

**PHARMACOLOGY/  
PHARMACOTHERAPY**

Tuesday, October, 13, 2015, 4:00 PM-6:00 PM

Abstract nos: 205 - 225

**TCT-205**

**High and low platelet reactivity on clopidogrel, prasugrel and ticagrelor in acute coronary syndrome patients: insight from a real-life large cohort**

Myriam Amsellem,<sup>1</sup> Jean-Guillaume Dillinger,<sup>1</sup> Stephane Manzo-Silberman,<sup>1</sup> Claire Bal dit Sollier,<sup>1</sup> Giorgos Sideris,<sup>1</sup> Mathilde Baudet,<sup>1</sup> Ludovic Drouet,<sup>1</sup> Patrick Henry<sup>1</sup>  
<sup>1</sup>Lariboisiere Hospital - APHP, Paris, France

**BACKGROUND** Dual antiplatelet therapy with a P2Y12 inhibitor is mandatory in acute coronary syndromes (ACS) undergoing angioplasty. New antiplatelet drugs prasugrel and ticagrelor offer more efficient inhibition compared to clopidogrel. Under P2Y12 inhibitor, platelet reactivity (PR) assessment can predict ischemic and bleeding events. The aim of our study was to compare PR in ACS patients on P2Y12 inhibitors in a real-world setting.

**METHODS** Platelet reactivity (PR) was prospectively assessed in consecutive patients with recurrent ACS or undergoing high-risk angioplasty. PR was measured 24hrs after last intake of clopidogrel (C) and prasugrel (P), and 12hrs for ticagrelor (T) by flow cytometry measured vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein platelet reactivity index (VASP-PRI) and light transmission aggregometry with ADP 20µM (LTA-ADP). High Platelet Reactivity (HPR) was defined as VASP-PRI>50% or LTA-ADP>65% (thresholds previously linked to clinical events). Low Platelet Reactivity (LPR) was defined as VASP-PRI<16% or LTA-ADP<40%.

**RESULTS** Six hundred and nineteen patients treated with aspirin and C (n=269), P (n=241) or T (n=109) were included from 01/2011 to 07/2013. Mean age was 62±13yrs., 80% were men and 63% had STEMI. Patients on C were older, more often women and admitted for NSTEMI. Inflammatory parameters were lower in this subgroup (C). Clinical and biological characteristics were similar between patients on P and those on T. HPR was more frequent with C compared to P and T and significantly more frequent with P compared to T (Table 1). At the opposite, LPR was significantly more frequent in patients treated with T. In multivariate analysis, the significant predictor of HPR with VASP was P (OR=0.13-CI[0.08-0.22]) or T (OR=0.01-[0.01-0.09]). The significant predictor of LPR with VASP was T (OR=3.37-[2.09-5.44]).

Table 1. Platelet reactivity assessment according to P2Y12 inhibitors and platelet function tests.

	HPR		LPR	
	VASP-PRI	LTA-ADP	VASP-PRI	LTA-ADP
Clopidogrel	48%	37%	7%	14%
Prasugrel	12%*	15%*	27%*	44%*
Ticagrelor	1%*§	2%*§	55%*§	72%*§

\*p<0.05 vs clopidogrel; §p<0.05 vs prasugrel.

**CONCLUSIONS** This observational biological study confirms a more potent platelet inhibition of the new P2Y12 compared to clopidogrel, mainly ticagrelor. The very high rate of LPR found with ticagrelor does not match with the bleeding risk found in the PLATO trial.

**CATEGORIES CORONARY:** Pharmacology/Pharmacotherapy

**KEYWORDS** Acute coronary syndromes, Platelet aggregation, Platelet function testing

**TCT-206**

**Effect of the α2A-Adrenergic Receptor Genetic Variants on Platelet Reactivity and Adverse Clinical Events in Chinese Patients with Dual Antiplatelet Therapy after Percutaneous Coronary Intervention**

Ying Song,<sup>1</sup> Xiao-Fang Tang,<sup>2</sup> Jia-Hui Zhang,<sup>3</sup> Yi Yao,<sup>2</sup> Jing-Jing Xu,<sup>4</sup> Run-Lin Gao,<sup>5</sup> Bo Xu,<sup>6</sup> Jin-Qing Yuan<sup>2</sup>  
<sup>1</sup>Fuwai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, China, Beijing, Beijing; <sup>2</sup>Fuwai Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China; <sup>3</sup>Fuwai Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and, Beijing, China; <sup>4</sup>Fuwai Hospital, CAMS & PUMC of China, Beijing, Beijing; <sup>5</sup>Fu Wai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, China, Beijing, China; <sup>6</sup>Fu Wai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Beijing, China

**BACKGROUND** Platelet α2A-Adrenergic Receptor(ARs) potentiates epinephrine-induced platelet aggregation in response to sympathetic stimulation. Genetic variants of the α2A -ARs are associated with different antiplatelet reactivity. The effect of genetic variants of the α2A -ARs on platelet reactivity and clinical outcomes has not yet been reported in Chinese patients with dual antiplatelet therapy after percutaneous coronary intervention. The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of the α2A -ARs variants on platelet reactivity and clinical outcomes in these patients.

**METHODS** 1056 patients with dual antiplatelet therapy after percutaneous coronary intervention were enrolled in a single-center registry. All known α2A -ARs genetic variants including rs11195419, rs3750625, rs13306146, rs553668 were detected by the ligase detection reaction and the antiplatelet effect was assessed by thromboelastography. Primary clinical endpoints included cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, target vessel revascularization, and stent thrombosis. The secondary clinical endpoints were angina recurrence, readmission and in-stent restenosis. The follow-up periods were 6 months and 12 months.

**RESULTS** The frequencies of the α2A -ARs variants were respectively 18.09%, 17.17%, 25.43%, 43.71%. Genotypic distributions of all the variants were in conformity with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium except rs13306146. Platelet ADP inhibition were significantly different among wild type, heterozygote and homozygote mutated groups carrying rs11195419 genetic variant (53.86±29.78% vs. 50.72±27.80% vs. 39.28±19.53%, P=0.012) or rs3750625 genetic variant (53.71±29.84% vs. 50.93±27.55% vs. 37.524±18.60%, P=0.007) and the homozygote mutated groups have the lowest ADP inhibition of the three groups variants. However, there were no significant differences in ADP inhibition among the rs553668 genotype groups (50.20±27.83% vs. 54.09±29.41% vs. 52.11±30.00%, P=0.158). At the multivariable analysis, the presence of the rs11195419 (95%CI: -0.062- -2.070, P=0.039) or rs3750625 (95%CI: -0.068- -2.281, P=0.023) genetic variants was an independent predictor of the ADP inhibition. However, there were no significant differences in the composite clinical outcome across the rs11195419, rs3750625, rs553668 genotype groups at both 6 and 12 months follow-up period(P>0.05).

**CONCLUSIONS** The presence of mutated alleles of α2A -AR genetic variants (rs11195419, rs3750625) except rs553668 is associated with decreased ADP-induced platelet reactivity in Chinese patients with DAPT after percutaneous coronary intervention. However, none of the α2A -AR genetic variants significantly influenced the clinical outcomes of DAPT in these patients.

**CATEGORIES OTHER:** Genomics / Proteomics

**TCT-207**

**Comparative efficacy & safety of Prasugrel, Ticagrelor, standard & high dose Clopidogrel in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI): A network meta-analysis**

Sukhchain Singh,<sup>1</sup> Mukesh Singh,<sup>2</sup> Navsheen Grewal,<sup>3</sup> Sandeep Khosla<sup>4</sup>  
<sup>1</sup>Ingalls Memorial Hospital, Harvey, IL; <sup>2</sup>Chicago Medical School, North Chicago, IL; <sup>3</sup>University of Illinois at Chicago, CHICAGO, IL; <sup>4</sup>Rosalind Franklin University of Sciences/Mount Sinai Hospital, Chicago, Chicago, IL

**BACKGROUND** Higher loading and maintenance dose Clopidogrel overcomes delayed and often variable effect of standard dose Clopidogrel in majority of the patients. However, data comparing this strategy with potent alternatives such as Ticagrelor & Prasugrel are scarce.

**METHODS** We aimed to compare efficacy & safety of Prasugrel, Ticagrelor, standard & high dose Clopidogrel in patients undergoing PCI. PubMed, EMBASE, CENTRAL and [clinicaltrials.gov](http://clinicaltrials.gov) were searched for studies comparing Prasugrel, Ticagrelor, standard dose (SD) & high dose (HD) Clopidogrel in patients undergoing PCI. Frequentist and Bayesian random effect network meta-analyses were performed besides random effect direct pairwise comparisons. Statistical analysis was conducted using WinBugs and Stata software. Ranking of treatments are based on surface under cumulative ranking curves (SUCRA) derived from Bayesian analysis. SUCRA values provide chances of being best drug in form of percentage (%).

**RESULTS** Thirty trials, with 34, 563 person years of follow up data after PCI, were included in the analysis. Prasugrel (86%) emerged as best drug to prevent definite or probable stent thrombosis, followed by Clopidogrel HD (66%) and Ticagrelor (44%), with Clopidogrel SD being the worst. Myocardial infarction was least likely to be prevented by Clopidogrel SD (0%) after PCI, and rest three were superior to it (Prasugrel 74%; Clopidogrel 70%; Ticagrelor 56%). Clopidogrel SD (18%) was least effective in preventing cardiovascular deaths after PCI. Prasugrel (73%) was likely most effective in preventing cardiovascular deaths followed by Ticagrelor (62%) and Clopidogrel HD (47%). Ticagrelor (68%) reduced all-cause mortality by a small margin compared to rest of treatments (Prasugrel 50%; Clopidogrel 48%; Clopidogrel 34%). Clopidogrel SD (79%), followed by Clopidogrel HD (53%) and Ticagrelor (48%), resulted in significantly lower TIMI major bleeding complications compared to Prasugrel. Analysis of any bleeding revealed similar trend. Clopidogrel HD performed better than Prasugrel in terms of bleeding complications. Results of direct pairwise comparison and network meta-analysis were consistent in the direct of overall effect (Figure).

Outcomes	Treatment	Relative to Meta (Data Ratio with 95% Credible Interval)*			Probability of Best (Data Ratio with 95% Credible Interval)*			Direct pairwise (Data Ratio with 95% Credible Interval)*		
		Clopidogrel SD	Clopidogrel HD	Ticagrelor	Clopidogrel SD	Clopidogrel HD	Ticagrelor	Clopidogrel HD	Ticagrelor	Prasugrel
Definite & Probable stent thrombosis	Clopidogrel SD	0.04 (0.01-0.78)	0.75 (0.55-1.11)	0.76 (0.42-0.76)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Ticagrelor	0.00 (0.50-0.00)	0.00 (0.50-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)	0.00 (0.50-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)
	Prasugrel	0.00 (0.50-0.00)	0.00 (0.50-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)	0.00 (0.50-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)
	Clopidogrel HD	0.00 (0.50-0.00)	0.00 (0.50-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)	0.00 (0.50-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)	0.00 (0.49-0.00)
Cardiovascular death	Clopidogrel SD	0.79 (0.42-1.20)	0.92 (0.29-1.81)	0.95 (0.52-1.24)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Ticagrelor	0.00 (0.46-1.00)	0.00 (0.46-1.00)	0.00 (0.46-1.00)	0.00 (0.46-1.00)	0.00 (0.46-1.00)	0.00 (0.46-1.00)	0.00 (0.46-1.00)	0.00 (0.46-1.00)	0.00 (0.46-1.00)
	Prasugrel	0.00 (0.36-1.20)	0.00 (0.44-1.24)	0.00 (0.29-1.81)	0.00 (0.39-0.90)	0.00 (0.27-1.10)	0.00 (0.48-1.21)	0.00 (0.37-1.10)	0.00 (0.48-1.21)	0.00 (0.37-1.10)
	Clopidogrel HD	0.00 (0.44-1.00)	0.00 (0.44-1.00)	0.00 (0.44-1.00)	0.00 (0.44-1.00)	0.00 (0.44-1.00)	0.00 (0.44-1.00)	0.00 (0.44-1.00)	0.00 (0.44-1.00)	0.00 (0.44-1.00)
Myocardial infarction	Clopidogrel SD	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)
	Ticagrelor	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)
	Prasugrel	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)
	Clopidogrel HD	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)
Major TIMI Bleeding	Clopidogrel SD	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)
	Ticagrelor	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)
	Prasugrel	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)
	Clopidogrel HD	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)
Any Bleeding	Clopidogrel SD	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)
	Ticagrelor	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)
	Prasugrel	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)
	Clopidogrel HD	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)
All-cause death	Clopidogrel SD	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)
	Ticagrelor	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)
	Prasugrel	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)
	Clopidogrel HD	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (0.00-1.00)

**CONCLUSIONS** Prasugrel is most effective drug to prevent post PCI ischemic events but at the expense of higher bleeding. Ticagrelor followed by Clopidogrel HD appears to strike the right balance between efficacy & safety.

**CATEGORIES CORONARY:** Pharmacology/Pharmacotherapy

**KEYWORDS** Antiplatelet therapy, Percutaneous coronary intervention

**TCT-208**

**Comparison Of Antithrombotic Regimens For Primary Angioplasty In Patients > 75 Years With ST Elevated Myocardial Infarction: The ESTROFA-MI+75 Study**

Jose M. De la Torre Hernandez,<sup>1</sup> Jose A. Baz,<sup>2</sup> Joan A. Gomez Hospital,<sup>3</sup> Salvatore Brugaletta,<sup>4</sup> Ramon Lopez Palop,<sup>5</sup> Armando Pérez de Prado,<sup>6</sup> Belen Cid,<sup>7</sup> Alejandro Diego-Nieto,<sup>8</sup> Federico Gimeno,<sup>9</sup> Jose Antonio Fernandez Diaz,<sup>10</sup> Juan Sanchis,<sup>11</sup> Fernando Alfonso,<sup>12</sup> Roberto Blanco,<sup>13</sup> Javier Botas Rodriguez,<sup>14</sup> Javier Navarro Cuartero,<sup>15</sup> Jose Moreu,<sup>16</sup> Francisco Bosa,<sup>17</sup> Jose Miguel Vegas,<sup>18</sup> Jaime Elizaga,<sup>19</sup> Antonio L. Arrebola-Moreno,<sup>20</sup> Jose A. Linares,<sup>21</sup> Felipe Hernandez,<sup>22</sup> Leire Andranka,<sup>23</sup> Manuel Jimenez Navarro,<sup>24</sup> Fernando Lozano,<sup>25</sup> Jose R. Rumoroso<sup>26</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hospital Universitario Marques de Valdecilla, Santander, Spain; <sup>2</sup>Hospital Meixoeiro, Vigo, Galicia; <sup>3</sup>Hospital de Bellvitge, Barcelona, Cataluña; <sup>4</sup>Clinic Thorax Institute, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>5</sup>Hospital San Juan de Alicante, Alicante; <sup>6</sup>HemoLeon, Fundación Investigación Sanitaria en León, Leon, Leon; <sup>7</sup>Hospital Universitario de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain; <sup>8</sup>Hospital Universitario de Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain; <sup>9</sup>Hospital Clinico de Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain; <sup>10</sup>Hospital Puerta de Hierro, Majadahonda, Spain; <sup>11</sup>Hospital Clinico de Valencia, Valencia, Spain; <sup>12</sup>Hospital Universitario de La Princesa, Madrid, Madrid, Spain; <sup>13</sup>Hospital de Cruces, Bilbao, Spain; <sup>14</sup>Alcorcon University Hospital, MADRID, Spain; <sup>15</sup>Hospital General de Albacete, Albacete, Spain; <sup>16</sup>H Virgen de la Salud, Toledo, Spain; <sup>17</sup>H. Clinico de Tenerife, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain; <sup>18</sup>Hospital de Cabueñes, Gijón, Spain; <sup>19</sup>H Gregorio Marañon, Madrid, Spain; <sup>20</sup>Hospital Universitario Virgen de las Nieves, Granada, Spain; <sup>21</sup>Lozano Blesa Hospital, Zaragoza, Spain; <sup>22</sup>Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain; <sup>23</sup>Hospital de Basurto, Bilbao, Spain; <sup>24</sup>H. Virgen de la Victoria, Malaga, Spain; <sup>25</sup>Hospital General Universitario de Ciudad Real, Ciudad Real, Castilla-La Mancha; <sup>26</sup>Hospital de Galdacano, Bilbao, Vizcaya

**BACKGROUND** Primary angioplasty is the best reperfusion treatment in ST elevated myocardial infarction. The number of elderly patients (> 75 years) undergoing primary angioplasty is progressively increasing as population is ageing. The optimal antithrombotic therapy during the procedure (bivalirudin vs. unfractionated heparin with or without abciximab) is not defined for this important subgroup of patients.

**METHODS** Retrospective consecutive registry conducted in 31 centers of patients > 75 years with ST elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary angioplasty.

**RESULTS** A total of 3,126 pts have been included, 2,029 (64.9%) treated only with UFH, 750 (24%) with UFH+abciximab, 319 (10.2%) with bivalirudin only and 28 (0.9%) with bivalirudin+abciximab. Three groups were defined: BIV (319), UFH (2,029) and UFH-A (750) with mean ages 81.2±5, 81.6 ±4.7 and 79.6 ±4.3 years respectively (p<0.001).. Outcomes at 12 months were the following: survival free of cardiac death and MI was 85.6%, 80% and 83.4% (p=0.01) in BIV, UFH and UFH-A groups and TLR was 2.7%, 3% and 1.4% (p=0.1) respectively. Incidences of definite or probable stent thrombosis were 2.8%, 2.5% and 2.3% (p=0.2) and incidences of bleeding BARC > 2 were 0.8%, 1.3% and 1.5% (p=0.1) respectively.

**CONCLUSIONS** The most common antithrombotic treatment in primary angioplasty of the very elderly is based on UFH (89%) with the use of abciximab in a 24% of cases. The use of bivalirudin was associated with the lowest incidence of cardiac death and MI with no increase in stent thrombosis. The rate of severe bleeding (BARC >2) was comparable.

**CATEGORIES CORONARY:** Pharmacology/Pharmacotherapy

**KEYWORDS** Acute myocardial infarction, Antithrombotic therapy, Elderly

**TCT-209**

**Safety and efficacy of policosanol in patients with high on-treatment platelet reactivity after drug-eluting stent implantation**

Kai Xu,<sup>1</sup> Yi Li,<sup>1</sup> Yaling Han<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>General Hospital of Shenyang Military Region, Shenyang, China

**BACKGROUND** Some patients have high residual platelet reactivity on clopidogrel after coronary intervention and risk of thrombotic events is high. The aim of the study was to investigate safety and efficacy of policosanol in patients with high on-treatment platelet reactivity after drug-eluting stent implantation.