

## On the Tau-Cycle Condition

Wei-Chang Shann<sup>1</sup> and Chien-Chang Yen<sup>2</sup>

*Communicated by Albert Cohen on August 19, 1997*

---

*Abstract*—There is a set of equivalence conditions for the orthonormality of the compactly supported scaling functions. Among them, there is the Cohen's  $\tau$ -cycle condition. In order to answer the question whether it is enough to check this condition by a finite number of points, we study the  $\tau$ -cycles in more detail. © 1998 Academic Press

---

Cohen's  $\tau$ -cycle condition is one of the equivalence conditions for the orthonormality of the compactly supported scaling functions. It is known that if the associate function  $m_0(\xi) \neq 0$  for all  $|\xi| \leq \pi/3$ , then the  $\tau$ -cycle condition is satisfied. We notice that if  $m_0(\xi) \neq 0$  for all  $|\xi| \leq \pi/5$  and for  $\xi = \pi/3$ , then the  $\tau$ -cycle condition is satisfied. This leads us to ask the question that, when  $m_0(\xi) \neq 0$  in a certain interval, whether it is enough to check the  $\tau$ -cycle condition by a finite number of points.

Given a real number  $\xi$ , let  $[\xi]$  be the (unique) number in  $[-\pi, \pi)$  such that  $[\xi] = \xi \pmod{2\pi}$ , and let  $\tau$  be the operator such that  $\tau\xi = 2\xi \pmod{2\pi}$ . The set  $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \{\xi_i | \xi_i \in [-\pi, \pi), 0 \leq i \leq k-1\}$  is a  $\tau$ -cycle of length  $k$  if (1)  $\xi_{i+1} = \tau\xi_i$ , (2)  $\xi_i = \tau^k\xi_i$ , and (3)  $\xi_i \neq \xi_j$  for any  $i \neq j$ . It is clear that if  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  are two  $\tau$ -cycles and their intersection is nonempty, then  $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \boldsymbol{\eta}$ . The only  $\tau$ -cycle of length 1 is  $([0])$ ; we call it the trivial cycle.

Let  $\phi(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  be a compactly supported *scaling function* that satisfies the scaling equation  $\phi(x) = \sum c_k \phi(2x - k)$  with the *scaling coefficients*  $c_k$ . Let

$$m_0(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k c_k e^{ik\xi}$$

be the corresponding filter *characteristic function* for  $\phi(x)$ . Then  $m_0(\xi)$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic trigonometric polynomial.

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan, R.O.C. E-mail: shann@math.ncu.edu.tw.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C. E-mail: yccen@math.ntu.edu.tw.

Recall that the *Cohen's  $\tau$ -cycle condition* is the following statement:

There is no nontrivial  $\tau$ -cycle  $\xi$  such that  $m_0([\xi_k - \pi]) = 0$  for all  $\xi_k \in \xi$ . (C)

This is one of the conditions for orthonormal wavelets of compact supports. (See "Ten Lectures" by Daubechies [1] and Cohen's original works cited therein.)

It is known that every nontrivial  $\tau$ -cycle has an element in  $[-\pi, -\frac{2}{3}\pi] \cup [\frac{2}{3}\pi, \pi]$ . Therefore, if  $m_0(\xi) \neq 0$  in the interval  $[-\pi/3, \pi/3]$ , then the cycle condition (C) is satisfied. Let us now consider the intervals of the form

$$[-\alpha\pi, \alpha\pi] \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

The above fact amounts to saying that when  $m_0(\xi) \neq 0$  inside of  $[-\alpha\pi, \alpha\pi]$  and  $\frac{1}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , then the  $\tau$ -cycle condition (C) is satisfied. We now ask the following question: when  $m_0(\xi) \neq 0$  inside of  $[-\alpha\pi, \alpha\pi]$ , are there finitely many points  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$  such that if  $m_0(\xi_i) \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  then the  $\tau$ -cycle condition (C) is satisfied? We will see that the answer is positive if  $\alpha$  is not too small, and the answer is negative if  $\alpha$  is too small.

Now we claim that any  $\tau$ -cycle of length  $k \geq 3$  has an element  $[\xi_i] \in [-\pi, -\frac{4}{5}\pi] \cup [\frac{4}{5}\pi, \pi]$  (see also [2]). Assume the contrary, let  $\xi = ([\xi_i])$  be a  $\tau$ -cycle of length  $k \geq 3$  and

$$[\xi_i] \notin \left[-\pi, -\frac{4}{5}\pi\right] \cup \left[\frac{4}{5}\pi, \pi\right] \quad \forall i. \quad (1)$$

Then

$$[\xi_{i+1}] \notin \left[-\frac{2}{5}\pi, \frac{2}{5}\pi\right], \quad [\xi_{i-1}] \notin \left[-\frac{3}{5}\pi, -\frac{2}{5}\pi\right] \cup \left[\frac{2}{5}\pi, \frac{3}{5}\pi\right].$$

Since  $i$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $[\xi_i]$  cannot fall into a "wider" region than that claimed in (1):

$$[\xi_i] \notin \left[-\pi, -\frac{4}{5}\pi\right] \cup \left[-\frac{3}{5}\pi, \frac{3}{5}\pi\right] \cup \left[\frac{4}{5}\pi, \pi\right] \quad \forall i. \quad (2)$$

Using (2) and considering the possible locations for  $[\xi_{i-1}]$  and  $[\xi_{i+1}]$ , we see that in fact  $[\xi_i]$  cannot fall into an even "wider" region than that claimed in (2). We continue with this process and we find that in addition of (2) we also have

$$[\xi_i] \notin \bigcup_n \left\{ \left[-\frac{4}{5}\pi, -b_n\pi\right] \cup \left[-a_n\pi, -\frac{3}{5}\pi\right] \right\},$$

$$[\xi_i] \notin \bigcup_n \left\{ \left[\frac{3}{5}\pi, a_n\pi\right] \cup \left[b_n\pi, \frac{4}{5}\pi\right] \right\} \quad \forall i,$$

where

$$a_0 = \frac{3}{5}, \quad b_0 = \frac{4}{5}, \quad b_n = \frac{a_{n-1} + b_{n-1}}{2}, \quad a_n = \frac{a_{n-1} + b_n}{2}.$$

We take the limit and we can conclude that the only points where  $[\xi_i]$  can be are  $[-\frac{2}{3}\pi]$  and  $[\frac{2}{3}\pi]$ . But these two points form a  $\tau$ -cycle of length 2 which contradicts the assumption that  $k \geq 3$ .

By the property of  $\tau$ -cycles claimed above and the fact that the only  $\tau$ -cycle of length 2 is  $([-\frac{2}{3}\pi], [\frac{2}{3}\pi])$ , we have the following proposition for  $\frac{1}{5} \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{3}$ .

**PROPOSITION A.** *If  $m_0(\xi) \neq 0$  in the interval  $[-\pi/5, \pi/5]$ , and if  $m_0(\pi/3) \neq 0$ , then the  $\tau$ -cycle condition (C) is satisfied.*

Similarly, we have the following proposition for  $\frac{3}{17} \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{5}$ .

**PROPOSITION B.** *If  $m_0(\xi) \neq 0$  in the interval  $[-\frac{3}{17}\pi, \frac{3}{17}\pi]$ , and if  $m_0(\pi/3) \neq 0$  and  $m_0(\pi/5) \neq 0$ , then the  $\tau$ -cycle condition (C) is satisfied.*

But, we will see that the parameter  $\alpha$  cannot be less than  $\frac{1}{7}$ . Note that

$$\left( \left[ \frac{4}{7} \pi \right], \left[ -\frac{6}{7} \pi \right], \left[ \frac{2}{7} \pi \right] \right), \quad \left( \left[ \frac{6}{7} \pi \right], \left[ -\frac{2}{7} \pi \right], \left[ -\frac{4}{7} \pi \right] \right)$$

are two  $\tau$ -cycles of length 3. We let

$$\beta = \frac{2}{7(2^{3m} + 1)} \pi.$$

Consider

$$\xi_m := \left\{ \left[ 2^i \left( \frac{4}{7} \pi + \beta \right) \right] : 0 \leq i \leq 6m - 1 \right\}.$$

One can show that  $2^i(\frac{4}{7}\pi + \beta)$  are all distinct for  $0 \leq i \leq 3m - 1$ . Note that

$$\left[ 2^{3m} \left( \frac{4}{7} \pi + \beta \right) \right] = \left[ \frac{4}{7} \pi + \frac{2 \cdot 2^{3m}}{7(2^{3m} + 1)} \right] = \left[ \frac{4}{7} \pi + \frac{2}{7} \pi - \beta \right] = \left[ \frac{6}{7} \pi - \beta \right].$$

One can also show that  $2^i(\frac{6}{7}\pi - \beta)$  are all distinct for  $0 \leq i \leq 3m - 1$ . But

$$\left[ 2^{3m} \left( \frac{6}{7} \pi - \beta \right) \right] = \left[ \frac{6}{7} \pi - \frac{2 \cdot 2^{3m}}{7(2^{3m} + 1)} \right] = \left[ \frac{6}{7} \pi - \frac{2}{7} \pi + \beta \right] = \left[ \frac{4}{7} \pi + \beta \right].$$

We conclude that  $\xi_m$  is a  $\tau$ -cycle of length  $6m$  for any  $m > 0$ . The elements of  $\xi_m$  are

$$\left[ \left( \frac{4}{7} + \frac{2 \cdot 2^{3i}}{7(2^{3m} + 1)} \right) \pi \right] \left[ \left( -\frac{6}{7} + \frac{2 \cdot 2^{3i+1}}{7(2^{3m} + 1)} \right) \pi \right] \left[ \left( \frac{2}{7} + \frac{2 \cdot 2^{3i+2}}{7(2^{3m} + 1)} \right) \pi \right],$$

$$\left[ \left( \frac{6}{7} - \frac{2 \cdot 2^{3i}}{7(2^{3m} + 1)} \right) \pi \right] \left[ \left( -\frac{2}{7} - \frac{2 \cdot 2^{3i+1}}{7(2^{3m} + 1)} \right) \pi \right] \left[ \left( -\frac{4}{7} - \frac{2 \cdot 2^{3i+2}}{7(2^{3m} + 1)} \right) \pi \right],$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq m - 1$ . Therefore, all elements for all  $\xi_m$  are inside of the interval  $(-\frac{6}{7}\pi, \frac{6}{7}\pi)$ . In other words, all of these  $\tau$ -cycles of length  $6m$  (for any integer  $m > 0$ ) do not fall into the intervals  $[-\pi, -\frac{6}{7}\pi]$  and  $[\frac{6}{7}\pi, \pi]$ .

The foregoing example shows that, after a shift of  $\pi$ , there are infinitely many  $\tau$ -cycles whose elements do not fall into the interval  $[-\frac{1}{7}\pi, \frac{1}{7}\pi]$ . We then have the following conclusion.

**PROPOSITION C.** *If  $m_0(\xi) \neq 0$  for  $\xi \in [-\alpha\pi, \alpha\pi]$  with  $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{7}$ , then infinitely many points must be checked to show the validity of the  $\tau$ -cycle condition (C).*

## REFERENCES

1. I. Daubechies, "Ten Lectures on Wavelets," SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
2. W.-C. Shann and C.-C. Yen, "Cohen's Cycles and Orthonormal Scaling Functions," Technical Report 9703, Department of Mathematics, National Central University, Taiwan. [<http://www.math.ncu.edu.tw/~shann/Math/pre.html>]