3.4) are sharp when $r \rightarrow 1$ and $r \rightarrow 0$, respectively.
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