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#### Abstract

We prove necessary optimality conditions, in the class of continuous functions, for variational problems defined with Jumarie's modified Riemann-Liouville derivative. The fractional basic problem of the calculus of variations with free boundary conditions is considered, as well as problems with isoperimetric and holonomic constraints.
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## 1. Introduction

There exists a vast literature on different definitions of fractional derivatives. The most popular ones are the Riemann-Liouville and the Caputo derivatives. Each fractional derivative presents some advantages and disadvantages (see, e.g., [1-3]). The Riemann-Liouville derivative of a constant is not zero while Caputo's derivative of a constant is zero but demands higher conditions of regularity for differentiability: to compute the fractional derivative of a function in the Caputo sense, we must first calculate its derivative. Caputo derivatives are defined only for differentiable functions while functions that have no first order derivative might have fractional derivatives of all orders less than one in the Riemann-Liouville sense [4].

Recently, Guy Jumarie (see [5-11]) proposed a simple alternative definition to the Riemann-Liouville derivative. His modified Riemann-Liouville derivative has the advantages of both the standard Riemann-Liouville and Caputo fractional derivatives: it is defined for arbitrary continuous (nondifferentiable) functions and the fractional derivative of a constant is equal to zero. Here we show that Jumarie's derivative is more advantageous for a general theory of the calculus of variations.

The fractional calculus of variations is a recent research area much in progress. It is being mainly developed for Riemann-Liouville (see, e.g., [12-17]) and Caputo derivatives (see, e.g., [18-23]). For more on the calculus of variations, in terms of other fractional derivatives, we refer the reader to [24-28] and references therein.

As pointed out in [29], the fractional calculus of variations in Riemann-Liouville sense, as it is known, has some problems, and results should be used with care. Indeed, in order for the Riemann-Liouville derivatives ${ }_{a} D_{x}^{\alpha} y(x)$ and ${ }_{x} D_{b}^{\alpha} y(x)$ to be continuous on a closed interval [ $a, b$ ], the boundary conditions $y(a)=0$ and $y(b)=0$ must be satisfied [4]. This is very restrictive when working with variational problems of minimizing or maximizing functionals subject to arbitrarily given boundary conditions, as often done in the calculus of variations (see Proposition 1 and Remark 2 of [14]). With Jumarie's fractional derivative this situation does not occur, and one can consider general boundary conditions $y(a)=y_{a}$ and $y(b)=y_{b}$.

[^0]The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state the assumptions, notations, and the results of the literature needed in the sequel. Section 3 reviews Jumarie's fractional Euler-Lagrange equations [30]. Our contribution is then given in Section 4: in Section 4.1 we consider the case when no boundary conditions are imposed on the problem, and we prove associated transversality (natural boundary) conditions; optimization with constraints (integral or not) are studied in Sections 4.2 and 4.3. Finally, in Section 5 we explain the novelties of our results with respect to previous results in the literature.

## 2. Preliminaries on Jumarie's Riemann-Liouville derivative

Throughout the text $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and $\alpha$ a real number on the interval $(0,1)$. Jumarie's modified Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative is defined by

$$
f^{(\alpha)}(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} \int_{0}^{x}(x-t)^{-\alpha}(f(t)-f(0)) \mathrm{d} t
$$

If $f(0)=0$, then $f^{(\alpha)}$ is equal to the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of $f$ of order $\alpha$. We remark that the fractional derivative of a constant is zero, as desired. Moreover, $f(0)=0$ is no longer a necessary condition for the fractional derivative of $f$ to be continuous on $[0,1]$.

The $(\mathrm{d} t)^{\alpha}$ integral of $f$ is defined as follows:

$$
\int_{0}^{x} f(t)(\mathrm{d} t)^{\alpha}=\alpha \int_{0}^{x}(x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

For a motivation of this definition, we refer to [5].
Remark 2.1. This type of fractional derivative and integral has found applications in some physical phenomena. The definition of the fractional derivative via difference reads

$$
f^{(\alpha)}(x)=\lim _{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\Delta^{\alpha} f(x)}{h^{\alpha}}, \quad 0<\alpha<1,
$$

and obviously this contributes some questions on the sign of $h$, as it is emphasized by the fractional Rolle's formula $f(x+h) \cong f(x)+h^{\alpha} f^{(\alpha)}(x)$. In a first approach, in a realm of physics, when $h$ denotes time, then this feature could picture the irreversibility of time. The fractional derivative is quite suitable to describe dynamics evolving in space which exhibit coarse-grained phenomenon. When the point in this space is not infinitely thin but rather a thickness, then it would be better to replace $\mathrm{d} x$ by $(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha}, 0<\alpha<1$, where $\alpha$ characterizes the grade of the phenomenon. The fractal feature of the space is transported on time, and so both space and time are fractal. Thus, the increment of time of the dynamics of the system is not $\mathrm{d} x$ but $(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha}$. For more on the subject see, e.g., [10,30-33].

Our results make use of the formula of integration by parts for the $(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha}$ integral. This formula follows from the fractional Leibniz rule and the fractional Barrow's formula.
Theorem 2.2 (Fractional Leibniz Rule [34]). If $f$ and $g$ are two continuous functions on [0, 1], then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f(x) g(x))^{(\alpha)}=(f(x))^{(\alpha)} g(x)+f(x)(g(x))^{(\alpha)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Kolwankar obtained the same formula (1) by using an approach on Cantor space [35].
Theorem 2.3 (Fractional Barrow's Formula [8]). For a continuous function f, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{x} f^{(\alpha)}(t)(\mathrm{d} t)^{(\alpha)}=\alpha!(f(x)-f(0))
$$

where $\alpha$ ! $=\Gamma(1+\alpha)$.
From Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 we deduce the following formula of integration by parts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} u^{(\alpha)}(x) v(x)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha} & =\int_{0}^{1}(u(x) v(x))^{(\alpha)}(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha}-\int_{0}^{1} u(x) v^{(\alpha)}(x)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha} \\
& =\alpha![u(x) v(x)]_{0}^{1}-\int_{0}^{1} u(x) v^{(\alpha)}(x)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

It has been proved that the fractional Taylor series holds for nondifferentiable functions. See, for instance, [36]. Another approach is to check that this formula holds for the Mittag-Leffler function, and then to consider functions which can be approximated by the former. The first term of this series is Rolle's fractional formula which has been obtained by Kolwankar and Jumarie and provides the equality $\mathrm{d}^{\alpha} x(t)=\alpha!\mathrm{d} x(t)$.

It is a simple exercise to verify that the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations is valid for the ( $\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha}$ integral (see, e.g., [37] for a standard proof):

Lemma 2.4. Let $g$ be a continuous function and assume that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} g(x) h(x)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha}=0
$$

for every continuous function $h$ satisfying $h(0)=h(1)=0$. Then $g \equiv 0$.

## 3. Jumarie's Euler-Lagrange equations

Consider functionals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{g}(y)=\int_{0}^{1} L\left(x, y(x), y^{(\alpha)}(x)\right)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined on the set of continuous curves $y:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $L(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ has continuous partial derivatives with respect to the second and third variable. Jumarie has addressed in [30] the basic problem of the calculus of variations: to minimize (or maximize) $\mathscr{I}$, when restricted to the class of continuous curves satisfying prescribed boundary conditions $y(0)=y_{0}$ and $y(1)=y_{1}$. Let us denote this problem by $(P)$. A necessary condition for problem $(P)$ is given by the next result.
Theorem 3.1 (The Jumarie Fractional Euler-Lagrange Equation [30]-Scalar Case). If $y$ is a solution to the basic fractional problem of the calculus of variations ( $P$ ), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied along $y$, for all $x \in[0,1]$.
Definition 3.2. A curve that satisfies Eq. (3) for all $x \in[0,1]$ is said to be an extremal for $g$.
Example 3.3. Consider the following problem:

$$
\mathcal{G}(y)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{x^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\left(y^{(\alpha)}\right)^{2}-2 x^{\alpha} y^{(\alpha)}\right]^{2}(\mathrm{~d} x)^{\alpha} \longrightarrow \text { extremize }
$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$
y(0)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad y(1)=2 .
$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation associated to this problem is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}}\left(2\left[\frac{x^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\left(y^{(\alpha)}\right)^{2}-2 x^{\alpha} y^{(\alpha)}\right] \cdot\left[\frac{2 x^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} y^{(\alpha)}-2 x^{\alpha}\right]\right)=0 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y=x^{\alpha}+1$. Since $y^{(\alpha)}=\Gamma(\alpha+1)$, it follows that $y$ is a solution of (4). We remark that the extremal curve is not differentiable in $[0,1]$.

Remark 3.4. When $\alpha=1$, we obtain the variational functional

$$
g(y)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[x\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-2 x y^{\prime}\right]^{2} \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

It is easy to verify that $y=x+1$ satisfies the (standard) Euler-Lagrange equation.
We now present the Euler-Lagrange equation for functionals containing several dependent variables.
Theorem 3.5 (The Jumarie Fractional Euler-Lagrange Equation [30]-Vector Case). Consider a functional g, defined on the set of curves satisfying the boundary conditions $\mathbf{y}(0)=\mathbf{y}_{0}$ and $\mathbf{y}(1)=\mathbf{y}_{1}$, of the form

$$
\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y})=\int_{0}^{1} L\left(x, \mathbf{y}(x), \mathbf{y}^{(\alpha)}(x)\right)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha},
$$

where $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right), \mathbf{y}^{(\alpha)}=\left(y_{1}^{(\alpha)}, \ldots, y_{n}^{(\alpha)}\right)$, and $y_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n$, are continuous real-valued functions defined on $[0,1]$. Let $\mathbf{y}$ be an extremizer of $g$. Then,

$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{k}}\left(x, \mathbf{y}(x), \mathbf{y}^{(\alpha)}(x)\right)-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{k}^{(\alpha)}}\left(x, \mathbf{y}(x), \mathbf{y}^{(\alpha)}(x)\right)=0,
$$

$k=1, \ldots, n$, for all $x \in[0,1]$.

## 4. Main results

We give new necessary optimality conditions for: (i) functionals of form (2) with free boundary conditions (Theorem 4.1); (ii) fractional isoperimetric problems of Jumarie (Theorem 4.3); and (iii) Jumarie-type problems with subsidiary holonomic constraints (Theorems 4.4 and 4.5).

### 4.1. Natural boundary conditions

The problem is stated as follows. Given a functional

$$
\mathscr{J}(y)=\int_{0}^{1} L\left(x, y(x), y^{(\alpha)}(x)\right)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha}
$$

where the Lagrangian $L(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ has continuous partial derivatives with respect to the second and third variables, determine continuous curves $y:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g$ has an extremum at $y$. Note that no boundary conditions are now imposed.

Theorem 4.1. Let $y$ be an extremizer for $\mathcal{F}$. Then $y$ satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in[0,1]$ and the natural boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}\right|_{x=0}=0 \quad \text { and }\left.\quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}\right|_{x=1}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $h$ be any continuous curve and let $j(\epsilon)=\mathcal{F}(y+\epsilon h)$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \cdot h(x)+\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} \cdot h^{(\alpha)}(x)\right)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha} \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}\right) \cdot h(x)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha}+\alpha!\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} h(x)\right]_{x=0}^{x=1}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we choose curves such that $h(0)=h(1)=0$, we deduce by Lemma 2.4 the Euler-Lagrange equation (5). Then condition

$$
\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}} h(x)\right]_{x=0}^{x=1}=0
$$

must be verified. Picking curves such that $h(0)=0$ and $h(1) \neq 0$, and others such that $h(1)=0$ and $h(0) \neq 0$, we deduce the natural boundary conditions (6).

If one of the endpoints is specified, say $y(0)=y_{0}$, then the necessary conditions become

$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}=0
$$

and

$$
\left.\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}\right|_{x=1}=0
$$

Example 4.2. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be given by the expression

$$
\mathcal{G}(y)=\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{1+y^{(\alpha)}(x)^{2}}(\mathrm{~d} x)^{\alpha}
$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation associated to this problem is

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{y^{(\alpha)}(x)}{\sqrt{1+y^{(\alpha)}(x)^{2}}}=0
$$

and the natural boundary conditions are

$$
\left.\frac{y^{(\alpha)}(x)}{\sqrt{1+y^{(\alpha)}(x)^{2}}}\right|_{x=1}=0 \quad \text { and }\left.\quad \frac{y^{(\alpha)}(x)}{\sqrt{1+y^{(\alpha)}(x)^{2}}}\right|_{x=0}=0 .
$$

Since $y^{(\alpha)}=0$ if $y$ is a constant function, we have that any constant curve is a solution to this problem.

### 4.2. The isoperimetric problem

The study of isoperimetric problems is an important area inside the calculus of variations. One wants to find the extremizers of a given functional, when restricted to a prescribed integral constraint. Problems of this type have found many applications in differential geometry, discrete and convex geometry, probability, Banach space theory, and multiobjective optimization (see [38-40] and references therein). We introduce the isoperimetric fractional problem as follows: to maximize or minimize the functional

$$
\mathcal{G}(y)=\int_{0}^{1} L\left(x, y(x), y^{(\alpha)}(x)\right)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha}
$$

when restricted to the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(y)=\int_{0}^{1} f\left(x, y(x), y^{(\alpha)}(x)\right)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha}=K, \quad K \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(0)=y_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad y(1)=y_{1} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly as before, we assume that $L(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ and $f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ have continuous partial derivatives with respect to the second and third variables.

Theorem 4.3. Let $y$ be an extremizer of $\mathcal{g}$ restricted to the set of curves that satisfy conditions (7) and (8). If $y$ is not an extremal for $g$, then there exists a constant $\lambda$ such that the curve $y$ satisfies the equation

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}=0
$$

for all $x \in[0,1]$, where $F=L-\lambda f$.
Proof. Consider a variation curve of $y$ with two parameters, say $y(x)+\epsilon_{1} h_{1}(x)+\epsilon_{2} h_{2}(x)$, where $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ are two continuous curves satisfying $h_{i}(0)=h_{i}(1)=0, i=1$, 2. Consider now two new functions defined in an open neighborhood of zero:

$$
j\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}\right)=\mathcal{g}\left(y+\epsilon_{1} h_{1}+\epsilon_{2} h_{2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad g\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}\right)=\mathcal{g}\left(y+\epsilon_{1} h_{1}+\epsilon_{2} h_{2}\right)-K
$$

Thus, $g(0,0)=0$ and

$$
\left.\frac{\partial g}{\partial \epsilon_{2}}\right|_{(0,0)}=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}\right) \cdot h_{2}(x)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha}
$$

Since $y$ is not an extremal for $\mathcal{G}$, there must exist some curve $h_{2}$ such that $\partial g / \partial \epsilon_{2}(0,0) \neq 0$. By the implicit function theorem we may write $g\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}\left(\epsilon_{1}\right)\right)=0$ for some function $\epsilon_{2}(\cdot)$ defined in an open neighborhood of zero. Because $(0,0)$ is an extremum of $j$ subject to the constraint $g\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}\right)=0$, and $\nabla g(0,0) \neq 0$, by the Lagrange multiplier rule there exists some real $\lambda$ verifying the equation

$$
\nabla(j-\lambda g)(0,0)=0
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon_{1}}(j-\lambda g)\right|_{(0,0)} \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}-\lambda\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}\right)\right] \cdot h_{1}(x)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the arbitrariness of $h_{1}$ and by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}-\lambda\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}\right)=0
$$

Introducing $F=L-\lambda f$ we deduce that $y$ satisfies the equation

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}=0
$$

for all $x \in[0,1]$.

### 4.3. Holonomic constraints

In Section 4.2 the subsidiary conditions that the functions must satisfy are given by integral functionals. We now consider a different type of problem: find functions $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ for which the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} L\left(x, y_{1}(x), y_{2}(x), y_{1}^{(\alpha)}(x), y_{2}^{(\alpha)}(x)\right)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

has an extremum, where the admissible functions satisfy the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y_{1}(0), y_{2}(0)\right)=\left(y_{1}^{0}, y_{2}^{0}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(y_{1}(1), y_{2}(1)\right)=\left(y_{1}^{1}, y_{2}^{1}\right), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the subsidiary holonomic condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(x, y_{1}(x), y_{2}(x)\right)=0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.4. Given a functional $g$ as in (9), defined on the set of curves that satisfy the boundary conditions (10) and lie on the surface (11), let $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ be an extremizer for $\mathcal{g}$. If $\partial g / \partial y_{2} \neq 0$ for all $x \in[0,1]$, then there exists a continuous function $\lambda(x)$ such that ( $y_{1}, y_{2}$ ) satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_{k}}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_{k}^{(\alpha)}}=0, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$k=1,2$, for all $x \in[0,1]$, where $F=L-\lambda g$.
Proof. Let $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right), \epsilon$ be a real, and $\left(\hat{y}_{1}(x), \hat{y}_{2}(x)\right)=y(x)+\epsilon h(x)$ with $h(x)=\left(h_{1}(x), h_{2}(x)\right)$ a continuous curve such that $h(0)=h(1)=(0,0)$. By the implicit function theorem it is possible to solve the equation $g\left(x, \hat{y}_{1}(x), \hat{y}_{2}(x)\right)=0$ for $h_{2}$, i.e., to write $h_{2}=h_{2}\left(\epsilon, h_{1}\right)$. Let $j(\epsilon)=\mathfrak{g}\left(\hat{y}_{1}(x), \hat{y}_{2}(x)\right)$. Then $j^{\prime}(0)=0$ and so

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{1}} h_{1}(x)+\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{1}^{(\alpha)}} h_{1}^{(\alpha)}(x)+\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{2}} h_{2}(x)+\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{2}^{(\alpha)}} h_{2}^{(\alpha)}(x)\right)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha} \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{1}}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{1}^{(\alpha)}}\right) h_{1}(x)+\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{2}}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{2}^{(\alpha)}}\right) h_{2}(x)\right)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we required that $\left(\hat{y}_{1}(x), \hat{y}_{2}(x)\right)$ satisfy the condition (11). This means that $g\left(x, \hat{y}_{1}(x), \hat{y}_{2}(x)\right)=0$, and so it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \epsilon} g\left(x, \hat{y}_{1}(x), \hat{y}_{2}(x)\right)\right|_{\epsilon=0} \\
& =\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{1}} h_{1}(x)+\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{2}} h_{2}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we may write $h_{2}$ in the form

$$
h_{2}(x)=-\frac{\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{1}}}{\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{2}}} h_{1}(x) .
$$

Define $\lambda$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(x)=\frac{\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{2}}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{dx}^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial L}{} \frac{\partial y_{2}^{(\alpha)}}{\partial y_{2}}}{\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{2}}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we can rewrite Eq. (13) as

$$
0=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{1}}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{1}^{(\alpha)}}-\lambda(x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{1}}\right) h_{1}(x)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha} .
$$

By Lemma 2.4, and since $h_{1}$ is an arbitrary curve, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{1}}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{1}^{(\alpha)}}-\lambda(x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_{1}}=0 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $F=L-\lambda g$. Combining Eqs. (14) and (15) we obtain formula (12). This completes the proof of the theorem.
We now state (without proof) our previous result in its general form.

Theorem 4.5. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be given by

$$
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{y})=\int_{0}^{1} L\left(x, \mathbf{y}(x), \mathbf{y}^{(\alpha)}(x)\right)(\mathrm{d} x)^{\alpha},
$$

where $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ and $\mathbf{y}^{(\alpha)}=\left(y_{1}^{(\alpha)}, \ldots, y_{n}^{(\alpha)}\right)$, such that $y_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n$, are continuous functions defined on the set of curves that satisfy the boundary conditions $\mathbf{y}(0)=\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\mathbf{y}(1)=\mathbf{y}_{1}$ and satisfy the constraint $g(x, \mathbf{y})=0$. If $\mathbf{y}$ is an extremizer for $\mathcal{g}$, and if $\partial g / \partial y_{n} \neq 0$ for all $x \in[0,1]$, then there exists a continuous function $\lambda(x)$ such that $\mathbf{y}$ satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_{k}}-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{d} x^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_{k}^{(\alpha)}}=0, \quad k=1, \ldots, n,
$$

for all $x \in[0,1]$, where $F=L-\lambda g$.

## 5. Comparison with previous results in the literature

The fractional variational calculus, dealing with Jumarie's modified Riemann-Liouville derivative, is still at the very beginning. Results available in the literature reduce to those in [30] (published in 2009) and [31,41] (published in 2010). In [30] the basic problem of the calculus of variations with Jumarie's Riemann-Liouville derivative is stated for the first time. The fractional Euler-Lagrange equation is obtained under the presence of external forces, and the implication of Jumarie's fractional calculus in physical situations discussed in detail, in particular Lagrangian mechanics of fractional order. A fractional theory of the calculus of variations for multiple integrals, in the sense of Jumarie, is proposed in [31]: fractional versions of the theorems of Green and Gauss, multi-time fractional Euler-Lagrange equations, and fractional natural boundary conditions are proved. As an application, the fractional equation of motion of a vibrating string is investigated [31]. In [41], Euler-Lagrange necessary optimality conditions for fractional problems of the calculus of variations which are given by a composition of functionals, within Jumarie's fractional calculus, are proved. Optimality conditions for the product and the quotient of Jumarie's fractional variational functionals are obtained as particular cases [41].

In the present paper we develop further the theory initiated by Jumarie in 2009 [30], by considering other fundamental problems of the variational type than those treated before in [30,31,41]. First we consider the general form of optimality, when we do not impose constraints on the boundaries, i.e., when $y(0)$ and/or $y(1)$ are free (Section 4.1). If $y(0)$ is not specified, then the transversality condition $\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}\left(0, y(0), y^{(\alpha)}(0)\right)=0$ complements Jumarie's Euler-Lagrange equation; if $y(1)$ is not specified, then the transversality condition $\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^{(\alpha)}}\left(1, y(1), y^{(\alpha)}(1)\right)=0$ holds together with Jumarie's Euler-Lagrange equation. We then study fractional variational problems via Jumarie's modified Riemann-Liouville derivative under the presence of certain constraints, thus limiting the space of continuous functions in which we search the extremizers. Two types of problems were considered here for the first time in the literature: fractional isoperimetric problems where the constraint also contains Jumarie's modified Riemann-Liouville derivative (Section 4.2); and variational problems of Jumarie type subject to holonomic constraints (Section 4.3). The results of the paper are valid for extremizers which are not differentiable.

As future work, we plan to study nondifferentiable fractional variational problems under the presence of nonholonomic constraints, which depend on Jumarie's modified Riemann-Liouville derivative, and possible applications in physics and economics.
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