Voltage Sensors in Domains III and IV, but Not I and II, Are Immobilized by Na¹ **Channel Fast Inactivation**

Albert Cha,* Peter C. Ruben,† Alfred L. George, Jr.,‡ Esther Fujimoto,† and Francisco Bezanilla*§ *Departments of Physiology and Anesthesiology University of California †Department of Biology Seoh et al., 1996). Utah State University Fast inactivation of sodium currents is an important

Using site-directed fluorescent labeling, we examined
conformational changes in the S4 segment of each
conformational changes in the S4 segment of each
method the human skeletal muscle sodium channel demonited in the squar

molecular biology and the cloning of voltage-gated so-
dium channels, the molecular components of the so-
dium channel were elucidated (Noda et al., 1984). The
 α subunit of the voltage-gated sodium channel contains
four **a number of positively charged, highly conserved amino inactivation (Kontis and Goldin, 1997). acids in the fourth transmembrane segment, or S4 seg- It is clear that mutations in different domains have**

ucla.edu). is that the mutation may affect more than one function

1985). The S4 segment has been shown to be important in the voltage dependence of channel opening (Stühmer et al., 1989; Shao and Papazian, 1993; Perozo et al., 1994). Studies of charge per channel have demonstrated that several basic residues in the S4 segment play an School of Medicine important role as part of the voltage sensor for voltage-Los Angeles, California 90095 gated ion channels (Aggarwal and MacKinnon, 1996;

Logan, Utah 84322 mechanism for reducing the influx of sodium into the ‡Department of Nephrology cell during the generation of the action potential. The Vanderbilt University relationship between gating charge movement and fast School of Medicine inactivation was first described in the squid giant axon Nashville, Tennessee 37232 (Armstrong and Bezanilla, 1977). The sodium channel gating charge became immobilized at depolarizing potentials in a voltage- and time-dependent manner coinciding with fast inactivation, and the percentage of Summary charge immobilized by fast inactivation constituted ap-

dium channel in order to determine what roles specific Introduction domains may play in the structure and function of the channel. Mutations in all domains have effects on fast The currents that pass through voltage-gated sodium

channels play a critical role in the generation and propa-

gation of action potentials (Hodgkin and Huxley, 1952).

Subsequent measurements of the sodium channel gat-

differential effects on activation, deactivation, and inac**tivation. However, part of the problem in inferring func- §To whom correspondence should be addressed: (e-mail: fbezanil@ tional roles for specific domains from these mutations** **of the channel, either through interactions with other with longer pulses, and the slow component of the OFF domains or through functional coupling of different re- gating current predominates. This change is more apgions. For instance, mutations that affect activation may parent in pulses to 0 and 30 mV, in which gating charge also affect inactivation, because inactivation is coupled becomes immobilized after very short pulses. Thus, durto activation. This work addresses these concerns by ing deactivation, there is a fast component with a time using site-directed fluorescent labeling to examine do- constant of** z**100–200** m**s and a slower component with main-specific conformational changes in the human a time constant on the order of 1–2 ms, which becomes skeletal muscle sodium channel hSkM1. This approach the predominant component as fast inactivation develhas been successfully used to examine conformational ops. The ON charge is always equal to the OFF charge changes in specific regions of the** *Shaker* **potassium if both fast and slow components are integrated. This channel (Mannuzzu et al., 1996; Cha and Bezanilla, 1997, slow component reflects the return of gating charge 1998). Because this sodium channel does not contain that has been immobilized by fast inactivation, as first any extracellularly modifiable cysteines or intrinsic fluo- reported by Armstrong and Bezanilla (1977). We mearescence signals (see Experimental Procedures), we ex- sured the voltage dependence and kinetics of this gating amined conformational changes in the S4 segment of charge immobilization by integrating the two compoeach domain by substituting cysteine for residues in nents separately and plotting the percentage of total each domain's S4 segment, covalently attaching the charge contained in the slow charge component as a extrinsic fluorescent probe tetramethylrhodamine ma- function of pulse duration and potential (Figure 1D). These curves illustrate the time course and voltage de- leimide (TMRM) and examining fluorescence changes as a function of voltage. The kinetics of these fluorescence pendence of gating charge immobilization, which correchanges are highly specific for each domain and reveal lates with the voltage dependence and time course of** that the S4 segments in domains III and IV are coupled to **the maximum fraction of the maximum fraction** of the S4 segments in domains I
fast inactivation, whereas the S4 segments in domains I amplied by fast inactivation fast inactivation, whereas the S4 segments in domains I mobilized by fast inactivation is ~67%.
and IL are unaffected by fast inactivation, In addition **I**D determine which domains are involved in various **and II are unaffected by fast inactivation. In addition, To determine which domains are involved in various domains III and IV contain the gating charge that is aspects of fast inactivation, we turned to site-directed**

Results

age, or G_{peak}-V curve, the Q-V curve is shifted to more
hyperpolarized potentials. This indicates that there is a
substantial fraction of gating charge displacement that
of pulse potential (Figure 2C). This F-V curve is **substantial fraction of gating charge displacement that of pulse potential (Figure 2C). This F–V curve is hyperpo-**

charge movement, the gating currents during deactiva- hyperpolarized potentials than the bulk of the gating tion, or OFF gating currents, were measured as a func- charge. The fluorescence signals obtained from site tion of duration and pulse potential (Figure 1C). For a R219C were very similar to those seen at S216C (data pulse from 2**110 to** 2**20 mV (top traces), the peak OFF not shown). gating current initially increases with longer pulses, re- To examine the effects of fast inactivation on the S4 flecting the recruitment of additional gating charge segment in domain I, the characteristics of the fluoresmovement with time. In contrast, as fast inactivation cence signal were examined with depolarizing pulses develops later, the peak OFF gating current decreases of varying lengths to either 0 or 50 mV (Figures 2D and**

fluorescent labeling to examine the effects of fast inacti- immobilized by fast inactivation. vation on the fluorescence signals in each domain.

Fluorescence Signals in Domain I Show No

Gating Current Properties of the Wild-Type

and Mustant The Scrime at residue 216 (S216) and arginine at residue

To examine the properties of fast inactivation of hSkM1,

The serine at residue 216 (S216) and arginine at **precedes channel opening. larized in comparison to the Q–V curve, suggesting that To measure the effects of fast inactivation on gating this domain undergoes conformational changes at more**

Figure 1. Wild-Type hSkM1 Ionic and Gating Currents

(A) Ionic currents, obtained by subtraction (see Experimental Procedures) (top) and gating currents (bottom, obtained after TTX block) measured from hSkM1 with the cut open oocyte technique. The currents were measured from a holding potential of -90 mV, prepulsed to -130 mV **for 10 ms, and then depolarized to potentials from** 2**120 to 40 mV for 10 ms before returning to** 2**130 mV. The traces were obtained with P/-4 at a subtraction potential of** 2**130 mV (ionic) or with P/4 at a subtraction potential of 50 mV (gating). The external and internal solutions contained 120 mM NMG-Mes to minimize sodium currents for better voltage control. The ionic currents consist of outward potassium currents from the remaining potassium within the oocyte.**

(B) The voltage dependence of normalized gating charge displacement (Q) and normalized peak ionic currents (Gpeak) were measured as a function of pulse potential (V). The gating charge was calculated by integrating the gating charge for the traces in (A) and normalizing to the maximum charge displaced.

(C) The time course of immobilization of the OFF gating currents is apparent for pulses from 2**110 to** 2**20 mV (top traces), 0 mV (middle traces), or 30 mV (bottom traces) for durations of 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0, and 10.0 ms.**

(D) The percentage of total charge contained in the slow charge component was calculated as a function of pulse duration and potential (see Experimental Procedures). The percentage was determined for pulses from 2**110 to** 2**20 mV (closed circles), 0 mV (open, inverted triangles), 30 mV (closed squares), and 50 mV (open diamonds).**

2E). The ionic currents, obtained by subtracting gating 50 mV indicates that the fluorescence deactivation is currents after TTX block from the initial membrane cur- unaffected by the length of the pulse (Figures 2D and rents, are shown above the corresponding fluorescence 2E). Thus, fluorescence deactivation appears to be unafchanges, with dotted lines demarcating the end of the fected by the degree of fast inactivation, in comparison depolarizing pulses. By measuring fluorescence deacti- to the ionic currents shown above the fluorescence vation characteristics as a function of pulse duration, traces. This absence of modulation by pulse duration the effect of fast inactivation on conformational changes can be quantitated by measuring the delay in the onset in the domain I S4 segment alone can be carefully exam- of fluorescence change after the repolarization of memined. If the domain I S4 segment were immobilized by brane potential (Figure 3A). For domain I, the delay is inactivation, one would expect either a large change in longer than the settling time of the voltage clamp, which the time course of fluorescence deactivation, a large is typically \lt 100 μ s, but does not change as a function **change in the delay before the onset of fluorescence of pulse potential or duration. The fluorescence deactideactivation, or both. vation kinetics were also measured by fitting a single**

A visual inspection of the fluorescence kinetics during exponential to the fluorescence trace during repolarizadeactivation for different pulse durations to either 0 or tion (Figure 3B). These kinetics are subtly affected by

Figure 2. Fluorescence Characteristics of the Domain I S4 Segment

(A) Ionic currents, obtained by subtraction, taken from TMRM-labeled S216C hSkM1 channels from 2**130 mV to potentials ranging from** 2**120 to 50 mV for 5 ms. (Inset) Schematic which notes that the data in this figure were obtained from domain I.**

(B) Changes in fluorescence intensity measured for labeled S216C channels measured from 2**130 to** 2**100,** 2**80,** 2**60,** 2**40,** 2**20, 0, and 20 mV. The fluorescence traces were taken as averages of 60 sweeps.**

(C) The change in fluorescence intensity at the end of the 5 ms pulse (D**F) and normalized gating charge displacement (Q) were plotted as a function of pulse potential (V). The fluorescence change and gating charge displacement were normalized to their maximum values.**

(D) Ionic currents, obtained by subtraction (top), and fluorescence traces (bottom) measured for four different durations in a pulse from -130 to 50 mV for 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 ms. The dotted lines represent the end of the pulse for the different pulse durations. The fluorescence traces were taken as averages of 80–100 sweeps.

(E) Ionic currents, obtained by subtraction (top), and fluorescence traces (bottom) for pulses to 0 mV with a protocol otherwise identical to (D).

creases from z**150 to 250** m**s for a 10 ms depolarization. which are also fast and are mostly unaffected by fast However, this modulation is not consistent with immobi- inactivation, indicate that the S4 segment of domain I lized charge recovering from inactivation, which has a is not involved in recovery from fast inactivation.** $time course of > 1 ms$.

Another method for testing the effects of inactivation
on fluorescence utilizes a conditioning, depolarizing
prepulse that is used to modulate the fraction of the
channels in the fast inactivated state (Figure 3C). The
fra **was applied. With this protocol, there is a substantial domain I (Figure 4B). Again, there is no visible com**decrease in ionic current during the 50 mV test pulse ponent of fluorescence signal with a time course cor-
when the conditioning prepulse is applied, consistent responding to fast inactivation, which suggests that when the conditioning prepulse is applied, consistent **responding to fast inactivation, which suggests that**
with an increase in the fraction of inactivated channels. **Journal of the content of the set of the set of the s with an increase in the fraction of inactivated channels. domain II does not undergo conformational changes However, the fluorescence signal maintains the same directly associated with the fast inactivated state. The magnitude and kinetics during the pulse to 50 mV, with- voltage dependence of the F–V curve is also shifted out regard to the amount of channel inactivation. This to hyperpolarized potentials in comparison to the Q–V clearly demonstrates that channel inactivation does not curve, consistent with gating charge in domain II also** affect fluorescence changes in domain I and strongly **argues that the domain I S4 segment is not immobilized component of the gating charge. The fluorescence sigby fast inactivation. The fluorescence activation kinet- nals obtained from site R669C were very similar to those** ics, which are fast, suggest that domain I is not directly seen at site S666C (data not shown).

pulse duration and amplitude, as the time constant in- coupled to fast inactivation. The deactivation kinetics,

Figure 3. Fast Inactivation Characteristics of the Domain I S4 Segment

(Top) Schematic which notes that the data in this figure were obtained from domain I.

(A) Delay in fluorescence deactivation after the end of the depolarizing pulse as a function of pulse potential (0 mV, closed circles; 50 mV, open triangles) and duration. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean (SEM) $(n = 5)$.

(B) Kinetics of fluorescence deactivation as a function of pulse potential, with symbols identical to (A).

(C) Triple pulse protocol with varying conditioning prepulses to 0 mV from a holding potential of -90 mV, followed by a 1 ms repolar**ization to -90 mV and a test pulse to 50 mV. Membrane current traces (top, mostly ionic)** correspond to no prepulse $(D = 0 \text{ ms})$, a 2 ms prepulse $(D = 2 \text{ ms})$, or a 10 ms prepulse **(D** 5 **10 ms). The fluorescence traces (bottom)** correspond to a 10 ms prepulse $(D = 10 \text{ ms})$, a 2 ms prepulse ($D = 2$ ms), or no prepulse **(D** 5 **0 ms). The fluorescence traces were taken as averages of 100 sweeps.**

main II were examined with a variable length depolariz- that the domain II S4 segment is not immobilized by fast ing pulse to either 0 or 50 mV (Figures 4D and 4E). An inactivation. The fluorescence activation kinetics, which inspection of the fluorescence kinetics during deactiva- are monotonic and fast, suggest that domain II is not tion for different pulse durations indicates that the fluo- directly coupled to fast inactivation. The deactivation rescence deactivation is unaffected by the length of the kinetics, which are very fast and unaffected by fast inacpulse. Thus, as in domain I, fluorescence deactivation tivation, indicate that domain II is uninvolved in recovery appears to be unaffected by the degree of inactivation. from fast inactivation. This independence of deactivation kinetics from pulse duration was quantified by measuring both the delay Fluorescence Signals in Domain III Correlate before the onset of fluorescence change (Figure 5A) with Fast Inactivation and with and the fluorescence deactivation kinetics (Figure 5B). Charge Immobilization Neither the delay nor the deactivation kinetics were af- Domain III fluorescence signals were measured at site fected by pulse duration or potential, consistent with K1126C, which represents the first charge in the S4 **the domain II S4 segment moving independently of fast segment. The voltage-dependent fluorescence changes**

tion of the channels in the fast inactivated state before depolarizations, there is a second, slower fluorescence a test pulse, the ionic currents reveal a substantial in- component that is more clearly seen in the fluorescence crease in channel inactivation with a prepulse to 0 mV traces in Figure 6B or in the traces in Figure 6D. This (Figure 5C). However, the fluorescence signal maintains slow fluorescence component moves in the opposite the same magnitude and kinetics during the test pulse direction of fluorescence activation and is responsible to 50 mV, showing no effect from the extent of channel for the decrease in fluorescence change seen in the F–V inactivation. As in domain I, this clearly demonstrates curve (Figure 6C). This slow component can also be

The effects of inactivation on the S4 segment in do- fluorescence changes in domain II and strongly argues

inactivation. at site K1126C display slower kinetics than those seen Using a conditioning prepulse to place a variable frac- in domains I and II (Figure 6B). In addition, for large that the level of channel inactivation does not affect seen in the fluorescence deactivation for long pulses to

Figure 4. Fluorescence Characteristics of the Domain II S4 Segment

(A) Ionic currents, obtained by subtraction, taken from TMRM-labeled S666C hSkM1 channels from 2**130 mV to potentials ranging from** 2**120 to 50 mV for 5 ms. (Inset) Schematic which notes that the data in this figure were obtained from domain II.**

(B) Changes in fluorescence intensity measured for labeled S666C channels from 2**130 to** 2**100,** 2**80,** 2**60,** 2**40,** 2**20, 0, and 20 mV. The fluorescence traces were taken as averages of 100 sweeps.**

(C) The change in fluorescence intensity at the end of the 5 ms pulse (D**F) and gating charge displacement (Q) were plotted as a function of pulse potential (V).**

(D) Ionic currents, obtained by subtraction (top), and fluorescence traces (bottom) measured for four different durations in a pulse from -130 to 50 mV for 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 ms. The dotted lines represent the end of the pulse for the different pulse durations. The fluorescence traces were taken as averages of 100 sweeps.

(E) Ionic currents, obtained by subtraction (top), and fluorescence traces (bottom) for pulses to 0 mV with a protocol otherwise identical to (D).

undershoots the initial intensity level before returning to change associated with activation is seen only when no the initial state (Figure 6B and Figure 6D, inset). These prepulse is applied and essentially disappears when a fluorescence changes may reflect slow conformational 0 mV prepulse of either 2 or 10 ms is applied to the changes associated with the onset of and recovery from channels. This is clearly different from the results seen fast inactivation and are not seen in domains I or II. in domains I and II and argues that the domain III S4

main III were examined with a variable length depolariz- component of fluorescence kinetics during activation ing pulse to either 0 or 50 mV (Figures 6D and 6E). By and deactivation suggests that domain III also underexamining the fast component of fluorescence deactiva- goes conformational changes associated with fast inaction for different pulse durations to 50 mV, in contrast tivation. to domains I and II, the fluorescence deactivation is significantly affected by the length of the pulse. In partic- Fluorescence Changes in Domain IV Show ular, the delay before the onset of fluorescence deacti- Kinetics that Correlate with Fast vation becomes prolonged, with a time course and volt- Inactivation Kinetics age dependence similar to ionic inactivation (Figure 7A). Fluorescence signals were examined in domain IV at This result indicates that domain III is likely immobilized site R1448C, which represents the first charge in the by fast inactivation. In contrast, the fluorescence deacti- S4 segment. The fluorescence signals demonstrate two vation kinetics after this delay do not change with pulse components: a fast component, which is seen for depo**duration (Figure 7B). This implies that there is a deactiva- larizations of 1 ms or less, and a slow component, which tion step in domain III, whose rate is not dependent forms the predominant component of the fluorescence upon the level of fast inactivation, and this step occurs and is more readily visible during long depolarizations only after a delay that follows the time course of fast (Figure 8B). The fluorescence changes were examined inactivation. for a 40 ms pulse, during which the slow component is**

large depolarizations, whereby the fluorescence actually the conditioning prepulse (Figure 7C). The fluorescence The effects of inactivation on the S4 segment in do- segment is immobilized by fast inactivation. The slow

The fluorescence signal is also greatly affected by clearly visible, and the fluorescence kinetics are as slow

Using a variable duration depolarization and examin- immobilizes the S4 segment in domain IV. ing the kinetics of fluorescence deactivation, it is clear that for short depolarizations, the fluorescence deactivates relatively quickly but deactivates very slowly for Discussion longer depolarizations (Figures 8D and 8E). This implies that the conformational change in the domain IV S4 Gating currents from hSkM1 show the same general segment may contain two components: one associated features of the gating currents recorded from the squid with activation of the channel and another correspond-

axon sodium channel. There is a large fraction of the **ing to a transition into the immobilized, fast inactivated charge that moves in the negative region of membrane** state. The effect of inactivation on fluorescence deacti-

potential, where no conduction is observed, and it exhib**vation is clearly seen by plotting the time constant of its voltage- and time-dependent charge immobilization fluorescence return as a function of pulse potential and that follows the inactivation of the conductance (Figure duration (Figure 9A). The time constant becomes as slow 1). These observations appear to be generic to voltageas 8 ms for long depolarizations but is much faster for dependent sodium channels, which allows us to use short depolarizations, consistent with an effect that cor- them as the basis for correlating the function with the relates with fast inactivation. Although these fluores- structure of the channel. The labeling of specific sites cence time constants appear much slower than those of the channel with fluorescent probes has allowed us** seen for fast inactivation of the wild-type channel, the to go one step further in associating the different as**domain IV channel also inactivates three to five times pects of the channel function with specific structural more slowly than the wild-type channel (Table 1). domains.**

Figure 5. Fast Inactivation Characteristics of the Domain II S4 Segment

(Top) Schematic which notes that the data in this figure were obtained from domain II. (A) Delay in fluorescence deactivation after the end of the depolarizing pulse as a function of pulse potential (-30 mV, open circles; 0 mV, open triangles; 50 mV, closed squares) and duration. Error bars $=$ SEM (n $=$ 4).

(B) Kinetics of fluorescence deactivation as a function of pulse potential (0 mV, closed circles; 50 mV, open triangles). Error bars = $SEM (n = 4)$.

(C) Triple pulse protocol with varying conditioning prepulses to 0 mV from a holding potential of -90 mV, followed by a 1 ms repolar**ization to -90 mV and a test pulse to 50 mV. Membrane current traces (top, mostly ionic)** correspond to no prepulse $(D = 0 \text{ ms})$, a 2 ms prepulse $(D = 2 \text{ ms})$, or a 10 ms prepulse **(D** 5 **10 ms). The fluorescence traces (bottom)** correspond to a 10 ms prepulse $(D = 10 \text{ ms})$, a 2 ms prepulse $(D = 2 \text{ ms})$, or no prepulse **(D** 5 **0 ms). The fluorescence traces were taken as averages of 80 sweeps.**

or slower than the time course of fast inactivation (Fig- The fluorescence change in domain IV is also heavily ures 8A and 8B). In contrast to the other domains, the modulated by a conditioning prepulse that modulates F–V curve is shifted to more depolarized potentials than the level of inactivation. The fluorescence change in a the Q–V curve (Figure 8C). Although slower than fast test pulse to 50 mV is hardly visible when a prepulse to inactivation, this slow component of the fluorescence 50 mV is applied, in comparison to the fluorescence may represent conformational changes into and from change measured without prepulse (Figure 9B). This the fast inactivated state. experiment also strongly argues that fast inactivation

Figure 6. Fluorescence Characteristics of the Domain III S4 Segment

(A) Membrane currents (mostly ionic) taken from TMRM-labeled K1126C hSkM1 channels from 2**140 mV to potentials ranging from** 2**120 to 50 mV for 5 ms. The tail currents are primarily gating currents. (Inset) Schematic which notes that the data in this figure were obtained from domain III.**

(B) Changes in fluorescence intensity measured for labeled K1126C channels measured from 2**140 to** 2**80,** 2**60,** 2**40, 0, and 50 mV. The arrows designate pulses to 0 and 50 mV (trace with undershoot). The fluorescence traces were taken as averages of 80 sweeps.**

(C) The change in fluorescence intensity at the end of the 5 ms pulse (D**F) and gating charge displacement were plotted as a function of pulse potential (V).**

(D) Membrane currents (top, mostly ionic) and fluorescence traces (bottom) measured for five different durations in a pulse from 2**140 to 50 mV for 0.4, 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 ms. The dotted lines represent the end of the pulse for the different pulse durations. The fluorescence traces were** taken as averages of 100 sweeps. (Inset) Fluorescence changes following pulses from -140 to 50 mV for durations of 0.3 ms, 2 ms, and 5 **ms. The largest undershoot during repolarization is seen after the 5 ms pulse, with no undershoot seen during repolarization after the 0.3 ms pulse. Traces were filtered to 2 kHz.**

(E) Membrane currents (top, ionic and gating) and fluorescence traces (bottom) for pulses from -140 to 0 mV for 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 ms.

Site-directed fluorescent labeling provides a very sensi- tive to methanethiosulfonate- (MTS-) based molecules. tive measure of the kinetics and voltage dependence of Thus, the absence of a change in accessibility to MTSconformational changes at a specific site within a pro- based compounds does not rule out the possibility of tein. Determining the accessibility of a particular residue conformational changes at that site. In addition, the kito a thiol-reactive compound has also been used in netics of conformational change at these sites are diffithe past to measure conformational changes in specific cult to measure with accessibility studies because of regions of voltage-gated ion channels (Yang and Horn, the limited time resolution of applying thiol-reactive 1994; Larsson et al., 1996; Liu et al., 1996; Baker et al., compounds. For these reasons, using fluorescence to 1998). However, this technique has two limitations. First, follow the kinetics and voltage dependence of site-speit cannot be used to assay conformational changes at cific conformational changes has definite advantages.

Fluorescence Allows the Monitoring of Sites sites where there are no changes in accessibility, bein Specific Domains cause nearby protein or lipid interfaces are not restric-

Figure 7. Fast Inactivation Characteristics of the Domain III S4 Segment

(Top) Schematic which notes that the data in this figure were obtained from domain III. (A) Delay in fluorescence deactivation after the end of the depolarizing pulse as a function of pulse potential (0 mV, closed circles; 50 mV, open triangles) and duration. Error bars = **SEM** $(n = 3)$.

(B) Kinetics of fluorescence deactivation as a function of pulse potential with symbols identical to (A) (n = 4).

(C) Triple pulse protocol with varying conditioning prepulses to 0 mV from a holding potential of 2**90 mV, followed by a 1 ms repolarization to -90 mV and a test pulse to 50 mV. Membrane current traces (top, mostly ionic)** correspond to no prepulse $(D = 0 \text{ ms})$, a 2 ms prepulse (D = 2 ms), or a 10 ms prepulse **(D** 5 **10 ms). The fluorescence traces (bottom)** correspond to no prepulse $(D = 0 \text{ ms})$ or to **a 2 or 10 ms prepulse (D** 5 **2 or 10 ms). The fluorescence traces were taken as averages of 100 sweeps.**

fluorescent probe attached to the S4 segment may per- mV), it is clear that the fluorescence deactivation occurs turb the function that is under study. Although this is a quickly and without delay in domains III and IV; this likely concern that can be addressed by examining the char- reflects the normal deactivation of the S4 segments in acteristics of the ionic currents of these channels (Table domains III and IV. During longer depolarizations, the 1), we are comparing the ionic currents of the labeled, lengthening delay and slower kinetics most likely reflect mutated channels directly with fluorescence changes the immobilization of gating charge by fast inactivation from the same population of channels. As long as the in these domains. A more detailed account of activation channel still inactivates, we can still determine whether alone could be obtained by measuring the fluorescence fluorescence in that construct tracks the time course of from noninactivating mutants. inactivation. Only the domain IV mutant showed substantial kinetic differences from the wild-type channel, but the channel still undergoes fast inactivation, and the Comparisons of Fluorescence Results time course of this modified inactivation can be used to Previous Studies

from the inactivating sodium channel. It is reasonable involvement of the S4 segment in fast inactivation can be to assume that inactivation is affecting the observed determined. Although previous studies have addressed signals and that a noninactivating sodium channel may these roles by mutating residues in different domains, have a different fluorescence response. In fact, the sig- these mutations can have wide-ranging effects that can nals obtained from very short depolarizations mostly affect activation, fast inactivation, slow inactivation, and reflect the activation, with little contamination from the coupling between these functions. It is true that mutainactivation process. There is a striking contrast be- tions in domains I and II have been shown to have effects tween the deactivation process and the inactivation pro- on fast inactivation (Chen et al., 1996; Kontis and Goldin, cess, as seen from fluorescence signals in domains III 1997; Kontis et al., 1997). However, it is possible that

It can be argued that the cysteine mutation or the and IV. For very short pulses (500 μ s to 50 mV, 1 ms to 0

to interpret the time course of fluorescence changes. By examining changes in the intensity of tetramethylrho-In this study, we have measured fluorescence changes damine attached to specific sites, domain-specific

Figure 8. Fluorescence Characteristics of the Domain IV S4 Segment

(A) Membrane currents (mostly ionic) taken from TMRM-labeled R1448C hSkM1 channels from 2**130 to** 2**70,** 2**30, 0, 25, and 50 mV for 40 ms. (Inset) Schematic which notes that the data in this figure were obtained from domain IV.**

(B) Changes in fluorescence intensity measured for labeled R1448C channels with the protocol used in (A). The fluorescence traces were taken as averages of 100 sweeps.

(C) The change in fluorescence intensity at the end of the 5 ms pulse (D**F) and gating charge displacement (Q) were plotted as a function of pulse potential (V).**

(D) Membrane currents (top, ionic and gating) and fluorescence traces (bottom) measured for a 0.5 ms and a 5 ms pulse from 2**130 to 50 mV. The dotted lines represent the end of the pulse for the different pulse durations. The fluorescence traces were taken as averages of 500 sweeps.**

(E) Membrane currents (top, ionic and gating) and fluorescence traces (bottom) measured for a 1 ms and a 5 ms pulse from 2**130 to 0 mV. The fluorescence traces were taken as averages of 600 sweeps.**

mutations in domains that may have only one primary al., 1998). Our data are also consistent with work that function can also have effects on other related functions. found effects on steady state inactivation in domain III For instance, as activation and inactivation are coupled, (Chen et al., 1996) and interactions between the domain mutations that affect activation may also affect inactiva- III S4–S5 loop and the IFM motif (Smith and Goldin, tion. The most likely explanation for the differences be- 1997). The slow component of fluorescence activation tween our data and previous studies is that domains I kinetics indicates that domains III and IV undergo slow and II are primarily involved in activation, but mutations conformational changes that presage the fast inactiin these domains that affect activation also affect inacti- vated state. vation through coupling between activation and inactivation. Another explanation for the difference is that Developing a Kinetic Model for Fast Inactivation the domains of these channels gate cooperatively, and with Identified Domains mutations in one domain may affect the function of Figure 10 summarizes the kinetic steps of sodium channeighboring domains. Thus, mutations in domains I and nel activation and inactivation in reference to actions of II may indirectly affect the function of domains III and IV. specific domains, based on the results presented in this

measured with fluorescence is consistent with studies allow us to determine a specific sequence of activation that found that mutations in domain IV predominately during depolarization because of limited time resolution. affect fast inactivation and that the domain IV S4–S5 During activation, if there were a specific sequence that linker interacts with the isoleucine-phenylalanine-methi- the S4 segments of the different domains followed duronine (IFM) motif in the linker between domains III and ing depolarization, one would expect variable delays in

The involvement of domain IV with fast inactivation paper. It is important to note that our results do not IV (Chahine et al., 1994; Chen et al., 1996; McPhee et the fluorescence activation kinetics, with the longest

Figure 9. Fast Inactivation Characteristics of the Domain IV S4 Segment

(Top) Schematic which notes that the data in this figure were obtained from domain IV. (A) Kinetics of fluorescence deactivation after the end of the depolarizing pulse as a function of pulse potential (0 mV, closed circles; 50 mV, open triangles) and duration. Error bars = $SEM (n = 5)$.

(B) Triple pulse protocol with either a 10 ms conditioning prepulse to 50 mV from a holding potential of 2**90 mV or no prepulse, followed by a 1 ms repolarization to** 2**90 mV and a test pulse to 50 mV. Membrane current traces** (top) correspond to no prepulse $(D = 0 \text{ ms})$ or a 10 ms prepulse ($D = 10$ ms). The fluores**cence traces (bottom) correspond to no pre**pulse ($D = 0$ ms) or to a 10 ms prepulse ($D =$ **10 ms). The fluorescence traces were taken as averages of 500 sweeps.**

delay for the S4 segment that moves last. Therefore, the S4 segments in domains I and II to move. This is only the shaded path of the scheme presented in Figure represented in Figure 10 as a sequential set of transition indicating the deactivation sequence concomitant the open, fast inactivated state (upper right) to the most

shows that the fluorescence traces in domains I and deactivation kinetics (\sim **150–200 μs) and shortest delay II return to baseline quickly, and their time course is (**z**90–130** m**s; see Figure 5). The domain I S4 segment independent of the duration of the preceding depolariza- follows closely after domain II, with slightly slower deaction. After a long depolarization that establishes inacti- tivation kinetics (**z**150–250** m**s) and a slightly longer devation, it is unnecessary for the S4 segments in domains** lay than domain II (\sim 150 μ s; see Figure 3). **III and IV to return to a closed conformation in order for During recovery from inactivation, the S4 segments**

10 is supported by the fluorescence data, with the direc- tions describing the movement of the four domains from with the recovery from inactivation. **closed state (lower left).** In this sequence, the domain II **Following a depolarization, a sudden repolarization S4 segment likely moves first, since it shows the fastest**

The S4 segments are shown as circles that are open in the resting state and filled in the active state. Domains are labeled from I to IV clockwise, starting from the upper left quadrant of the channel. The inactivation domain is indicated as a small gray bar. Three basic sets of states are shown: upper row, inactivation domain attached to DIII–S4 and DIV–S4 (bar attached to both S4s); middle row, inactivation domain (gray bar) attached to only one of DIII–S4 or DIV–S4; lower row, the inactivation domain (gray bar) is not attached to either domain. The gray arrow indicates the pathway of deactivation and inactivation recovery as can be inferred from the fluorescence results. For details, see text.

first two domains. Thus, inactivation immobilizes the S4 data, we make the lower step (the release of the second segments of domains III and IV but to different extents. contact point of the inactivation domain) rate limiting. The fluorescence signal from domain III still shows rela- DIII–S4 may return to its closed position only after retively fast (~600–900 μ s) deactivation kinetics but shows leasing the inactivation domain, but this may occur ei**two extra features. There is a slow component (in the ther while the inactivation domain is still attached to opposite direction; see Figure 6) during and after the DIV–S4 (fast) or after it has detached from DIV–S4 (slow), pulse, and in addition, the deactivation shows a delay giving two components to the return of DIII–S4 fluoresthat grows with longer depolarizations (see Figures 6 cence signal. Notice that DIV–S4 will only return after and 7), indicating immobilization by the inactivation do- DIII–S4 has returned and will always be rate limited by main. In comparison, the S4 segment of domain IV has the last (slow) detachment of the inactivation domain, much slower kinetics (up to 8 ms), but fast inactivation giving origin to a slow fluorescence signal. The delay** in this construct is also three to five times slower than observed in the fluorescence signal of DIII–S4 is repre**the other domains (see Table 1). Because the signal to sented by the first detachment of the inactivation donoise in the fluorescence traces is poor in domain IV, it main (step between the upper and middle row of states was difficult to determine whether the delay seen during in Figure 10). This single step is expected to produce a**

of Figure 10. In this diagram, the inactivation domain could be achieved by assuming that the attachment of interacts with the S4 segments of domains III (DIII–S4) the inactivation domain occurs with multiple interaction and IV (DIV–S4) but not with the S4 segments of domains sites, giving origin to a high degree of cooperativity. In I and II. The interaction is represented by attaching the this view, the first detachment would occur only after inactivation domain to both DIII–S4 and DIV–S4 together all of these sites are released, introducing a delay before (upper row of states in Figure 10) or separately (middle the second step of inactivation recovery may proceed. row of states in Figure 10). This produces two steps in This delay probably also arises because of the difference

of domains III and IV move after the segments of the the recovery from inactivation, and to account for the deactivation in domain III was also seen in domain IV. delay that is too short compared with the experimental These observations are modeled in the gray pathway fluorescence trace. A better representation of this delay **in the return of inactivated as compared with noninacti- Experimental Procedures**

vated channels (see below).
The observed charge immobilization can also be visu-
alized in the scheme of Figure 10. After a pulse that has
alized in the scheme of Figure 10. After a pulse that has
1998). It consisted of an **produced inactivation, most channels would be in the ville, NY) and employed excitation filters, dichroic mirrors, and emisupper rightmost state. Repolarization of the membrane sion filters (Omega Optical, Brattleboro, VT and Chroma Technolowould produce charge movement of domains I and II in gies, Brattleboro, VT) appropriate for TMRM (Molecular Probes, very much the same way as the charge that comes back Eugene, OR). The microscope objective was a LumPlanFL 40X water** for a short pulse that did not produce inactivation; this
is the nonimmobilized gating charge. The charge of do-
mains III and IV will have to wait for the detachment of
 $\begin{array}{c}\n\text{and } \text{map} \\
\text{map} \\
\text{map} \\
\text{map} \\
\text{map} \\
\text{map} \\
\$ **the inactivation domain, and that constitutes the immo- CA), which was attached to the front end of an optical splitter at bilized charge that returns to its resting position with the the microscope's epifluorescence port. Voltage clamp of the oocyte time course of recovery from inactivation. The charge was performed with a CA-1 cut open oocyte clamp (Dagan, Minneof domain IV is completely immobilized by inactivation apolis, MN). The experimental external solution contained 120 mM** (lower row), while a fraction of the charge of domain III and the charge of state in the resper-
may return quickly (middle row) or slowly (lower row). The measured immobilization is about 66% of the THEPES, and 2 mM EGTA charge. It would tempting to correlate this quantity with
the number of basic residues in the S4 of the different Gating, jonic, and fluorescence **domains. However, as it has been shown that the total board (Innovative Technologies, Moorpark, CA), which interfaces charge movement depends on only some of the charges with a Pentium-based computer via an IBM-compatible AT slot. The**

pertain to the sequence of activation. There is no reason filtering the original data to the new Nyquist frequency. The acquisia priori to think that it must follow the same order of the tion program and data analysis programs were developed in house deactivation sequence in presence of inactivation. For
example, before inactivation settles, deactivation may
be initiated by DIII-S4 or DIV-S4 (instead of DII-S4 fol-
lowed by DI-S4), because they are not yet immobilized
 by the inactivation domain. This scenario would help during the depolarization.
By the inactivation domain. This scenario would help during the depolarization. **explain the delay of the fluorescence recovery of domain Subtracted ionic current traces (Figures 1A, 2A, 2D, 2E, 4A, 4D, III, because as the S4 segment would move immediately** and 4E) were obtained by subtracting gating currents obtained after
in noninactivated channels, the delay would be much TTX block from the previously recorded membran in noninactivated channels, the delay would be much
shorter for short duration pulses than for long duration
pulses, when the majority of channels are fast inacti-
pulses, when the majority of channels are fast inacti-
vat **II. Higher time resolution fluorescence experiments per- solution of 15 mM NaMes and an external solution of 1 mM NaMes formed at lower temperatures are expected to reveal (with N-methyl-glucamine (NMG) to replace the remaining cations).**

The model presented here is similar to many models of
inactivation proposed before (Armstrong and Bezanilla,
1977; Armstrong and Gilly, 1979; Horn and Vandenberg,
1984; Stimers et al., 1985; Patlak, 1991; Vandenberg
1984; **and Bezanilla, 1991; Kuo and Bean, 1994). There are The gating charge immobilization (Figure 1D) was computed by differences, such as the two step process for the remo- fitting a single exponential to the slow component of the OFF gating bilization of the charge, that explain the differences be- current, extrapolating the exponential to the beginning of deactiva**tween the fluorescence signals of DIII and DIV. Also,
the data of this paper do not support models in which
integrating the remaining fast component of gating current, sub-
integrating the remaining fast component from the **tion pathway (Stimers et al., 1985; Kuo and Bean, 1994). It is not yet possible to decide whether the immobilized Molecular Biology and Channel Expression S4 segments move back quickly after the inactiva- The hSkM1 cRNA was transcribed from a pSP64T vector in vitro tion particle has dissociated or slowly with the inacti- with SP6 polymerase (Ambion, Austin, TX) after linearization with** vation particle attached. However, regardless of the or-
der of particle dissociation, the most striking difference
from previous results is that instead of referring to a
generic percent of charge immobilization, we are **able to specify which domains are immobilized by inacti- SP64T cDNA. For S216C, a 1.4 kb SalI–SexAI fragment was pre-**

Gating, ionic, and fluorescence currents were acquired with a PC44 of the S4 segment (Aggarwal and MacKinnon, 1996;
Seoh et al., 1996), the correlation becomes questionable
until a measurement of charge per channel is done for
neutralizations of each of the different domains.
The details decimates the data to the required sampling period after digitally

the details of the activation and deactivation pathways. The reversal potential was determined from an instantaneous I–V

pared; for S666C, a 480 bp SexAI-FseI frament was prepared.

Clones were verified by sequencing. Fifty nanoliters cRNA con-

Cha, A., and Bezanilla, F. (1998). Structural implications of fluores**cence qual volumes of 1** μg/μl α subunit and 3 μg/μl β subunit cence quenching in the *Shaker* K⁺ channel. J. Gen. Physiol. 112, was injected into each Xenopus oocyte. Experiments were per-

391–408. was injected into each *Xenopus* oocyte. Experiments were per**formed from 2 to 7 days after injection, and the oocytes were incu- Chahine, M., George, A.L., Jr., Zhou, M., Ji, S., Sun, W., Barchi, R.L., 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgCl2, 5 mM HEPES, congenita uncouple inactivation from activation. Neuron** *12***, 10** μ M EDTA, and 100 μ M DTT. **281–294.**

on Channel Function channels. J. Gen. Physiol. *108***, 549–556.** The oocytes were incubated in a depolarizing solution containing

5 μM of the fluorescent probe tetramethylrhodamine-5-maleimide

(Mannuzzu et al., 1996) at 18°C for 40 min. Titration of oocyte labeling

inclicated that f **could be available extracellularly, it was first determined whether Hodgkin, A.L., and Huxley, A.F. (1952). A quantitative description of these cysteines would be labeled by an extrinsic fluorescent probe. membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation** To test this, wild-type hSkM1 was expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes, **and two groups of oocytes were stained: oocytes expressing the Horn, R., and Vandenberg, C. (1984). Statistical properties of single channel and uninjected oocytes. The fluorescence intensity of unin- sodium channels. J. Gen. Physiol.** *84***, 505–534. jected, stained oocytes was 994.7** 6 **56.8 (arbitrary units** 6 **SEM), Kontis, K.J., and Goldin, A.L. (1997). Sodium channel inactivation is oocytes was 958.8** \pm 87.5. Thus, there was no detectable increase Physiol. 710, 403–413.

in labeling due to expression of wild-type hSkM1. In addition, there *Propries K. L. Deurschi* in labeling due to expression of wild-type hSkM1. In addition, there

was no detectable voltage-dependent change of fluorescence in

fluorescently labeled oocytes expressing wild-type hSkM1 (data not

shown).

To examine t

were compared between the wild-type channel and each of the
different mutations after probe labeling. The saturation of labeling
experiments indicates that with our labeling protocol, the perturba-
recover from inactivatio **experiments indicates that with our labeling protocol, the perturba**tion of function by probe in our experiments is complete. The t_{1/2} to Larsson, H.P., Baker, O.S., Dhillon, D.S., and Isacoff, E.Y. (1996).
peak current and time constants of inactivation are shown in Table Transmembrane peak current and time constants of inactivation are shown in Table **1. Although the inactivation characteristics of the domain IV con-** *16***, 387–397. struct are notably different, the characteristics of the fluorescence Liu, Y., Jurman, M.E., and Yellen, G. (1996). Dynamic rearrangement**

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant tassium channel gating. Science *271***, 213–216.** GM-30376 and the Hagiwara Chair funds (F. B.), National Institutes
of Health grant NS-29204 and the Muscular Dystrophy Association
(P. R. and E. F.), and National Institutes of Health grant NS-32387
(A. G.). A. C. is suppo

segment to gating charge in the *Shaker* **K**¹ **Channel. Neuron** *16***, 2 S4 segment. J. Gen. Physiol.** *111***, 451–462.**

Armstrong, C.M., and Bezanilla, F. (1977). Inactivation of the sodium Noda, M., Ikeda, T., Kayano, T., Suzuki, H., Takeshima, H., Kurasaki, channel. II. Gating current experiments. J. Gen. Physiol. *70***, 567–590. M., Takahashi, H., and Numa, S. (1986). Existence of distinct sodium Armstrong, C.M., and Gilly, W.F. (1979). Fast and slow steps in the channel messenger RNAs in rat brain. Nature** *320***, 188–192. activation of sodium channels. J. Gen. Physiol.** *74***, 691–711. Patlak, J. (1991). Molecular kinetics of voltage-dependent Na**¹ **chan-**

Baker, O.S., Larsson, H.P., Mannuzzu, L.M., and Isacoff, E.Y. (1998). nels. Physiol. Rev. *71***, 1047–1080. Three transmembrane conformations and sequence-dependent dis- Perozo, E., Santacruz-Toloza, L., Stefani, E., Bezanilla, F., and Paplacement of the S4 domain in Shaker K**¹ **channel gating. Neuron pazian, D.M. (1994). S4 mutations alter gating currents of Shaker K** *20***, 1283–1294. channels. Biophys. J.** *66***, 345–354.**

Cha, A., and Bezanilla, F. (1997). Characterizing voltage-dependent Seoh, S.A., Sigg, D., Papazian, D.M., and Bezanilla, F. (1996). Voltconformational changes in the *Shaker* **K**¹ **channel with fluorescence. age-sensing residues in the S2 and S4 segments of the** *Shaker* **K**¹ **Neuron** *19***, 1127–1140. channel. Neuron** *16***, 1159–1167.**

and Horn, R. (1994). Sodium channel mutations in paramyotonia

Chen, L.Q., Santarelli, V., Horn, R., and Kallen, R.G. (1996). A unique Effects of Mutations and Probe Labeling
 role for the S4 segment of domain 4 in the inactivation of sodium
 role for Physiol 108 549-556
 role of Physiol 108 549-556

altered by substitution of voltage sensor positive charges. J. Gen.

of the outer mouth of a K⁺ channel during gating. Neuron 16, **859–867.**

Acknowledgments Mannuzzu, L.M., Moronne, M.M., and Isacoff, E.Y. (1996). Direct physical measure of conformational rearrangement underlying po-

(A. G.). A. C. is supported by the OCLA Medical Scientist Framing
Program (GM-08042) and a National Research Service Award from
the National Institute of Mental Health (MH-12087). We would like
to thank Dr. Ramon Latorre f

McPhee, J.C., Ragsdale, D.S., Scheuer, T., and Catterall, W.A. Received September 22, 1998; revised November 19, 1998. (1998). A critical role for the S4–S5 intracellular loop in domain IV of the sodium channel alpha-subunit in fast inactivation. J. Biol. References Chem. *273***, 1121–1129.**

Mitrovic, N., George, A.L., Jr., and Horn, R. (1998). Independent Aggarwal, S.K., and MacKinnon, R. (1996). Contribution of the S4 versus coupled inactivation in sodium channels. Role of the domain

1169–1177. Noda, M., Shimizu, S., Tanabe, T., Takai, T., Kayano, T., Ikeda, T., Armstrong, C.M., and Bezanilla, F. (1973). Currents related to move- Takahashi, T., Nakayama, H., Kanaoka, Y., Minamino, N., Kangawa, ment of the gating particles of sodium channels. Nature *242***, et al. (1984). Primary structure of** *Electrophorus electricus* **sodium 459–461. channel deduced from cDNA sequence. Nature** *312***, 121–127.**

Shao, X., and Papazian, D.M. (1993). S4 Mutations alter the singlechannel gating kinetics of *Shaker* **K**¹ **channels. Neuron** *11***, 343–352. Smith, M.R., and Goldin, A.L. (1997). Interaction between the sodium channel inactivation linker and domain III S4–S5. Biophys. J.** *73***, 1885–1895.**

Stefani, E., Toro, L., Perozo, E., and Bezanilla, F. (1994). Gating of Shaker K¹ **channels: I. Ionic and gating currents. Biophys. J.** *66***, 996–1010.**

Stimers, J.R., Bezanilla, F., and Taylor, R.E. (1985). Sodium channel activation in the squid giant axon. Steady state-properties. J. Gen. Physiol. *85***, 65–82.**

Stühmer, W., Conti, F., Suzuki, H., Wang, X.D., Noda, M., Yahagi, **N., Kubo, H., and Numa, S. (1989). Structural parts involved in activation and inactivation of the sodium channel. Nature** *339***, 597–603.**

Tempel, B.L., Papazian, D.M., Schwarz, T.L., Jan, Y.L., and Jan, L.Y. (1987). Sequence of a probable potassium channel component encoded at *Shaker* **locus of** *Drosophila***. Science** *237***, 770–775.**

Vandenberg, C.A., and Bezanilla, F. (1991). A sodium channel gating model based on single channel, macroscopic ionic, and gating currents in the squid giant axon. Biophys. J. *60***, 1511–1533.**

Vassilev, P.M., Scheuer, T., and Catterall, W.A. (1988). Identification of an intracellular peptide segment involved in sodium channel inactivation. Science *241***, 1658–1661.**

West, J.W., Patton, D.E., Scheuer, T., Wang, Y., Goldin, A.L., and Catterall, W.A. (1992). A cluster of hydrophobic amino acid residues required for fast Na(1**)-channel inactivation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA** *89***, 10910–10914.**

Yang, N., and Horn, R. (1995). Evidence for voltage-dependent S4 movement in sodium channels. Neuron *15***, 213–218.**