

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Algebra 283 (2005) 317-326

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra

Hypercentral units in alternative loop rings $\stackrel{\text{tr}}{\sim}$

Edgar G. Goodaire^{a,*}, Yuanlin Li^b, Michael M. Parmenter^a

^a Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada A1C 5S7 ^b Brock University, St. Catherine's, Ontario, Canada L2S 3A1

Received 19 April 2004

Available online 16 September 2004

Communicated by Georgia Benkart

Abstract

Let L be an RA loop, that is, a loop whose loop rings are alternative, but not associative, rings (in any characteristic). We find necessary and sufficient conditions under which the hypercentral units in the integral loop ring ZL are central.

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let *L* be a Moufang loop, that is, a loop satisfying any of the following three equivalent identities:

 $(xy \cdot z)y = x(y \cdot zy)$ right Moufang, $(xy \cdot x)z = x(y \cdot xz)$ left Moufang, $(xy)(zx) = x(yz \cdot x)$ middle Moufang.

 $^{^{\}rm \pm}$ This research was supported by Discovery Grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: edgar@math.mun.ca (E.G. Goodaire), yli@spartan.ac.brocku.ca (Y. Li), michael1@math.mun.ca (M.M. Parmenter).

^{0021-8693/\$ –} see front matter $\, \odot \,$ 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2004.08.012

Perhaps the most important property of Moufang loops is their *diassociativity*: the subloop of a Moufang loop generated by any two elements is a group [8, §IV.2]. In particular, the Moufang identity is often used (unambiguously) in the form $(xy \cdot z)y = x(yzy)$. More generally, Moufang proved that if three elements of a Moufang loop associate in any order, then they generate a group [8, §IV.2].

For $x, y, z \in L$, the *commutator* (x, y) of x and y and the *associator* (x, y, z) of x, y, and z are defined, respectively, by

$$xy = (yx)(x, y)$$
 and $xy \cdot z = (x \cdot yz)(x, y, z)$.

Using diassociativity, notice that $(x, y) = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$, just as with groups, and $(x, y, z) = [xy \cdot z][z^{-1}y^{-1} \cdot x^{-1}]$. The *commutator–associator* subloop of *L* is the subloop *L'* generated by all commutators and associators.

The *centre*, $\mathcal{Z}(L)$, of *L* is the set of all elements of *L* which commute with all other elements and associate with all pairs of elements of *L*:

$$\mathcal{Z}(L) = \left\{ a \in L \mid (a, x) = (a, x, y) = (x, a, y) = (x, y, a) = 1 \text{ for all } x, y \in L \right\}.$$

Just as in group theory, a Moufang loop *L* has an *upper central series*

$$\{1\} = \mathcal{Z}_0(L) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_1(L) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_2(L) \subseteq \cdots,$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_{i+1}(L)/\mathcal{Z}_i(L) = \mathcal{Z}(L/\mathcal{Z}_i(L))$. (Note that $\mathcal{Z}_1(L) = \mathcal{Z}(L)$, the centre of *L*.) When there is no chance of ambiguity, we write \mathcal{Z}_i rather than $\mathcal{Z}_i(L)$. The *hypercentre* of *L* is the subloop $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(L) = \bigcup_{i \ge 0} \mathcal{Z}_i(L)$.

For $x, y, a \in L$, there are bijections R(x), L(x), T(x) and R(x, y) defined by

$$aR(x) = ax,$$
 $aL(x) = xa,$ $T(x) = R(x)L(x)^{-1},$
 $R(x, y) = R(x)R(y)R(xy)^{-1}.$

A subloop *H* of *L* is *normal* if and only if $HT(x) \subseteq H$ and $HR(x, y) \subseteq H$ for all $x, y \in L$. For instance, the commutator–associator subloop of a loop is always normal [1, Proposition II.1.8].

Throughout, $\mathcal{U}(ZL)$ denotes the loop of units (that is, the invertible elements) in ZL, the integral loop ring of L, and we often write \mathcal{U} for $\mathcal{U}(ZL)$. We denote by $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}}(L)$ the *normalizer* of L in \mathcal{U} , this being the largest subloop of \mathcal{U} in which L is normal.

An *alternative ring* is one in which $x(xy) = x^2y$ and $(yx)x = yx^2$ are identities. Alternative rings are so-named because in these rings, the *(ring) associator* [a, b, c] := (ab)c - a(bc) is an alternating function of its arguments. (We use square brackets for ring associators to avoid confusion with loop associators.)

A (necessarily Moufang) loop L is an RA loop if, over any commutative associative coefficient ring R, the loop ring RL is an alternative, but not associative, ring. That there exist such loops came to light in 1983 [3]. By now, RA loops have been completely classified and many properties of the associated alternative loop rings explored. The best source of

318

information on these subjects is [1] to which we make frequent reference here. We record now some properties of RA loops of special interest in this paper.

An RA loop *L* has the *LC property*: elements $g, h \in L$ commute if and only if one of g, h, gh is central [1, §IV.2]. In particular, this implies that the square of any element of an RA loop is central. In an RA loop *L*, the set of *torsion* elements (those of finite order) is a subloop of *L* [1, Lemma VIII.4.1] called the *torsion subloop* of *L*. If *L* is RA, then any loop ring *RL* of *L* is an alternative ring and so the unit loop of *RL* is Moufang [1, §II.5.3].

In an RA loop *L*, there is a unique nonidentity commutator (always denoted *s*) which is also the only nonidentity associator. This element has order 2 and is central in *L* (and hence central in \mathcal{U}) [4, Lemma 3.2]. It follows that $L/\mathcal{Z}(L)$ is an Abelian group, so $L = \mathcal{Z}_2(L)$, the *second centre* of *L*. It is rare for the entire unit loop $\mathcal{U}(ZL)$ to equal its second centre. When this occurs $\mathcal{U}(ZL)$ is nilpotent and hence itself an RA loop [1, Corollary XII.2.14]. On the other hand, as we show in this paper, the second centre of $\mathcal{U}(ZL)$ equals the centre "most of the time." Specifically, we establish the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let *L* be an RA loop and let \mathcal{U} be the loop of units of ZL. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{U})$. Moreover, with *T* the torsion subloop of *L*, $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{U}) \neq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U})$ if and only if

(i) *T* is a Hamiltonian Moufang 2-loop and $\ell^{-1}t\ell = t^{\pm 1}$ for any $t \in T$ and any $\ell \in L$, or (ii) *T* is an Abelian group and every subgroup of *T* is normal in *L*.

The result for torsion RA loops (every element has finite order), was found by Goodaire and Li in 2001 [2].

Theorem 1.2. If *L* is a torsion RA loop but not a Hamiltonian 2-loop, then $\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U})$.

We also refer the reader to [5] where some of the results of this paper are established for group rings.

2. Preliminaries

For the rest of this paper, L denotes an RA loop and \mathcal{U} is the loop of units of the integral loop ring ZL. We begin with a short but very useful lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose $u, v \in U$ and $(u, v) \in L$. Then $(u, v) \in L'$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \mapsto \bar{\alpha}$ denote the extension to ZL of the natural map $L \to L/L'$. In the Abelian group ring Z[L/L'], the commutator $(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = \bar{1}$. Thus $\overline{(u, v)} = (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = \bar{1}$, so $(u, v) \in L'$. \Box

While the next theorem was stated in [2] for *torsion* loops, the proof given does not use the torsion property.

Theorem 2.2 (The normalizer conjecture). Let *L* be an arbitrary RA loop. Then $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}}(L) = L \cdot \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U})$.

If $\alpha = \sum \alpha_{\ell} \ell$ is an element of a loop ring, the scalar $\sum \alpha_{\ell}$ is called the *augmentation* of α and denoted $\varepsilon(\alpha)$. The map $\varepsilon: RL \to R$ is a ring homomorphism and so, if u is a unit of ZL, its augmentation is ± 1 . When trying to establish properties of units, it is often convenient to assume that the augmentation of a given unit u is 1 since if $\varepsilon(u) = -1$, the result for -u (which has augmentation ± 1) usually gives the result for u immediately. This is clearly the case when trying to prove that units of ZL are *trivial*, that is, elements of $\pm L$.

Lemma 2.3. Let L be a group or an RA loop and let u be a central unit in ZL. If u^n is trivial for some natural number n, then u is trivial too.

Proof. It is sufficient to establish the result for *u* of augmentation 1. Let $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^{\sharp}$ be the extension to *ZL* of the map $\ell \mapsto \ell^{-1}$ in *L*; that is, for $\alpha = \sum \alpha_i \ell_i$, $\alpha^{\sharp} = \sum \alpha_i \ell_i^{-1}$. Easily $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^{\sharp}$ is an antiautomorphism of *ZL*, so, letting $\ell = u^n \in L$, we have $(u^{\sharp})^n = (u^n)^{\sharp} = \ell^{-1}$. Since *u* and u^{\sharp} commute (and because \mathcal{U} is a Moufang and hence diassociative loop), $(uu^{\sharp})^n = 1$. As a central unit in *ZL* of finite order, uu^{\sharp} is trivial [1, Corollary VIII.1.7]. Since $\varepsilon(u^{\sharp}) = \varepsilon(u) = 1$, the augmentation of uu^{\sharp} is 1, so $uu^{\sharp} = \ell_1$ for some $\ell_1 \in L$. Since the coefficient of 1 in uu^{\sharp} is not zero (it is the sum of squares of integers), it must be that $\ell_1 = 1$ from which it follows readily that *u* is trivial. \Box

Corollary 2.4. Let *L* be an RA loop and let \mathcal{U} be the loop of units of ZL. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{U}$ and z = (u, v). If $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U})$ and z^n is trivial for some natural number *n*, then $z \in L'$.

Proof. We have $z^n = \pm \ell$ for some $\ell \in L$. By Lemma 2.3, z is trivial. Since z is a commutator, $\varepsilon(z) = 1$, so $z \in L$. By Lemma 2.1, $z \in L'$. \Box

Theorem 2.5. Let *L* be an RA loop and let \mathcal{U} be the unit loop of ZL. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U}}(L)$.

Proof. Writing Z_n for $Z_n(U)$, we prove by induction on $n \ge 1$ that $Z_n \subseteq \mathcal{N}_U(L)$. For $n = 1, Z_1 = \mathcal{Z}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_U(L)$ by Theorem 2.2. Suppose the result is true for $k \ge 1$. Take $z_{k+1} \in Z_{k+1}$ and $\ell \in L$. Then $(\ell, z_{k+1}) = z_k \in Z_k \subseteq \mathcal{N}_U(L)$ (and note that as a commutator, z_k has augmentation 1). By Theorem 2.2, we can $z_k = z\ell_1, z \in \mathcal{Z}(U), \ell_1 \in L$. Thus $z_{k+1}^{-1}\ell z_{k+1} = z\ell\ell_1$. Since ℓ^2 is central, $\ell^2 = z_{k+1}^{-1}\ell^2 z_{k+1} = z^2(\ell\ell_1)^2$, so z^2 is trivial. By Lemma 2.3, z is trivial, so z_k is trivial, hence in L (because this element has augmentation 1), and

$$\ell z_k = z_{k+1}^{-1} \ell z_{k+1} = \ell T(z_{k+1}) \in L.$$
(2.1)

It remains to show that $\ell R(z_{k+1}, w_{k+1}) \in L$ for any $z_{k+1}, w_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{k+1}$. To show this, we will use frequently that

$$(\ell, z_{k+1}) \in L'$$
 for any $\ell \in L$ and any $z_{k+1} \in \mathcal{Z}_{k+1}$, (2.2)

which follows from $(\ell, z_{k+1}) = z_k \in L$ and Lemma 2.1. Let $\ell \in L$ and let $z_{k+1}, w_{k+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{k+1}$. We have

320

$$\ell z_{k+1} \cdot w_{k+1} = [(z_{k+1}\ell)(\ell, z_{k+1})]w_{k+1}$$

= $s_1 z_{k+1}\ell \cdot w_{k+1} \quad s_1 \in L'$ by (2.2)
= $s_1[(z_{k+1}\ell \cdot w_{k+1})\ell]\ell^{-1}$ diassociativity implies $ab \cdot b^{-1} = a$
in a Moufang loop
= $s_1[z_{k+1}(\ell w_{k+1}\ell)]\ell^{-1}$ using the right Moufang identity
= $s_1 s_2[z_{k+1}(w_{k+1}\ell^2)]\ell^{-1}$ since $s_2 = (\ell, w_{k+1}) \in L'$ is central
= $s_1 s_2 z_{k+1} w_{k+1} \cdot \ell$ since ℓ^2 is central
= $s_1 s_2 s_3 \ell \cdot z_{k+1} w_{k+1} \quad s_3 = (z_{k+1} w_{k+1}, \ell)$, using (2.2) a final time.

Thus $\ell R(z_{k+1}, w_{k+1}) = [(\ell z_{k+1})w_{k+1}](z_{k+1}w_{k+1})^{-1} = s_1s_2s_3\ell \in L$, as desired. This completes the induction step and the proof. \Box

Corollary 2.6. Torsion hypercentral units are trivial.

Proof. Let $\tilde{z} \in \tilde{Z}(\mathcal{U})$ and suppose $(\tilde{z})^n = 1$ for some positive integer *n*. By Theorems 2.2 and 2.5, we can write $\tilde{z} = z\ell, z \in Z(\mathcal{U}), \ell \in L$, and $z^n \ell^n = 1$. This gives $z^n \in L$, so $z \in \pm L$ by Lemma 2.3. Thus $\tilde{z} \in \pm L$, as claimed. \Box

Corollary 2.7. $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{U}).$

Proof. It suffices to prove that $Z_3 \subseteq Z_2$, so take $z_3 \in Z_3$ and $u \in U$. In view of Theorems 2.2 and 2.5, we can write $z_3 = z\ell$, $z \in Z(U)$, $\ell \in L$, so $(z_3, u) = (\ell, u) = z_2 \in Z_2$. By (2.1), $(z_2, \ell) \in L$, so Lemma 2.1 gives $z_2\ell = \ell z_2c$, with $c \in L'$ (hence $c^2 = 1$). Since ℓ^2 is central and $u^{-1}\ell u = \ell z_2$, $\ell^2 = u^{-1}\ell^2 u = \ell z_2\ell z_2 = c\ell^2 z_2^2$, so $z_2^2 = c$ is trivial. Corollary 2.4 says $z_2 \in L' \subseteq Z(L)$, so $z_3 \in Z_2$. \Box

Corollary 2.8. If $z_2 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{U})$, then z_2^2 is central.

Proof. Take any $\ell \in L$. By Theorem 2.5, $z_2^{-1}\ell^{-1}z_2 \in L$, so (z_2, ℓ) is in *L*, hence in *L'*. Write $z_2^{-1}\ell^{-1}z_2 = c\ell^{-1}$, $c \in L'$. Then $z_2^{-2}\ell^{-1}z_2^2 = c(z_2^{-1}\ell^{-1}z_2) = c^2\ell^{-1} = \ell^{-1}$. Thus z_2^2 commutes with ℓ^{-1} and hence with ℓ . Since any element that commutes elementwise with *L* is in the centre of Z*L*, the proof is complete. \Box

Lemma 2.9. If $u \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{U})$ and v = 1 + n, $n^2 = 0$, then (u, v) = 1.

Proof. Since $u \in \mathbb{Z}_2(\mathcal{U})$, we have $(u^{-1}, v^{-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{U})$, so $uvu^{-1} = cv$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{U})$. By Corollary 2.8, u^2 is central, so $u^2vu^{-2} = v$, but also, $u^2vu^{-2} = u(cv)u^{-1} = c^2v$. Thus $c^2 = 1$, $uv^2u^{-1} = (uvu^{-1})^2 = c^2v^2 = v^2$, and $uv^2 = v^2u$. Since $v^2 = 1 + 2n$, it follows that u and n commute, so v and u commute. \Box **Lemma 2.10.** Let *L* be an RA loop with torsion subloop *T*. Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(ZL)$ be the loop of units in ZL. If $t \in T$ and $u \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{U})$, then $u^{-1}tu = t^{\pm 1}$. In the case $u^{-1}tu = t^{-1}$, the order of *t* divides 4.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $v \in \mathcal{U}$. Then $(u, v) = c \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{U})$. As in Lemma 2.9, $c^2 = 1$, and $c \in L'$ by Corollary 2.4. Let $t \in T$ have order n and set $\hat{t} = 1 + t + t^2 + \cdots + t^{n-1}$. Notice that $\hat{t} = \hat{t}t = \hat{t}$. Let v be the unit $v = 1 + (1 - t)u\hat{t}$. By Lemma 2.9, (u, v) = 1, so

$$u(1-t)u\hat{t} = (1-t)u\hat{t}u.$$
(2.3)

By Theorems 2.2 and 2.5, (u, t) is in *L*, so it's in $L' = \{1, s\}$.

Suppose $(u, t) \neq 1$. Then tu = sut, so (2.3) and the fact that $t\hat{t} = \hat{t}$ give $u^2\hat{t} - su^2\hat{t} = u\hat{t}u - su\hat{t}u$, hence

$$u\hat{t}u^{-1} - su\hat{t}u^{-1} = \hat{t} - s\hat{t}.$$
(2.4)

Now $u \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \subseteq \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}$, so *u* is in the normalizer of *L* in \mathcal{U} by Theorem 2.5. Writing \hat{t} as a sum of powers of *t*, each side of (2.4) is a sum of loop elements. Now *st* is one term in $s\hat{t}$ so either $st = t^i$ for some *i* or $st = sut^i u^{-1}$ for some *i*. In the first case, *s* is a power of *t*, so $u^{-1}tu = st$ is a power of *t*. In the second case $t = ut^i u^{-1}$, so again $u^{-1}tu$ is a power of *t*. In either case, *s* is a power of *t* and $u^{-1}tu = t^i$ for some *i*, $1 \le i < n = o(t)$, the order of *t*.

Suppose $u^{-1}tu = t^i \notin \{t, t^{-1}\}$. Thus 1 < i < n - 1, *i* is relatively prime to *n*, and $u^{-1}tu = st = t^{n/2+1}$ since $s \in \langle t \rangle$ has order 2. The element

$$b = (1 + t + \dots + t^{i-1})^{\phi(n)} + \frac{1 - i^{\phi(n)}}{n}\hat{t}$$

is a unit known as a *Bass cyclic unit* (see [6]) and it has infinite order [6, Proposition 8.1.12]. Now

$$u^{-1}bu = (1 + t^{i} + \dots + t^{i(i-1)})^{\phi(n)} + \frac{1 - i^{\phi(n)}}{n}\hat{t}$$

and, more generally,

$$(u^r)^{-1}bu^r = (1+t^{i^r}+\dots+t^{i^r(i-1)})^{\phi(n)} + \frac{1-i^{\phi(n)}}{n}\hat{t}.$$

It follows that

$$bb^{u}b^{u^{2}}\cdots b^{u^{\phi(n)-1}} = (1+t+\cdots+t^{i^{\phi(n)}-1})^{\phi(n)} + mt$$

for some integer *m*. But $(1 + t + \dots + t^{i^{\phi(n)}-1})^{\phi(n)} = (1 + k\hat{t})^{\phi(n)}$ for some integer *k*, so

$$bb^{u}b^{u^{2}}\cdots b^{u^{\phi(n)-1}} = 1 + m_{1}\hat{t}$$

for some integer m_1 . (See [6, Theorem 11.1.8] for more details.) Since b has augmentation $1, m_1 = 0$ and

$$bb^{u} \cdots b^{u^{\phi(n)-1}} = 1.$$
 (2.5)

Since $(u, b) \in L'$, by Lemma 2.1, each factor b^{u^k} is either *b* or *sb*. So Eq. (2.5) implies that a power of *b* is 1 or *s*. This contradicts the fact that *b* has infinite order and shows that $u^{-1}tu$ is indeed either *t* or t^{-1} .

Finally, in the case $u^{-1}tu = t^{-1}$, we have $(u, t^{-1}) = u^{-1}tut^{-1} = t^{-2}$, so $t^{-4} = (u, t^{-1})^2 = 1$ and o(t) | 4. \Box

Lemma 2.11. Let *L* be an RA loop with torsion subloop *T*. Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(\mathsf{Z}L)$ denote the unit loop of *L* in $\mathsf{Z}L$. If $t \in T$ and $\langle t \rangle$ is not normal in *L*, then $(u, \ell) = 1$ for every $u \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{U})$ and every $\ell \in L$. In particular, $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U})$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathbb{Z}_2(\mathcal{U})$. We use the fact that $s \notin \langle t \rangle$, an easy consequence of $\langle t \rangle$ being not normal. (See also [1, Corollary IV.1.11].)

First let $\ell \in L$ and assume $\ell t \ell^{-1} \notin \langle t \rangle$. Consider the unit $v = 1 + (1 - t)\ell \hat{t}$. By Lemma 2.9, we know that (u, v) = 1, so $u[(1 - t)\ell \hat{t}] = [(1 - t)\ell \hat{t}]u$, which gives

$$u(\ell \hat{t})u^{-1} - u(t\ell \hat{t})u^{-1} = \ell \hat{t} - t\ell \hat{t}.$$

Since $\ell t \ell^{-1} \notin \langle t \rangle$, $\operatorname{supp}(\ell \hat{t}) \cap \operatorname{supp}(t \ell \hat{t}) = \emptyset$. It follows (using Theorem 2.5) that $u \ell u^{-1}$, which is an element of *L* and in the support of the left-hand side, must equal ℓt^i for some *i*. But $u \ell u^{-1} = \ell$ or $s \ell$ (Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.1) and the latter contradicts $s \notin \langle t \rangle$. So $u \ell u^{-1} = \ell$ as desired.

Next, let $\ell \in L$ and assume this time that $\ell t \ell^{-1} \in \langle t \rangle$. Since $s \notin \langle t \rangle$, we know that $\ell t \ell^{-1} = t$. If ℓ is central, there is nothing to prove, so we may assume that ℓ is not central. Since *t* is also not central, the LC property tells us that $\ell = zt$ for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}(L)$. If $(t, u) = t^{-1}u^{-1}tu = s$, then, by Lemma 2.10, s = 1 or $s = t^{-2}$, contradicting $s \notin \langle t \rangle$. Since $(t, u) \in L'$, we must have (t, u) = 1, so $(\ell, u) = 1$ and we are done. \Box

Remark 2.12. Units of the type $v = 1 + (1 - t)\ell \hat{t}$ which appeared in the last proof, $\ell \in L$, *t* a torsion element of *L*, are called *bicyclic*. We refer the reader to either [1] or [6, Example 8.1.4] for more information about this important type of unit.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, first reminding the reader that $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{U})$ was established in Corollary 2.7.

Some explanations of terminology may be helpful. A Moufang loop which is not a group is *Hamiltonian* if every subloop is normal. Such loops were classified by Norton [7] as precisely those which are direct products $C \times E \times A$ with C the Cayley loop (a Moufang loop similar to the quaternion group of order 8), E is an Abelian group of exponent 2 and A

is an Abelian group all of whose elements have odd order. (See also [1, §II.4].) A Moufang loop is a 2-*loop* if each element has order a power of 2. In particular then, a Hamiltonian Moufang loop necessarily has exponent 4.

Assume now that *L* is an RA loop and $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{U}) \neq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U})$. Using Theorems 2.2 and 2.5 and the fact that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{U})$, there must exist $\ell_0 \in L$, $\ell_0 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{U}) \setminus \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U})$. By Lemma 2.11, every subloop of *T* is normal in *L* so, in particular, *T* is either an Abelian group or a Hamiltonian Moufang (possibly associative) loop [1, §II.4].

Suppose *T* is Hamiltonian. If *T* is not a 2-loop, there exists a noncentral element $x \in T$ of order 4p, p an odd prime. Since $o(x) \nmid 4$, Lemma 2.10 says that $\ell_0 x = x \ell_0$. Now the LC property in *L*, and the fact that neither *x* nor ℓ_0 is central, gives $x = \ell_0 z$ for some $z \in \mathcal{Z}(L)$. Thus $x \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{U}) \setminus \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U})$, so $x \in \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{U}(ZT)) \setminus \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}(ZT))$. Since *T* is a torsion RA loop, this contradicts Theorem 1.2. Thus *T* is indeed a 2-loop and hence of exponent 4. Remembering that $\langle t \rangle$ is normal in *L*, it follows that $\ell^{-1}t\ell = t^{\pm 1}$ for every $t \in T$ and every $\ell \in L$ (since any conjugate of *t* must have the same order as *t*). This completes the proof in one direction.

For the converse, first assume we are in case (i). Then [1, Corollary XII.2.14] tells us that $[\mathcal{U}(\mathsf{Z}L)]'$ has order 2, so $[\mathcal{U}(\mathsf{Z}L)]' = L' = \{1, s\}$ and, for any $u \in \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(\mathsf{Z}L)$ and any $\ell \in L$, $\ell T(u) = u^{-1}\ell u = \ell$ or $s\ell$. Moreover, for any $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ and any $\ell \in L$,

$$\ell R(u_1, u_2) = (\ell u_1 \cdot u_2)(u_1 u_2)^{-1} = \ell \text{ or } s\ell$$

because $\ell u_1 \cdot u_2 = \ell \cdot u_1 u_2$ or $s\ell \cdot u_1 u_2$. Clearly then *L* is normal in \mathcal{U} , so $\mathcal{U} = L \cdot \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U})$ by Theorem 2.2. Now choose $\ell_1 \in L \setminus \mathcal{Z}(L)$. Recalling that $L = \mathcal{Z}_2(L)$ (see Section 1), it follows that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{U})$ so $\ell_1 \in \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{U}) \setminus \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U})$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{U}) \neq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U})$.

Next, assume we are in case (ii). If *T* is central, then [1, Corollary XII.2.14] can be used again and we may complete the proof as in the preceding paragraph. So assume that *T* is not central and choose an element $t_0 \in T \setminus \mathcal{Z}(L)$. To complete the proof, it suffices to show that $t_0 \in \mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{U})$. For this, we must show that for any unit $u \in \mathcal{U}$, the commutator (t_0, u) is central and, for any units $u, v \in \mathcal{U}$, the three associators (u, v, t_0) , (u, t_0, v) , and (t_0, u, v) are central.

Let $A = T \cap Z(L)$ and let $t \in T$. Since $tt_0 = t_0t$ (*T* is Abelian) and t_0 is not central, the LC property says that *t* is central (so $t \in A$) or $tt_0 = a \in A$ which implies $t = at_0^{-2}t_0 \in At_0$ since squares in *L* are central. It follows that $T = \langle t_0, A \rangle$ and (again using $t_0^2 \in A$) $T = \{at_0 \mid a \in A\}$. It follows that a unit in ZT has the form $u_0 + u_1t_0$, with $u_0, u_1 \in ZA$ central. Since the conditions on *T* described in (ii) allow us to conclude that U(ZL) = [U(ZT)]L [1, Proposition XII.1.3], every unit of ZL has the form $(u_0 + u_1t_0)\ell$, u_0, u_1 central and $\ell \in L$.

Let $u = (u_0 + u_1 t_0)\ell$ be such a unit. Remembering that \mathcal{U} is Moufang and hence diassociative, we have $ut_0 = u_0\ell t_0 + u_1t_0\ell t_0$ whereas $t_0u = u_0t_0\ell + u_1t_0^2\ell = ut_0(\ell, t_0)$. Thus

$$(u, t_0) = (\ell, t_0) \tag{3.1}$$

is in L' and so central as desired.

Now let $u = (u_0 + u_1 t_0)\ell_1$ and $v = (v_0 + v_1 t_0)\ell_2$, u_0, u_1, v_0, v_1 central, $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in L$, be units. We compute the associator (u, v, t_0) .

To begin, we compute

$$uv \cdot t_0 = u_0 v_0 \ell_1 \ell_2 \cdot t_0 + u_0 v_1 (\ell_1 \cdot t_0 \ell_2) t_0 + u_1 v_0 (t_0 \ell_1 \cdot \ell_2) t_0 + u_1 v_1 (t_0 \ell_1 \cdot t_0 \ell_2) t_0$$
(3.2)

and

$$u \cdot vt_0 = u_0 v_0 \ell_1 \cdot \ell_2 t_0 + u_0 v_1 \ell_1 (t_0 \ell_2 t_0) + u_1 v_0 (t_0 \ell_1 \cdot \ell_2 t_0) + u_1 v_1 (t_0 \ell_1) (t_0 \ell_2 t_0).$$
(3.3)

If ℓ_1 , ℓ_2 , t_0 associate, they generate a group (by Moufang's theorem) and $uv \cdot t_0 = u \cdot vt_0$. Thus we may assume that any associator of ℓ_1 , ℓ_2 , t_0 is *s*. In an RA loop, if two elements commute, they associate with any third element. It follows then that we may assume that the commutators (ℓ_1 , t_0) and (ℓ_2 , t_0) are each *s* as well, since if either is 1, then ℓ_1 , ℓ_2 , t_0 associate. We now examine the four terms on the right side of (3.2). We have

$$\ell_1 \ell_2 \cdot t_0 = s \ell_1 \cdot \ell_2 t_0,$$

$$(\ell_1 \cdot t_0 \ell_2) t_0 = s (\ell_1 t_0 \cdot \ell_2) t_0$$

$$= s \ell_1 (t_0 \cdot \ell_2 t_0) \text{ by the right Moufang identity}$$

$$= s \ell_1 (t_0 \ell_2 t_0) \text{ by diassociativity,}$$

$$(t_0 \ell_1 \cdot \ell_2) t_0 = s (t_0 \cdot \ell_1 \ell_2) t_0$$

$$= s t_0 \ell_1 \cdot \ell_2 t_0 \text{ by middle Moufang and diassociativity,}$$

and

$$(t_0\ell_1 \cdot t_0\ell_2)t_0 = s(t_0\ell_1 \cdot \ell_2 t_0)t_0$$

= $s[t_0(\ell_1\ell_2)t_0]t_0$ by the middle Moufang identity
= $t_0(\ell_1 \cdot \ell_2 t_0)t_0$ using diassociativity to minimize parentheses
= $st_0(\ell_1 \cdot t_0\ell_2)t_0$
= $s(t_0\ell_1)(t_0\ell_2 t_0)$ by middle Moufang again.

Comparing with (3.3) gives $uv \cdot t_0 = (u \cdot vt_0)s$, so $(u, v, t_0) = s$ is central.

Now the ring associator $[u, v, t_0] = uv \cdot t_0 - u \cdot vt_0 = u \cdot vt_0(s-1)$. Taking advantage of the alternating nature of associators in an alternative ring,

$$ut_0 \cdot v - u \cdot t_0 v = [u, t_0, v] = -[u, v, t_0] = u \cdot vt_0(1 - s).$$
(3.4)

If v and t_0 were to commute, then ℓ_2 and t_0 would commute (as shown above—see (3.1)) and hence associate with every third element. It would follow that $(u, t_0, v) = 1$ is central.

Assume then that v and t_0 do not commute and, similarly, that u and t_0 do not commute. Thus $(u, t_0) = (\ell_1, t_0) = s$ by (3.1). Now (3.4) gives $ut_0 \cdot v - su \cdot vt_0 = u \cdot vt_0 - su \cdot vt_0$, so $ut_0 \cdot v = u \cdot vt_0 = su \cdot t_0 v$. Thus $(u, t_0, v) = s$ is central.

Finally (using $(u, t_0, v) = s$ and continuing to assume that $(u, t_0) = s$),

$$t_0 u \cdot v - t_0 \cdot uv = [t_0, u, v] = -[u, t_0, v] = -ut_0 \cdot v + u \cdot t_0 v$$

= $-st_0 u \cdot v + u \cdot t_0 v = -st_0 u \cdot v + sut_0 \cdot v = -st_0 u \cdot v + t_0 u \cdot v$

so $t_0 \cdot uv = st_0u \cdot v$, giving $(t_0, u, v) = s^{-1} = s$. This completes the proof.

References

- E.G. Goodaire, E. Jespers, C. Polcino Milies, Alternative Loop Rings, North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 184, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1996.
- [2] E.G. Goodaire, Y. Li, The normalizer conjecture in the alternative case, Algebra Colloq. 8 (4) (2001) 455-462.
- [3] E.G. Goodaire, Alternative loop rings, Publ. Math. Debrecen 30 (1983) 31-38.
- [4] E.G. Goodaire, D.A. Robinson, A class of loops with right alternative loop rings, Comm. Algebra 22 (14) (1995) 5623–5634.
- [5] Y. Li, M.M. Parmenter, Some results on hypercentral units in integral group rings, Comm. Algebra 31 (7) (2003) 3207–3217.
- [6] C. Polcino Milies, S.K. Sehgal, An Introduction to Group Rings, Algebras and Applications, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [7] D.A. Norton, Hamiltonian loops, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952) 56-65.
- [8] H.O. Pflugfelder, Quasigroups and Loops: Introduction, Heldermann, Berlin, 1990.