Domain-Opening and Dynamic Coupling in the α-Subunit of Heterotrimeric G Proteins
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ABSTRACT Heterotrimeric G proteins are conformational switches that turn on intracellular signaling cascades in response to the activation of G-protein-coupled receptors. Receptor activation by extracellular stimuli promotes a cycle of GTP binding and hydrolysis on the G protein α-subunit (Gα). Important conformational transitions occurring during this cycle have been characterized from extensive crystallographic studies of Gα. However, the link between the observed conformations and the mechanisms involved in G-protein activation and effector interaction remain unclear. Here we describe a comprehensive principal component analysis of available Gα crystallographic structures supplemented with extensive unbiased conventional and accelerated molecular dynamics simulations that together characterize the response of Gα to GTP binding and hydrolysis. Our studies reveal details of activating conformational changes as well as the intrinsic flexibility of the α-helical domain that includes a large-scale 60° domain opening under nucleotide-free conditions. This result is consistent with the recently reported open crystal structure of Gα, the stimulatory G protein for adenylyl cyclase, in complex with the α2 adrenergic receptor. Sets of unique interactions potentially important for the conformational transition are also identified. Moreover, simulations reveal nucleotide-dependent dynamical couplings of distal regions and residues potentially important for the allosteric link between functional sites.
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Heterotrimeric G proteins undergo cycles of GTP-dependent conformational rearrangements and alterations of their oligomeric αβγ form to convey receptor signals to downstream effectors that control diverse cellular processes ranging from movement to division and differentiation. Interaction with activated receptor promotes the exchange of GDP for GTP on the G protein α-subunit (Gα) and its separation from its βγ subunit partners (Gβγ). Both isolated Gα and Gβγ then interact with downstream effectors. GTP hydrolysis deactivates Gα, which reassociates with Gβγ, becoming ready to restart the cycle. Each of these stages has been subjected to extensive crystallographic studies with high-resolution structures of Gα in complex with GDP, GTP analog, Gβγ, and, most recently, the G-protein-coupled receptors now available. These studies have provided extensive mechanistic insight. However, a number of important questions remain, including:

How do the distinct conformations evident in the accumulated structures interconvert?
How do disease-associated mutations affect the fidelity of these transitions?
And, critically, how do distal functional sites responsible for nucleotide and protein partner binding allosterically coordinate their activities?

Here we describe a comprehensive analysis of the accumulated Gα crystallographic structures supplemented with extensive conventional (cMD) and accelerated molecular dynamics (aMD) simulations (1) that together map the structural and dynamical features of Gα in different nucleotide states. These enhanced sampling simulations reveal the spontaneous interconversion between GDP and GTP conformations and also characterize large-scale opening motions of the α-helical domain (HD) that were not accessible to previous simulation studies (2–5). Furthermore, the current simulations results reveal a distinctive pattern of collective motions that provide evidence for a nucleotide-dependent network of dynamic communication between the active site and the receptor and effector binding sites.

Principal component analysis of 53 Gα experimental structures homologous to transducin (Gtα) reveals that the major variation in accumulated structures is the concerted association/disassociation of three nucleotide-binding site loops termed the switch regions (SI, SII, and SIII). An additional small-scale (<10°) rotation of the HD relative to the main catalytic Ras-like domain (RasD) is also apparent (see Fig. S1 in the Supporting Material). The distinct conformation of SI–SIII regions gives rise to nucleotide-associated segregation of GDP- and GTP-analog-bound experimental structures along the PC1-PC2 plane. Interestingly, both GDP- and GTP-bound structures display a skewed distribution along the PC1-PC2 plane that arises from HD rotation. In comparison, the distribution of the GTP-bound structures becomes more restricted and the skew decreases when the
mapping is based on a principle component analysis that excludes the HD region (see Fig. S1).

Recently, the HD region of Gas (the α-subunit of the stimulatory G protein for adenylyl cyclase) was shown to adopt a dramatically more open conformation in a crystal structure complex with the β2 adrenergic receptor (β2AR) (6). This clam-shell-like 127° opening in the absence of nucleotide and presence of receptor is consistent with electron microscopy (7) and double electron-electron resonance analysis (8). These results, together with recent hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry data (9), indicate that there may be additional functional motions and inherent flexibility in the ensemble of native states beyond those apparent in the accumulated crystal structures of Gas (9). To address this question, we performed multiple 100-ns aMD simulations of nucleotide-free Gas. These simulations reveal a spontaneous large-scale opening and closing motion of larger magnitude (>60°) than those evident in the distribution of crystallographic structures (Fig. 1 A and see Fig. S2). In addition, the trajectory reveals two dominant modes of HD opening: an out-of-plane shifting (PC1 in Fig. S3) and an in-plane rotation (PC2 in Fig. S3). It is also notable that nucleotide-free aMD simulations sample both active (GTP-like) and inactive (GDP-like) structures (see Fig. S2) in an analogous manner to the spontaneous GDP to GTP interconversion sampled for Ras and Rho small G proteins with similar methods (10–12).

The low sequence identity between Gas and Gas (44.5%), as well as the absence of the receptor and Gβγ in the simulations, may explain the difference between the predicted ~60° Gas-HD rotation and that displayed in the β2AR-Gas crystallographic structure (see Fig. S3). It is notable that, although the amplitude is much smaller, aMD simulations with bound nucleotide display similar dominant HD motions to those observed in the nucleotide-free simulations (see Fig. S4). This suggests that the interdomain flexibility of RasD and HD is likely an intrinsic feature of Gas regardless of nucleotide state.

The transition between distinct conformations (structural clusters; see Fig. S5) was observed to correspond to significant dynamical changes in side-chain contacts (see Fig. S6). Specifically, we found sequential contacts breaking during the HD in-plane rotation motion starting from the region between HD helix αD and RasD helix αG toward that between HD helix αE and RasD SIII and the P-loop. In comparison, for the out-of-plane shift, we found simultaneous breaking and formation of contacts in the region containing the loop between helices αB and αC, the N-terminus of αA, αE, and αF of HD; α1, SI, and the loop between strand β6 and helix α5 of RasD. Interactions highlighted in these regions as potentially important for the conformational transitions include D137::K276, S140::K273, S140::D227, Q143::R238, N145::E39, and D146::K266, the effect of which can be further evaluated by mutagenesis experiments and simulations.

Dynamic network analysis methods developed by Sethi et al. (13) were used to examine whether the motions of one residue were correlated to the motions of another (distant) residue. In this approach, a weighted graph is constructed where each residue represents a node and the weight of the connection between nodes represents their respective correlation value. A clustering of edges is then used to define local communities of highly correlated residues that represent substructures that are highly interconnected, but loosely interconnected. Applying this approach to multiple 40-ns cMD simulations initiated from GTP-, GDP-, and aMD-derived APO conformations revealed a consistent community composition as well as a distinct pattern of intercommunity connection between nucleotide states (Fig. 1, B and C).

The dynamics of the RasD region can be decomposed into two main communities that stem from the nucleotide base and phosphate regions in GDP and GTP states: The first community is composed of residues from the P-loop, helix α1, strands β1–β3, and the phosphates of the nucleotide (orange in Fig. 1, B and C). The second community comprises residues from helix αG, strands β4–β6, and the nucleotide.
base region (tan in Fig. 1, B and C). This dynamic partitioning of the central \( \beta \)-sheet and central role of the nucleotide is consistent with the bilobal structure and dynamics previously reported for Ras (14). In the presence of GTP, the first community includes or is dynamically coupled to SI, SII, and SIII regions (see the orange node and the red edge in Fig. 1 C). Removal of the \( \gamma \)-phosphate of GTP disrupts this region, leading to decoupling of the switch regions from the nucleotide. Also evident for GDP states is an apparent tighter coupling of RasD and HD regions (blue edges in Fig. 1 C). We note that these findings are robust to the choice of initial simulation conditions and are observed in both cMD and aMD simulations (see Fig. S7 and Fig. S8).

Nucleotide-free Gαt simulations display an altered dynamical network with respect to those of nucleotide-bound states. In particular, RasD and HD regions lose connecting edges consistent with the large-scale opening of these domains (e.g., SIII-HD green edges in Fig. 1 C).

A number of residues highlighted here as potentially important for mediating the coupling between prominent communities (see Table S1 in the Supporting Material) have been shown by previous mutagenesis studies to affect GDP release. For example, the double mutation A322S/R174M was found to significantly enhance the rate of GDP release (15). The current results indicate that these positions are involved in coupling the nucleotide and RasD. Also, mutations R144A and L232Q caused a faster basal GDP release rate in Gαi1 (16). The current analysis indicates that the equivalent positions in Gαt (S140 and M228) couple the RasD and HD, and suggests that their mutation could promote domain-domain motions. We also note the apparent coupling of \( \alpha 5 \) with the nucleotide base and Ploop-\( \beta 1 \) with the phosphate regions of GDP. These direct connections of the receptor connecting N- and C-terminus to GDP are suggestive of potential routes for receptor-mediated GDP release. We expect further study of these sites and of receptor-bound dynamics to be informative in this regard.

In conclusion, simulations suggest a flexible HD in Gαt similar to that found for Gαs. In particular, in the absence of nucleotide we observed the spontaneous large-scale opening and closing of HD relative to RasD, which was unseen in previous computational studies. Moreover, we found that the functional states of Gαt are associated with the distinct dynamical couplings of functional regions including SI–SIII, P-loop, \( \alpha 5 \), and the HD region. Finally, our results indicate that nucleotide may not directly induce large-scale conformational changes but, instead, act as a modulator of intrinsically accessible conformations and as a central participant in their associated dynamical couplings.
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