

Neurexin-1 β Binding to Neuroligin-1 Triggers the Preferential Recruitment of PSD-95 versus Gephyrin through Tyrosine Phosphorylation of Neuroligin-1

Grégory Giannone,^{1,2,3} Magali Mondin,^{1,2,3} Dolors Grillo-Bosch,^{1,2} Béatrice Tessier,^{1,2} Edouard Saint-Michel,^{1,2} Katalin Czöndör,^{1,2} Matthieu Sainlos,^{1,2} Daniel Choquet,^{1,2,4,*} and Olivier Thoumine^{1,2,4,*} ¹University Bordeaux

²CNRS

Interdisciplinary Institute for Neuroscience, UMR 5297, F-33000 Bordeaux, France

³These authors contributed equally to this work and are co-first authors

⁴These authors contributed equally to this work and are co-last authors

*Correspondence: dchoquet@u-bordeaux2.fr (D.C.), othoumin@u-bordeaux2.fr (O.T.)

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2013.05.013

SUMMARY

Adhesion between neurexin-1 β (Nrx1 β) and neuroligin-1 (NIg1) induces early recruitment of the postsynaptic density protein 95 (PSD-95) scaffold; however, the associated signaling mechanisms are unknown. To dissociate the effects of ligand binding and receptor multimerization, we compared conditions in which NIg1 in neurons was bound to Nrx1 β or nonactivating HA antibodies. Time-lapse imaging, fluorescence recovery after photobleaching, and single-particle tracking demonstrated that in addition to aggregating NIg1, Nrx1 β binding stimulates the interaction between NIg1 and PSD-95. Phosphotyrosine immunoblots and pull-down of gephyrin by NIg1 peptides in vitro showed that NIg1 can be phosphorylated at a unique tyrosine (Y782), preventing gephyrin binding. Expression of NIg1 point mutants in neurons indicated that Y782 phosphorylation controls the preferential binding of NIg1 to PSD-95 versus gephyrin, and accordingly the formation of inhibitory and excitatory synapses. We propose that ligand-induced changes in the NIg1 phosphotyrosine level control the balance between excitatory and inhibitory scaffold assembly during synapse formation and stabilization.

INTRODUCTION

Understanding the mechanisms that lead to the differential assembly of excitatory and inhibitory synapses is a fundamental goal in neurobiology. Synaptogenesis is a complex, multistep process that occurs at axon-dendrite contacts and is initiated by adhesion proteins followed by the recruitment of scaffolding proteins and functional receptors (Friedman et al., 2000). The molecules postsynaptic density protein 95 (PSD-95) and gephyrin are among the earliest hallmarks of excitatory and inhibitory postsynaptic differentiation, respectively (Bresler et al., 2001; Washbourne et al., 2002; Gerrow et al., 2006; Poulopoulos et al., 2009), and thus it is essential to identify the mechanisms that control their specific recruitment at novel neuronal contacts.

Among regulatory molecules, the postsynaptic adhesion molecules neuroligins (NIgs) bind presynaptic neurexins (Nrxs) through their extracellular domain (Südhof, 2008). Nlgs interact intracellularly with PSD-95 through their C-terminal PDZ domain-binding motif (Irie et al., 1997) and with gephyrin through a consensus sequence located in the middle of the NIg intracellular domain (Poulopoulos et al., 2009). Studies in culture systems have shown that Nrxs and Nlgs are critical players in synapse initiation and maturation (Craig and Kang, 2007). Overexpressing NIg1 in neurons promotes synapse formation, whereas silencing NIgs reduces synapse number (Chih et al., 2005; Levinson et al., 2005; Ko et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2010). Furthermore, cocultured heterologous cells expressing NIg1 or Nrx1ß induce pre- and postsynapses, respectively, in contacting neurites (Scheiffele et al., 2000; Graf et al., 2004; Nam and Chen, 2005). NIgs can assemble both excitatory and inhibitory synapses, with the balance between them being regulated by the respective expression levels of PSD-95 and gephyrin (Graf et al., 2004; Chih et al., 2005; Levinson et al., 2005, 2010). Hemi-synapses can also be induced using microspheres coated with Nlg1, Nrx1 β , or antibodies against Nlg1 or Nrx1 (Dean et al., 2003; Graf et al., 2004; Heine et al., 2008; Barrow et al., 2009), suggesting that the recruitment of scaffolding molecules depends mainly on NIg1 aggregation.

Other studies have supported more complex mechanisms associated with Nrx1 β /Nlg1 functions. Indeed, synaptic activity is required for Nlg1-mediated stabilization of dendritic filopodia and recruitment of AMPA receptors (Nam and Chen, 2005; Chubykin et al., 2007; Gutiérrez et al., 2009), and for the proteolytic cleavage of Nlg1 (Peixoto et al., 2012). In addition, the postsynaptic interaction between Nlg1 and PSD-95 induces a retrograde modulation of presynaptic release probability (Futai et al., 2007). Thus, besides triggering Nlg1 clustering, Nrx1 β binding to Nlg1 could be associated with signaling mechanisms. One example of ligand-activated adhesion molecules is the integrins, which display a synergy between receptor clustering





and ligand occupancy (Miyamoto et al., 1995), with the latter triggering conformational changes, phosphotyrosine (pY) signaling, and recruitment of actin-associated scaffolds (Giannone and Sheetz, 2006). In neurons, signaling cascades linked to synaptogenic adhesion molecules have been reported (Biederer and Stagi, 2008). EphB receptor engagement of ephrinB causes activation of src family kinases, leading to ephrinB tyrosine phosphorylation and binding to PDZ-domain-containing proteins (Palmer et al., 2002). At the presynapse, Nrx1 recruits, activates, and is phosphorylated by the CaM kinase CASK, with strong implications for synaptic transmission (Mukherjee et al., 2008). To date, however, no intracellular signaling mechanism associated with NIgs has been reported.

Here, we tested the hypothesis that Nrx1 β binding to Nlg1 can selectively regulate Nlg1's association with scaffolding molecules. We demonstrate that the level of Nlg1 tyrosine phosphorylation at Nrx1 β /Nlg1 adhesions can trigger the differential recruitment of PSD-95 and gephyrin, thereby potentially controlling the balance between excitatory and inhibitory synapses.

RESULTS

Aggregation of NIg1 by Nrx1 β Induces the Rapid Formation of New PSD-95 Clusters

To aggregate NIg1, we incubated primary rat hippocampal neurons at 6–7 days in vitro (DIV) with purified Nrx1 β -Fc crosslinked by secondary antibodies (Barrow et al., 2009; Poulopoulos et al., 2009), and observed the redistribution of NIg1 and PSD-95 by time-lapse fluorescence microscopy. We previously showed, using NIg1 knockdown, that Nrx1 β binds predominantly to NIg1 (Mondin et al., 2011) and not to other binding partners of Nrxs, such as NIg2, NIg3, or LRRTMs (Graf et al., 2004; de Wit et al., 2009; Poulopoulos et al., 2009). Here, we confirmed the recruitment of endogenous NIg1 at Nrx1 β -Fc clusters (Figure S1A). However, in most experiments, we transfected neurons with NIg1 and PSD-95 constructs to increase the binding of Nrx1 β (Mondin et al., 2011), visualize their dynamics, and allow a comparison of various NIg1 and PSD-95 mutants.

Crosslinked Nrx1ß induced a rapid coalescence of Nlg1:GFP and PSD-95:GFP from diffuse pools into micron-scale aggregates, matching the size of synapses and colocalizing with Nrx1β (Figures 1A and S1B; Movie S1). The number of PSD-95 clusters doubled within 30 min (Figure 1C). This time course is somewhat faster than previously reported time courses (Friedman et al., 2000; Bresler et al., 2001; Barrow et al., 2009; Levinson et al., 2010), possibly owing to different expression levels of NIg1 and PSD-95. Nevertheless, endogenous PSD-95 was recruited at Nrx1 β clusters in a time frame similar to that observed for exogenous PSD-95:GFP (Figures 1F and 1G). Whereas soluble Nrx1_β-Fc inhibits synaptogenesis when used chronically as a competitor of endogenous Nrx/Nlg interactions (Scheiffele et al., 2000; Levinson et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2010), here acutely added crosslinked Nrx1β-Fc mimicked adhesion events that led to the assembly of PSD-95 scaffolds outside pre-existing synaptic PSD-95 (Figure S1I).

To ascertain whether PSD-95 was recruited through a specific association with Nlg1, we expressed a C-terminally truncated Nlg1 (Nlg1 Δ Cter) that is unable to bind PSD-95 (Scheiffele



Figure 1. Differential Formation of PSD-95 Clusters by Nrx1β-Occupied versus Unoccupied NIg1

(A and B) Time-course images of PSD-95:GFP (green) in neurons coexpressing HA-Nlg1 and incubated with Nrx1 β (A) or anti-HA (B), preclustered by Cy5-conjugated secondary antibodies (red).

(C) Kinetics of new PSD-95:GFP cluster formation upon addition of crosslinked Nrx1 β or anti-HA, normalized by the number of pre-existing PSD-95:GFP clusters. Individual curves are shown (thin lines) together with the average of 5–8 cells (thick lines).

(D) Cumulative distributions of the normalized ratio between PSD-95:GFP and Cy5 enrichments.

(E) Mean \pm SEM of the ratio between PSD-95:GFP and Cy5 enrichment levels for each treatment. Populations were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and compared by Dunn's posttest (***p < 0.001).

(F) Neurons expressing NIg1WT were incubated with crosslinked Nrx1 β (red) for 1 hr and then immunostained for endogenous PSD-95 (green).

(G) Recruitment kinetics of endogenous PSD-95 (dashed lines) and PSD-95:GFP (plain lines) at Nrx1 β clusters. The enrichment factor is presented as the mean \pm SEM per cell, and data points were compared with the control 10 min condition by one-way ANOVA, followed by nonparametric Dunnett's test (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01).

See also Figures S1 and S2 and Movies S1 and S2.

et al., 2000; Chih et al., 2005). To quantify PSD-95 recruitment at Nrx1 β /Nlg1 contacts, we calculated a mean PSD-95:GFP enrichment factor and normalized it by the Cy5 signal to correct for cell-to-cell variability in Nlg1 expression level (Figures



S2A–S2C). Despite the efficient formation of Nrx1 β /Nlg1 Δ Cter contacts, Nrx1 β binding to Nlg1 Δ Cter was defective in recruiting PSD-95:GFP compared with wild-type Nlg1 (Nlg1WT; Figures 1D, 1E, and S2C), as reported earlier (Barrow et al., 2009). We obtained a similar result by cotransfecting Nlg1WT and PSD-95:GFP bearing an H-to-V point mutation in each of the three PDZ domains (Schnell et al., 2002) in order to abolish binding to Nlg1 (Figures 1D and 1E). Thus, the recruitment of PSD-95 by Nlg1 aggregates was specific to the PDZ domain-dependent interaction between Nlg1 and PSD-95.

Synergy between Receptor Aggregation and Ligand Occupancy for the Recruitment of PSD-95 by Crosslinked NIg1

To determine whether Nrx1ß binding could stimulate Nlg1 function in addition to increasing its local concentration, we induced NIg1 aggregation using a "nonactivating" hemagglutinin (HA) antibody directed against an N-terminal HA tag on Nlg1. This treatment induced a fast and efficient formation of new NIg1:GFP clusters similar to crosslinked Nrx1 β , with comparable density, size, and NIg1 content (Figures S1B-S1G). However, very few novel PSD-95:GFP clusters were formed during time-lapse recordings (Figures 1B and 1C; Movie S2). The number of PSD-95 clusters after 30 min induction of Nlg1 aggregation, normalized by the number of pre-existing synaptic clusters, was significantly larger for Nrx1 β than for anti-HA (Figure 1C). In addition, PSD-95:GFP enrichment was higher for crosslinked Nrx1_β than for anti-HA (Figures 1D and 1E). The difference between Nrx1 β and anti-HA in recruiting PSD-95 was not due to the presence of endogenous NIg1, because the difference remained in hippocampal cultures from NIg1 KO mice transfected with NIg1 (Figures S2D-S2F).

In addition, we measured the recruitment of PSD-95:GFP at NIg1 aggregates induced by crosslinked anti-HA when soluble Nrx1 β -Fc was added to trigger ligand binding (Figures 1D and 1E). The mean PSD-95:GFP/Cy5 ratio was then similar to that observed at Nrx1 β /NIg1 contacts. Purified Nrx1 β -Fc contained multimeric forms, which could be reduced to monomers by dithiothreitol (DTT) treatment (Figure S1H). The mean PSD-95:GFP/Cy5 ratio upon addition of monomeric Nrx1 β -Fc was also significantly increased compared with crosslinked anti-HA alone (Figures 1D and 1E), ruling out a multimerization effect. Together, these results support the notion that Nrx1 β binding not only aggregates NIg1 but also triggers an additional process that stimulates the NIg1/PSD-95 interaction.

Differential PSD-95 Dynamics at Ligand-Occupied or Unoccupied NIg1 Clusters

To further characterize the potential activation of Nlg1 by Nrx1 β , we measured the stability of Nlg1/PSD-95 linkage using fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP). Newly formed Nlg1:GFP or PSD-95:GFP clusters induced by crosslinked Nrx1 β or anti-HA were photobleached (Figures 2A and 2B). The recovery rate for Nlg1:GFP was similarly low for Nrx1 β -and anti-HA-induced clusters (Figure 2C; Table S1), consistent with a robust nanomolar binding affinity between Nrx1 β and Nlg1 comparable to that of an antibody (Saint-Michel et al., 2009; Leone et al., 2010). In contrast, the exchange rate for



Figure 2. Turnover of NIg1 and PSD-95 at Nrx1 $\beta\text{-}$ or Anti-HA-Induced Clusters

(A) PSD-95:GFP clusters induced by Cy5-labeled crosslinked Nrx1 β for 1 hr. (B) FRAP experiment on a PSD-95:GFP cluster (dashed circle).

(C) FRAP curves on Nlg1:GFP clusters crosslinked by Nrx1 β (red) or anti-HA (black).

(D) FRAP curves on PSD-95:GFP. Control measurements were made on unbleached NIg1:GFP or PSD-95:GFP clusters (gray curves). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM of clusters.

See also Table S1.

PSD-95 was significantly lower at Nrx1 β /Nlg1 adhesions than at anti-HA/Nlg1 contacts (Figure 2D; Table S1), showing that the interaction between Nlg1 and PSD-95 lasts longer when Nlg1 is bound to Nrx1 β than when it is bound to nonactivating antibodies.

Effect of Receptor Occupancy on the Membrane Diffusion of Individual NIg1 Molecules

To study the effects of ligand binding on the dynamics of NIg1/ PSD-95 association at synapses, we quantified the membrane diffusion of individual Nla1 molecules using quantum dots (Qdots) coated with either Nrx1β-Fc or anti-HA. To label postsynapses, neurons at 10-12 DIV were cotransfected with Homer1c:GFP, which colocalized with PSD-95 (Mondin et al., 2011) without modifying synaptic maturation (Okabe et al., 2001; Heine et al., 2008). Both types of Qdots were very dynamic, oscillating between phases of free diffusion and confinement (Figures 3A and 3B). The distribution of instantaneous diffusion coefficients (Figure 3D) was fitted by two Gaussian curves (Figure S3E), yielding the relative proportions of freely diffusive versus confined events (Figure 3E). Strikingly, the proportion of confined events was 2-fold higher for Nrx1_B-coated Qdots (20%) than for anti-HA-coated Qdots (11%; Figures 3D and 3E). The percentage of confined trajectories was increased at the postsynapse (Figures S3A and S3B), suggesting that Nlg1 confinement is triggered through an association with synaptic components. Accordingly, the mean squared displacement (MSD), which reflects the area covered by Nlg1 diffusion, showed a negative curvature consistent with confinement of NIg1 at synapses (Figure 3F). This behavior was more pronounced for Qdots conjugated to Nrx1_B-Fc than for those conjugated with anti-HA, suggesting a more efficient association with synaptic components. Quantification of the confinement



Figure 3. Differential Mobility of Ligand-Occupied versus Unoccupied NIg1 Molecules Revealed by Single-Nanoparticle Tracking

(A–C) Schematics and representative trajectories of Qdots coated with anti-HA or Nrx1 β in neurons expressing Homer1c:GFP (gray pixels), and Nlg1WT or Nlg1 Δ Cter. Trajectories exhibit both freely diffusive (blue) and confined (red) episodes. Graphs show the corresponding diffusion coefficient (blue) and confinement index (red) over time. A decrease in diffusion coefficient is matched by an increase in confinement index.

probability for both conditions also demonstrated that ligandoccupied Nlg1 is confined more often than unoccupied Nlg1 (Figure S3F).

The Increased Confinement of NIg1 Induced by $Nrx1\beta$ Binding Relies on an Interaction with PSD-95

To determine whether NIg1 confinement at synapses was due to interactions with intracellular scaffolds or presynaptic Nrxs, we used NIg1_ΔCter, which is unable to bind PSD-95, and an NIg1SWAP molecule that is unable to bind Nrx1 β (Chih et al., 2005; Scheiffele et al., 2000). The confined fraction of anti-HA Qdots bound to NIg1SWAP was identical to that of NIg1WT (12%; Figures 3D-3F and S3C), suggesting that NIg1 confinement is not due to an interaction with presynaptic Nrxs. In contrast, NIg1 ACter displayed a reduced fraction of confined events (6%; Figures 3C-3E and S3D). The distribution of diffusion coefficients for NIg1 (Cter was shifted toward higher values, and the MSD at the postsynapse was almost linear with respect to time, consistent with free diffusion (Figure 3F). When probed with Nrx1ß Qdots, Nlq1aCter also displayed fewer confined events (7%), suggesting that the increased confinement of Nrx1_β-occupied Nlg1 is dependent on its binding to PSD-95 (Figure 3E). Furthermore, knockdown of endogenous PSD-95 expression using small hairpin RNA (shRNA) (Schlüter et al., 2006; Mondin et al., 2011) significantly decreased the confinement of Nrx1ß Qdots (13%) compared with control neurons (20%; Figures 3G and 3H). Nlg1 confinement was not totally abolished by shRNA to PSD-95, which might suggest that NIg1 can bind to other scaffolding proteins, including PSD-93 and S-SCAM, at synapses (lida et al., 2004). In contrast, when endogenous PSD-95 was replaced by overexpressed PSD-95:GFP, Nlg1 confinement increased to 28% (Figures 3G and 3H). Together, these results strongly support the hypothesis that binding of Nrx1 β to Nlg1 stimulates the direct association between NIg1 and PSD-95.

Nrx1_β Binding Rapidly Immobilizes NIg1

To characterize the dynamics of NIg1 anchoring to PSD-95 upon ligand binding, we analyzed the diffusion of anti-HA Qdots bound to NIg1 upon acute addition of soluble Nrx1 β (Figures 4A and 4B). NIg1 molecules were mostly freely diffusive before addition of Nrx1 β and became confined within 10 min, mostly at Homer1c:GFP locations (Figures 4C and 4D). Accordingly, Nrx1 β treatment shifted the diffusion coefficients toward lower values (Figure 4E), an effect that was not observed with the vehicle solution (Figure 4G). Both multimeric and monomeric Nrx1 β -Fc induced significant 2.5-fold and 3.1-fold increases in the number of confined events, respectively (Figure 4G). As controls, addition of soluble Nrx1 β -Fc multimers on anti-HA Qdots bound to NIg1SWAP or NIg1 Δ Cter, induced modest 1.2-fold and 1.4-fold increases in the number of confined events,

⁽D) Distributions of diffusion coefficients on a logarithmic scale. The confined and freely diffusive events correspond respectively to the leftward and rightward populations fitted by Gaussian curves. Inset: zoom on the distribution of confined events.

⁽E) Percentage of confined events. The confined fractions obtained by double Gaussian fitting of the distributions were compared by unpaired Student's t tests (**p < 0.01; ****p < 0.0005).

⁽F) MSD as a function of time at postsynapses.

⁽G and H) Neurons were cotransfected with Nlg1 plus either shRNA against PSD-95 or a plasmid containing both the shRNA sequence and PSD-95:GFP. (G) Distributions of diffusion coefficients on a logarithmic scale. (H) Percentage of confined events (*p < 0.05; ***p < 0.0025).

See also Figure S3.





Figure 4. Acute Binding of Soluble Nrx1 β Quickly Freezes the Lateral Motion of Nlg1 Molecules

(A and B) Schematics and representative trajectories of anti-HA Qdots (red curves) on neurons expressing Homer1c-GFP (gray pixels), acquired before (A) or after 10 min incubation with soluble Nrx1 β (B).

(C and D) Corresponding diffusion coefficients versus time, superimposed for several Qdots. Note the almost zero free diffusion upon Nrx1 β addition.

(E and F) Distributions of diffusion coefficients before (black) and after (red) addition of Nrx1 β multimers, for NIg1WT and NIg1 Δ Cter.

(G) Ratio of the fractions of confined events, measured after and before addition of Nrx1 β or vehicle. Confined fractions determined by double Gaussian fitting were compared before and after treatment by paired Student's t test (***p < 0.0025; ****p < 0.001).

respectively (Figures 4F and 4G). These results indicate that the effect of soluble Nrx1 β -Fc is dependent on a direct binding to Nlg1, and that Nlg1 confinement is due to a rapid anchoring to PSD-95.

NIg1 Can Be Phosphorylated at Tyrosine 782

By analogy to other adhesion systems (Palmer et al., 2002; Giannone and Sheetz, 2006), we hypothesized that ligand activation of Nlg1 by Nrx1 β could trigger pY signaling. To examine this hypothesis, we first used broad-spectrum tyrosine kinase and phosphatase inhibitors in the Nrx cluster assay. The tyrosine kinase inhibitor genistein diminished PSD-95 recruitment level at Nrx1 β -Fc clusters, whereas the tyrosine phosphatase inhibitor orthovanadate had the opposite effect (Figures 5A and 5B),



Figure 5. NIg1 Tyrosine Phosphorylation Controls Direct Binding to Gephyrin

(A and B) Neurons (6–7 DIV) cotransfected with NIg1WT and PSD-95:GFP were incubated with crosslinked Nrx1 β -Fc for 30 min in the presence of 5 mM orthovanadate, 50 μ M genistein, or control solution.

(A) Representative images.

(B) Cumulative distributions of PSD-95:GFP enrichment factor. Populations were analyzed by nonparametric one-way ANOVA and compared by Dunn's posttest.

(C) Sequence alignment of the cytoplasmic portion of rat NIg1, NIg2, and NIg3. The conserved gephyrin and PSD-95 PDZ domain-binding motifs are indicated by boxes, and the unique Y782 is shown in red.

(D) Extracts of COS cells nontransfected (-) or transfected with NIg1WT or NIg1YA were immunoprecipitated with anti-HA and immunoblotted for anti-HA or anti-pY.

(E) Extracts of neurons (8 DIV) were immunoprecipitated with antibodies to endogenous NIg1 and immunoblotted with anti-NIg1 or anti-pY.

(F) Brain extracts from WT or *Nlg1* KO mice were immunoprecipitated and immunoblotted with anti-Nlg1. FT, flow-through; SM, starting material.

(G) Sequences of the biotinylated NIg1 peptides encompassing the gephyrinbinding motif, with Y782 and modifications highlighted. λ represents Norleucine.

(H) NIg1 peptides immobilized on streptavidin-coated beads were used to pull down recombinant gephyrin in vitro. Samples were run on polyacrylamide gels and Coomassie stained. The three bands correspond to 6His:gephyrin (82 kDa), BSA (69 kDa) to reduce nonspecific binding, and streptavidin (13 kDa) to control sample loading. See also Table S2

2000 Cell Reports 3, 1996–2007, June 27, 2013 ©2013 The Authors





Figure 6. Recruitment of Gephyrin by NIg1 Tyrosine Point Mutants in Cluster and Synapse Induction Assays

(A) Neurons (8 DIV) cotransfected with Venus: gephyrin plus NIg1WT, NIg1YA, or NIg1YF were incubated with crosslinked Nrx1 β -Fc.

(B) Cumulative distributions of the Venus:gephyrin enrichment factor at Nrx1 β -Fc clusters. Populations were analyzed by nonparametric oneway ANOVA and compared by Dunn's posttest (***p < 0.001).

(C) Neurons (9–10 DIV) transfected with GFP alone or cotransfected with GFP plus Nlg1WT or Nlg1 point mutants were immunostained for endogenous synaptotagmin and gephyrin.

(D) Numbers of synaptotagmin or gephyrin puncta per dendrite area (mean \pm SEM). Lower bars represent gephyrin puncta opposed to synaptotagmin puncta, and upper bars correspond to extrasynaptic gephyrin puncta. Populations were analyzed by parametric one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni's posttest (***p < 0.001; ns, not significant).

See also Figures S4 and S5.

indicating that tyrosine phosphorylation is implicated in PSD-95 binding to NIg1. Although these agents can affect the tyrosine phosphorylation of many proteins, a phospho-proteomic screen of tyrosine-phosphorylated peptides in cancer cells identified a sequence corresponding to Nlg1 (Rikova et al., 2007), suggesting that NIg1 itself can be tyrosine phosphorylated. In fact, there is a unique tyrosine located in the middle of the NIg1 intracellular domain (Y782; Figure 5C). To demonstrate that this tyrosine could be phosphorylated, we performed pY immunoblots on immunoprecipitated Nlg1. Nlg1 transfected in COS cells and immunoprecipitated with anti-HA was tyrosine phosphorylated, as revealed by a band at 130 kDa (Figure 5D), corresponding to the apparent NIg1 molecular weight (Dean et al., 2003). The pY signal was not observed in untransfected cells or in COS cells transfected with an NIg1 point mutant in which this tyrosine was replaced by alanine (NIg1YA), demonstrating that Y782 was the only phosphorylated tyrosine in Nlg1. We also immunoprecipitated endogenous NIg1 from rat hippocampal neurons, and detected a pY band at the NIg1 apparent molecular weight (Figure 5E), showing that NIg1 was tyrosine phosphorylated in neurons. We checked the specificity of the NIg1 antibody by performing immunoprecipitation (IP) on brain extracts from WT mice compared with Nlg1 KO littermates (Figure 5F). These results strongly indicated that NIg1 can be phosphorylated at position Y782.

The Phosphorylation State of Y782 in NIg1 Peptides Regulates Gephyrin Binding In Vitro

Y782 is a unique tyrosine that belongs to a consensus region that is conserved among all NIgs. It is critical for binding to gephyrin (Figure 5C), a major scaffold at inhibitory synapses (Poulopoulos et al., 2009). Specifically, a Y770A mutation in NIg2 was shown to prevent binding to gephyrin (Poulopoulos et al., 2009). To assess whether the phosphorylation of Y782 in NIg1 affected gephyrin binding, we generated peptides encompassing the gephyrinbinding motif and performed in vitro pull-down of recombinant gephyrin. We designed four peptides (Figure 5G): (1) NIg1-gp-Y782, containing the nonmodified tyrosine; (2) Nlg1-gp-pY782, containing the phosphorylated tyrosine; (3) Nlg1-gp-A782, where the tyrosine was replaced by an alanine; and (4) Nlg1gp-F782, where the tyrosine was replaced by a phenylalanine, preserving the aromatic ring and mimicking the structure of an unphosphorylated tyrosine. Gephyrin was pulled down very efficiently by both the Nlg1-gp-Y782 and Nlg1-gp-F782 peptides (Figure 5H), indicating that gephyrin binds the nonphosphorylated form of NIgs. In contrast, gephyrin could not be pulled down by either the NIg1-gp-pY782 or NIg1-gp-A782 peptide, indicating that gephyrin cannot bind the phosphorylated form of NIgs, most likely because the binding site does not tolerate a bulky negatively charged phosphate group. Thus, with respect to gephyrin binding, the Y-to-A mutation produces the same effect as phosphorylated Nlg1, whereas the Y-to-F mutation shows the same effect as the constitutively unphosphorylated Nlg1. We therefore generated two Nlg1 mutants (Y782F and Y782A) that will either bind or not bind to gephyrin, thus mimicking the effect of nonphosphorylated and phosphorylated Nlg1, respectively.

NIg1 Y782 Point Mutants Regulate Gephyrin Recruitment and Inhibitory Synapse Formation in Neurons

To examine whether the binding of Nlg1 to gephyrin was controlled by tyrosine phosphorylation in neurons, we expressed Nlg1 tyrosine point mutants and characterized the recruitment of Venus:gephyrin at Nrx1 β clusters (Figures 6A and 6B). The densities of Nrx1 β clusters on neurons expressing Nlg1 mutants

or NIg1WT were similar, showing that NIg1 mutants were expressed at the cell surface and bound to Nrx1 β (Figure S4A). In this assay, exogenous NIg1 mutants outnumbered endogenous NIg1 and NIg3 (Mondin et al., 2011), with which they can potentially oligomerize (Poulopoulos et al., 2012), thus enabling us to assess the effects of those mutations. The enrichment of Venus:gephyrin was slightly but significantly lower for NIg1YA than for NIg1WT, suggesting that NIg1WT partly associates with gephyrin, and that NIg1 tyrosine phosphorylation inhibits this interaction. Strikingly, the enrichment of Venus:gephyrin was much higher for NIg1YF than for NIg1WT, confirming the in vitro data and supporting the notion that unphosphorylated NIg1 strongly binds gephyrin.

Although NIg1 is mostly associated with PSD-95 at excitatory synapses, previous studies showed that a fraction of endogenous NIg1 (20%) associates with inhibitory synapses (Levinson et al., 2005, 2010) and coimmunoprecipitates with gephyrin (Varley et al., 2011). Using immunocytochemistry in untransfected neurons, we confirmed that endogenous NIg1 was preferentially recruited at PSD-95 puncta and also significantly recruited at gephyrin puncta (Figure S5). To characterize the role of NIg1 phosphorylation on inhibitory synapse formation, we examined the distribution of endogenous gephyrin in neurons transfected with NIg1 mutants. Both extrasynaptic and synaptic puncta apposed to presynaptic terminals counterstained for synaptotagmin were quantified. Expression of NIg1WT, NIg1YA, and NIg1YF doubled the number of presynapses that formed on transfected neurons compared with untransfected cells (Figures 6C and 6D), a classical effect induced by NIg1 overexpression. Neurons transfected with NIg1WT and NIg1YA contained slightly more gephyrin puncta, in agreement with reports showing that NIg1 overexpression increases the numbers of both excitatory and inhibitory synapses (Chih et al., 2005, 2006; Levinson et al., 2005; Ko et al., 2009). In contrast, the NIg1YF mutant strongly increased the density of both synaptic and extrasynaptic gephyrin puncta compared with NIg1WT and NIg1YA, suggesting that nonphosphorylated NIg1 stimulates the formation of inhibitory synapses.

NIg1 Y782 Point Mutants Regulate PSD-95 Recruitment and Excitatory Synapse Formation in Neurons

We then hypothesized that binding of gephyrin to NIg1, regulated by tyrosine phosphorylation, might affect the interaction between PSD-95 and the NIg1 C terminus (Irie et al., 1997; Figure 5C). We expressed NIg1 point mutants in neurons and examined the recruitment of PSD-95 in both cluster and synapse induction assays. The effects found with PSD-95 exactly mirrored those observed with gephyrin. Specifically, PSD-95:GFP enrichment at Nrx1 β clusters was significantly higher in neurons transfected with NIg1YA and NIg1WT compared with NIg1YF (Figures 7A and 7B). Since the YA and YF mutations mimic the effects of constitutively phosphorylated and unphosphorylated NIg1, respectively, these results support the notion that phosphorylation of Y782 enhanced NIg1 binding to PSD-95.

When NIg1WT was aggregated with anti-HA clusters, PSD-95:GFP recruitment was lower than that observed with Nrx1 β clusters (Figures 1, 7C, and 7D). The PSD-95 recruitment level induced by NIg1WT was as low as that obtained with the NIg1YF mutant (Figures 7B and 7D), suggesting that aggregation of Nlg1 without ligand binding is associated with unphosphorylated Nlg1. In contrast, PSD-95:GFP recruitment at anti-HA clusters was significantly higher for Nlg1YA (Figure 7D), reaching the levels obtained with Nlg1WT at Nrx1 β clusters (Figure 7B) and supporting the view that the Nlg1YA mutant bypassed Nrx-dependent signaling by mimicking the effect of constitutive phosphorylation of Nlg1. These effects could not be attributed to differences in surface expression or clustering of Nlg1 point mutants, because the density of anti-HA clusters was similar to that of Nlg1WT (Figure S4). These data suggest that in the absence of Nrx1 β binding, Nlg1 is unphosphorylated and binds PSD-95 less efficiently, whereas upon Nrx1 β binding, Nlg1 is phosphorylated and recruits PSD-95.

To characterize the role of the Nlg1 phosphorylation state in excitatory synapse formation, we quantified the number of synaptic and extrasynaptic puncta of endogenous PSD-95 in neurons transfected with NIg1 point mutants. Both NIg1WT and NIg1YA doubled the density of both synaptic and extrasynaptic PSD-95 puncta (Figures 7E and 7F). In contrast, neurons transfected with NIg1YF had a similarly low PSD-95 cluster density compared with cells expressing GFP alone. The striking difference between NIg1WT and NIg1YA versus NIg1YF in terms of the density of endogenous PSD-95 puncta indicates that NIg1 tyrosine phosphorylation selectively triggers excitatory synapse formation. The comparable effects of NIg1 tyrosine mutations on PSD-95 recruitment at endogenous synapses and Nrx1β clusters suggest that Nrx1 β was the presynaptic ligand for Nlg1 in the synapse induction assay. This is consistent with studies showing that chronic incubation with soluble Nrx1ß inhibits de novo synapse formation (Levinson et al., 2005; Chih et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2010). Finally, the fact that both NIg1WT and NIg1YA preferentially recruited PSD-95 versus gephyrin in Nrx1ß clusters and synapse induction assays indicates that Nrx1_β-occupied Nlg1 at transsynaptic adhesions is likely to be tyrosine phosphorylated.

DISCUSSION

This study shows that Nrx1 β adhesion to Nlg1 promotes a fast and direct interaction between Nlg1 and PSD-95, not only through receptor clustering but also through a specific ligandbinding effect linked to pY signaling. Thus, similarly to integrins (Miyamoto et al., 1995; Giannone and Sheetz, 2006) and ephrins (Palmer et al., 2002; Biederer and Stagi, 2008), Nlg1 can be considered as a ligand-activated adhesion molecule. Our data support a competitive model in which unoccupied tyrosine-unphosphorylated Nlg1 binds more to gephyrin, preventing PSD-95 accessibility, whereas ligand-occupied phosphorylated Nlg1 interacts less with gephyrin and is accessible to PSD-95 binding (Figure S6). This process may play an important role in regulating the balance between excitatory and inhibitory synapse formation.

Nrx1β Binding Enhances PSD-95 Recruitment Compared with Nlg1 Multimerization Alone

We have revealed that individual Nlg1 molecules alternate between free diffusion and confinement at the neuronal





Figure 7. Recruitment of PSD-95 by NIg1 Tyrosine Point Mutants in Cluster and Synapse Induction Assays

(A and C) Neurons (6–7 DIV) cotransfected with PSD-95:GFP plus NIg1WT or NIg1 point mutants were incubated with crosslinked Nrx1 β -Fc or anti-HA.

(B and D) Cumulative distributions of the PSD-95:GFP enrichment factor. Populations were analyzed by nonparametric one-way ANOVA and compared by Dunn's posttest (***p < 0.001; ns, not significant).

(E) Neurons (8–10 DIV) transfected with GFP alone or cotransfected with GFP plus Nlg1WT or Nlg1 point mutants were immunostained for endogenous synaptotagmin and PSD-95.

(F) Numbers of PSD-95 puncta per dendrite area (mean \pm SEM). Lower bars represent PSD-95 puncta opposed to synaptotagmin puncta, and upper bars correspond to extrasynaptic PSD-95 puncta. Populations were analyzed by parametric one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni's posttest (***p < 0.001).

See also Figure S6.

(Schlüter et al., 2006) may allow the formation of a platform of multivalent binding sites for unoccupied diffusive Nlg1 dimers (Dean et al., 2003; Poulopoulos et al., 2012).

However, compared with HA antibodies, Nrx1 β ligands induced (1) an increased probability of association between Nlg1 and PSD-95 in single-particle tracking, (2) a faster assembly of PSD-95 clusters and stronger recruitment of PSD-95 in crosslinking assays, and (3) a more stable Nlg1/PSD-95 interaction in FRAP experiments. Remarkably, the acute addition of soluble Nrx1 β to Nlg1 aggregated by HA antibodies in both the cluster and Qdot assays provided direct

surface. Nlg1 trapping occurred both in the extrasynaptic space and at synapses through binding to scaffolding molecules, including PSD-95. In addition to the transport of preformed postsynaptic packets (Gerrow et al., 2006; Barrow et al., 2009; Gutiérrez et al., 2009), this diffusion/trapping mechanism could represent an efficient way to rapidly regulate the numbers of NIg1 at nascent or mature synaptic contacts (Mondin et al., 2011). The aggregation of NIg1 by HA antibodies showed significant recruitment of PSD-95 compared with the control NIg1 ACter. This result is consistent with the finding that PSD-95 recruitment can be induced by aggregating Nlg1 (Graf et al., 2004; Barrow et al., 2009). Furthermore, anti-HA-conjugated Qdots bound to NIg1WT or NIg1SWAP occasionally stuck to synapses, indicating a basal level of interaction between Nlg1 and PSD-95 even in the absence of ligand binding. The dimerization of PSD-95 evidence that Nrx1 β binding changed the association state between Nlg1 and PSD-95.

Tyrosine Phosphorylation of NIg1 Controls the Differential Recruitment of Gephyrin and PSD-95

There is a strong sequence homology among NIgs in both the gephyrin and the C-terminal PSD-95 binding motifs, and both NIg1 and NIg2 are capable of binding to either PSD-95 or gephyrin (Irie et al., 1997; Graf et al., 2004; Poulopoulos et al., 2009). Previous experiments showed that an NIg2 truncation mutant (Δ 716-782) lacking the gephyrin-binding motif was delocalized from inhibitory synapses (Levinson et al., 2010). Furthermore, Poulopoulos et al. (2009) showed that an NIg2Y770A mutant was unable to bind recombinant gephyrin in vitro and recruited less endogenous gephyrin than NIg2WT. The authors indicated that this tyrosine is essential for gephyrin binding, but did not explore whether

its potential phosphorylation regulates gephyrin binding. Our experiments in vitro and in neurons clearly show that phosphorylation of this tyrosine prevents direct gephyrin binding and favors interaction with PSD-95. These data suggest a competition between gephyrin and PSD-95 for binding to the intracellular tail of NIgs, regulated by ligand binding and the phosphorylation level. A similar pY switch was previously proposed for the competitive binding of talin and tensin to the C-terminal tail of integrins (Legate and Fässler, 2009), and binding of ankyrin to the adhesion molecule L1 (Gil et al., 2003). Because the distance between the gephyrin-binding sequence and the C-terminal PSD-95 binding motif is relatively short (43 aa), and both gephyrin and PSD-95 can form multimers (Schlüter et al., 2006; Saiyed et al., 2007), a steric hindrance or binding motif sequestering could explain the competitive binding between those proteins. Previous studies demonstrated a sequestering mechanism for the binding of PSD-95 to stargazin in neurons, whereby stargazin interacts in a phosphorylation-dependent manner to negatively charged lipid bilayers, preventing access to PSD-95 PDZ domains (Opazo et al., 2010; Sumioka et al., 2010).

Implications of NIg pY Signaling in Synapse Development

The balance between excitatory and inhibitory synapse formation mediated by NIgs was previously shown to be bidirectionally controlled by the expression levels of PSD-95 and gephyrin (Chih et al., 2005; Levinson et al., 2005, 2010). These results indicated that controlling the strength of association of PSD-95 or gephyrin to NIgs could determine the respective numbers of excitatory versus inhibitory synapses. Our results with NIg1 point mutants strongly suggest that the level of Nlg1 tyrosine phosphorylation controls this balance. Specifically, the ratio between excitatory versus inhibitory synapse numbers was high (1.8) for NIg1YA mimicking phosphorylated NIg1, and low (0.6) for NIg1YF mimicking unphosphorylated Nlg1. Such a regulation of the pY level might be relevant for other NIgs, in particular NIg3, which can associate with both excitatory and inhibitory synapses (Levinson et al., 2010; Shipman et al., 2011) and form heterodimers with Nlg1 (Poulopoulos et al., 2012). The specific localization of the different NIgs to either excitatory or inhibitory synapses may also be regulated by additional intracellular binding partners. For example, Nlg1/3-mediated excitatory synaptic transmission is controlled by a noncanonical sequence situated upstream of the gephyrin binding motif (Shipman et al., 2011). Moreover, NIg2 bears a specific motif (absent in NIg1 and NIg3) that activates collybistin, bringing gephyrin to the cell surface and favoring its association with NIg2 at inhibitory synapses (Varoqueaux et al., 2004; Poulopoulos et al., 2009). Yet, the strong recruitment of gephyrin by the Nlg1Y782F mutant lacking the collybistin interaction motif suggests that a direct interaction with collybistin is not required for gephyrin recruitment by Nlg1.

Such a ligand-induced pY "switch" could represent a very sensitive mechanism in synaptogenesis, during which early neuronal contacts that rely on Nrx/Nlg adhesion may be primed to assemble functional excitatory or inhibitory postsynapses. PSD-95 recruited by Nrx/Nlg adhesions may serve as a scaffold

for the trapping of surface-diffusing AMPA receptors (Heine et al., 2008; Mondin et al., 2011), whereas the recruitment of NMDA receptors may occur through a direct interaction with Nlg1 (Budreck et al., 2013). Gephyrin could play the same role at nascent inhibitory synapses by trapping glycine and GABA receptors (Meier et al., 2001; Bannai et al., 2009). The phosphorylation level of NIgs may be implicated not only in synapse formation but also in later stages of synapse stabilization and plasticity. Indeed, synaptic activity is required for NIg-dependent synapse validation (Nam and Chen, 2005; Chubykin et al., 2007) and for the stabilization of PSD-95 at synapses (Mondin et al., 2011). Given the intrinsic turnover of scaffolding molecules at synapses (Okabe et al., 1999; Sturgill et al., 2009), it is possible that the recurrent phosphorylation or dephosphorylation of Nlgs is involved in retaining PSD-95 and gephyrin at mature excitatory and inhibitory synapses, respectively.

Control of the Local pY Signaling Mechanism

Although our results indicate that ligand binding to Nlg1 favors tyrosine phosphorylation, at this stage we do not know which kinases or phosphatases are involved. Interestingly, Nrx/Nlg adhesions interact with a pY phosphatase receptor (PTPRT) that has been implicated in glutamatergic synapse development and whose activity is regulated by the Fyn tyrosine kinase (Lim et al., 2009). However, it is still unclear whether Nlgs can be directly phosphorylated by Fyn and/or dephosphorylated by PTPRT. Another candidate is the neurotrophin receptor tyrosine kinase TrkC, which has been shown to mediate excitatory post-synaptic formation (Takahashi et al., 2011). One possibility is that different sets of tyrosine kinases and phosphatases are recruited in excitatory versus inhibitory synapses, leading to differential phosphorylation of Nlg1.

Interestingly, Nrxs and Nlgs exist as many different isoforms and splice variants, giving rise to a variety of structural interfaces and affinities, and precise associations between these Nrx and NIg variants contribute to the specification of synapses (Craig and Kang, 2007; Südhof, 2008). Specifically, addition of the S4 insert in Nrx1ß selectively reduces its ability to bind Nlg1 and recruit PSD-95, but has little effect on binding to NIg2 and recruitment of gephyrin (Graf et al., 2006). On the other hand, inclusion of a splice insertion at site B in NIg1 and NIg2 promotes the formation of excitatory rather than inhibitory synapses (Chih et al., 2006). One exciting possibility is that certain combinations of Nrx/NIg isoforms and splice variants promote NIg tyrosine phosphorylation, favoring excitatory synapse formation, whereas other combinations prevent NIg tyrosine phosphorylation, inducing inhibitory synapses. Finally, since pathological mutations in NIgs are associated with autism and mental retardation in humans (Südhof, 2008), pharmacological compounds directed toward the NIg-specific tyrosine kinase/phosphatase pathway, allowing regulation of the excitation/inhibition balance, may provide therapeutic treatments for these disorders.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Culture

For cluster assays, single-particle experiments, and immunocytochemistry, dissociated embryonic day 18 (E18) rat hippocampal neurons were cultured

on glass coverslips on top of a feeder layer of astrocytes. Two days before experiments were conducted, neurons were transfected by lipofection with various NIg1, PSD-95, gephyrin, or Homer1c constructs. For biochemistry, untransfected rat neuronal cultures or COS cells transfected with NIg1 constructs were lysed, followed by anti-HA or anti-NIg1 IP and western blotting. In some experiments, whole-brain extracts or dissociated neuronal cultures were prepared from NIg1WT or *NIg1* KO mice.

Cluster Assays

Purified Nrx1 β -Fc or HA antibodies were crosslinked by Cy5-conjugated antihuman Fc or anti-rat Fc antibodies, respectively, and added to neurons for 30 min to 1 hr at 37°C. Neurons were either observed live or immunostained for NIg1, PSD-95, gephyrin, or synapsin. In some experiments, multimeric or monomeric soluble Nrx1 β -Fc was added to neurons during incubation with crosslinked HA antibodies.

FRAP

NIg1:GFP and PSD-95:GFP clusters were formed for 1 hr as described above and photobleached using an inverted microscope equipped with a spinning disk confocal system and a multispot FRAP setup. Fluorescence recovery was recorded for 30 min.

Single-Particle Tracking Experiments

Neurons cotransfected with Homer1c:GFP and various Nlg1 constructs were incubated with 655 nm Qdots conjugated with either Nrx1 β -Fc or HA antibodies, and imaged at 20 Hz on an inverted epifluorescence microscope equipped with an EMCCD camera. In some experiments, soluble Nrx1 β -Fc was added live on neurons labeled with anti-HA-coated Qdots. Images were analyzed by a segmentation and tracking program written within Metamorph (Mondin et al., 2011).

Pull-Down of Gephyrin by NIg1 Peptides

Biotinylated Nlg1 peptides encompassing the gephyrin-binding motif were synthesized by standard solid-phase methods, purified by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC), and characterized by RP-HPLC and MALDI-TOF. Streptavidin-coated beads saturated with peptides were incubated with recombinant gephyrin in the presence of BSA. Proteins recovered by boiling the beads were separated by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie stained.

IP and Western Blotting

COS cells, mixed neuronal cultures, or mice brains were scraped off in lysis buffer containing phosphatase inhibitors and nonionic detergents. Nlg1 was immunoprecipitated from these samples using protein-G-coated magnetic beads and HA (for COS cells) or Nlg1 antibodies (for neurons or brain extracts). Beads were boiled in sample buffer and recovered proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, and processed for immunoblotting using HA, Nlg1, or pY antibodies. Immunoreactive bands were revealed by horseradish peroxidase and chemiluminescence.

Statistics

The numbers of cells, clusters, Qdot trajectories, and synaptic puncta examined in all conditions are given in Extended Experimental Procedures. The statistical tests described in the figure legends refer to these populations.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Extended Experimental Procedures, six figures, two tables, and two movies and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2013.05.013.

LICENSING INFORMATION

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank P. Scheiffele, H. Betz, O. Schluter, S. Okabe, N. Brose, C. Dotti, and A. Triller for the generous gifts of reagents and animals. We thank C. Breillat, A. Frouin, D. Bouchet, and N. Retailleau for cell culture; P. Gonzales and R. Sterling for technical assistance; A. Gautreau and F. Coussen for help with biochemistry; and M. Heine, X. Fournet, and I. Gauthereau for experimental contributions. We thank C. Poujol and P. Legros at the Bordeaux Imaging Center for help with FRAP experiments and image analysis, the Genomic Transcriptomic Facility of Bordeaux for DNA sequencing, and the Proteomics Platform of the Bordeaux Center for Functional Genomics for peptide analysis. The research leading to these results was funded by the European Union's Seventh Framework Program under grant agreement 232942 Nano-Dyn-Syn, the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (grants Neuroligation, Synapse-2Dt, and ChemTraffic), a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship (neuroCHEMbiotools), the Conseil Régional Aquitaine, and the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale.

Received: August 14, 2012 Revised: March 8, 2013 Accepted: May 9, 2013 Published: June 13, 2013

REFERENCES

Bannai, H., Lévi, S., Schweizer, C., Inoue, T., Launey, T., Racine, V., Sibarita, J.-B., Mikoshiba, K., and Triller, A. (2009). Activity-dependent tuning of inhibitory neurotransmission based on GABAAR diffusion dynamics. Neuron *62*, 670–682.

Barrow, S.L., Constable, J.R., Clark, E., El-Sabeawy, F., McAllister, A.K., and Washbourne, P. (2009). Neuroligin1: a cell adhesion molecule that recruits PSD-95 and NMDA receptors by distinct mechanisms during synaptogenesis. Neural Dev. *4*, 17.

Biederer, T., and Stagi, M. (2008). Signaling by synaptogenic molecules. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. *18*, 261–269.

Bresler, T., Ramati, Y., Zamorano, P.L., Zhai, R., Garner, C.C., and Ziv, N.E. (2001). The dynamics of SAP90/PSD-95 recruitment to new synaptic junctions. Mol. Cell. Neurosci. *18*, 149–167.

Budreck, E.C., Kwon, O.-B., Jung, J.H., Baudouin, S., Thommen, A., Kim, H.-S., Fukazawa, Y., Harada, H., Tabuchi, K., Shigemoto, R., et al. (2013). Neuroligin-1 controls synaptic abundance of NMDA-type glutamate receptors through extracellular coupling. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *110*, 725–730.

Chen, S.X., Tari, P.K., She, K., and Haas, K. (2010). Neurexin-neuroligin cell adhesion complexes contribute to synaptotropic dendritogenesis via growth stabilization mechanisms in vivo. Neuron 67, 967–983.

Chih, B., Engelman, H., and Scheiffele, P. (2005). Control of excitatory and inhibitory synapse formation by neuroligins. Science *307*, 1324–1328.

Chih, B., Gollan, L., and Scheiffele, P. (2006). Alternative splicing controls selective trans-synaptic interactions of the neuroligin-neurexin complex. Neuron *51*, 171–178.

Chubykin, A.A., Atasoy, D., Etherton, M.R., Brose, N., Kavalali, E.T., Gibson, J.R., and Südhof, T.C. (2007). Activity-dependent validation of excitatory versus inhibitory synapses by neuroligin-1 versus neuroligin-2. Neuron *54*, 919–931.

Craig, A.M., and Kang, Y. (2007). Neurexin-neuroligin signaling in synapse development. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. *17*, 43–52.

de Wit, J., Sylwestrak, E., O'Sullivan, M.L., Otto, S., Tiglio, K., Savas, J.N., Yates, J.R., 3rd, Comoletti, D., Taylor, P., and Ghosh, A. (2009). LRRTM2 interacts with Neurexin1 and regulates excitatory synapse formation. Neuron *64*, 799–806. Dean, C., Scholl, F.G., Choih, J., DeMaria, S., Berger, J., Isacoff, E., and Scheiffele, P. (2003). Neurexin mediates the assembly of presynaptic terminals. Nat. Neurosci. *6*, 708–716.

Friedman, H.V., Bresler, T., Garner, C.C., and Ziv, N.E. (2000). Assembly of new individual excitatory synapses: time course and temporal order of synaptic molecule recruitment. Neuron 27, 57–69.

Futai, K., Kim, M.J., Hashikawa, T., Scheiffele, P., Sheng, M., and Hayashi, Y. (2007). Retrograde modulation of presynaptic release probability through signaling mediated by PSD-95-neuroligin. Nat. Neurosci. *10*, 186–195.

Gerrow, K., Romorini, S., Nabi, S.M., Colicos, M.A., Sala, C., and El-Husseini, A. (2006). A preformed complex of postsynaptic proteins is involved in excitatory synapse development. Neuron *49*, 547–562.

Giannone, G., and Sheetz, M.P. (2006). Substrate rigidity and force define form through tyrosine phosphatase and kinase pathways. Trends Cell Biol. *16*, 213–223.

Gil, O.D., Sakurai, T., Bradley, A.E., Fink, M.Y., Cassella, M.R., Kuo, J.A., and Felsenfeld, D.P. (2003). Ankyrin binding mediates L1CAM interactions with static components of the cytoskeleton and inhibits retrograde movement of L1CAM on the cell surface. J. Cell Biol. *162*, 719–730.

Graf, E.R., Zhang, X., Jin, S.-X., Linhoff, M.W., and Craig, A.M. (2004). Neurexins induce differentiation of GABA and glutamate postsynaptic specializations via neuroligins. Cell *119*, 1013–1026.

Graf, E.R., Kang, Y., Hauner, A.M., and Craig, A.M. (2006). Structure function and splice site analysis of the synaptogenic activity of the neurexin-1 beta LNS domain. J. Neurosci. *26*, 4256–4265.

Gutiérrez, R.C., Flynn, R., Hung, J., Kertesz, A.C., Sullivan, A., Zamponi, G.W., El-Husseini, A., and Colicos, M.A. (2009). Activity-driven mobilization of postsynaptic proteins. Eur. J. Neurosci. *30*, 2042–2052.

Heine, M., Thoumine, O., Mondin, M., Tessier, B., Giannone, G., and Choquet, D. (2008). Activity-independent and subunit-specific recruitment of functional AMPA receptors at neurexin/neuroligin contacts. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *105*, 20947–20952.

lida, J., Hirabayashi, S., Sato, Y., and Hata, Y. (2004). Synaptic scaffolding molecule is involved in the synaptic clustering of neuroligin. Mol. Cell. Neurosci. *27*, 497–508.

Irie, M., Hata, Y., Takeuchi, M., Ichtchenko, K., Toyoda, A., Hirao, K., Takai, Y., Rosahl, T.W., and Südhof, T.C. (1997). Binding of neuroligins to PSD-95. Science 277, 1511–1515.

Ko, J., Zhang, C., Arac, D., Boucard, A.A., Brunger, A.T., and Südhof, T.C. (2009). Neuroligin-1 performs neurexin-dependent and neurexin-independent functions in synapse validation. EMBO J. *28*, 3244–3255.

Legate, K.R., and Fässler, R. (2009). Mechanisms that regulate adaptor binding to beta-integrin cytoplasmic tails. J. Cell Sci. *122*, 187–198.

Leone, P., Comoletti, D., Ferracci, G., Conrod, S., Garcia, S.U., Taylor, P., Bourne, Y., and Marchot, P. (2010). Structural insights into the exquisite selectivity of neurexin/neuroligin synaptic interactions. EMBO J. 29, 2461– 2471.

Levinson, J.N., Chéry, N., Huang, K., Wong, T.P., Gerrow, K., Kang, R., Prange, O., Wang, Y.T., and El-Husseini, A. (2005). Neuroligins mediate excitatory and inhibitory synapse formation: involvement of PSD-95 and neurexin-1beta in neuroligin-induced synaptic specificity. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 17312–17319.

Levinson, J.N., Li, R., Kang, R., Moukhles, H., El-Husseini, A., and Bamji, S.X. (2010). Postsynaptic scaffolding molecules modulate the localization of neuroligins. Neuroscience *165*, 782–793.

Lim, S.-H., Kwon, S.-K., Lee, M.K., Moon, J., Jeong, D.G., Park, E., Kim, S.J., Park, B.C., Lee, S.C., Ryu, S.-E., et al. (2009). Synapse formation regulated by protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor T through interaction with cell adhesion molecules and Fyn. EMBO J. 28, 3564–3578.

Meier, J., Vannier, C., Sergé, A., Triller, A., and Choquet, D. (2001). Fast and reversible trapping of surface glycine receptors by gephyrin. Nat. Neurosci. *4*, 253–260.

Miyamoto, S., Akiyama, S.K., and Yamada, K.M. (1995). Synergistic roles for receptor occupancy and aggregation in integrin transmembrane function. Science *267*, 883–885.

Mondin, M., Labrousse, V., Hosy, E., Heine, M., Tessier, B., Levet, F., Poujol, C., Blanchet, C., Choquet, D., and Thoumine, O. (2011). Neurexin-neuroligin adhesions capture surface-diffusing AMPA receptors through PSD-95 scaffolds. J. Neurosci. *31*, 13500–13515.

Mukherjee, K., Sharma, M., Urlaub, H., Bourenkov, G.P., Jahn, R., Südhof, T.C., and Wahl, M.C. (2008). CASK Functions as a Mg2+-independent neurexin kinase. Cell *133*, 328–339.

Nam, C.I., and Chen, L. (2005). Postsynaptic assembly induced by neurexinneuroligin interaction and neurotransmitter. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *102*, 6137–6142.

Okabe, S., Kim, H.D., Miwa, A., Kuriu, T., and Okado, H. (1999). Continual remodeling of postsynaptic density and its regulation by synaptic activity. Nat. Neurosci. 2, 804–811.

Okabe, S., Urushido, T., Konno, D., Okado, H., and Sobue, K. (2001). Rapid redistribution of the postsynaptic density protein PSD-Zip45 (Homer 1c) and its differential regulation by NMDA receptors and calcium channels. J. Neurosci. *21*, 9561–9571.

Opazo, P., Labrecque, S., Tigaret, C.M., Frouin, A., Wiseman, P.W., De Koninck, P., and Choquet, D. (2010). CaMKII triggers the diffusional trapping of surface AMPARs through phosphorylation of stargazin. Neuron *67*, 239–252.

Palmer, A., Zimmer, M., Erdmann, K.S., Eulenburg, V., Porthin, A., Heumann, R., Deutsch, U., and Klein, R. (2002). EphrinB phosphorylation and reverse signaling: regulation by Src kinases and PTP-BL phosphatase. Mol. Cell *9*, 725–737.

Peixoto, R.T., Kunz, P.A., Kwon, H., Mabb, A.M., Sabatini, B.L., Philpot, B.D., and Ehlers, M.D. (2012). Transsynaptic signaling by activity-dependent cleavage of neuroligin-1. Neuron 76, 396–409.

Poulopoulos, A., Aramuni, G., Meyer, G., Soykan, T., Hoon, M., Papadopoulos, T., Zhang, M., Paarmann, I., Fuchs, C., Harvey, K., et al. (2009). Neuroligin 2 drives postsynaptic assembly at perisomatic inhibitory synapses through gephyrin and collybistin. Neuron *63*, 628–642.

Poulopoulos, A., Soykan, T., Tuffy, L.P., Hammer, M., Varoqueaux, F., and Brose, N. (2012). Homodimerization and isoform-specific heterodimerization of neuroligins. Biochem. J. *446*, 321–330.

Rikova, K., Guo, A., Zeng, Q., Possemato, A., Yu, J., Haack, H., Nardone, J., Lee, K., Reeves, C., Li, Y., et al. (2007). Global survey of phosphotyrosine signaling identifies oncogenic kinases in lung cancer. Cell *131*, 1190–1203.

Saint-Michel, E., Giannone, G., Choquet, D., and Thoumine, O. (2009). Neurexin/neuroligin interaction kinetics characterized by counting single cell-surface attached quantum dots. Biophys. J. 97, 480–489.

Saiyed, T., Paarmann, I., Schmitt, B., Haeger, S., Sola, M., Schmalzing, G., Weissenhorn, W., and Betz, H. (2007). Molecular basis of gephyrin clustering at inhibitory synapses: role of G- and E-domain interactions. J. Biol. Chem. *282*, 5625–5632.

Scheiffele, P., Fan, J., Choih, J., Fetter, R., and Serafini, T. (2000). Neuroligin expressed in nonneuronal cells triggers presynaptic development in contacting axons. Cell *101*, 657–669.

Schlüter, O.M., Xu, W., and Malenka, R.C. (2006). Alternative N-terminal domains of PSD-95 and SAP97 govern activity-dependent regulation of synaptic AMPA receptor function. Neuron *51*, 99–111.

Schnell, E., Sizemore, M., Karimzadegan, S., Chen, L., Bredt, D.S., and Nicoll, R.A. (2002). Direct interactions between PSD-95 and stargazin control synaptic AMPA receptor number. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *99*, 13902–13907.

Shipman, S.L., Schnell, E., Hirai, T., Chen, B.-S., Roche, K.W., and Nicoll, R.A. (2011). Functional dependence of neuroligin on a new non-PDZ intracellular domain. Nat. Neurosci. *14*, 718–726.



Sturgill, J.F., Steiner, P., Czervionke, B.L., and Sabatini, B.L. (2009). Distinct domains within PSD-95 mediate synaptic incorporation, stabilization, and activity-dependent trafficking. J. Neurosci. *29*, 12845–12854.

Südhof, T.C. (2008). Neuroligins and neurexins link synaptic function to cognitive disease. Nature 455, 903–911.

Sumioka, A., Yan, D., and Tomita, S. (2010). TARP phosphorylation regulates synaptic AMPA receptors through lipid bilayers. Neuron *66*, 755–767.

Takahashi, H., Arstikaitis, P., Prasad, T., Bartlett, T.E., Wang, Y.T., Murphy, T.H., and Craig, A.M. (2011). Postsynaptic TrkC and presynaptic PTP σ function as a bidirectional excitatory synaptic organizing complex. Neuron *69*, 287–303.

Varley, Z.K., Pizzarelli, R., Antonelli, R., Stancheva, S.H., Kneussel, M., Cherubini, E., and Zacchi, P. (2011). Gephyrin regulates GABAergic and glutamatergic synaptic transmission in hippocampal cell cultures. J. Biol. Chem. *286*, 20942–20951.

Varoqueaux, F., Jamain, S., and Brose, N. (2004). Neuroligin 2 is exclusively localized to inhibitory synapses. Eur. J. Cell Biol. 83, 449–456.

Washbourne, P., Bennett, J.E., and McAllister, A.K. (2002). Rapid recruitment of NMDA receptor transport packets to nascent synapses. Nat. Neurosci. *5*, 751–759.