## Editorial Comment

## Exercise Testing After Myocardial Infarction: A Perspective\*

DAVID D. WATERS, MD, FACC

Montreal, Quebec, Canada

**Background.** In subjects without known coronary artery disease, an abnormal exercise test is an adverse prognostic indicator with respect to future coronary events (1,2). In patients with angiographically documented coronary disease, poor exercise tolerance and exercise-induced ST depression are associated with a poor prognosis (3,4). Exercise testing provides additional prognostic data even when the extent of coronary disease and left ventricular dysfunction are known (3,4).

Ten years ago in North America, exercise testing was considered to be contraindicated within 2 to 3 months after myocardial infarction (5). Studies since then have concluded that an exercise test done soon after myocardial infarction can reveal abnormalities associated with an increased subsequent risk: ST depression (6–8), poor exercise tolerance (9–11), an inappropriate blood pressure response (12,13), ventricular arrhythmias during exercise (10,12), exercise-induced left ventricular dysfunction (15). Controversy exists as to which of these predictors are best and to what extent they add prognostic information to clinical data already available (16).

The MILIS database. The study by Stone et al. (17) in this issue of the Journal provides new and interesting information relevant to this controversy. Two-thirds (473) of 719 survivors at 6 months after infarction underwent maximal exercise testing. Their mortality over the next 12 months was 3%, compared with 16% in patients not tested because of cardiac limitations. Clinical findings (angina and heart failure) predicted mortality only among patients who were not tested. Exercise-induced ST elevation, an inadequate blood pressure response, exercise-induced ventricular premature beats and poor exercise tolerance all presaged an increased mortality. How should these results influence our management of patients after myocardial infarction? Specifically, when should patients undergo exercise testing, and which patients should be tested?

The timing of the test. The survival curve after myocardial infarction is nonlinear. Gilpin et al. (18), in a study of three large patient populations from three countries, found that mortality from day 2 to day 365 after infarction could best be expressed by two exponential distributions with a change point at 21 days. The mortality rate was 11.4% from day 2 to day 21 and 10.5% from day 22 to day 365. The number of deaths between day 21 and day 100 was equal to the number thereafter. The outlook brightens after the first year: in our series (12), the mortality rate was 11% from hospital discharge to 1 year, but averaged only 3% a year during the next 4 years.

If the purpose of exercise testing after myocardial infarction is to identify high risk patients, these statistics indicate that the test should be done early, preferably before exposing the patient to the risk of out of hospital death. In the study of De Feyter et al. (9), 179 patients were assessed by exercise testing and coronary arteriography 6 to 8 weeks after infarction. During a mean follow-up period of 28 months, only 11 patients died (6.1%); however, 3 additional patients had died after hospital discharge but before the assessment at 6 to 8 weeks. As reported by Stone et al. (17), 15% of the MILIS patients died between study entry and 6 months, compared with only 3.7% between 6 and 18 months.

**Patient selection.** Identifying low risk patients after infarction is helpful because further investigation and unnecessary treatment can be avoided. An asymptomatic patient with a mortality risk of less than 2% from hospital discharge to 1 year is unlikely to benefit from either additional tests or medication.

Identifying high risk patients is important only if their prognosis can be improved by treatment. The factors predictive of an increased risk early after infarction are more likely to reflect myocardial ischemia and, later, left ventricular dysfunction (12). As noted by Stone et al. (17), the predictors derived from an exercise test at 6 months tend to be markers of left ventricular dysfunction.

Myocardial ischemia after infarction is associated with a high mortality (19,20); in contrast, patients with postinfarction angina who undergo early revascularization are reported to have a good prognosis (21,22). In selected patients with myocardial ischemia detected by exercise testing, revascularization probably improves survival (23), but this has not been proved. When the poor prognosis is a consequence of severe left ventricular damage, treatment to increase cardiac output or relieve congestive symptoms has not been shown to influence survival. In the subgroup with malignant ventricular arrhythmias, amiodarone or newer surgical approaches probably improve outcome (24).

The pretest risk for death during follow-up in the MILIS

<sup>\*</sup>Editorials published in *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of JACC or the American College of Cardiology.

From the Department of Medicine, Montreal Heart Institute and the University of Montreal Medical School, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

Address for reprints: David D. Waters, MD, Montreal Heart Institute, 5000 East Belanger Street, Montreal, Quebec H1T 1C8, Canada.

patients who had exercise tests was 3%. The posttest risk was 0.3% for the 354 patients (75% of those tested) with no or only one exercise test risk factor and was 17% for the 30 patients (6.3% of those tested) with three or four risk factors. Thus, exercise testing provides useful prognostic data even in a low risk population 6 months after infarction.

As shown by DeBusk et al. (25), testing low risk patients early after infarction, with ST depression as the criterion for a positive test, also provides useful prognostic data. Testing relatively high risk patients, such as those with previous angina, infarction or bypass surgery or those with angina in the hospital, appears justified in our experience because the test is safe and adds additional independent prognostic information (12).

The interventions tested in the MILIS study did not reduce infarct size, and now seem quaint and passé in an era of thrombolysis. Nevertheless, the MILIS patients continue to teach us, as shown by this study.

## References

- Giagnoni E, Secchi MB, Wu SC, et al. Prognostic value of exercise EKG testing in asymptomatic normotensive subjects. A prospective matched study. N Engl J Med 1983;309:1085-9.
- Bruce RA, Fisher LD, Hossack KF. Validation of exercise-enhanced risk assessment of coronary heart disease events: longitudinal changes in incidence in Seattle community practice. J Am Coll Cardiol 1985;5:875-81.
- 3. Weiner DA, Ryan TJ, McCabe CH, et al. Prognostic importance of a clinical profile and exercise test in medically treated patients with coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 1984;3:772-9.
- Kent KM, Rosing DR, Ewels CJ, Lipson L, Bonow R, Epstein SE. Prognosis of asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic patients with coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol 1982;49:1823–31.
- 5. Fortuin NJ, Weiss JL. Exercise stress testing. Circulation 1977; 56:699-712.
- Théroux P, Waters DD, Halphen C, Debaisieux JC, Mizgala HF. Prognostic value of exercise testing soon after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1979;301:341-5.
- 7. Davidson DM, DeBusk RF. Prognostic value of a single exercise test 3 weeks after uncomplicated myocardial infarction. Circulation 1980;61:236-42.
- Starling MR, Crawford MH, Kennedy GT, O'Rourke RA. Exercise testing early after myocardial infarction: predictive value for subsequent unstable angina and death. Am J Cardiol 1980;46:909–14.
- De Feyter PJ, van Eenige MJ, Dighton DH, Visser FC, de Jong J, Roos JP. Prognostic value of exercise testing, coronary angiography and left ventriculography 6–8 weeks after myocardial infarction. Circulation 1982;66:527–36.

- Weld FM, Chu KL, Bigger JT Jr, Rolnitzky LM. Risk stratification with low-level exercise testing 2 weeks after acute myocardial infarction. Circulation 1981;64:306–14.
- Williams WL, Nair RC, Higginson LAJ, Baird MJ, Allan K, Beanlands DS. Comparison of clinical and treadmill variables for the prediction of outcome after myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 1984;4:477-86.
- Waters DD, Bosch X, Bouchard A, et al. Comparison of clinical variables and variables derived from a limited predischarge exercise test as predictors of early and late mortality after myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 1985;5:1–8.
- Fioretti P, Brower RW, Simoons ML, et al. Prediction of mortality during the first year after acute myocardial infarction from clinical variables and stress test at hospital discharge. Am J Cardiol 1985;55:1313-8.
- Gibson RS, Watson DD, Craddock GB, et al. Prediction of cardiac events after uncomplicated myocardial infarction: a prospective study comparing predischarge exercise thallium-201 scintigraphy and coronary angiography. Circulation 1983;68:321–36.
- Corbett JR, Dehmer GJ, Lewis SE, et al. The prognostic value of submaximal exercise testing with radionuclide ventriculography before hospital discharge in patients with recent myocardial infarction. Circulation 1981;64:535-44.
- Madsen EB, Gilpin E, Ahnve S, Henning H, Ross J Jr. Prediction of functional capacity and use of exercise testing for predicting risk after acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 1985;56:839–45.
- Stone PH, Turi ZG, Muller JE, et al. Prognostic significance of the exercise treadmill test performance six months after myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 1986;8:1007–17.
- Gilpin EA, Koziol JA, Madsen EB, Henning H, Ross J Jr. Periods of differing mortality distribution during the first year after acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 1983;52:240–4.
- Shuster EH, Bulkley BH. Early post-infarction angina. Ischemia at a distance and ischemia in the infarct zone. N Engl J Med 1981; 305:1101-5.
- Théroux P, Bosch X, Pelletier GB, Waters DD, Kouz S, Roy D. Clinical importance of early ischemia after acute myocardial infarction (abstr). J Am Coll Cardiol 1986;7:66A.
- Williams DB, Ivey TD, Bailey WW, Irey SJ, Rideout JT, Stewart D. Postinfarction angina: results of early revascularization. J Am Coll Cardiol 1983;2:859–64.
- Singh AK, Rivera R, Cooper GN Jr, Karlson KE. Early myocardial revascularization for postinfarction angina: results and long-term follow-up. J Am Coll Cardiol 1985;6:1121–5.
- Akhras F, Upward J, Keates J, Jackson G. Early exercise testing and elective coronary artery bypass surgery after uncomplicated myocardial infarction. Effect on morbidity and mortality. Br Heart J 1984;52:413-7.
- Miller JM, Josephson ME. Malignant ventricular arrhythmias early after myocardial infarction: brighter prospects. J Am Coll Cardiol 1985;6:769-71.
- DeBusk RF, Kraemer HC, Nash E, Berger WE, Lew H. Stepwise risk stratification soon after acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 1983;52:1161-6.