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#### Abstract

This paper gives a generating function for unlabelled graphs of order $n$. The coefficient of each monomial in this function shows the number of unlabelled graphs with given size and the number of odd vertices. Furthermore, the numerical examples are given for $1 \leqslant n \leqslant 9$.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider enumeration problems of finite undirected graphs without multiple edges or loops. In a graph, a vertex of even degree is called an even vertex and a vertex of odd degree is called an odd vertex. A graph whose vertices are all even is said to be even. We refer to a graph with order $n$ and size (the number of edges) $N$ as an ( $n, N$ )-graph. If an ( $n, N$ )-graph is rooted at a specified vertex of degree $d$, it is referred to as a rooted $(d)(n, N)$-graph. We shall first consider the enumeration of unlabelled even rooted $(d)(n, N)$-graphs and then from this enumeration we shall derive a formula for the number of unlabelled ( $n, N$ )-graphs with $d$ odd vertices.

Tazawa [5] got a generating function which tells us the number of graphs of order $n$ with $d$ odd vertices. This was derived from Theorem 2 ( $[1$, p. 858]). Read and Robinson [4] gave a generating function which tells us the number of labelled ( $n, N$ )graphs with $d$ odd vertices and, Tazawa and Shirakura [6] gave an alternative counting formula of finding the number. If we tried to resolve the problem treated in this paper, using a modified theorem obtained by adding any information on edges to Theorem 2 in [1], it seems to be very difficult. So we will resolve this problem along Liskovec method [3]. The last section shows the numerical examples for $1 \leqslant n \leqslant 9$.

[^0]
## 2. Main theorem

Let $p$ be a positive integer. Then a $p$-tuple of nonnegative integers, $(j)=$ $\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{p}\right)$, satisfying $\sum_{r=1}^{p} r_{r}=p$ is called a partition of $p$. A partition $(j)=\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{p}\right)$ of $p$ may sometimes be written as $(j)=\left(1^{j_{1}} 2^{j_{2}} \cdots p^{j_{p}}\right)$. In this paper the following notations are used: For a partition $(j)$ of $p$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|j| & =\sum_{r=1}^{p} r j_{r}(=p), \quad j!=\prod_{r=1}^{p} j_{r}!, \\
\pi(j) & =\prod_{r=1}^{p} r^{j_{r}}, \quad s(j)=\sum_{r=1}^{p} j_{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $(j)=\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{p}\right)$ and $(k)=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{q}\right)$ be partitions of $p$ and $q$, respectively, and consider the following three functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta^{+}((j) ; x)= & \prod_{1 \leqslant \ell<m \leqslant p}\left(1+x^{[\ell, m]}\right)^{(\ell, m) j / j_{m}} \\
& \times \prod_{\ell=1}^{p}\left(1+x^{\ell}\right)^{\left.\ell\left(j_{\ell}\left(j_{\ell}-1\right) / 2\right)+[\ell \ell-1) / 2\right] \ell \ell} \prod_{2 \mid \ell}\left(1+x^{\ell / 2}\right)^{j_{\ell}},  \tag{2.1}\\
\beta^{ \pm}((j),(k) ; x)= & \prod_{\ell=1}^{p} \prod_{m=1}^{q}\left(1+(-1)^{[\ell, m] / m} x^{[\ell, m]}\right)^{(\ell, m) j k_{m}},  \tag{2.2}\\
\beta^{-}((k) ; x)= & \prod_{1 \leqslant \ell<m \leqslant q}\left(1+(-1)^{(([\ell, m] \ell \ell)+[\ell, m] / m)} x^{[\ell, m]}\right)^{(\ell, m) k_{\ell} k_{m}} \\
& \times \prod_{m=1}^{q}\left(1+x^{m}\right)^{m\left(k_{m}\left(k_{m}-1\right) / 2\right)+[(m-1) / 2] k_{m}} \prod_{2 \mid m}\left(1-x^{m / 2}\right)^{k_{m}}, \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $[\ell, m]$ and $(\ell, m)$ denote the I.c.m. and g.c.d., respectively, and $[r]$ is the greatest integer not exceeding $r$. Furthermore, in the case of $p=0$ or $q=0$, the corresponding expressions are defined as 1 . Then we have:

Theorem 1. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then the generating function having the number of unlabelled (nonisomorphic) even rooted $(d)(n+1, N)$-graphs as the coefficient of $x^{N} y^{d}$ for a nonnegative even integer $d$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(n+1, x, y)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p+q=n} \sum_{\substack{(j) \\|j|=p}} \sum_{\substack{(k) \\|k|=q}} \frac{2^{-s(j)}}{j!\pi(j)} \frac{2^{-s(k)}}{k!\pi(k)} Q(L+R), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.Q=\beta^{+}((j)) ; x\right) \beta^{ \pm}((j),(k) ; x) \beta^{-}((k) ; x), \\
& L=\prod_{\ell=1}^{p}\left(1+(x y)^{\ell}\right)^{j_{\ell}} \prod_{m=1}^{q}\left(1-(x y)^{m}\right)^{k_{m}},  \tag{2.5}\\
& R=\prod_{\ell=1}^{p}\left(1+(-1)^{\ell}(x y)^{\ell}\right)^{j_{\ell}} \prod_{m=1}^{q}\left(1-(-1)^{m}(x y)^{m}\right)^{k_{m}} .
\end{align*}
$$

For example, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(4, x, y)=1+x^{3}+x^{3} y^{2}+x^{4} y^{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of this theorem will be given in the next section. Now, for a nonnegative even integer $d$ let $\mathcal{M}_{n, N}^{(d)}$ be the set of labelled ( $n, N$ )-graphs with $d$ odd vertices and let $\mathcal{L}_{n+1, N+d}^{(d)}$ be the set of labelled even rooted $(d)(n+1, N+d)$-graphs, where the root in $\mathcal{L}_{n+1, N+d}^{(d)}$ is $v_{0}$. We shall establish a $1-1$ correspondence between $\mathcal{M}_{n, N}^{(d)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{n+1, N+d}^{(d)}$. Consider any graph $G$ of $\mathcal{M}_{n, N}^{(d)}$. Next we add to $G$ a new vertex $v_{0}$. Finally, we construct a graph $G^{\prime}$ from $G$ and $v_{0}$ by specifying that $v_{0}$ is adjacent to each of odd vertices of $G$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is one which belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{n+1, N+d}^{(d)}$. It is easily seen that this correspondence is $1-1$ and that every labelled ( $n, N$ )-graphs with $d$ odd vertices can be obtained in this way from some graph in $\mathcal{L}_{n+1, N+d}^{(d)}$. If two labelled graphs of $\mathcal{M}_{n, N}^{(d)}$ are isomorphic, then the corresponding two labelled graphs of $\mathcal{L}_{n+1, N+d}^{(d)}$ are also isomorphic, and vice versa. Hence we have:

Theorem 2. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then the number $a_{n, N}^{(d)}$ of unlabelled ( $n, N$ )graphs with $d$ odd vertices is equal to the coefficient of $x^{N+d} y^{d}$ in the polynomial $E(n+1, x, y)$.

Let $N_{n}(x, y)$ be the polynomial having $a_{n, N}^{(d)}$ as the coefficient of $x^{N} y^{d}$. Then $N_{n}(x, y)$ is a generating function for prescribed unlabelled graphs, as seen in the just above theorem. If we consider $n=3$ as an example, the coefficient of a term $x^{4} y^{2}$ in (2.6) gives the number of (3,2)-graphs with 2 odd vertices. That is, the generating function $N_{3}(x, y)$ is $1+x^{3}+x y^{2}+x^{2} y^{2}$. Note that if we set $y=0$ in $N_{n}(x, y)$, then Theorem 2 is reduced to the result given by Liskovec [3].

## 3. The proof of Theorem 1

We take the group $A$ consisting of all permutations acting on a set $V=\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ which fix $v_{0}$. For $g \in A$ we denote by $e(g, N, d)$ the number of even rooted $(d)(n+1, N)$ graphs which are fixed by $g$, where $v_{0}$ is the root vertex. Then Burnside's Lemma shows
that the number, $E(n+1, N, d)$, of even rooted $(d)(n+1, N)$-graphs is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(n+1, N, d)=\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{g \in A} e(g, N, d) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we consider the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(g, x, y)=\sum_{d=0} \sum_{N=0} e(g, N, d) x^{N} y^{d} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for even rooted graphs of order $n+1$ which are fixed by $g, E(n+1, x, y)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(n+1, x, y)=\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{g \in A} e(g, x, y) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, our goal is to find a formula for $e(g, x, y)$.
As seen in [3], we consider a graph in which the number +1 or -1 is assigned to each vertex. We call such a graph a vertex-signed graph. Each vertex can be referred to as 'positive' or 'negative' depending on the sign assigned to the vertex. For $g \in A$ let $\Gamma$ be a vertex-signed graph fixed by $g$. Note that in $\Gamma$ the allocation of the numbers on the vertices is preserved under $g$. This implies that all vertices in each cycle of $g$ have the same sign.

Let $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ be cycles of $g$ and let $\Gamma_{c_{1}, c_{2}}$ be the maximal bipartite subgraph of $\Gamma$ such that its partite sets are $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. Then in $\Gamma_{c_{1}, c_{2}}$ we denote the degree of each vertex in $c_{1}$ and the degree of each vertex in $c_{2}$ by $d_{c_{2}}\left(c_{1}\right)$ and $d_{c_{1}}\left(c_{2}\right)$, respectively. We assign the number

$$
\varepsilon_{\Gamma}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\varepsilon\left(c_{1}\right)^{d_{c_{2}}\left(c_{1}\right)} \varepsilon\left(c_{2}\right)^{d_{c_{1}}\left(c_{2}\right)} & \text { for } c_{1} \neq c_{2}  \tag{3.4}\\ \varepsilon\left(c_{1}\right)^{d_{1}\left(c_{1}\right)} & \text { for } c_{1}=c_{2}\end{cases}
$$

to the edge-set of $\Gamma_{c_{1}, c_{2}}$, where $\varepsilon\left(c_{i}\right)$ is the sign of a vertex in $c_{i}(i=1,2)$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(\Gamma)=\prod_{\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}} \varepsilon_{\Gamma}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is called the sign of $\Gamma$, where the product $\Pi$ is over all unordered pairs of cycles $c_{1}, c_{2}$ in $g$ ( $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are not necessarily distinct). Then it is easily observed that the sign of $\Gamma$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(\Gamma)=\prod_{c_{i}} \varepsilon\left(c_{i}\right)^{d\left(c_{i}\right)} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d\left(c_{i}\right)$ is the degree of each vertex of $c_{i}$ in $\Gamma$, since $d\left(c_{i}\right)=\sum_{c_{j}} d_{c_{j}}\left(c_{i}\right)$. Liskovec [3] gave the following lemma.

## Lemma 3.

$$
\sum_{\left\{\varepsilon\left(c_{i}\right)= \pm 1\right\}} \varepsilon(\Gamma)= \begin{cases}2^{s(g)} & \text { if } \Gamma \text { is an even graph }  \tag{3.7}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where the summation is over all possible allocations of +1 or -1 on the vertices which are preserved by $g$.

Let $H(g, N, d)$ be the set of rooted $(d)(n+1, N)$-graphs which are fixed by $g$. It follows from Lemma 3 that the following lemma holds. This is also a slight modification of the corresponding result in [3].

## Lemma 4.

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(g, N, d)=2^{-s(g)} \sum_{\left\{\varepsilon\left(c_{i}\right)= \pm 1\right\}} \sum_{\Gamma \in H(g, N, d)} \varepsilon(\Gamma) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider a vertex-signed rooted $(d)(n+1, N)$-graph $\Gamma$ which is fixed by $g \in A$. Let $W$ be the set of positive vertices of $\Gamma$. Of course, $g(W)=W$. Put $W_{1}=W$ and $W_{2}=V-W$. We denote by $f_{i}=g \mid W_{i}$ the permutation on $W_{i}$ obtained by restricting $g$ to $W_{i}(i=1,2)$. Then with respect to the subgraphs $\Gamma\left[W_{i}\right]$ induced by $W_{i}, f_{i}\left(\Gamma\left[W_{i}\right]\right)=\Gamma\left[W_{i}\right]$ holds for $i=1,2$. Moreover, for the maximal bipartite subgraph $\Gamma_{W_{1}, W_{2}}$ of $\Gamma$ such that its partite sets are $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(\Gamma)=\varepsilon\left(\Gamma_{W_{1}, W_{2}}\right) \varepsilon\left(\Gamma\left[W_{2}\right]\right) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, summing $\varepsilon(\Gamma)$ over all $\Gamma$ 's in $H(g, N, d)$ with the positive vertex set $W$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\Gamma \in H(g, N, d)} \varepsilon(\Gamma)= & \sum_{\substack{K+L+M=N \\
a+b=d}} \gamma^{+}\left(f_{1}, K, a\right) \gamma_{1}^{ \pm}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, L, b\right) \gamma^{-}\left(f_{2}, M\right) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{K+L+M=N \\
a+b=d}} \gamma^{+}\left(f_{1}, K\right) \gamma_{2}^{ \pm}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, L, b\right) \gamma^{-}\left(f_{2}, M, a\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first sum of the right-hand side is for the case that the root vertex $v_{0}$ is in $W_{1}$ and the second one is for the case that it is in $W_{2}$, and where
$\gamma^{+}\left(f_{1}, K, a\right): \quad$ The number of rooted $(a)\left(\left|W_{1}\right|, K\right)$-graphs fixed by $f_{1}$. Here $\left|W_{1}\right|$ means the cardinality of $W_{1}$.
$\gamma^{+}\left(f_{1}, K\right)$ : $\quad$ The number of $\left(\left|W_{1}\right|, K\right)$-graphs fixed by $f_{1}$.
$\gamma_{i}^{ \pm}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, L, b\right)$ : The sum of signs of rooted (b) bipartite graphs fixed by $g$ in which the partite sets are $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ and in which the root vertex $v_{0}$ is in $W_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.
$\gamma^{-}\left(f_{2}, M, a\right): \quad$ The sum of signs of rooted $(a)\left(\left|W_{2}\right|, M\right)$-graphs fixed by $f_{2}$.
$\gamma^{-}\left(f_{2}, M\right): \quad$ The sum of signs of $\left(\left|W_{2}\right|, M\right)$-graphs fixed by $f_{2}$.

By applying (3.10) to Lemma 4, the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(g, N, d)=2^{-s(g)}\left(Q_{1}+Q_{2}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1}=\sum^{(1)} \sum_{\substack{K+L+M=N \\
a+b=d}} \gamma^{+}\left(f_{1}, K, a\right) \gamma_{1}^{ \pm}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, L, b\right) \gamma^{-}\left(f_{2}, M\right), \\
& Q_{2}=\sum^{(2)} \sum_{\substack{K+L+M=N \\
a+b=d}} \gamma^{+}\left(f_{1}, K\right) \gamma_{2}^{ \pm}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, L, b\right) \gamma^{-}\left(f_{2}, M, a\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

and the summations $\sum^{(1)}$ and $\sum^{(2)}$ are taken over all subsets $W$ (sets of positive vertices) of $V$ satisfying $g(W)=W$ provided that the former is for $v_{0} \in W$ and the latter is for $v_{0} \notin W$.

Now we introduce the generating functions $\gamma^{+}\left(f_{1}, x, y\right), \gamma^{+}\left(f_{1}, x\right), \gamma_{i}^{ \pm}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, x, y\right)$ $(i=1,2), \gamma^{-}\left(f_{2}, x, y\right)$ and $\gamma^{-}\left(f_{2}, x\right)$ for $\gamma^{+}\left(f_{1}, K, a\right), \gamma^{+}\left(f_{1}, K\right), \gamma_{i}^{ \pm}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, L, b\right)$, $\gamma^{-}\left(f_{2}, M, a\right)$ and $\gamma^{-}\left(f_{2}, M\right)$, respectively. Then $e(g, x, y)$ in (3.3) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
e(g, x, y)= & 2^{-s(g)}\left\{\sum^{(1)} \gamma^{+}\left(f_{1}, x, y\right) \gamma_{1}^{ \pm}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, x, y\right) \gamma^{-}\left(f_{2}, x\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum^{(2)} \gamma^{+}\left(f_{1}, x\right) \gamma_{2}^{ \pm}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, x, y\right) \gamma^{-}\left(f_{2}, x, y\right)\right\} . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ be cycles of $g$ whose lengths are $\ell$ and $m$, respectively. We consider the 2 -subsets which have one vertex in each of those cycles and we define

$$
K\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=\left\{\text { size of } \Gamma_{c_{1}, c_{2}} \mid \Gamma \text { is a graph fixed by } g\right\} .
$$

Then we have the following remark (see [2, p.116]).
Remark: (1) The case $c_{1} \neq c_{2}$. Then $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ induce $(\ell, m)$ cycles of length [ $\ell, m$ ] on those 2 -subsets. Thus $K\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=\{k[\ell, m] \mid k=0,1, \ldots,(\ell, m)\}$. Each vertex of $c_{1}$ is in $[\ell, m] / \ell$ of the 2 -subsets of one such cycle of length $[\ell, m]$. So if the size of $\Gamma_{c_{1}, c_{2}}$ is $k[\ell, m]$, clearly $d_{c_{2}}\left(c_{1}\right)=k[\ell, m] / \ell$ and $d_{c_{1}}\left(c_{2}\right)=k[\ell, m] / m$.
(2) The case $c_{1}=c_{2}$. Suppose $\ell$ is even. This cycle $c_{1}$ induces $(\ell-2) / 2$ cycles of length $\ell$ and one cycle of length $\ell / 2$ on those 2 -subsets. Thus $K\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=\{k \ell \mid k=$ $0,1, \ldots,(\ell-2) / 2\} \cup\{\ell / 2\}$. Each vertex of $c_{1}$ is in two of the 2 -subsets of one such cycle of length $\ell$ and also it is in exactly one of the 2 -subsets of the cycle of length $\ell / 2$. So $d_{c_{1}}\left(c_{1}\right)=2 k$ or $2 k+1$, depending on whether the size of $\Gamma_{c_{1}, c_{2}}$ is $k \ell$ or $k \ell+(\ell / 2)$. When $\ell$ is odd, the cycle $c_{1}$ induces $(\ell-1) / 2$ cycles of length $\ell$ on those 2 -subsets. Thus $K\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=\{k \ell \mid k=0,1, \ldots,(\ell-1) / 2\}$. Each vertex of $c_{1}$ is in two of the 2 -subsets of one such cycle of length $\ell$. So if the size of $\Gamma_{c_{1}, c_{2}}$ is $k \ell$, clearly $d_{c_{1}}\left(c_{1}\right)=2 k$.

Let us come back to (3.13). For example, let $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ be cycles of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, respectively, whose lengths are $\ell$ and $m$, where we assume that neither $c_{1}$ nor $c_{2}$ contain the root vertex $v_{0}$. Note here that each vertex of $c_{1}$ is positive while each
vertex of $c_{2}$ is negative. Then it is easy to see from remark that if there are $k[\ell, m]$ edges that join vertices of $c_{1}$ to vertices of $c_{2}$, there are $\binom{(\ell, m)}{k}$ different ways. Thus, it follows that

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{(\ell, m)}(+1)^{k[\ell, m] / \ell}(-1)^{k[\ell, m] / m}\binom{(\ell, m)}{k} x^{k[\ell, m]}=\left(1+(-1)^{[\ell, m] / m} x^{[\ell, m]}\right)^{(\ell, m)}
$$

contributes to $\gamma_{1}^{ \pm}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, x, y\right)$ and $\gamma_{2}^{ \pm}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, x, y\right)$, as seen in (3.5). Considering similarly the other cycles of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, we have

Lemma 5. Let $p$ and $q$ be the numbers of vertices in $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, respectively, excepting the root vertex $v_{0}$, and let $(j)=\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{p}\right)$ and $(k)=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{q}\right)$ be the cycle structures of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, respectively, where $j_{\ell}$ is the number of cycles of length $\ell$ in $f_{1}$ not containing $v_{0}$ and $k_{m}$ is the number of cycles of length $m$ in $f_{2}$ not containing $v_{0}$. Then the followings hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma^{+}\left(f_{1}, x, y\right) & =\beta^{+}((j), x) \prod_{\ell=1}^{p}\left(1+(x y)^{\ell}\right)^{j^{\prime}}, \\
\gamma_{1}^{ \pm}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, x, y\right) & =\beta^{ \pm}((j),(k), x) \prod_{m=1}^{q}\left(1-(x y)^{m}\right)^{k_{m}}, \\
\gamma^{+}\left(f_{1}, x\right) & =\beta^{+}((j), x), \\
\gamma^{-}\left(f_{2}, x\right) & =\beta^{-}((k), x), \\
\gamma_{2}^{ \pm}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, x, y\right) & =\beta^{ \pm}((j),(k), x) \prod_{\ell=1}^{p}\left(1+(-1)^{\ell}(x y)^{\ell}\right)^{j^{\prime}}, \\
\gamma^{-}\left(f_{2}, x, y\right) & =\beta^{-}((k), x) \prod_{m=1}^{q}\left(1-(-1)^{m}(x y)^{m}\right)^{k_{m}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying Lemma 5 to (3.13) it follows from (3.3) that Theorem 1 holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 1 .

## 4. Numerical examples

The numerical examples of $a_{n, N}^{(d)}$, the number of unlabelled ( $n, N$ )-graphs with $d$ odd vertices, will be shown in this section for $1 \leqslant n \leqslant 9$. Each of nine numerical tables corresponds to each $n=1,2, \ldots, 9$. The row headings of such a table are the sizes of graphs and the column headings are the numbers of odd vertices. The entry $a_{n, N}^{(d)}$ is made at the ( $N, d$ )th position of the table corresponding to the size $N$ and the number $d$ of odd vertices for each $n$.
$n=1$

|  | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 |

$n=2$

|  | 0 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 |  |
| 1 |  | 1 |

$n=3$

|  | 0 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 |  |
| 1 |  | 1 |
| 2 |  | 1 |
| 3 |  | 1 |

$n=4$

|  | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 |  |  |
| 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 5 |  | 1 |  |
| 6 |  |  | 1 |

$n=5$

|  | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 |  |  |
| 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| 6 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 8 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 9 |  | 1 |  |
| 10 | 1 |  |  |

$n=6$

|  | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| 6 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 1 |
| 7 | 2 | 11 | 9 | 2 |
| 8 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 2 |
| 9 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 3 |
| 10 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| 12 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 13 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 14 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 15 |  |  |  | 1 |

$$
n=7
$$

|  | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| 6 | 3 | 12 | 19 | 7 |
| 7 | 4 | 21 | 32 | 8 |
| 8 | 4 | 35 | 44 | 14 |
| 9 | 6 | 44 | 61 | 20 |
| 10 | 6 | 47 | 74 | 21 |
| 11 | 6 | 47 | 74 | 21 |
| 12 | 6 | 44 | 61 | 20 |
| 13 | 4 | 35 | 44 | 14 |
| 14 | 4 | 21 | 32 | 8 |
| 15 | 3 | 12 | 19 | 7 |
| 16 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| 17 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 18 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 19 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 20 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 21 | 1 |  |  |  |


| $n=8$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 1 |
| 6 | 3 | 12 | 24 | 14 | 3 |
| 7 | 4 | 27 | 51 | 29 | 4 |
| 8 | 7 | 52 | 105 | 52 | 5 |
| 9 | 9 | 102 | 187 | 96 | 8 |
| 10 | 16 | 156 | 328 | 148 | 15 |
| 11 | 18 | 234 | 480 | 232 | 16 |
| 12 | 25 | 299 | 660 | 303 | 25 |
| 13 | 24 | 365 | 774 | 368 | 26 |
| 14 | 29 | 376 | 836 | 376 | 29 |
| 15 | 26 | 368 | 774 | 365 | 24 |
| 16 | 25 | 303 | 660 | 299 | 25 |
| 17 | 16 | 232 | 480 | 234 | 18 |
| 18 | 15 | 148 | 328 | 156 | 16 |
| 19 | 8 | 96 | 187 | 102 | 9 |
| 20 | 5 | 52 | 105 | 52 | 7 |
| 21 | 4 | 29 | 51 | 27 | 4 |
| 22 | 3 | 14 | 24 | 12 | 3 |
| 23 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 1 |
| 24 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| 25 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 26 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 27 |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  | 1 |


| $n=9$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 2 |
| 6 | 3 | 12 | 24 | 18 | 6 |
| 7 | 4 | 27 | 58 | 45 | 14 |
| 8 | 7 | 60 | 140 | 113 | 25 |
| 9 | 13 | 130 | 329 | 253 | 46 |
| 10 | 21 | 272 | 729 | 521 | 94 |
| 11 | 36 | 533 | 1474 | 1038 | 171 |
| 12 | 58 | 969 | 2740 | 1937 | 291 |
| 13 | 83 | 1590 | 4697 | 3277 | 473 |
| 14 | 118 | 2398 | 7310 | 5074 | 715 |
| 15 | 156 | 3338 | 10296 | 7165 | 978 |
| 16 | 189 | 4250 | 13183 | 9141 | 1224 |
| 17 | 213 | 4913 | 15319 | 10558 | 1400 |
| 18 | 228 | 5150 | 16120 | 11076 | 1466 |
| 19 | 213 | 4913 | 15319 | 10558 | 1400 |
| 20 | 189 | 4250 | 13183 | 9141 | 1224 |
| 21 | 156 | 3338 | 10296 | 7165 | 978 |
| 22 | 118 | 2398 | 7310 | 5074 | 715 |
| 23 | 83 | 1590 | 4697 | 3277 | 473 |
| 24 | 58 | 969 | 2740 | 1937 | 291 |
| 25 | 36 | 533 | 1474 | 1038 | 171 |
| 26 | 21 | 272 | 729 | 521 | 94 |
| 27 | 13 | 130 | 329 | 253 | 46 |
| 28 | 7 | 60 | 140 | 113 | 25 |
| 29 | 4 | 27 | 58 | 45 | 14 |
| 30 | 3 | 12 | 24 | 18 | 6 |
| 31 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 2 |
| 32 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| 33 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| 34 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 35 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 36 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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