

An Inequality for L_p -Norms with Respect to the Multivariate Normal Distribution

W. STADJE

University of Göttingen

Submitted by R. P. Boas

A partial converse of Jensen's inequality for integrals of norms on \mathbb{R}^k is proved.

Let $\|\cdot\|$ be an arbitrary norm on \mathbb{R}^k , A a positive definite $(k \times k)$ -matrix and N_A the normal distribution on \mathbb{R}^k with mean 0 and covariance matrix A . The purpose of this note is the proof of the following:

THEOREM. For $p \in [1, \infty)$

$$\left(\int \|x\|^p N_A(dx) \right)^{1/p} \leq 2\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^{1/p} \pi^{(p-1)/2p} \int \|x\| N_A(dx). \quad (1)$$

If we denote the L_p -norm of a measurable function $f: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with respect to N_A by $\|f\|_{p, N_A}$, the theorem says that

$$\|\|\cdot\|\|_{p, N_A} \leq c_p \|\|\cdot\|\|_{1, N_A}, \quad (1')$$

where c_p is a constant only depending on p , especially not on the norm $\|\cdot\|$: $c_p := 2\Gamma((p+1)/2)^{1/p} \pi^{(p-1)/2p}$. It is a simple consequence of Jensen's inequality that $\|f\|_{1, N_A} \leq \|f\|_{p, N_A}$ for $p \geq 1$. Thus (1') can be considered as a partial converse of this inequality for a special family of functions [1, p. 39]. If we take for example $\|x\| := (\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i |x_i|^p)^{1/p}$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k > 0$, (1') transforms into

$$\int \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} N_A(dx) \geq \frac{1}{c_p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \int |x_i|^p N_A(dx) \right)^{1/p}. \quad (2)$$

The right-hand side of (2) can be computed directly, and if the variances of the components x_1, \dots, x_n (i.e., the diagonal elements of A) are denoted by $\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_n^2$, we get

$$\int \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} N_A(dx) \geq (2\pi)^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \sigma_i^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad (3)$$

which in the case of the standard normal distribution becomes

$$\int \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} e^{-|x|^2/2} \lambda^k(dx) \geq (2\pi)^{(k-1)/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \right)^{1/p}. \quad (4)$$

The proof of (1) depends on the following lemma whose easy proof is included for completeness. A function $f: (a, b) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying $f \geq 0$ and $\int_a^b f(t) dt = 1$ is called a density function on (a, b) . Further we set $\|f\|_p := (\int_a^b f^p dt)^{1/p}$.

LEMMA. *Let f and g be two positive functions on the interval (a, b) such that f, g and g/f are monotone nondecreasing. Then we have for all $p \in [1, \infty]$*

$$\|f\|_p \leq \|g\|_p. \quad (5)$$

If equality holds for some $p \in (1, \infty)$, it follows that $f = g$ Lebesgue-almost everywhere.

Proof. Since the case $p \in \{1, \infty\}$ is trivial, let $p \in (1, \infty)$. There are numbers $a \leq c \leq d \leq b$ satisfying $\{t \in (a, b) | g(t) < f(t)\} \subset (a, c]$, $\{t \in (a, b) | g(t) > f(t)\} \subset [d, b)$, $\{t \in (a, b) | g(t) = f(t)\} \supset (c, d)$. For $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $h_\lambda := p(\lambda f + (1 - \lambda)g)^{p-1}$ we clearly have $\sup_{(a, c]} h_\lambda \leq \sup_{(d, b)} h_\lambda$. If $\|f\|_p = \infty$, obviously

$$\int_a^b g^p dt \geq \int_a^b f^p dt = \infty$$

implying (5). Thus, without loss of generality we may assume $\|f\|_p < \infty, \|g\|_p < \infty$. Then we obtain using $\int_a^c (f - g) dt = -\int_d^b (f - g) dt$:

$$\begin{aligned} & (d/d\lambda) \left\{ \int_a^b [\lambda f + (1 - \lambda)g]^p dt \right\} \\ &= p \int_a^b [\lambda f + (1 - \lambda)g]^{p-1} (f - g) dt \\ &\leq \sup_{(a, c]} h_\lambda \int_a^c (f - g) dt + \inf_{(d, b)} h_\lambda \int_d^b (f - g) dt \\ &= \left(\inf_{(d, b)} h_\lambda - \sup_{(a, c]} h_\lambda \right) \int_d^b (f - g) dt \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Hence $\lambda \rightarrow \|\lambda f + (1 - \lambda)g\|_p$ decreases monotonically on $[0, 1]$ and attains its minimum for $\lambda = 1$ and its maximum for $\lambda = 0$. This proves (5). Now let

$f \neq g$ with respect to Lebesgue measure so that $\int_a^b (f-g) dt < 0 < \int_a^c (f-g) dt$. $\lambda \rightarrow \|\lambda f + (1-\lambda)g\|_p$ can be a constant function only if for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ $h_\lambda = \text{const.}$ on (a, c) and on (d, b) . As g/f is monotone non-decreasing, in that case both f and g have to be constant on (a, c) as well as on (d, b) : $f|(a, c) = \alpha_1 > \alpha_2 = g|(a, c)$, $f|(d, b) = \beta_1 < \beta_2 = g|(d, b)$. Since the derivative in (6) vanishes, we must have $\inf_{(d,b)} h_\lambda = \sup_{(a,c)} h_\lambda$, and this implies $\lambda\alpha_1 + (1-\lambda)\alpha_2 = \lambda\beta_1 + (1-\lambda)\beta_2$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Thus we can conclude $f = g = 1$ contradicting the assumption $f \neq g$.

Proof of the Theorem. It is sufficient to show (1) for the standard normal distribution N , as is easily seen by the substitution $y = A^{-1/2}x$, for $y \rightarrow \|A^{1/2}y\|$ is again a norm. Let $F(t) := N\{x \mid \|x\| \leq t\}$ be the distribution function of $\|\cdot\|$ with respect to N and $\Phi(t) := (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\{-u^2/2\} du$ be the standard normal distribution function. Then we have the relations

$$\int \|x\| N(dx) \geq \int_{1/2}^1 F^{-1}(t) dt, \tag{7}$$

$$\int \|x\|^p N(dx) = \int_0^1 |F^{-1}(t)|^p dt \leq 2 \int_{1/2}^1 F^{-1}(t)^p dt, \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{1/2}^1 \Phi^{-1}(t)^p dt &= \int_0^1 |\Phi^{-1}(t)|^p dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t|^p d\Phi(t) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-1/2} 2^{(p+1)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) = \pi^{-1/2} 2^{p/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{F^{-1}}{\int_{1/2}^1 F^{-1}(t) dt} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\Phi^{-1}}{\int_{1/2}^1 \Phi^{-1}(t) dt}$$

are monotone nondecreasing density functions on $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Below we shall show that Φ^{-1}/F^{-1} is also monotone nondecreasing. Using the lemma we can therefore conclude from (7)–(9),

$$\begin{aligned} \int \|x\|^p N(dx) &\leq 2 \int_{1/2}^1 F^{-1}(t)^p dt \\ &\leq 2 \frac{[\int_{1/2}^1 F^{-1}(t) dt]^p}{[\int_{1/2}^1 \Phi^{-1}(t) dt]^p} \int_{1/2}^1 \Phi^{-1}(t)^p dt \\ &\leq \frac{[\int \|x\| N(dx)]^p}{[\pi^{-1/2} 2^{-1/2}]^p} \pi^{-1/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) 2^{p/2} \\ &= \pi^{(p-1)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) 2^p \left[\int \|x\| N(dx) \right]^p. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Thus it remains to prove that Φ^{-1}/F^{-1} is monotone nondecreasing. F is differentiable on $(0, \infty)$, because we have for $t > 0$ and $\alpha_\varepsilon := (t + \varepsilon)/t$:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-1}(F(t + \varepsilon) - F(t)) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (t(\alpha_\varepsilon - 1))^{-1}(F(\alpha_\varepsilon t) - F(t)) \\ &= t^{-1} u'_t(1), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $u_t(\alpha) := F(\alpha t)$, $\alpha > 0$. u_t is differentiable because of

$$\begin{aligned} (d/d\alpha) u_t(\alpha) &= (d/d\alpha) \int_{\{x \mid \|x\| \leq \alpha t\}} (2\pi)^{-k/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{|x|^2}{2} \right\} \lambda^k(dx) \\ &= (d/d\alpha) \int_{\{v \mid \|v\| \leq t\}} \alpha^k \exp \{ -(1/2) \alpha^2 |v|^2 \} (2\pi)^{-k/2} \lambda^k(dv) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-k/2} \alpha^{k-1} k \int_{\{v \mid \|v\| \leq t\}} \exp \{ -(1/2) \alpha^2 |v|^2 \} \lambda^k(dv) \\ &\quad - (2\pi)^{-k/2} \alpha^{k+1} \int_{\{v \mid \|v\| \leq t\}} |v|^2 \\ &\quad \times \exp \{ -(1/2) \alpha^2 |v|^2 \} \lambda^k(dv). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

By (11) and (12) we get

$$F'(t) = t^{-1} \left[kF(t) - \int_{\{v \mid \|v\| \leq t\}} |v|^2 (2\pi)^{-k/2} \exp \{ -(1/2) |v|^2 \} \lambda^k(dv) \right]. \quad (13)$$

Similarly it is seen that

$$\Phi'(s) = s^{-1} \left(k\Phi(s) - \int_{\{v \mid v_1 \leq s\}} |v|^2 (2\pi)^{-k/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{|v|^2}{2} \right\} \lambda^k(dv), \right) \quad (14)$$

where $v = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$. Now let

$$r = \Phi(s) = F(t) \text{ for some } s, t > 0 \text{ and } r \in (1/2, 1). \quad (15)$$

We denote by $\mu_{k,r}$ the normalized surface measure on the sphere rS^{k-1} . By a theorem due to Landau and Shepp ([4, p. 373, Lemma 1] (condition (3.8) there is superfluous)), for each half space H containing the origin as an interior point and each closed convex set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ the function $r \rightarrow \mu_{k,r}(H) - \mu_{k,r}(C)$ changes its sign at most once, and if it does, it is positive for large r (see also the remark after this proof). If we take

$H = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid v_1 \leq s\}$ and $C = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid \|v\| \leq t\}$, we obtain for $r_0 = \inf\{r > 0 \mid \mu_{k,r}(H) > \mu_{k,r}(C)\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |v|^2 e^{-(1/2)|v|^2} (1_H(v) - 1_C(v)) \lambda^k(dv) \\ &= \frac{2\pi^{k/2}}{\Gamma(k/2)} \int_0^\infty r^{k+1} (\mu_{k,r}(H) - \mu_{k,r}(C)) e^{-r^2/2} dr \\ &= \frac{2\pi^{k/2}}{\Gamma(k/2)} \int_0^\infty (r^2 - r_0^2) r^{k-1} (\mu_{k,r}(H) - \mu_{k,r}(C)) e^{-r^2/2} dr \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The first equation follows from introducing polar coordinates and the obvious identity

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{k,r}(E) &= \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{2\pi^{k/2}} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \cdots \int_0^\pi 1_E(v(r, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{k-1})) \sin^{k-2} \phi_1 \\ &\quad \times \cdots \times \sin \phi_{k-2} d\phi_1 \cdots d\phi_{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

for $E = C$ or $E = H$ and $v(r, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{k-1})$ the point in \mathbb{R}^k having polar coordinates $r, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{k-1}$. The second equation is derived by the chain of identities

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2^{(1-k)/2}}{\Gamma(k/2)} \int_0^\infty r^{k-1} \mu_{k,r}(H) e^{-r^2/2} dr = N(H) = \Phi(s) = F(t) \\ &= N\{x \mid \|x\| \leq t\} \\ &= \frac{2^{(1-k)/2}}{\Gamma(k/2)} \int_0^\infty r^{k-1} \mu_{k,r}(C) e^{-r^2/2} dr. \end{aligned}$$

As the last integrand in (16) is nonnegative, (16) is proved. From (13), (14), and (16) one derives immediately

$$tF'(t) \geq s\Phi'(s) > 0 \tag{17}$$

and this yields

$$F^{-1}(r)(d/dr) \Phi^{-1}(r) = t/\Phi'(s) \geq s/F'(t) = \Phi^{-1}(r)(d/dr) F^{-1}(r). \tag{18}$$

Thus

$$(d/dr)(\Phi^{-1}/F^{-1})(r) \geq 0, \quad \forall r \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1). \tag{19}$$

This concludes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 1. The functions $r \rightarrow \mu_{k,r}(H)$ and $r \rightarrow \mu_{k,r}(C)$ cross at most once even if H does not contain the origin as an interior point (see [5]). However, the general proof is much more difficult than that one given in [4] for the special case used above. An alternative and perhaps somewhat simpler proof of the assertion in the case $0 \in H^0$ looks as follows: Suppose $\mu_{k,s}(H) < \mu_{k,s}(C)$ and let $U_{s,\varepsilon}^\Delta(B)$ be the closed geodesic ε -neighbourhood for a set $B \subset sS^{k-1}$. If we take $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k | x_1 \leq b\}$, we obtain for $0 < b < s$ and $r \leq s$ $U_{s,\alpha}^\Delta(H \cap sS^{k-1}) = (s/r)H \cap sS^{k-1}$, where $\alpha = s(\arccos(b/s) - \arccos(b/r \wedge 1))$. α is a (strictly) increasing function of b . Therefore, if \tilde{H} is a half space containing C , $U_{s,\alpha}^\Delta(\tilde{H} \cap sS^{k-1}) \subset (s/r)\tilde{H} \cap sS^{k-1}$. Now, if $r \leq s$ and $C = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty H_n$ with half spaces H_n , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{k,r}(H) &= \mu_{k,s} \left(\frac{s}{r} H \right) = \mu_{k,s}(U_{s,\alpha}^\Delta(H \cap sS^{k-1})) \\ &\leq \mu_{k,s}(U_{s,\alpha}^\Delta(C \cap sS^{k-1})) \\ &\leq \mu_{k,s} \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty U_{s,\alpha}^\Delta(H_n \cap sS^{k-1}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality follows from the Brunn–Minkowski theorem (see [3, p. 92], for an elegant short proof) and the second from inclusion. The last set is contained in $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty ((s/r)H_n \cap sS^{k-1}) = (s/r)C \cap sS^{k-1}$, and its $\mu_{k,s}$ -measure thus does not exceed $\mu_{k,r}(C)$. This argument completes the proof.

Remark 2. The existence of a constant c_p as in (1') already follows from the fundamental inequality of [2] which states that for a normal random vector X and $s > 0$ such that $P(\|X\| \leq s) > \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$P(\|X\| > u) \leq P(\|X\| \leq s) \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2}{24s^2} \log \frac{P(\|X\| \leq s)}{P(\|X\| > s)} \right\}, \quad u \geq s. \quad (20)$$

From this result an estimate of the form (1') for some \tilde{c}_p can be derived in the following way: Without restriction of generality we can assume that $P(\|X\| \leq 1) =: q > \frac{1}{2}$. Then it follows from (20) that $\int \|x\| N_A(dx) \geq 1 - q$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int \|x\|^p N_A(dx) \right)^{1/p} &\leq \left(1 + qp \int_1^\infty u^{p-1} \exp \left\{ -u^2 \left(\log \frac{q}{1-q} \right) / 24 \right\} du \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-q} \left(1 + \frac{qp}{2} \Gamma \left(\frac{p}{2} \right) 24^{p/2} \left(\log \frac{q}{1-q} \right)^{-p/2} \right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad \times \int \|x\| N_A(dx), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where $q \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ is arbitrary. If we define $\tilde{c}_{p,q}$ to be the constant in (21), we obtain

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{c}_{p,q}}{c_p} = \sqrt{\frac{6}{\pi}} \frac{1}{1-q} \left(\log \frac{q}{1-q} \right)^{-1/2} > 4.9735.$$

This shows that, for large p , c_p is a much smaller constant than $\tilde{c}_{p,q}$. This is also true for small values of p . For example, if $p \approx 1$, $c_p \leq \frac{1}{2} \inf\{\tilde{c}_{p,q} \mid q \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)\}$. By simple examples (e.g., (4) with $k=1$, $\alpha_1=p=1$) it is seen that (1') becomes false for $\tilde{c}_p < \frac{1}{2}c_p$. Perhaps our constant can be improved by a factor $\frac{1}{2}$.

REFERENCES

1. E. F. BECKENBACH AND R. BELLMAN, "Inequalities," Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1965.
2. X. FERNIQUE, Inégrabilité des vecteurs gaussiens, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A* **270** (1970), 1698-1699.
3. T. FIGIEL, J. LINDENSTRAUSS, AND V. D. MILMAN, The dimension of almost spherical sections of convex bodies, *Acta Math.* **139** (1977), 53-94.
4. H. J. LANDAU AND L. A. SHEPP, On the supremum of a gaussian process, *Sankhyā Ser. A* **32A** (1970), 369-378.
5. W. STADJE, "Rotationssymmetrische Verteilungen und Ungleichungen für die mehrdimensionale Normalverteilung," Habilitationsschrift, Göttingen, 1980.