On the properties of a class of log-biharmonic functions
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this work is to introduce and investigate a new class of log-biharmonic functions. Certain geometrically motivated properties and results concerning starlikeness, convexity and univalence of elements within this class versus the corresponding harmonic functions are obtained and discussed. In particular, we consider the Goodman–Saff conjecture and prove that the conjecture is true for the logarithms of functions belonging to this class.

1. Introduction

Complex-valued harmonic functions that are univalent and sense preserving in the unit disk $U$ can be written in the form $f = g + \overline{h}$, where $h$ and $g$ are analytic in $U$. A continuous complex-valued function $F = u + iv$ in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ is biharmonic if the Laplacian of $F$ is harmonic, that is $\Delta F$ is harmonic in $D$ if $F$ satisfies the biharmonic equation $\Delta(\Delta F) = 0$, where $\Delta = 4\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z\partial \overline{z}}$. The class of biharmonic functions includes the class of harmonic functions and is a subclass of the class of polyharmonic functions. A continuous complex-valued function $F = u + iv$ in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ is log-biharmonic if $\log F$ is biharmonic, that is the Laplacian of $\log F$ is harmonic. A function $G$ is said to be log-harmonic in $D$ if there is an analytic function $a$ and $G$ is a solution of the nonlinear elliptic partial differential equation

$$\frac{\overline{G_z}}{G_z} = a \frac{G_z}{\overline{G_z}}.$$

It has been shown that if $G$ is a nonvanishing log-harmonic mapping, then $G$ can be expressed as $G = kl$ where $k$ and $l$ are analytic functions in $D$. It is worth noting that in the latter case the Laplacian of the logarithm of the nonvanishing log-harmonic mapping $G$ is zero, that is $(\log G)_{zz} = 0$.

A harmonic function $F$ is locally univalent if the Jacobian of $F, J_F$,

$$J_F = |F_z|^2 - |F_{\overline{z}}|^2 \neq 0.$$

A function $F$ is orientation preserving if

$$J_F = |F_z|^2 - |F_{\overline{z}}|^2 > 0.$$

We say that a univalent biharmonic (harmonic) function $F$, with $F(0) = 0$, is starlike if the curve $F(re^{it})$ is starlike with respect to the origin for each $0 < r < 1$. In other words, $F$ is starlike if $\frac{\arg F(re^{it})}{t} = \Re \frac{F_z - F_{\overline{z}}}{F - \overline{F}} > 0$ for $z \neq 0$. 
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A univalent biharmonic (harmonic) function, $F$, with $F(0) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial F(re^{i\theta})}{\partial r} \neq 0$ whenever $0 < r < 1$, is said to be convex if the curve $F(re^{i\theta})$ is convex for each $0 < r < 1$. In other words, $F$ is convex if $\frac{\partial \arg F(re^{i\theta})}{\partial r} > 0$ for $z \neq 0$.

The biharmonic equation arises in physical applications including linear elasticity theory and fluid flow. The biharmonic functions, which are closely associated with the biharmonic functions, appear in Stokes flow problems as well as in radar imaging problems. There are several problems involving Stokes flow which arise in engineering and biological transport phenomena. For the various applications of the biharmonic functions see [1–3] and the references within.

Recently, biharmonic and log-biharmonic functions have been studied in a number of papers; see for example [1,2,4,5]. For more details on harmonic mappings and the various definitions introduced see [6–8]. The purpose of this work is to study a class of log-biharmonic functions. Some geometrical properties related to starlikeness, convexity and univalence are examined. Further, we show that the Goodman–Saff conjecture (see [9]) is valid for the logarithm of functions belonging to this class.

2. Properties of the class $LBH$

In this work, we will consider the following class of functions:

$$LBH = \{ F : F = f(z)h(\bar{z}) G^{1}|z|^2 + \lambda_2, \text{ where } G \text{ is a nonvanishing log-harmonic mapping in the unit disk } U \text{ and } G(0) = 1, f(z) \text{ and } h(z) \text{ are nonvanishing analytic functions in } U, \lambda_1 \text{ and } \lambda_2 \ (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 \neq 0) \text{ are constants} \}.$$ 

It will be shown that this elements in this class are log-biharmonic functions; some geometrical properties related to starlikeness, convexity and univalence for elements in $LBH$ versus the corresponding harmonic functions and/or log-harmonic functions are derived.

First, define the linear operator $L$ by

$$L = z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \bar{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}.$$ 

The definition leads to the following two properties:

- $L(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha L[f] + \beta L[g]$.
- $L[fg] = f L[g] + g L[f]$.

where $f, g$ are $C^1$ functions and $\alpha, \beta$ are complex constants.

**Theorem 1.** For any $F \in LBH, F$ is log-biharmonic.

**Proof.** Let $F = f(z)h(\bar{z}) G^{1}|z|^2 + \lambda_2 \in LBH$. Taking the logarithm of both sides, we have

$$\log F = \log f(z) + \log h(\bar{z}) + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \log G.$$ 

Upon differentiating both sides with respect to $z$ and $\bar{z}$, respectively, we get

$$\log F_z = \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda_1 \bar{z} \log G + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)(\log G)_z,$$

$$\log F_{\bar{z}} = \frac{h'(\bar{z})}{h(\bar{z})} + \lambda_1 z \log G + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)(\log G)_{\bar{z}}.$$ 

From the definition of the class $LBH$ it is required that $G$ is log-harmonic, which means that $(\log G)_{z\bar{z}} = (\log G)_{\bar{z}z} = 0$. Differentiating the latter two equations we have

$$\log F_{z\bar{z}} = \lambda_1 \log G + \lambda_1 \bar{z}(\log G)_\bar{z} + \lambda_1 z(\log G)_z,$$

$$\log F_{\bar{z}z} = \lambda_1 \log G + \lambda_1 z(\log G)_z + \lambda_1 \bar{z}(\log G)_{\bar{z}}.$$ 

We note that $(\log F)_{z\bar{z}} = (\log F)_{\bar{z}z}$. Further differentiation leads to

$$(\log F)_{z\bar{z}} = \lambda_1 (\log G)_z + \lambda_1 (\log G)_\bar{z} + \lambda_1 z(\log G)_z.$$ 

This latter equation and the fact that $(\log G)_{z\bar{z}} = 0$ yields that $(\log F)_{z\bar{z}z} = 0$. This means that $F$ is log-biharmonic. \[\Box\]

**Theorem 2.** Let $F = f(z)h(\bar{z}) G^{1}|z|^2 + \lambda_2 \in LBH$. Then:

a. $L[\log F] = LH[\log f(z)] + L[\log h(\bar{z})] + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)L[\log G]$,

b. $L^n[\log F] = L^n[\log f(z)] + L^n[\log h(\bar{z})] + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)L^n[\log G]$,

where $n \geq 2$ is an integer.
Proof. We have
\[ \log F = \log f(z) + \log h(\overline{z}) + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \log G. \]

Simple calculation yields
\[ \mathcal{L}[(\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)] = 0. \]

Further, using the product rule property of the operator \( \mathcal{L} \), we have
\[ \mathcal{L}[(\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \log G] = (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \mathcal{L}[\log G] + \log G \mathcal{L}[(\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)] \]
\[ = (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \mathcal{L}[\log G]. \]

Therefore, from the linearity of the operator \( \mathcal{L} \) we have
\[ \mathcal{L}[\log F] = \mathcal{L}[\log f(z)] + \mathcal{L}[\log h(\overline{z})] + \mathcal{L}[(\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \log G] \]
\[ = \mathcal{L}[\log f(z)] + \mathcal{L}[\log h(\overline{z})] + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \mathcal{L}[\log G]. \]

The proof of part (b) follows from (a) and induction. \( \square \)

Corollary 1. Let \( F = G^{\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2} \in \mathcal{L}B\mathcal{H} \). Then
\[ \frac{\mathcal{L}^n[\log F]}{\mathcal{L}[\log F]} = \frac{\mathcal{L}^n[\log G]}{\mathcal{L}[\log G]}, \quad n \geq 2. \]

Proof. From part (b) of Theorem 2 we have
\[ \mathcal{L}^n[\log F] = (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \mathcal{L}^n[\log G]. \]

Upon dividing both sides of the last equation by \( \mathcal{L}[\log F] \) and using part (a) of Theorem 2, the results follows. \( \square \)

Theorem 3. Let \( F = f(z)h(\overline{z}) G^{\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2} \in \mathcal{L}B\mathcal{H} \). Assume that \( G \) is starlike, \( \lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2 > 0 \) and \( \text{Re}\left(\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \text{Re}\left(\frac{h'(\overline{z})}{h(\overline{z})}\right) \); then \( F \) is starlike.

Proof. From the definition of the operator \( \mathcal{L} \) it follows that
\[ \mathcal{L}[\log G] = \frac{\mathcal{L}[G]}{G} = \frac{2G_z - ZG_{\overline{z}}}{G}, \]

or
\[ \text{Re}(\mathcal{L}[\log G]) = \text{Re}\left(\frac{2G_z - ZG_{\overline{z}}}{G}\right) > 0, \]

which means that \( G \) is starlike if and only if \( \text{Re}(\mathcal{L}[\log G]) > 0 \). From Theorem 2 part (a) and the definition of the operator \( \mathcal{L} \) we have
\[ \mathcal{L}[\log F] = \mathcal{L}[\log f(z)] + \mathcal{L}[\log h(\overline{z})] + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \mathcal{L}[\log G] \]
\[ = \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - \overline{z} \frac{h'(\overline{z})}{h(\overline{z})} + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \mathcal{L}[\log G]. \]

Given that \( \text{Re}\left(\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \text{Re}\left(\frac{h'(\overline{z})}{h(\overline{z})}\right) \) and \( \lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2 > 0 \), we get
\[ \text{Re}(\mathcal{L}[\log F]) = \text{Re}\left(\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - \overline{z} \frac{h'(\overline{z})}{h(\overline{z})}\right) + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \text{Re}(\mathcal{L}[\log G]) \]
\[ > (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \text{Re}(\mathcal{L}[\log G]) > 0. \]

Since \( \text{Re}(\mathcal{L}[\log F]) = \text{Re}\left(\frac{2f_z - Zf_{\overline{z}}}{f}\right) \), it follows that \( F \) is starlike. \( \square \)

Theorem 4. Let \( F = f(z)h(\overline{z}) G^{\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2} \in \mathcal{L}B\mathcal{H} \). Then the Jacobian of \( \log F, j_{\log F}(z) \), is given by
\[ j_{\log F}(z) = \left|\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\right|^2 \left[ \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{h'(\overline{z})}{h(\overline{z})} + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \left[2\lambda_1|\log G|^2 \text{Re}(\mathcal{L}[\log G])\right]\right] \]
\[ + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) j_{\log G}(z) + 2\text{Re}\left(\frac{f'(z) G_z - h'(\overline{z}) G_{\overline{z}}}{f(z) G - h(\overline{z}) G_{\overline{z}}}\right) + 2\lambda_1 \text{Re}(\frac{\log G}{\mathcal{L}[\log f(z)h(\overline{z})]}). \]
Proof. Taking the logarithm of \( F = f(z)h(\overline{z}) \) \( G^2, 1|z|^2 + \lambda_2 \) then differentiating both sides with respect to \( z \) and \( \overline{z} \), respectively, yields

\[
\frac{F_z}{F} = \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda_1 \overline{z} \log G + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \frac{G_z}{G},
\]

\[
\frac{F_{\overline{z}}}{F} = \frac{h'(\overline{z})}{h(\overline{z})} + \lambda_1 z \log G + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \frac{G_{\overline{z}}}{G}.
\]

Hence we have

\[
\left| \frac{F_z}{F} \right|^2 = \left[ \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda_1 \overline{z} \log G + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \frac{G_z}{G} \right] \left[ \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda_1 z \log G + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \frac{G_z}{G} \right]
\]

\[
= \left| \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right|^2 + \lambda_1 |z|^2 + \lambda_2 \frac{G_z}{G} \log G + \lambda_1 |z|^2 + \lambda_2 \frac{G_z}{G} \log G + \lambda_1^2 |z|^2 \log |G|^2
\]

\[
+ (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)^2 \frac{G_z}{G} \log G + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \frac{G_z}{G} \log G + \lambda_1^2 |z|^2 |G|^2
\]

\[
+ (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)^2 \frac{G_z}{G} \log G + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \frac{G_z}{G} \log G + \lambda_1^2 |z|^2 |G|^2
\]

\[
\text{J}_{\log F}(z) = \frac{f'(z)}{|f(z)|^2} = \frac{|F_z|^2 - |F_{\overline{z}}|^2}{|F|^2}
\]

\[
= \left| \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right|^2 - \left| \frac{h'(\overline{z})}{h(\overline{z})} \right|^2 + \lambda_1 |z|^2 + \lambda_2 \left\{ \log G \left( \frac{G_z}{G} - \frac{G_{\overline{z}}}{G} \right) + \log G \left( \frac{G_z}{G} - \frac{G_{\overline{z}}}{G} \right) \right\}
\]

\[
+ (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)^2 \frac{G_z}{G} + 2(\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \frac{G_z}{G} \log G
\]

\[
+ 2\lambda_1 \Re \left\{ \log G \left( \frac{G_z}{G} - \frac{G_{\overline{z}}}{G} \right) \right\}
\]

\[
= \left| \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right|^2 - \left| \frac{h'(\overline{z})}{h(\overline{z})} \right|^2 + \lambda_1 |z|^2 + \lambda_2 \left\{ 2| \log G|^2 \Re \left( \frac{G_z}{G} \log G \right) \right\}
\]

\[
+ (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)^2 \frac{G_z}{G} + 2(\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \frac{G_z}{G} \log G
\]

\[
+ 2\lambda_1 \Re \left\{ \log G \left( \frac{G_z}{G} - \frac{G_{\overline{z}}}{G} \right) \right\}
\]

\[
= \left| \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right|^2 - \left| \frac{h'(\overline{z})}{h(\overline{z})} \right|^2 + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \frac{| \log G|^2 \Re(\mathcal{L}[\log G]) + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \text{J}_{\log G}(z)
\]

\[
+ 2 \Re \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \frac{G_z}{G} - \frac{h'(\overline{z})}{h(\overline{z})} \frac{G_{\overline{z}}}{G} \right\}
\]

\[
+ 2\lambda_1 \Re \left\{ \log G \mathcal{L}[\log f(z)h(\overline{z})] \right\}. \quad \square
\]

Corollary 2. Let \( F = f(z)h(\overline{z}) \) \( G^2, 1|z|^2 + \lambda_2 \) \( \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{H} \). If \( \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{\overline{h}'(z)}{h(z)} \), then the Jacobian of \( \log F \), \( \text{J}_{\log F}(z) \), is given by

\[
\text{J}_{\log F}(z) = (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \left\{ 2\lambda_1 \log G|^2 \Re(\mathcal{L}[\log G]) + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \text{J}_{\log G}(z)
\]

\[
+ 2 \left| \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \frac{G_z}{G} - \frac{h'(\overline{z})}{h(\overline{z})} \frac{G_{\overline{z}}}{G} \right| + 2\lambda_1 \Re \left\{ \log G \mathcal{L}[\log f(z)h(\overline{z})] \right\}. \quad \square
\]

Proof. Since \( \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{\overline{h}'(z)}{h(z)} \), it follows that \( \left| \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right| = \left| \frac{h'(\overline{z})}{h(\overline{z})} \right| \) and also

\[
\mathcal{L}[\log f(z)h(\overline{z})] = \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{z \overline{h}'(z)}{h(\overline{z})} = 0.
\]
Further we have

\[
2\text{Re}\left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \frac{\overline{G_z}}{G} - \frac{h'(\overline{z})}{h(\overline{z})} \frac{\overline{G_z}}{G} \right\} = \frac{2}{|z|^2} \text{Re}\left\{ \frac{zf'(z) \overline{G_z}}{f(z)} - \frac{h'(\overline{z}) zG_z}{h(\overline{z})} \right\}.
\]

The result now follows from Theorem 4. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.** Assume the function \( \log G \) is starlike and orientation preserving, \( \text{Re}\{\mathcal{L}[\log G]\} > 0 \), \( \frac{7h'(z)}{h(z)} > 0 \) and \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0 \); then \( \log F \) is orientation preserving and consequently locally univalent.

**Proof.** \( \frac{7h'(z)}{h(z)} > 0 \) is real; hence

\[
\text{Re}\left( \frac{7h'(z)}{h(z)} \mathcal{L}[\log G] \right) = \frac{7h'(z)}{h(z)} \text{Re}(\mathcal{L}[\log G]) > 0.
\]

From the proof of Theorem 3, \( \log G \) being starlike implies \( \text{Re}(\mathcal{L}[\log G]) > 0 \). Further, \( \log G \) being orientation preserving yields \( \Im \log G(z) > 0 \). It follows from Corollary 2 that \( \Im \log F > 0 \), that is \( \log F \) is orientation preserving and hence \( \Im \log F = 0 \), that is \( \log F \) is locally univalent. \( \square \)

**Theorem 5.** Let \( F = f(z)h(z)G_\lambda z^2 + \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{B}^*}. \) Then

a. \( -\frac{\partial \log F(\text{rei})}{\partial t} = \log f(z)' - i\log f(z)' + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)z(\log G)_z - i\log G_z. \)

b. \( -\frac{\partial^2 \log F(\text{re}^2)}{\partial t^2} = z(\log f(z)')' + i\log f(z) + z^2(\log f(z)'' + \overline{z}^2(\log f(z))')' + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)[z(\log G)_z + i\log G_z + z^2(\log G)_z + i\log G_z]. \)

**Proof.** We have

\[
\log F = \log f(z) + \log h(z) + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2) \log G.
\]

From Theorem 1 we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
(\log F)_z &= (\log f(z))' + \lambda_1 \overline{z} \log G + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)(\log G)_z, \\
(\log F)_z &= (\log h(z))' + \lambda_1 z \log G + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)(\log G)_z, \\
(\log F)_{zz} &= \lambda_1 \log G + \lambda_1 \overline{z} (\log G)_z + \lambda_1 z (\log G)_z.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore we get

\[
\frac{\partial \log F(\text{rei})}{\partial t} = iz(\log F)_z = iz(\log f(z))' - i\overline{z}(\log h(z))' + i(\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)z(\log G)_z - i\log G_z.
\]

and hence part (a) of the theorem follows.

Further, we have

\[
z(\log F)_z + i\overline{z}(\log F)_z - 2|z|^2(\log F)_{zz} = z(\log f(z))' + i\overline{z}(\log h(z))' + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)[z(\log G)_z + \overline{z}(\log G)_z].
\]

Upon differentiation we also have

\[
\begin{align*}
(\log F)_zz &= (\log f(z))'' + 2\lambda_1 \overline{z}(\log G)_z + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)(\log G)_{zz}, \\
(\log F)_{zz} &= (\log h(z))'' + 2\lambda_1 z(\log G)_z + (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)(\log G)_{zz},
\end{align*}
\]

and hence we get

\[
z^2(\log F)_zz + i\overline{z}^2(\log F)_{zz} = z^2(\log f(z))'' + i\overline{z}^2(\log h(z))'' + 2\lambda_1 |z|^2 z(\log G)_z + \overline{z}(\log G)_z
\]

\[
+ (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)[z^2(\log G)_zz + i\overline{z}^2(\log G)_{zz}].
\]

As a result we have

\[
\begin{align*}
z(\log F)_z + i\overline{z}(\log F)_z - 2|z|^2(\log F)_{zz} + z^2(\log F)_{zz} + i\overline{z}^2(\log F)_{zz}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
= z(\log f(z))' + i\overline{z}(\log h(z))' + z^2(\log f(z))'' + i\overline{z}^2(\log h(z))''
\]

\[
+ (\lambda_1|z|^2 + \lambda_2)[z(\log G)_z + \overline{z}(\log G)_z + z^2(\log G)_{zz} + i\overline{z}^2(\log G)_{zz}].
\]
But
\[ \frac{\partial^2 \log F(re^{it})}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ iz(\log F)_z - i\overline{z}(\log F)_{\overline{z}} \right] = -z(\log F)_z - \overline{z}(\log F)_{\overline{z}} + 2\vert z \vert^2(\log F)_{\overline{z}z} - z^2(\log F)_{zz} - \overline{z}^2(\log F)_{\overline{z}\overline{z}}, \]

and consequently part (b) of the theorem follows. \[ \square \]

**Corollary 4.** Let \( F = f(z)h(\overline{z}) \) \( G^1 \vert z \vert^2 + \lambda z \in \mathcal{LBH} \) with \( f(z) \) and \( h(\overline{z}) \) constant functions. Then
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \arg \frac{\partial \log F(re^{it})}{\partial t} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \arg \frac{\partial \log G(re^{it})}{\partial t} \right).
\]

**Proof.** \( f(z) \) and \( h(\overline{z}) \) are constant functions; hence
\[
z(\log f(z))' - \overline{z}(\log h(\overline{z}))' = 0,
\]
and
\[
z(\log f(z))'' + \overline{z}(\log h(\overline{z}))'' + z^2(\log f(z))''' + \overline{z}^2(\log h(\overline{z}))''' = 0.
\]

It follows from **Theorem 5** that
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \arg \frac{\partial \log F(re^{it})}{\partial t} \right) = \text{Im} \left( \frac{\frac{\partial^2 \log F(re^{it})}{\partial t^2}}{\frac{\partial \log F(re^{it})}{\partial t}} \right) = \text{Re} \left( \frac{z(\log F)_z + \overline{z}(\log F)_{\overline{z}} - 2\vert z \vert^2(\log F)_{\overline{z}z} + z^2(\log F)_{zz} + \overline{z}^2(\log F)_{\overline{z}\overline{z}}}{z(\log F)_z - \overline{z}(\log F)_{\overline{z}} \overline{z}(\log G)_z + \overline{z}(\log G)_{\overline{z}} + z^2(\log G)_{zz} + \overline{z}^2(\log G)_{\overline{z}\overline{z}}}{z(\log G)_z - \overline{z}(\log G)_{\overline{z}} \overline{z}(\log G)_z + \overline{z}(\log G)_{\overline{z}} + z^2(\log G)_{zz} + \overline{z}^2(\log G)_{\overline{z}\overline{z}}}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \arg \frac{\partial \log G(re^{it})}{\partial t} \right).
\]

In the subsequent theorem we consider the Goodman–Saff conjecture and prove that it is valid for the logarithms of functions belonging to the class \( \mathcal{LBH} \). \[ \square \]

**Theorem 6.** For any non-constant \( F \in \mathcal{LBH} \), \( \log F \) sends the subdisk \( \vert z \vert < r \) onto a convex region for \( r \leq \sqrt{2} - 1 \), but onto a non-convex region for any \( \sqrt{2} - 1 < r < 1 \).

**Proof.** \( F \in \mathcal{LBH} \); hence it is given by \( F = f(z)h(\overline{z}) \) \( G^1 \vert z \vert^2 + \lambda z \), where \( G \) is log-harmonic. From the definition of convexity we have
\[
\log G \text{ is convex } \iff \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \arg \frac{\partial \log G(re^{it})}{\partial t} \right) > 0.
\]

Since \( \log G \) is harmonic, and if we further assume that it is convex in \( 0 < r \leq r_0 = \sqrt{2} - 1 \), then the conclusion of the following theorem proved by Ruscheweyh and Salinas [9, Theorem 1] holds (which is basically the Goodman–Saff conjecture).

If \( f \in K_H(\phi) \), \( 0 < r \leq r_0 = \sqrt{2} - 1 \), then \( f(\overline{z}) \in K_H(\phi) \), where \( K_H \) denotes the class of all complex-valued harmonic univalent functions \( f \) on the unit disk \( D \) with \( f(D) \) convex in the direction \( e^{i\phi} \). By **Corollary 4** we have
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \arg \frac{\partial \log F(re^{it})}{\partial t} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \arg \frac{\partial \log G(re^{it})}{\partial t} \right) > 0.
\]

This means that \( F \) is also convex and hence the proof of the theorem follows. \[ \square \]
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