



ELSEVIER

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

Journal of Functional Analysis 213 (2004) 176–205

---

**JOURNAL OF  
Functional  
Analysis**

---

<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jfa>

# The non-microstates free entropy dimension of DT-operators

Lars Aagaard

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55,  
DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark*

Received 4 June 2003; revised 11 November 2003; accepted 30 April 2004

Communicated by Don Voiculescu

---

## Abstract

Dykema and Haagerup introduced the class of DT-operators (Amer. J. Math. 126 (2004) 121–189) and also showed that every DT-operator generate  $L(\mathbf{F}_2)$  (J. Funct. Anal. 209 (2004) 332–366), the von Neumann algebra generated by the free group on two generators. In this paper, we prove that Voiculescu's non-microstates free entropy dimension is 2 for all DT-operators.

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Free probability; DT-operators; Free entropy dimension

---

## 1. Introduction

The class of DT-operators was introduced by Dykema and Haagerup [DH1]. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the space of  $n \times n$ -matrices with entries being random variables over a classical probability space having moments of all orders. Let  $\tau_n : \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the expectation of the normalized trace on  $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \tau_n)$  is a \*-non-commutative probability space.

Let  $\mu$  be a compactly supported Borel-measure on  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $c > 0$ . Let  $D_n \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be diagonal matrices with i.i.d diagonal entries all having distribution  $\mu$ . Let  $T_n \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be strictly upper triangular matrices such that the  $n(n-1)$  real and imaginary parts of the entries above the diagonal consists of a family of i.i.d.

---

*E-mail address:* [laa@imada.sdu.dk](mailto:laa@imada.sdu.dk).

centered Gaussian random variables with variance  $1/2n$ . Define  $Z_n = D_n + cT_n$ . Let  $Z$  be an element in a  $*$ -non-commutative probability space,  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ .  $Z$  is a  $\text{DT}(\mu, c)$ -element if its  $*$ -moments,  $\tau(Z^{\varepsilon_1} Z^{\varepsilon_2} \dots Z^{\varepsilon_k})$ , is determined by the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n(Z_n^{\varepsilon_1} Z_n^{\varepsilon_2} \dots Z_n^{\varepsilon_k}) \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k \in \{1, *\}$ . Limit (1.1) always exists [DH1, Theorem 2.1].

A  $\text{DT}(\mu, c)$ -operator is a  $\text{DT}(\mu, c)$ -element constructed in a  $W^*$ -probability space, and a  $\text{DT}$ -operator is a  $\text{DT}(\mu, c)$ -operator for some  $\mu$  and  $c$ . Haagerup and Dykema has shown [DH2] that actually every  $\text{DT}$ -operator generate a von Neumann algebra isomorphic to  $L(\mathbf{F}_2)$ , the von Neumann algebra generated by the free group on two generators.

Voiculescu has introduced two kinds of entropy; a microstates free entropy,  $\chi$ , and a non-microstates free entropy,  $\chi^*$ , and he conjectures that  $\chi = \chi^*$ . Following the definition of the microstates free entropy dimension,  $\delta$ , from  $\chi$  we define the non-microstates free entropy dimension,  $\delta^*$ , similarly from  $\chi^*$ . So if  $\chi = \chi^*$  then of course  $\delta = \delta^*$ .

Śniady has shown a formula [Śn] for the microstates free entropy of  $\text{DT}$ -operators. In particular, it follows from his results that a  $\text{DT}(\delta_0, 1)$ -operator or upper triangular operator which is also just the limit in  $*$ -moments of the  $T_n$ 's above, has microstates free entropy  $-\infty$ . This makes it an interesting problem to compute the free entropy dimension of this operator since  $-\infty$ -microstates entropy is the only chance that the microstates entropy dimension can be less than 2. We prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a compactly supported Borel measure on  $\mathbb{C}$ , let  $c > 0$  and let  $Z$  be a  $\text{DT}(\mu, c)$ -operator. Then*

$$\delta^*(Z) = 2. \quad (1.2)$$

We also consider  $\delta^*(\cdot, \mathcal{B})$ , the non-microstates free entropy dimension with respect to an algebra,  $\mathcal{B}$ , and we show that  $\delta^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B})$  of self-adjoint variables  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  can only be different from  $n$  if the non-microstates free Fischer information,  $\Phi^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B})$ , is  $+\infty$ .

## 2. Review on free Fischer information

The following review on free Fisher information can be read out of Nica et al. [NSS1, NSS2] and Speicher [Sp2]. Free Fischer information was originally introduced by Voiculescu [Voi1, Voi5] and further investigated in [Voi6].

In this section we will, unless otherwise stated, let  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  be a  $W^*$ -probability space with  $\phi$  a faithful, normal trace, let  $1 \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $W^*$ -sub-algebra. Also we let  $E_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a conditional expectation such that  $(\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}, E_{\mathcal{B}})$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -probability space compatible to  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  in the sense that  $\phi = \phi \circ E_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Let

$L^2(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  be the Hilbert space completion of  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to the norm  $\|a\|_\phi = \sqrt{\phi(a^*a)}$  for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{A}$  then  $L^2(\mathcal{X}, \phi)$  will denote the  $L^2$ -completion,  $\overline{\text{alg}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^*)}^{\|\cdot\|_\phi}$ , of the unital  $*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{X}$ .

We define a self-adjoint family,  $(a_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ , to be a family of operators such that for all  $i \in I$  there exists  $j \in I$  such that  $a_i^* = a_j$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  be a  $W^*$ -probability space with  $\phi$  a faithful trace. Let  $1 \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $W^*$ -sub-algebra, and let  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  be a self-adjoint family of random variables in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then a family of vectors  $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$  from  $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  fulfills the conjugate relations for  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  if

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi(\xi_i b_0 a_{i_1} b_1 a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_n} b_n) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^n \delta_{i, i_m} \phi(b_0 a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{m-1}} b_{m-1}) \phi(b_m a_{i_{m+1}} b_{m+1} \cdots a_{i_n} b_n) \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for every  $n \geq 0$ ,  $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $i, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in I$ .

A family of vectors  $(\xi_i)_{i \in I} \subset L^2(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  is said to be a conjugate system for a self-adjoint family of operators,  $(a_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ , with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  if it satisfies the conjugate relations (2.1) and if furthermore  $(\xi_i)_{i \in I} \subset L^2((a_i)_{i \in I} \cup \mathcal{B}, \phi)$ .

**Remark 2.2.** (a) The above definition is to be understood as  $\phi(\xi_i b) = 0$  for all  $i \in I$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is unital we thus have  $\phi(\xi_i) = 0$  for all  $i \in I$ .

(b) If a conjugate system  $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$  exists then it is unique since (2.1) is a prescription for taking inner products with monomials of the form  $b_0 a_{i_1} b_1 a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_n} b_n$  from  $L^2((a_i)_{i \in I} \cup \mathcal{B}, \phi)$ , so the inner product of an element from  $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$  with an arbitrary element from  $L^2((a_i)_{i \in I} \cup \mathcal{B}, \phi)$  is completely determined.

(c) If one can find  $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$  that fulfills the conjugate relations, (2.1), for a self-adjoint family,  $(a_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ , with respect to a unital sub-algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then if  $P: L^2(\mathcal{A}, \phi) \rightarrow L^2((a_i)_{i \in I} \cup \mathcal{B}, \phi)$  is the Hilbert space projection then  $(P\xi_i)_{i \in I}$  is a conjugate system for  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ .

(d) If  $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$  are all self-adjoint then Voiculescu originally denoted the conjugate variables of  $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  by

$$\mathcal{J}(a_i: \mathcal{B}[a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n])$$

for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  be a  $W^*$ -probability space with  $\phi$  a faithful trace. Let  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $W^*$ -sub-algebra, and let  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  be a self-adjoint family of random variables in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  has a conjugate system,  $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ , with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  then we

define the free Fischer information of  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  as

$$\Phi^*((a_i)_{i \in I} : \mathcal{B}) = \sum_{i \in I} \|\xi_i\|_\phi^2. \quad (2.2)$$

If no conjugate system exists for  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  we define  $\Phi^*((a_i)_{i \in I} : \mathcal{B}) = +\infty$ . If  $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}1$  then we define

$$\Phi^*((a_i)_{i \in I}) = \Phi^*((a_i)_{i \in I} : \mathbb{C}1),$$

and we call this the free Fischer information of  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ .

**Remark 2.4.** (a) If  $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$  satisfies the conjugate relations for a self-adjoint family  $(a_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{A}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  and if  $P : L^2(\mathcal{A}, \phi) \rightarrow L^2((a_i)_{i \in I} \cup \mathcal{B}, \phi)$  is the Hilbert space projection then by Remark 2.2 (c) we know that  $(P\xi_i)_{i \in I}$  is a conjugate system for  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  and since projections are norm decreasing we conclude that

$$\Phi^*((a_i)_{i \in I} : \mathcal{B}) \leq \sum_{i \in I} \|\xi_i\|_\phi^2. \quad (2.3)$$

(b) If  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  is a strictly positive scalar then one easily sees that if  $(a_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{A}$  is a self-adjoint family of random variables with conjugate system  $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$  then  $(\frac{1}{r}\xi_i)_{i \in I}$  is a conjugate system for  $(ra_i)_{i \in I}$  and thus

$$\Phi^*((ra_i)_{i \in I} : \mathcal{B}) = \frac{1}{r^2} \Phi^*((a_i)_{i \in I} : \mathcal{B}). \quad (2.4)$$

(c) The free Fischer information respects inclusion of sub-algebras in the following sense. If  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  is a  $W^*$ -probability space and  $1 \in \mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}_2$  are two unital  $W^*$ -sub-algebras then if  $(a_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{A}$  is a self-adjoint system then

$$\Phi^*((a_i)_{i \in I} : \mathcal{B}_1) \leq \Phi^*((a_i)_{i \in I} : \mathcal{B}_2) \quad (2.5)$$

because if a conjugate system for  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  exists with respect to  $\mathcal{B}_2$  then this conjugate system will also satisfy the conjugate relations for  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}_1$ , and hence (2.5) follows from (2.3) in (a).

The following theorem is a special case of Nica et al. [NSS2, Theorem 4.1]. Concerning cumulants we adopt the tensor-product notation of Speicher [Sp1].

**Theorem 2.5** (Nica et al. [NSS2, Theorem 4.1]). *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  be a  $W^*$ -probability space where  $\phi$  is a faithful, normal trace and let  $(\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}, E_{\mathcal{B}})$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -probability space compatible to  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$ . Let  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  be a self-adjoint family of random variables in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$  satisfies the conjugate relations for  $(a_i)_{i \in I}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  if*

and only if

$$\kappa_{n+1}^{\mathcal{B}} \left( \xi_i \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} b_0 a_{i_1} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} b_1 a_{i_2} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} b_{n-1} a_{i_n} \right) = \begin{cases} \delta_{i_1} \phi(b_0) 1 & \text{for } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } n \neq 1 \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

for all  $b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $i, i_1, \dots, i_n \in I$ .

**Remark 2.6.** Consider a non-self-adjoint random variable  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then a conjugate system for  $(a, a^*)$  must have form  $(\xi, \xi^*)$  because of the tracial properties of  $\phi$  and the conjugate relations (2.1). From Theorem 2.5 it is easy to see that  $\left(\frac{\xi + \xi^*}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{\xi - \xi^*}{i\sqrt{2}}\right)$  is a conjugate system for  $\left(\frac{a + a^*}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{a - a^*}{i\sqrt{2}}\right)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  so we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^*(a, a^*) &= \|\xi\|_{\phi}^2 + \|\xi^*\|_{\phi}^2 = \phi(\xi^* \xi) + \phi(\xi \xi^*) \\ &= \phi\left(\frac{\xi^2 + (\xi^*)^2 + \xi^* \xi + \xi \xi^*}{2}\right) + \phi\left(-\frac{\xi^2 + (\xi^*)^2 - \xi^* \xi - \xi \xi^*}{2}\right) \\ &= \left\| \frac{\xi + \xi^*}{\sqrt{2}} \right\|_{\phi}^2 + \left\| -\frac{\xi - \xi^*}{i\sqrt{2}} \right\|_{\phi}^2 = \Phi^*\left(\frac{a + a^*}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{a - a^*}{i\sqrt{2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (2.4) of Remark 2.4 we have

$$2\Phi^*(a, a^*) = \Phi^*(\Re a, \Im a).$$

### 3. Non-microstates free entropy dimension

The non-microstates free entropy for several self-adjoint random variables was originally defined by Voiculescu.

**Definition 3.1** (Voiculescu [Voi5, Definition 7.1]). Let  $(a_i)_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a collection of self-adjoint random variables in a  $W^*$ -probability space  $(A, \phi)$  where  $\phi$  is a faithful normal tracial state, and let  $1 \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $W^*$ -sub-algebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The non-microstates free entropy of  $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  is then defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{n}{1+t} - \Phi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n) dt + \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi e), \quad (3.1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  are standard semicircular elements such that  $\{S_1\}, \dots, \{S_n\}$  and  $\{\text{alg}((a_i)_{i=1}^n \cup \mathcal{B})\}$  are free sets.

The following property of  $\chi^*$  is shown by Voiculescu [Voi5].

**Proposition 3.2** (Voiculescu [Voi5, Proposition 7.2]). *Let  $(a_i)_{i=1}^n, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, (S_i)_{i=1}^n$  and  $\phi$  be as in Definition 3.1. Let  $C^2 = \phi(a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2)$ . Then*

$$\chi^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) \leq \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi en^{-1} C^2). \tag{3.2}$$

Voiculescu [Voi2] defined the microstates free entropy dimension. We consider the non-microstates free analog.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $(a_i)_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a collection of self-adjoint random variables in a  $W^*$ -probability space  $(A, \phi)$  where  $\phi$  is a faithful normal tracial state, and let  $1 \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $W^*$ -sub-algebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The non-microstates free entropy dimension of  $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  is defined by

$$\delta^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) = n + \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\chi^*(a_1 + \varepsilon S_1, \dots, a_n + \varepsilon S_n : \mathcal{B})}{|\log \varepsilon|}, \tag{3.3}$$

where  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  are standard semicircular variables such that  $\{S_1\}, \dots, \{S_n\}$  and  $\{(a_i)_{i=1}^n \cup \mathcal{B}\}$  are  $\phi$ -free.

An easy upper bound of the non-microstates free entropy dimension follows from Proposition 3.2.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $(a_i)_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a collection of not all zero self-adjoint random variables in a  $W^*$ -probability space  $(A, \phi)$  where  $\phi$  is a faithful normal tracial state, and let  $1 \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $W^*$ -sub-algebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $(S_i)_{i=1}^n$  be a standard semicircular family  $\phi$ -free from  $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$ . Then*

$$\delta^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) \leq n. \tag{3.4}$$

**Proof.** Let  $C^2 = \phi(a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2) > 0$ . Then

$$\phi((a_1 + \varepsilon S_1)^2 + \dots + (a_n + \varepsilon S_n)^2) = C^2 + n\varepsilon^2$$

so we infer from (3.2) of Proposition 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) &= n + \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\chi^*(a_1 + \varepsilon S_1, \dots, a_n + \varepsilon S_n : \mathcal{B})}{|\log \varepsilon|} \\ &\leq n + \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi en^{-1} (C^2 + \varepsilon^2 n))}{|\log \varepsilon|} \\ &= n \end{aligned}$$

since  $C^2$  is strictly positive.  $\square$

A lower bound of the non-microstates free entropy dimension can be estimated from the non-microstates free Fischer information in the following sense.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $(a_i)_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a collection of self-adjoint random variables in a  $W^*$ -probability space  $(A, \phi)$  where  $\phi$  is a faithful normal tracial state, and let  $1 \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $W^*$ -sub-algebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The non-microstates free entropy dimension of  $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  is bounded from below by*

$$\delta^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) \geq n - \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (t\Phi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B})), \quad (3.5)$$

where  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  is a family of standard semicircular random variables such that  $\{S_1\}, \dots, \{S_n\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{B} \cup (a_i)_{i=1}^n\}$  are  $\phi$ -free. If the  $\limsup$  on the right-hand side of (3.5) is convergent then inequality in (3.5) becomes equality.

**Proof.** We only show the inequality of (3.5). The last statement about equality follows by trivial modifications of the argument. Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \phi, (a_i)_{i=1}^n$  and  $(S_i)_{i=1}^n$  be as in the proposition. Define

$$a := \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (t\Phi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B}))$$

and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists an interval  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\Phi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B}) \leq \frac{a + \varepsilon}{t}$$

for all  $0 < t < \delta$ .

Using Definition 3.1 carefully implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{\delta}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{\delta}S_n : \mathcal{B}) - \chi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_t^\delta \frac{n}{1+s-t} - \Phi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{s}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{s}S_n : \mathcal{B}) \, ds \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_\delta^\infty \frac{n}{1+s-\delta} - \frac{n}{1+s-t} \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_t^\delta \Phi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{s}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{s}S_n : \mathcal{B}) \, ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_t^\delta \frac{a + \varepsilon}{s} \, ds = \frac{a + \varepsilon}{2} \log\left(\frac{\delta}{t}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

for all  $0 < t < \min\{\delta, 1\}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B}) \\ & \geq \chi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{\delta}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{\delta}S_n : \mathcal{B}) - \frac{a + \varepsilon}{2} \log \delta - \frac{a + \varepsilon}{2} |\log t|. \end{aligned}$$

From this we deduce that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\chi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B})}{|\log t|} \geq -\frac{a + \varepsilon}{2}$$

and since  $\varepsilon$  was chosen arbitrarily comparing to (3.3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) &= n + 2 \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\chi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B})}{|\log t|} \\ &\geq n + 2 \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\chi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B})}{|\log t|} \\ &\geq n - a \\ &= n - \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (t\Phi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B})). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We remark that it might be tempting to adopt the right-hand side of (3.5) as the definition of the non-microstates free entropy dimension with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ . Voiculescu has shown the following Free Stam inequality.

**Proposition 3.6** (Voiculescu [Voi5, Proposition 6.5]). *Let  $(a_i^1)_{i=1}^n, (a_i^2)_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a collection of self-adjoint random variables in a  $W^*$ -probability space  $(A, \phi)$  where  $\phi$  is a faithful normal tracial state, and let  $1 \in \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2 \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $W^*$ -sub-algebras of  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\text{alg}((a_i^1)_{i=1}^n, \mathcal{B}_1)$  and  $\text{alg}((a_i^2)_{i=1}^n, \mathcal{B}_2)$  are  $\phi$ -free then*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^*(a_1^1 + a_1^2, \dots, a_n^1 + a_n^2 : W^*(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2))^{-1} \\ \geq \Phi^*(a_1^1, \dots, a_n^1 : \mathcal{B}_1)^{-1} + \Phi^*(a_1^2, \dots, a_n^2 : \mathcal{B}_2)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Combining the lower bound of  $\delta^*$  from Propositions 3.4–3.6 we have the following result which limits the free entropy dimension problem to the case of infinite free Fischer information.

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $(a_i)_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a collection of self-adjoint random variables in a  $W^*$ -probability space  $(A, \phi)$  where  $\phi$  is a faithful normal tracial state, and let  $1 \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $W^*$ -sub-algebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then*

$$\Phi^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) < \infty \Rightarrow \delta^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) = n. \tag{3.8}$$

**Proof.** Apply the Free Stam inequality (3.7) on the algebras  $\text{alg}((a_i)_{i=1}^n, \mathcal{B})$  and  $\text{alg}((S_i)_{i=1}^n, \mathbb{C})$  and observe that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t\Phi^*(a_1 + \sqrt{t}S_1, \dots, a_n + \sqrt{t}S_n : \mathcal{B}) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{nt}{\alpha + t} = 0,$$

when  $\alpha = \Phi^*(a_1, \dots, a_n : \mathcal{B}) < \infty$ .  $\square$



#### 4. $\chi^*$ and $\delta^*$ for a non-self-adjoint random variable

We translate the results from Section 3 into the context of one non-self-adjoint variable by considering real and imaginary parts. Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  be  $W^*$ -probability space with a normal faithful tracial state, and let  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  be non-self-adjoint random variable. For the microstates free entropy we have  $\chi(a) = \chi(\Re a, \Im a)$  [HP, Proposition 6.5.5] so it seems natural to define

$$\chi^*(a : \mathcal{B}) := \chi^*(\Re a, \Im a : \mathcal{B}) \tag{4.1}$$

and thus also

$$\delta^*(a : \mathcal{B}) := \delta^*(\Re a, \Im a : \mathcal{B}). \tag{4.2}$$

Using Proposition 3.5, we have the following corollary on non-microstates free entropy dimension of a single non-self-adjoint variable.

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  be a non-self-adjoint family of random variables in a  $W^*$ -probability space  $(A, \phi)$  where  $\phi$  is a faithful normal tracial state, and let  $1 \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $W^*$ -sub-algebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then a lower bound of the non-microstates free entropy dimension of  $a$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  is*

$$\delta^*(a : \mathcal{B}) \geq 2 - \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (t\Phi^*(a + \sqrt{t}Y, (a + \sqrt{t}Y)^* : \mathcal{B})), \tag{4.3}$$

where  $Y$  is a standard circular random variable  $*$ -free from  $a$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . If the  $\limsup$  on the right-hand side of (4.3) is convergent then inequality in (4.3) becomes equality.

**Proof.** Just use (3.5) of Proposition 3.5 on the self-adjoint variables  $\Re a$  and  $\Im a$  and substitute  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  with a single circular random variable  $Y$   $*$ -free from  $a$  such that  $\frac{Y+Y^*}{\sqrt{2}} = S_1$  and  $\frac{Y-Y^*}{i\sqrt{2}} = S_2$ . Then (3.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^*(a : \mathcal{B}) &= \delta^*(\Re a, \Im a : \mathcal{B}) \\ &\geq 2 - \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (t\Phi^*(\Re(a + \sqrt{2t}Y), \Im(a + \sqrt{2t}Y) : \mathcal{B})) \end{aligned}$$

Now Remark 2.6 implies (4.3).  $\square$

#### 5. Properties of DT-operators

In this section, we first review some basic properties of DT-operators which can be read out of Dykema and Haagerup [DH1,DH2]. We then deduce some results relating several DT-operators and standard circular operators.

From now on, unless otherwise stated, we let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful, normal, tracial state,  $\tau$ , such that  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a  $W^*$ -probability space. We also assume that we are given an injective, unital, normal  $*$ -homomorphism  $\lambda : L^\infty [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ , such that  $\tau \circ \lambda(f) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt$  for  $f \in L^\infty [0, 1]$ . We let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the picture of  $L^\infty [0, 1]$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $E_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be the trace-preserving conditional expectation onto  $\mathcal{D}$ . We will identify  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $L^\infty [0, 1]$  and thus consider elements of  $\mathcal{D}$  as  $L^\infty$ -functions. Assume also that  $(X_i)_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}$  is a standard semicircular family of random variables  $\tau$ -free from  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then  $(W^*(\mathcal{D} \cup \{X_i\}))_{i=1}^\infty$  are  $\mathcal{D}$ -free with respect to  $E_{\mathcal{D}}$ . As in [DH1] (we also adopt the notation of Dykema and Haagerup [DH1]) we can now construct upper triangular operators,  $T_i = \mathcal{UT}(X_i, \lambda)$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $D_0 : x \mapsto x \in \mathcal{D}$  then  $T_i = \mathcal{UT}(X_i, \lambda)$  is the norm limit of

$$T_n^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^n 1_{\left[\frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right]} (D_0) X_i 1_{\left[\frac{j}{n}, 1\right]} (D_0) \tag{5.1}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  [DH1, Lemma 4.1]. It follows that  $T_i \in W^*(\mathcal{D} \cup \{X_i\})$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  so  $(T_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -free family.  $(T_i, T_i^*)$  is a centered  $\mathcal{D}$ -Gaussian pair for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  [DH2, Appendix A] and it follows that  $(T_i, T_i^*)_{i=1}^\infty$  is a centered  $\mathcal{D}$ -Gaussian set in the sense of Speicher [Sp1, Definition 4.2.3].

Define for  $f \in \mathcal{D}$

$$L^*(f) : x \mapsto \int_0^x f(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad L(f) : x \mapsto \int_x^1 f(t) dt. \tag{5.2}$$

From the appendix of [DH2] it follows that the covariances of  $T$  are given by

**Lemma 5.1** (Dykema and Haagerup [DH2, Appendix]). *Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then*

$$E_{\mathcal{D}}(T_i f T_i^*) = L(f) \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\mathcal{D}}(T_i^* f T_i) = L^*(f), \tag{5.3}$$

$$E_{\mathcal{D}}(T_i f T_i) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\mathcal{D}}(T_i^* f T_i^*) = 0 \tag{5.4}$$

and  $(T_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  is a  $\mathcal{D}$ - $*$ -free family with respect to  $E_{\mathcal{D}}$ .

A  $DT(\mu, c)$ -operator, as defined in the introduction, where  $\mu$  is a compactly supported Borel measure on  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $c > 0$ , can be realized in the  $W^*$ -probability space  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 5.2** (Dykema and Haagerup [DH1, Theorem 4.4]). *Let  $T_1 = \mathcal{UT}(X_1, \lambda)$  where  $X_1$  and  $\lambda$  is as above, and let  $c > 0$ . Let  $f \in L^\infty [0, 1]$  and define  $D = \lambda(f)$ . Then  $D + cT_1$  is a  $DT(\mu, c)$ -element, where  $\mu$  is the push-forward measure of the Lebesgue-measure by  $f$ .*

There is a certain freedom in choosing  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ .

**Lemma 5.3** (Dykema and Haagerup [DH1, Lemma 6.2]). *Let  $\mu$  be a compactly supported Borel measure on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then there is  $f \in L^\infty[0, 1]$  whose distribution is  $\mu$  and such that if  $D = \lambda(f)$  and if  $T_1 = \mathcal{UT}(X_1, \lambda)$  is as above, then for any  $c > 0$   $D$  itself lies in the  $W^*$ -algebra generated by  $D + cT_1$ .*

Dykema and Haagerup also showed that surprisingly we have.

**Theorem 5.4** (Dykema and Haagerup [DH2, Theorem 2.2]). *Let  $T_1 = \mathcal{UT}(X_1, \lambda)$  be as above. If  $D_0 : x \mapsto x \in \mathcal{D}$  is the identity then  $D_0 \in W^*(T_1)$ .*

Summarizing on Theorem 5.2, Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 5.4 then a  $DT(\mu, c)$ -element can be constructed as  $Z := D + cT_1$  where the distribution of  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  is  $\mu$ ,  $c > 0$  and  $T_1 = \mathcal{UT}(X_1, \lambda)$ .  $D$  can furthermore be chosen in such a way that  $D \in W^*(Z) = W^*(T_1) \cong L(\mathbf{F}_2)$ .

From [DH1] we know that  $T_i + T_i^* = X_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will need a relation between upper triangular operators and standard circular variables, and for this we will use the following theorem which is a special case of a theorem of Nica et al. [NSS3]. The theorem as it is stated here is from [ŚnSp, Theorem 6].

**Theorem 5.5** (Nica et al. [NSS3]). *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a probability space and  $(\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{M}, E_{\mathcal{D}})$  a  $\mathcal{D}$ -probability space compatible to  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and let  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are free in  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  iff for every  $n \geq 1$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$  there exists  $c_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}$  such that for every  $d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} \in \mathcal{D}$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_n^{\mathcal{D}} \left( x_1 d_1 \underset{\mathcal{D}}{\otimes} \cdots \underset{\mathcal{D}}{\otimes} x_{n-1} d_{n-1} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{\otimes} x_n \right) \\ = c_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \tau(d_1) \cdots \tau(d_{n-1}) 1. \end{aligned}$$

If the above holds, we have

$$c_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \kappa_n^{\mathbb{C}}(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $T_1 = \mathcal{UT}(X_1, \lambda)$  and  $T_2 = \mathcal{UT}(X_2, \lambda)$  be upper triangular operators, and let  $Y \in \mathcal{M}$  be a standard circular random variable  $*$ -free from  $T_1$  with respect to  $\tau$ . Then for  $a, b \geq 0$*

$$\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}Y \overset{*}{\underset{\text{dist.}}{\sim}} \sqrt{a+b}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_2^*. \tag{5.5}$$

In particular,  $T_1 + T_2^*$  is a circular element..

**Proof.** First, we prove that if  $T_3 = \mathcal{UT}(X_3, \lambda)$  is upper triangular then  $T_3 + T_2^*$  is a standard circular variable with respect to  $\tau$ . We know that  $T_3 + T_2^*$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -Gaussian

since  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  are  $\mathcal{D}$ -free and the  $\kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}$ -cumulants are given by

$$\kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}\left((T_3 + T_2^*) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} d(T_3 + T_2^*)^*\right) = L(d) + L^*(d) = \tau(d)1,$$

$$\kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}\left((T_3 + T_2^*)^* \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} d(T_3 + T_2^*)\right) = L^*(d) + L(d) = \tau(d)1,$$

$$\kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}\left((T_3 + T_2^*) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} d(T_3 + T_2^*)\right) = 0,$$

$$\kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}\left((T_3 + T_2^*)^* \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} d(T_3 + T_2^*)^*\right) = 0$$

for all  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ . We thus see from Theorem 5.5 that actually  $T_3 + T_2^*$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -Gaussian with  $\kappa_2^{\mathbb{C}}$ -cumulants given by

$$\kappa_2^{\mathbb{C}}(T_3 + T_2^*, (T_3 + T_2^*)^*) = \kappa_2^{\mathbb{C}}((T_2 + T_3^*)^*, T_2 + T_3^*) = 1,$$

$$\kappa_2^{\mathbb{C}}(T_3 + T_2^*, T_3 + T_2^*) = \kappa_2^{\mathbb{C}}((T_3 + T_2^*)^*, (T_3 + T_2^*)^*) = 0.$$

Hence  $T_3 + T_2^*$  is a standard circular random variable. Furthermore, Theorem 5.5 implies that  $T_3 + T_2^*$  is  $\tau$ -free from  $\mathcal{D}$ . Since also  $T_3 + T_2^*$  is  $\tau$ -free from  $X_1$  we conclude that  $T_3 + T_2^*$  is  $\tau$ -free from  $W^*(X_1, \mathcal{D})$  and, in particular,  $T_3 + T_2^*$  is  $\tau$ -free from  $T_1$ . Hence

$$\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}(T_3 + T_2^*) \overset{*}{\underset{\text{distr}}{\sim}} \sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}Y,$$

where  $Y$  is a standard circular random variable  $\tau$ -free from  $T_1$ .

Now, we just have to observe that  $\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3$  is also  $\mathcal{D}$ -Gaussian because of  $\mathcal{D}$ -freeness of  $T_1$  and  $T_3$ . Since

$$\kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}\left((\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} d(\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3)^*\right) = (a + b)L(d),$$

$$\kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}\left((\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3)^* \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} d(\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3)\right) = (a + b)L^*(d),$$

$$\kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}\left((\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} d(\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3)\right) = 0,$$

$$\kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}\left((\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3)^* \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} d(\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3)^*\right) = 0,$$

we conclude that  $\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_3 \overset{*}{\underset{\text{dist.}}{\sim}} \sqrt{a + b}T_1$ . Summarizing, we have showed that

$$\sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}Y \overset{*}{\underset{\text{dist.}}{\sim}} \sqrt{a + b}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_2^*$$

but this is exactly what the lemma states.  $\square$

The following two lemmas tells us that in the presence of the algebra  $\mathcal{D}$  we can “cut out” the lower (and upper) triangular part of certain products and linear combinations of DT-operators.

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $c > 0$ . Let  $T_1 = \mathcal{UT}(X_1, \lambda)$  and  $T_2 = \mathcal{UT}(X_2, \lambda)$  be upper triangular operators. Then*

$$[D + cT_1, T_2] \in \overline{W^*(T_1)([D + cT_1, T_2] + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])W^*(T_1)}^{\|\cdot\|_\tau}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $D_0 : x \rightarrow x$  be the identity-function in  $\mathcal{D}$ . By Theorem 5.4, we know that  $D_0 \in W^*(T_1)$ . Define the projections  $p_i^{(n)} := 1_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} i-1 & i \\ n & n \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(D_0)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . If we can show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^n p_i^{(n)}([D + cT_1, T_2] + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])p_j^{(n)} - [D + cT_1, T_2] \right\|_\tau = 0 \quad (5.6)$$

then the lemma follows immediately from the fact that  $D_0 \in W^*(T_1)$ . From the construction of  $T_1$  in (5.1) we know that

$$T_i = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} p_i^{(n)} T_i p_j^{(n)} \quad (5.7)$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ , since we can just replace  $T_i$  in (5.7) by  $T_n^{(i)}$  from (5.1) and take the norm limit. Thus also

$$T_1 T_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k \leq j \leq n} p_i^{(n)} T_1 p_k^{(n)} T_2 p_j^{(n)}$$

and since  $p_i^{(n)} T_1 p_k^{(n)} = 0$  for  $i > k$  and  $p_k^{(n)} T_2 p_j^{(n)} = 0$  for  $k > j$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 T_2 &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \\ 1 \leq k \leq n}} p_i^{(n)} T_1 p_k^{(n)} T_2 p_j^{(n)}. \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} p_i^{(n)} T_1 T_2 p_j^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $i \geq j$

$$p_i^{(n)} T_1 T_2 p_j^{(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^n p_i^{(n)} T_1 p_k^{(n)} T_2 p_j^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 0 & i > j, \\ p_i^{(n)} T_1 p_i^{(n)} T_2 p_i^{(n)} & i = j \end{cases}$$

because  $p_i^{(n)}T_1p_k^{(n)} = 0$  for  $i > k$  and  $p_k^{(n)}T_2p_j^{(n)} = 0$  for  $j < k$ . For  $i \neq j$  we have  $p_i^{(n)}Dp_j^{(n)} = 0$  since  $D$  commutes with the orthogonal projections  $p_i^{(n)}$  and  $p_j^{(n)}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} &(D + cT_1)T_2 - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i^{(n)}(D + cT_1)T_2p_j^{(n)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(n)}(D + cT_1)p_i^{(n)}T_2p_i^{(n)} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n p_i^{(n)}(D + cT_1)p_i^{(n)}p_j^{(n)}T_2p_j^{(n)} \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(n)}(D + cT_1)p_i^{(n)} \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n p_j^{(n)}T_2p_j^{(n)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Reversing the role of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  and subtracting we conclude that

$$[D + cT_1, T_2] - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i^{(n)}[D + cT_1, T_2]p_j^{(n)} = [D + cU^{(n)}, V^{(n)}], \tag{5.8}$$

where  $U^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(n)}T_1p_i^{(n)}$  and  $V^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j^{(n)}T_2p_j^{(n)}$ . But  $\|U^{(n)}\| = \|V^{(n)}\| = \sqrt{\frac{c}{n}}$  because  $\|T_1\| = \|T_2\| = \sqrt{c}$  [DH1, Corollary 8.11], so

$$\|[U^{(n)}, V^{(n)}]\|_\tau \leq \|[U^{(n)}, V^{(n)}]\| \leq 2 \left( \|D\| + \frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{n}}. \tag{5.9}$$

For  $i < j$ , we have

$$p_i^{(n)}(D + cT_1)^*T_2^*p_j^{(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^n p_i^{(n)}(D + cT_1)^*p_k^{(n)}T_2^*p_j^{(n)} = 0$$

because  $D$  commute with the involved projections and since  $p_i^{(n)}T_1^*p_k^{(n)} = 0$  for  $i < k$  and  $p_k^{(n)}T_1^*p_j^{(n)} = 0$  for  $k < j$ . Reversing the role of  $(D + cT_1)^*$  and  $T_2^*$ , summing and subtracting we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i^{(n)}[(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*]p_j^{(n)} = 0. \tag{5.10}$$

Hence (5.6) follows from combining (5.8)–(5.10).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.8.** *Let  $T_1 = \mathcal{UT}(X_1, \lambda)$  and  $T_2 = \mathcal{UT}(X_2, \lambda)$  be upper triangular operators as in the beginning of the section. Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  and let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$  be non-zero positive scalars. Then*

$$T_1, T_2 \in W^*(D + \sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_2^*, \mathcal{D}).$$

**Proof.** We proceed as in the proof of Lemma 5.7, and let  $D_0 : x \rightarrow x$  be the identity-function in  $\mathcal{D}$ . We only show that  $T_1 \in W^*(D + \sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_2^*, \mathcal{D})$ , since the result for  $T_2$  is obtained in a similar way. Define the projections  $p_i^{(n)} := 1_{\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]}(D_0)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Remember from the proof of Lemma 5.7 that

$$T_1 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} p_i^{(n)} T_1 p_j^{(n)}$$

and that

$$U^{(n)} := T_1 - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i^{(n)} T_1 p_j^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{(n)} T_1 p_i^{(n)}.$$

We have  $\|U^{(n)}\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{a}{n}}$  and also

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_i^{(n)} T_2^* p_j^{(n)} = 0. \tag{5.11}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sqrt{a}T_1 - \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^n p_i^{(n)} (D + \sqrt{a}T_1 + \sqrt{b}T_2^*) p_j^{(n)} \right\| \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{a} \|U^{(n)}\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{a\epsilon}{n}} = 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

### 6. $\delta^*$ for a DT-operator with respect to $\mathcal{D}$

Let  $T_1 = \mathcal{UT}(X_1, \lambda)$ ,  $T_2 = \mathcal{UT}(X_2, \lambda)$  be upper triangular operators as in the beginning of Section 5. Define  $Z = D + cT_1 \in \mathcal{M}$  where  $c > 0$  and  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ . By Theorem 5.2,  $Z$  is a  $\text{DT}(\mu, c)$ -operator where  $\mu$  is the distribution of  $D$ . Let  $Y \in \mathcal{M}$  be a standard circular operator  $*$ -free from  $D$  and  $T_1$  and thus also  $*$ -free from  $Z$ . By Corollary 4.1, we are to compute

$$\delta^*(Z : \mathcal{D}) = 2 - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (t\Phi^*(D + cT_1 + \sqrt{t}Y, (D + cT_1 + \sqrt{t}Y)^* : \mathcal{D})), \tag{6.1}$$

where  $Y$  is a standard circular element  $*$ -free from  $T_1$ , if the limit exists. By Lemma 5.6, we know that

$$T_1 + \sqrt{t}Y \overset{* \text{-dist.}}{\sim} \sqrt{1+t}T_1 + \sqrt{t}T_2^*$$

so using (2.4) of Remark 2.4 (6.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^*(Z : \mathcal{D}) &= 2 - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (t\Phi^*(D + \sqrt{c^2 + t}T_1 + \sqrt{t}T_2^*, (D + \sqrt{c^2 + t}T_1 + \sqrt{t}T_2^*)^* : \mathcal{D})) \\ &= 2 - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \Phi^*\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}D + \sqrt{\frac{c^2 + t}{t}}T_1 + T_2^*, \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}D + \sqrt{\frac{c^2 + t}{t}}T_1 + T_2^*\right)^* : \mathcal{D}\right) \end{aligned}$$

if the limit on the right-hand side exists. Define

$$(S_t, S_t^*) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}D + \sqrt{\frac{c^2 + t}{t}}T_1 + T_2^*, \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}D + \sqrt{\frac{c^2 + t}{t}}T_1 + T_2^*\right)^*\right).$$

Then it easy to see that

$$(\xi_t, \xi_t^*) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2 + t}}T_1^* + T_2, \sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2 + t}}T_1 + T_2^*\right)$$

satisfies the conjugate relations for  $(S_t, S_t^*)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{D}$  because  $(\xi_t, \xi_t^*, S_t, S_t^*)$  is a (non-centered)  $\mathcal{D}$ -Gaussian system. For example

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}\left(\xi_t \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} dS_t\right) &= E_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\left(\sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2 + t}}T_1^* + T_2\right)d\left(\sqrt{\frac{c^2 + t}{t}}T_1 + T_2^*\right)\right) \\ &= L^*(d) + L(d) = \left(\int_0^1 d(y) dy\right)1 = \tau(d)1 \end{aligned}$$

for  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  because  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are  $\mathcal{D}$ -free, and  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  is  $E_{\mathcal{D}}$ -free from everything [Sp1, Lemma 3.2.4]. The other  $\kappa_2^{\mathcal{D}}$ -identities are checked similarly. Furthermore  $\xi_t, \xi_t^* \in L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\} \cup \mathcal{D}, \tau)$  because Lemma 5.8 says that actually  $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{W}^*(S_t, \mathcal{D})$ .

We thus conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^*(S_t, S_t^* : \mathcal{D}) &= \|\xi_t\|_{\tau}^2 + \|\xi_t^*\|_{\tau}^2 = 2\|\xi_t\|_{\tau}^2 \\ &= 2\frac{t}{c^2 + t}\tau(T_1^*T_1) + 2\tau(T_2T_2^*) \\ &\quad + 2\sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2 + t}}(\tau(E_{\mathcal{D}}(T_1^*T_2^*) + E_{\mathcal{D}}(T_2T_1))) \\ &= \frac{t}{c^2 + t} + 1 \end{aligned}$$



since  $E_{\mathcal{D}}$ -freeness of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  implies that

$$E_{\mathcal{D}}(T_1^* T_2^*) = E_{\mathcal{D}}(T_2 T_1) = 0.$$

We have now shown that  $(\xi_t, \xi_t^*)$  is a conjugate system for  $(S_t, S_t^*)$ , so by (6.1)

$$\delta^*(Z : \mathcal{D}) = 2 - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \frac{t}{c^2 + t} + 1 \right) = 1. \quad \square$$

**7.  $\delta^*$  for a DT-operator with respect to  $\mathbb{C}$**

Let  $T_1 = \mathcal{UT}(X_1, \lambda) \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $T_2 = \mathcal{UT}(X_2, \lambda) \in \mathcal{M}$  be two  $E_{\mathcal{D}}$ -free upper triangular operators and let  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  be chosen such that  $D \in W^*(D + cT_1)$ . As in the previous section we define  $S_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}D + \sqrt{\frac{c^2+t}{t}}T_1 + T_2^*$ , and  $\xi_t = \sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2+t}}T_1^* + T_2$ .  $Z = D + cT_1$  is a DT-operator and by Lemma 5.3 every DT-operator can be realized in this way.

The goal is to compute  $\delta^*(Z) := \delta^*(Z : \mathbb{C})$ . Inspecting the proof of  $\delta^*(Z : \mathcal{D}) = 1$  from Section 6, we observe that a crucial point in showing that  $(\xi_t, \xi_t^*)$  is a conjugate system for  $(S_t, S_t^*)$  is the use of the algebra  $\mathcal{D}$  to “cut out” the upper and lower triangular parts of  $S_t$  and  $S_t^*$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}$  cannot be contained in  $L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\}, \tau)$  [Voi6, Proposition p. 123] the above argument does not apply to compute  $\delta^*(Z)$ . By Remark 2.4 (c) it does however tell us that  $(\xi_t, \xi_t^*)$  satisfies the conjugate relations for  $(S_t, S_t^*)$  with respect to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Summarizing we have

$$\delta^*(Z) \geq 2 - \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \Phi^*(S_t, S_t^* : \mathbb{C}) \geq 2 - \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \Phi^*(S_t, S_t^* : \mathcal{D}) = 1. \quad (7.1)$$

We would like to compute the Hilbert space projection

$$P : L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\} \cup \mathcal{D}, \tau) \rightarrow L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\}, \tau).$$

This, however, seems to be very difficult. Instead our strategy will be to estimate the distance from  $\xi_t$  to a suitable subspace of the orthogonal complement of  $L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\}, \tau)$  in  $L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\} \cup \mathcal{D}, \tau)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ . To do this, we first produce an element in the orthogonal complement  $(L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\}, \tau))^\perp$ .

**Lemma 7.1.**

$$\begin{aligned} j_t &:= [\xi_t, S_t] + [\xi_t^*, S_t^*] \\ &= \left( \sqrt{\frac{c^2+t}{t}} - \sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2+t}} \right) ([T_1, T_2] + [T_1^*, T_2^*]) \\ &\quad + \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}D, \sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2+t}}T_1^* + T_2 \right] + \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}D^*, \sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2+t}}T_1 + T_2^* \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

belongs to  $(L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\}, \tau))^\perp$  in  $L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\} \cup \mathcal{D}, \tau)$ .

**Remark 7.2.** The above element,  $j_t$ , is actually just Voiculescu’s liberation gradient of  $(\text{alg}(\{S_t, S_t^*\}), \mathscr{D})$  [Voi6, Section 5]. Voiculescu shows that the liberation gradient  $j(A, B)$ , of two unital algebras  $A$  and  $B$  in a  $W^*$ -probability space  $(M, \tau)$  measures how  $\tau$ -free  $A$  and  $B$  are, in the sense that  $j(A, B) = 0$  if and only if  $A$  and  $B$  are  $\tau$ -free. The liberation gradient  $j(A, B)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(j(A, B)a_1b_1 \cdots a_nb_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (\tau(a_1b_1 \cdots b_{k-1}a_k)\tau(b_k a_{k+1}b_{k+1} \cdots a_nb_n) \\ &\quad - \tau(a_1b_1 \cdots a_{k-1}b_{k-1})\tau(a_k b_k \cdots a_nb_n)) \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

for all  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$ .

**Proof.** Since  $(\xi_t, \xi_t^*)$  is a conjugate system for  $(S_t, S_t^*)$  with respect to  $\mathscr{D}$  it satisfies the conjugate relations (2.1). Using (2.1) it is easy to show by direct computation that  $j_t := [\xi_t, S_t] + [\xi_t^*, S_t^*]$  satisfies (7.3), that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(j_t S_t^{i_1} d_1 \cdots S_t^{i_n} d_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (\tau(S_t^{i_1} d_1 \cdots d_{k-1} S_t^{i_k})\tau(d_k S_t^{i_{k+1}} d_{k+1} \cdots S_t^{i_n} d_n) \\ &\quad - \tau(S_t^{i_1} d_1 \cdots S_t^{i_{k-1}} d_{k-1})\tau(S_t^{i_k} d_k \cdots S_t^{i_n} d_n)) \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

for all  $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathscr{D}$  and  $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, *\}$ . Restricting (7.4) to the case where  $d_1 = \dots = d_n = 1 \in \mathscr{D}$ , we infer that

$$\tau(j_t S_t^{i_1} \cdots S_t^{i_n}) = 0$$

for all  $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, *\}$ . The inner product of  $j_t$  with monomials of the form  $S_t^{i_1} \cdots S_t^{i_n}$  is thus zero, and since these monomials span a dense linear subspace of  $L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\}, \tau)$  we conclude that  $j_t \perp L^2(\{S_t, S_t^*\}, \tau)$ . The last equality of (7.2) is easily checked by direct computation.  $\square$

We now use  $j_t \in W^*(S_t)^\perp$  from (7.2) to give a new lower bound of  $\delta^*(Z)$ .

**Lemma 7.3.**

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \Phi^*(S_t, S_t^* : \mathbb{C}) \\ \leq 2 \text{dist}_2(T_2, W^*(T_1)([D + cT_1, T_2] + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])W^*(T_1))^2. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Define  $\tilde{S}_t = \sqrt{t}S_t = D + \sqrt{c^2 + t}T_1 + \sqrt{t}T_2^*$ . We know that

$$\Phi^*(S_t, S_t^* : \mathbb{C}) = 2 \|E_{W^*(\tilde{S}_t)}(\xi_t)\|_\tau^2. \tag{7.5}$$

Let  $A \in W^*(D + cT_1)([D + cT_1, T_2] + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])W^*(D + cT_1)$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose polynomials  $p$  and  $q$  in  $D + cT_1$  and  $(D + cT_1)^*$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A - p(D + cT_1, (D + cT_1)^*)([D + cT_1, T_2] \\ & \quad + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])q(D + cT_1, (D + cT_1)^*)\|_\tau < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} B(t) &= p(\tilde{S}_t, \tilde{S}_t^*)\sqrt{t}j_tq(\tilde{S}_t, \tilde{S}_t^*) \\ &= p(\tilde{S}_t, \tilde{S}_t^*)\left(\left(\sqrt{c^2 + t} - \frac{t}{\sqrt{c^2 + t}}\right)([T_1, T_2] + [T_1^*, T_2^*])\right. \\ & \quad \left.+ \left[D, \sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2 + t}}T_1^* + T_2\right] + \left[D^*, \sqrt{\frac{t}{c^2 + t}}T_1 + T_2^*\right]\right)q(\tilde{S}_t, \tilde{S}_t^*) \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \geq 0$ , and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} B(0) &= p(D + cT_1, (D + cT_1)^*)([D + cT_1, T_2] \\ & \quad + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])q(D + cT_1, (D + cT_1)^*). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\|A - B(0)\|_\tau < \varepsilon$  and  $\|B(t) - B(0)\| \rightarrow 0$  for  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ . Since by Lemma 7.1  $j_t\sqrt{t} \in W^*(\tilde{S}_t^*)^\perp$  also  $B(t) \in W^*(\tilde{S}_t^*)^\perp$  so

$$\|E_{W^*(\tilde{S}_t^*)}(A)\|_\tau \leq \|E_{W^*(\tilde{S}_t^*)}(B(t))\|_\tau + \|A - B(t)\|_\tau = 0 + \|A - B(t)\|_\tau$$

and thus

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|E_{W^*(\tilde{S}_t^*)}(A)\|_\tau \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|A - B(t)\|_\tau < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  was chosen arbitrarily, we conclude that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|E_{W^*(\tilde{S}_t^*)}(A)\|_\tau = 0 \tag{7.6}$$

for all  $A \in W^*(D + cT_1)([D + cT_1, T_2] + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])W^*(D + cT_1)$ . Now define

$$\alpha = \text{dist}_2(T_2, W^*(T_1)([D + cT_1, T_2] + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])W^*(T_1)).$$

Since  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  is chosen such that  $D \in W^*(D + cT_1)$  we have  $W^*(T_1) = W^*(D + cT_1)$  so from (7.6) we conclude that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|E_{W^*(\tilde{S}_t^*)}(T_2)\|_\tau \leq \alpha$$

but since  $\|E_{W^*(\tilde{S}_t)}\|_\tau \leq 1$  and since  $\|\xi_t - T_2\|_\tau \rightarrow 0$  for  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  we conclude that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|E_{W^*(\tilde{S}_t)}(\xi_t)\|_\tau \leq \alpha. \tag{7.7}$$

Combining with (7.5) we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \Phi^*(S_t, S_t^* : \mathbb{C}) \leq 2\alpha^2. \quad \square$$

Lemma 5.7 can now be used to get rid of the  $[(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*]$ -term in Lemma 7.3.

**Proposition 7.4.**

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \Phi^*(S_t, S_t^* : \mathbb{C}) \leq 2 \operatorname{dist}_2(T_2, W^*(T_1)([D + cT_1, T_2])W^*(T_1))^2. \tag{7.8}$$

**Proof.** It is immediate that

$$E = \overline{W^*(T_1)([D + cT_1, T_2] + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])W^*(T_1)}^{\|\cdot\|_\tau}$$

is invariant under multiplication from the left and right with elements from  $W^*(T_1)$ . By Lemma 5.7, we know that  $[D + cT_1, T_2] \in E$  so

$$W^*(T_1)[D + cT_1, T_2]W^*(T_1) \in E.$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{dist}_2(T_2, W^*(T_1)[D + cT_1, T_2]W^*(T_1)) &\geq \operatorname{dist}_2(T_2, E) \\ &= \operatorname{dist}_2(T_2, W^*(T_1)([D + cT_1, T_2] + [(D + cT_1)^*, T_2^*])W^*(T_1)) \end{aligned}$$

so the proposition follows from Lemma 7.3.  $\square$

Let  $W^*(T_1)^0$  be the opposite algebra, that is,  $W^*(T_1)^0$  is just  $W^*(T_1)$  with multiplication reversed.

**Lemma 7.5.** *Let  $\hat{\otimes}$  denote the von Neumann algebra tensor product, and equip  $W^*(T_1)$  with the usual faithful normal tracial state,  $\tau$ , and usual conditional expectation,  $E_{\mathcal{Q}}$ , given by restriction to  $W^*(T_1)$ . There exists a positive functional,  $\phi : W^*(T_1) \hat{\otimes} W^*(T_1)^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that*

- (i)  $0 \leq \phi \leq \tau \otimes \tau^0$ ,
- (ii)  $\tau(T_2^* a T_2 b) = \phi(a \otimes b^0)$  for  $a, b \in W^*(T_1)$ ,

where  $b \mapsto b^0 : W^*(T_1) \rightarrow W^*(T_1)^0$  is the anti-multiplicative isomorphism.

**Proof.** For all  $a, b \in W^*(T_1)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(T_2^* a T_2 b) &= \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^x E_{\mathcal{D}}(a)(t) dt \right) E_{\mathcal{D}}(b)(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 E_{\mathcal{D}}(a)(t) E_{\mathcal{D}}(b)(x) h(t, x) dt dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(t, x) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \leq x, \\ 0, & t > x \end{cases}$$

for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ .  $h(t, x)$  corresponds to an element  $H \in D \hat{\otimes} D^0$  such that  $0 \leq H \leq 1$ , so

$$\tau(T_2^* a T_2 b) = (\tau \otimes \tau^0)(H(E_{\mathcal{D}}(a) \otimes E_{\mathcal{D}^0}(b))).$$

Since  $E_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes E_{\mathcal{D}^0}$  is a positive normal operator on  $W^*(T_1) \hat{\otimes} W^*(T_1)^0$  we have

$$\phi : z \mapsto (\tau \otimes \tau^0)(H(z)(E_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes E_{\mathcal{D}^0}(z)))$$

is a positive normal functional on  $W^*(T_1) \hat{\otimes} W^*(T_1)^0$ . For  $z \geq 0$ , we observe that

$$\phi(z) \leq (\tau \otimes \tau^0)((E_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes E_{\mathcal{D}^0})(z)) = (\tau \otimes \tau^0)(z)$$

so

$$0 \leq \phi \leq \tau \otimes \tau^0. \quad \square$$

We want to estimate the distance  $\alpha = \text{dist}_2(T_2, W^*(T_1)[D + cT_1, T_2]W^*(T_1))$  from Proposition 7.4. Let  $\phi : W^*(T_1) \hat{\otimes} W^*(T_1)^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the state from Lemma 7.5. We observe that for  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in W^*(T_1)$  Lemma 7.5 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_2 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i T_2 b_i\|_{\tau}^2 \\ &= \|T_2\|_{\tau}^2 - 2\Re \tau \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_2^* a_i T_2 b_i \right) + \tau \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n b_i^* T_2^* a_i^* a_j T_2 b_j \right) \\ &= \phi(1 \otimes 1) - 2\Re \phi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i^0 \right) + \phi \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_i^* a_j \otimes (b_j b_i^*)^0 \right) \\ &= \phi \left( \left( 1 \otimes 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i^0 \right)^* \left( 1 \otimes 1 - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \otimes b_j^0 \right) \right) \\ &\leq \left\| 1 \otimes 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \otimes b_i^0 \right\|_{\tau \otimes \tau^0}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha &= \text{dist}_2(T_2, W^*(T_1)[D + cT_1, T_2]W^*(T_1)) \\
 &= \inf_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ a_i, b_i \in W^*(T_1)}} \left\| T_2 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i((D + cT_1)T_2 - T_2(D + cT_1))b_i \right\|_{\tau} \\
 &= \inf_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ a_i, b_i \in W^*(T_1)}} \left\| T_2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i(D + cT_1))T_2b_i - a_iT_2((D + cT_1)b_i) \right\|_{\tau} \\
 &\leq \inf_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ a_i, b_i \in W^*(T_1)}} \left\| 1 \otimes 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i(D + cT_1) \otimes b_i^0 - a_i \otimes b_i^0(D + cT_1)^0) \right\|_{\tau \otimes \tau^0} \\
 &= \inf_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ a_i, b_i \in W^*(T_1)}} \left\| 1 \otimes 1 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i \otimes b_i^0) \right) ((D + cT_1) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (D + cT_1)^0) \right\|_{\tau \otimes \tau^0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we can show that  $\ker(R) = \{0\}$  for  $R := (D + cT_1) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (D + cT_1)^0$  then defining  $Q_n = f_n(R^*R)R^*$  where

$$f_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n}, \\ \frac{1}{t} & \text{for } t \geq \frac{1}{n}, \end{cases}$$

we have  $Q_nR = 1_{\left[\frac{1}{n}, \infty\right)}(R^*R)$  so since  $\ker(R^*R) = \ker(R) = \{0\}$  we have  $\|Q_nR - 1 \otimes 1\|_{\tau \otimes \tau^0} \rightarrow 0$ . Since each  $Q_n \in W^*(T_1) \hat{\otimes} W^*(T_1)^0$  can be approximated in the strong operator topology by operators of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \otimes b_i^0$  where  $a_i \in W^*(T_1)$  and  $b_i^0 \in W^*(T_1)^0$  it follows that  $\alpha = 0$ .

Combining this with Theorem 7.4 we have

$$\ker((D + cT_1) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (D + cT_1)^0) = \{0\} \Rightarrow \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \Phi^*(S_t, S_t^* : \mathbb{C}) = 0. \tag{7.9}$$

By (7.1) this will imply that  $\delta^*(T) \geq 2$ . So now the only remaining problem is to show that  $\ker((D + cT_1) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (D + cT_1)^0) = \{0\}$ , and this will follow from the following ‘‘eigenspace’’-results.

**Lemma 7.6.** *Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(H)$  be bounded operators on a Hilbert space,  $H$ , such that  $\ker(B) = \{0\}$ . Define  $E_\lambda = \{x \in H \mid Ax = \lambda Bx\}$ . If  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$  are mutually different, then the corresponding subspaces  $E_{\lambda_1}, \dots, E_{\lambda_n}$  are all linearly independent.*

**Proof.** Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$  be mutually different complex numbers. We must show that  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$  implies  $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0$  when  $x_i \in E_{\lambda_i}$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . But

$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$  implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n A^k x_i = 0$$

for all  $k \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$ . Since  $x_i \in E_{\lambda_i}$  we have

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k B^k x_i.$$

Multiplying by  $B$  an appropriate number of times we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \dots & \lambda_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_1^{n-1} & \lambda_2^{n-1} & \dots & \lambda_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B^{n-1} x_1 \\ B^{n-1} x_2 \\ \vdots \\ B^{n-1} x_n \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Since the determinant on the left-hand side is a van der Monde determinant, which is exactly invertible for  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  all mutually different, we infer that  $B^{n-1} x_1 = \dots B^{n-1} x_n = 0$ . Since  $\ker(B) = \{0\}$  also  $\ker(B^{n-1}) = \{0\}$  so  $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0$ . Thus the ‘‘eigenspaces’’  $E_{\lambda_1}, \dots, E_{\lambda_n}$  are linearly independent.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(H)$  be a finite  $W^*$ -algebra represented on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{N}$ , and define  $E_\lambda = \{x \in H \mid Ax = \lambda Bx\}$ . If  $\ker B = \{0\}$  then*

$$E_{\lambda_n} \cap \overline{E_{\lambda_1} + \dots + E_{\lambda_{n-1}}} = \{0\}, \tag{7.10}$$

when  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$  are mutually different.

**Proof.** By Lemma 7.6, we know that  $E_{\lambda_1}, \dots, E_{\lambda_n}$  are linearly independent for  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  mutually different, so we have

$$E_{\lambda_n} \cap (E_{\lambda_1} + \dots + E_{\lambda_{n-1}}) = \{0\}. \tag{7.11}$$

Obviously  $E_{\lambda_1} + \dots + E_{\lambda_{n-1}}$  and  $E_{\lambda_n}$  are subspaces of  $H$  affiliated with  $\mathcal{N}$ , so Theorem A.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\lambda_n} \cap \overline{E_{\lambda_1} + \dots + E_{\lambda_{n-1}}} &\subseteq \overline{E_{\lambda_n} \cap (E_{\lambda_1} + \dots + E_{\lambda_{n-1}})} \\ &= \overline{E_{\lambda_n} \cap (E_{\lambda_1} + \dots + E_{\lambda_{n-1}})} = \{0\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 7.8.** *Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(H)$  be bounded operators on a Hilbert space,  $H$ , such that  $\ker B = \{0\}$  and define  $E_\lambda = \{x \in H \mid Ax = \lambda Bx\}$ . Assume that  $A, B \in \mathcal{N}$  where  $\mathcal{N}$  is a  $II_1$ -factor with a faithful tracial state,  $\tau$ . Let  $p_\lambda$  be the projection onto  $E_\lambda$ . If*

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are mutually different, then

$$\tau\left(\bigvee_{j=1}^n p_{\lambda_j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau(p_{\lambda_j}). \tag{7.12}$$

**Proof.** If  $p, q$  are two projections in  $\mathcal{N}$  then it follows from Kaplansky’s formula that

$$\tau(p \vee q) = \tau(p) + \tau(q) - \tau(p \wedge q). \tag{7.13}$$

Define  $q_k = \bigvee_{i=1}^k p_j$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , and put  $q_0 = 0$ . Then  $q_k = p_k \vee q_{k-1}$  and by Lemma 7.7  $p_k \wedge q_{k-1} = 0$  for  $k \geq 1$  since  $E_{\lambda_k} \cap \overline{E_{\lambda_1} + \dots + E_{\lambda_{k-1}}} = \{0\}$ . We thus conclude from (7.13) that

$$\tau(q_k) = \tau(p_k) + \tau(q_{k-1})$$

and thus also

$$\tau(q_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau(p_j). \quad \square$$

**Corollary 7.9.** Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(H)$  be bounded operators on a Hilbert space,  $H$ , such that  $\ker B = \{0\}$  and define  $E_\lambda = \{x \in H \mid Ax = \lambda Bx\}$ . Assume that  $A, B \in \mathcal{N}$  where  $\mathcal{N}$  is a  $II_1$ -factor with a faithful tracial state,  $\tau$ . Let  $p_\lambda$  be the projection onto  $E_\lambda$ . Then  $E_\lambda = \{0\}$  except for countably many  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Proof.** For any finite subset  $F \subset \mathbb{C}$  Proposition 7.8 implies that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in F} \tau(p_\lambda) = \tau\left(\bigvee_{\lambda \in F} p_\lambda\right) \leq 1,$$

so

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \tau(p_\lambda) \leq 1$$

and thus  $\tau(p_\lambda) = 0$  for all but countably many  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.10.**

$$\ker((D + cT_1) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (D + cT_1)^0) = \{0\}.$$

**Proof.** Define  $A_1 = T_1 \otimes 1$  and  $B_1 = 1 \otimes T_1^0$ . It follows from [DH1, Theorem 8.9] that  $\ker(B_1) = \ker(1 \otimes T_1^0) = \{0\}$  so Corollary 7.9 applies.  $\ker(T_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes T_1^0) \neq \{0\}$  would imply that  $\ker(T_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \lambda T_1^0) \neq \{0\}$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  in the unit



circle of  $\mathbb{C}$ , since  $T_1$  and  $\lambda T_1$  have the same  $*$ -distribution [DH1, Proposition 2.12]. But then  $E_\lambda \neq \{0\}$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  which is not countable. This contradicts Corollary 7.9 so we must have  $\ker(T_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes T_1^0) = \{0\}$ . Next define  $A = D \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes D^0$  and  $B = T_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes T_1^0$ . Then we have just seen that  $\ker(B) = \{0\}$ , so since  $(A, B)$  and  $(A, \lambda B)$  are equally  $*$ -distributed for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  we can use Corollary 7.9 once more to conclude that  $\ker((D + cT) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes (D + cT)^0) = \{0\}$ .  $\square$

Corollary 7.10 finishes the proof of  $\delta^*(T) \geq 2$ . It follows from Proposition 3.4 used on the real and imaginary part of  $T$  that  $\delta^*(T) \leq 2$  so we conclude that  $\delta^*(T) = 2$ .

Proceeding as in the proof of Corollary 7.10, we have following corollary on the point spectrum of DT-operators.

**Corollary 7.11.** *Every DT-operator has empty point spectrum.*

**Proof.** Let  $D + cT$  be a DT-operator for  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $T \in \mathcal{UT}(X, c)$  for some compactly supported complex probability measure,  $\mu$ , and some  $c > 0$ . Let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  be fixed. Then  $(-\gamma 1 + D) + cT$  is again a DT-operator and the  $*$ -distribution of  $(-\gamma 1 + D) + cT$  is completely determined by the distribution of  $(-\gamma 1 + D)$  and  $c$ . Since  $\lambda T$  and  $T$  are equally  $*$ -distributed for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  we infer that  $(-\gamma 1 + D) + cT$  and  $(-\gamma 1 + D) + \lambda cT$  are equally  $*$ -distributed for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ . By an argument similar to the one given in Corollary 7.10, we infer that

$$\ker(\gamma 1 - (D + cT)) = \ker((-\gamma 1 + D) + cT) = \{0\}$$

for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ , so  $\sigma_p(D + cT) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

### Appendix A. Affiliated subspaces

This appendix is part of an unpublished master thesis [AZ] done in 1985 by Ainsworth under supervision of Haagerup. The translated title of the thesis is *Unbounded operators affiliated with a finite von Neumann algebra*.

**Definition A.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite von Neumann algebra represented on a Hilbert space,  $H$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a subspace of  $H$ . We say that  $\mathcal{E}$  is *affiliated* to  $\mathcal{A}$  if for all  $A'$  in the commutant,  $\mathcal{A}'$ , of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  we have  $A'\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ .

We say that an operator,  $T$ , is affiliated with the von Neumann algebra,  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $T$  for every  $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$  we have  $A'\mathcal{D}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(T)$  and for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$  we have  $A'T\xi = TA'\xi$ .

It is an easy exercise to see that closures and intersections of affiliated subspaces are again affiliated subspaces.

It is the purpose of this appendix is give a proof of the following theorem.

**Theorem A.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite von Neumann algebra represented on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be subspaces of  $H$  affiliated with  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{F}} = \overline{\mathcal{E}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}}.$$

The proof relies on the  $T$ -theorem.

**Theorem A.3** (T-theorem, Skau [Sk, Corollary 2]). *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space  $H$  and let  $\xi \in H$ . If  $\eta \in \overline{\mathcal{A}'\xi}$  there exists a closed densely defined operator,  $T$ , affiliated with  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$  and  $T\xi = \eta$ .*

Actually we need the following version of the T-theorem for the commutant of a finite von Neumann algebra.

**Corollary A.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then the T-theorem is valid for the commutant of  $\mathcal{A}$ , that is for all  $\eta \in \overline{\mathcal{A}'\xi}$  there exists a closed densely defined operator,  $T$ , affiliated with  $\mathcal{A}'$  such that  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$  and  $T\xi = \eta$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\xi, \eta \in H$  such that  $\eta \in \overline{\mathcal{A}'\xi}$  and let  $p_\xi$  and  $p_\eta$  be the projections onto  $\overline{\mathcal{A}'\xi}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{A}'\eta}$ , respectively. By Kadison and Ringrose [KR, Proposition 9.1.2.]  $p_\xi$  and  $p_\eta$  are finite projections in  $\mathcal{A}'$ . By Kadison and Ringrose [KR, 6.3.8.] the finiteness of  $p_\xi$  and  $p_\eta$  implies that  $p := p_\xi \vee p_\eta$  is a finite projection in  $\mathcal{A}'$ . Now  $\xi, \eta \in p(H)$ , and since the commutant of  $\mathcal{A}' \upharpoonright_{p(H)}$  is the finite von Neumann algebra  $p\mathcal{A}'p$  the T-theorem (for the finite  $W^*$ -algebra  $p\mathcal{A}'p$ ) says that there exists a closed, densely defined (in  $p(H)$ ) operator,  $T$ , affiliated with  $p\mathcal{A}'p$  such  $T\xi = \eta$ . Now extend  $T$  to a closed operator which is densely defined in  $H$  by defining  $T = 0$  on the orthogonal complement of  $p(H)$ . Then  $T$  is a closed, densely defined operator affiliated with  $\mathcal{A}'$  such that  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(T)$  and  $T\xi = \eta$ .  $\square$

**Lemma A.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space  $H$ . If  $(p_i)_{i \in I}$  is an increasing net of projections in  $\mathcal{A}$  and if  $p$  and  $q$  are projections in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $p_i \nearrow p$  and  $q \leq p$  then  $p_i \wedge q \nearrow p \wedge q = q$ .*

**Proof.** By Kaplansky’s formula, we have

$$q - q \wedge p_i \sim q \vee p_i - p_i.$$

Since  $p_i \leq p$  and  $q \leq p$  we have  $p_i \vee q \leq p$ , so

$$q - q \wedge p_i \sim q \vee p_i - p_i \leq p - p_i.$$

$(q \wedge p_i)_{i \in I}$  is an increasing net of projections bounded above by  $p$ , so  $(q \wedge p_i)_{i \in I}$  converges to some projection  $r \leq p$ . For every normal tracial state,  $\tau$ , we now have

$$\tau(q - r) = \lim_i \tau(q - q \wedge p_i) \leq \lim_i \tau(p - p_i) = 0.$$

Since a finite von Neumann algebra has a faithful family of normal tracial states [Ta, Theorem 2.4.] we infer that  $q = r$ , so  $q \wedge p_i \not\prec q$ .  $\square$

As a final step towards proving Theorem A.2, we need the following key result.

**Theorem A.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite von Neumann algebra represented on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a subspace of  $H$  affiliated with  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then for all  $\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}$  and for all  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$  such that  $A'\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\|A'\xi - \xi\| < \delta$ .*

**Proof.** The proof has three parts. First, assume that  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{A}'\eta$  for some  $\eta \in H$ . Let  $\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}$ . By Corollary A.4, the T-theorem is true for  $\mathcal{A}'$  so there exists a closed densely defined operator,  $T$ , affiliated with  $\mathcal{A}'$  such that  $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(T)$  and  $\xi = T\eta$ . Let  $T = |T^*|U$  be the right polar decomposition of  $T$  and define  $p_n = 1_{[0,n]}(|T^*|)$ . Then  $p_n\xi \rightarrow \xi$  in norm as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now

$$p_n\xi = 1_{[0,n]}(|T^*|)|T^*|U\eta = \left( \int_0^n \lambda \, de_\lambda \right) U\eta,$$

where  $e_\lambda$  is the spectral measure of  $|T^*|$ . Since  $\int_0^n \lambda \, de_\lambda \in \mathcal{A}'$  and  $U \in \mathcal{A}'$  we have  $p_n\xi \in \mathcal{A}'\eta = \mathcal{E}$ . Now choose  $n$  large enough to ensure that  $\|p_n\xi - \xi\| < \delta$ . This proves the theorem in the first case.

Secondly, assume that  $\mathcal{E} = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{A}'\eta_k$  for some  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in H$ . Define  $\tilde{\eta} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in H^{\oplus n}$  and observe that for  $A'_1, \dots, A'_n \in \mathcal{A}'$  we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} A'_1 & \cdots & A'_n \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \eta_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^n A'_k \eta_k \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so  $\tilde{\xi} := (\xi, 0, \dots, 0) \in \overline{M_n(\mathcal{A}')\tilde{\eta}}$ . Identify  $\mathcal{A}$  with its unital embedding as diagonal operators in  $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ . With this identification  $\mathcal{A}$  is the commutant of  $M_n(\mathcal{A}')$ , so the idea is now to use the first part of the proof on the subspace  $M_n(\mathcal{A}')\tilde{\eta}$  of  $H^{\oplus n}$  and the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  represented on  $H^{\oplus n}$  with commutant  $M_n(\mathcal{A}')$ . The first part of the proof thus gives us an  $A' \in M_n(\mathcal{A}')$  such that  $\|A'\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\xi}\| < \delta$ , and  $A'\tilde{\xi} \in M_n(\mathcal{A}')\tilde{\eta}$ . If  $A' = (A'_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$  then of course

$$A'\tilde{\xi} = \begin{pmatrix} A'_{11} & \cdots & A'_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A'_{n1} & \cdots & A'_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A'_{11}\xi \\ \vdots \\ A'_{n1}\xi \end{pmatrix}$$

so

$$\delta^2 > \|A'\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\xi}\|^2 > \|A'_{11}\xi - \xi\|^2$$

and furthermore since  $A'\tilde{\xi} \in M_n(\mathcal{A}')\tilde{\eta}$  there exists  $B' = (B'_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n \in M_n(\mathcal{A}')$  such that

$$A'_{11}\xi = (A'\tilde{\xi})_1 = (B'\tilde{\eta})_1 = \sum_{k=1}^n B'_{1k}\eta_k \in \mathcal{E}$$

so  $A'_{11} \in \mathcal{A}'$  satisfies the theorem in the second case.

As the third and final case assume that  $\mathcal{E}$  is an arbitrary subspace of  $H$  affiliated with  $\mathcal{A}$ . Define  $\mathcal{E}_J = \sum_{\eta \in J} \mathcal{A}'\eta$  for all finite subsets,  $J$ , of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{E}_J)$  is a upward filtering net ordered by inclusion with upper bound  $\mathcal{E}$ , so if  $p_J$  denotes the projection onto the closure of the subspace  $\mathcal{E}_J$  and  $p$  denotes the projection onto the closure of the subspace  $\mathcal{E}$  then  $(p_J)$  is an increasing net of projections that converges strongly to  $p$ .

Let  $\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}$  and let  $q$  be the projection onto the closure of the subspace  $\mathcal{A}'\xi$ . Then  $q \in \mathcal{A}$  and since  $\overline{\mathcal{A}'\xi} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{E}}$  we have  $q \leq p$  so  $p_J \wedge q \nearrow q$  by Lemma A.5. Choose  $J$  a finite subset of  $\mathcal{E}$  such that

$$\|(p_J \wedge q)\xi - \xi\| < \frac{\delta}{3}. \tag{A.1}$$

We know that  $(p_J \wedge q)\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{A}'\xi}$  so the first part of the proof gives us an  $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$  such that  $A'(p_J \wedge q)\xi \in \mathcal{A}'\xi$  and

$$\|A'(p_J \wedge q)\xi - (p_J \wedge q)\xi\| < \frac{\delta}{3}. \tag{A.2}$$

Choose  $B' \in \mathcal{A}'$  such that  $B'\xi = A'(p_J \wedge q)\xi$ . Since

$$B'\xi = A'(p_J \wedge q)\xi = (p_J \wedge q)A'\xi \subseteq p_J(H) = \overline{\sum_{\eta \in J} \mathcal{A}'\eta}$$

the second part of the proof gives us a  $C' \in \mathcal{A}'$  such that

$$\|C'B'\xi - B'\xi\| < \frac{\delta}{3} \tag{A.3}$$

and  $C'B'\xi \in \sum_{\eta \in J} \mathcal{A}'\eta \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ . Combining (A.1)–(A.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|C'B'\xi - \xi\| &\leq \|C'B'\xi - B'\xi\| \\ &+ \|A'(p_J \wedge q)\xi - (p_J \wedge q)\xi\| + \|(p_J \wedge q)\xi - q\xi\| \\ &< \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\delta}{3} = \delta \end{aligned}$$

so  $C'B' \in \mathcal{A}'$  proves the theorem in the third case.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem A.2.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  be subspaces of  $H$  affiliated with the finite von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  as stated in the theorem. Let  $\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{E} \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{E}}$ . By Theorem A.6 there exists an  $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$  such that  $\|A'\xi - \xi\| < \frac{\delta}{2}$  for arbitrary  $\delta > 0$  and such that  $A'\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $A'\xi \in \overline{\mathcal{E} \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ . Applying Theorem A.6 again we obtain a  $B' \in \mathcal{A}'$  such that  $\|B'(A'\xi) - A'\xi\| < \frac{\delta}{2}$  and such that  $B'(A'\xi) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is affiliated with  $\mathcal{A}$  we have  $B'(A'\xi) \in \mathcal{E}$  and thus  $B'A'\xi \in \mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{F}$ . The inequalities imply that

$$\|B'A'\xi - \xi\| \leq \|B'A'\xi - A'\xi\| + \|A'\xi - \xi\| < \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} = \delta.$$

Since  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrary we conclude that  $\overline{\mathcal{E} \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{F}}$ . Conversely  $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{F} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{E} \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}}}$  which is closed in  $H$  so  $\overline{\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{F}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{E} \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}}}$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

It is a great pleasure for me to thank my advisor Professor Uffe Haagerup for suggesting the problem to me and for stimulating and fruitful discussions on the subject. I thank Peter Ainsworth for letting me publish the results in the appendix in this paper. Finally, I thank Nica for pointing out Ref. [NSS2].

## References

- [AZ] P. Ainsworth, Ubegrænsete operatorer affilieret med en endelig von Neumann algebra, Master Thesis, University of Odense, 1985.
- [DH1] K. Dykema, U. Haagerup, DT-operators and decomposability of Voiculescu's circular operator, *Amer. J. Math.* 126 (2004) 121–189.
- [DH2] K. Dykema, U. Haagerup, Invariant subspaces of the quasinilpotent DT-operator, *J. Funct. Anal.* 209 (2004) 332–366.
- [HP] F. Hiai, D. Petz, *The Semicircle Law, Free Random Variables and Entropy*, in: *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, Vol. 77, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [KR] R.V. Kadison, J.R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras*, Vol. II, Academic Press, New York, 1983, 1986.
- [NSS1] A. Nica, D. Shlyakhtenko, R. Speicher, Some minimization problems for the free analogue of the free Fischer information, *Adv. Math.* 141 (2) (1999) 282–321.
- [NSS2] A. Nica, D. Shlyakhtenko, R. Speicher, Operator-valued distributions, I. Characterizations of freeness, *Internat. Math. Res. Not.* 29 (2002) 1509–1538.
- [NSS3] A. Nica, D. Shlyakhtenko, R. Speicher, A characterization of freeness by a factorization property of R-transforms, MSRI Preprint 2001-001.
- [Sk] C. Skau, Finite subalgebras of a von Neumann algebra, *J. Funct. Anal.* 25 (1977) 211–235.
- [Śn] P. Śniady, Inequality for Voiculescu's entropy in terms of Brown measure, *Internat. Math. Res. Not.* (2003) 51–64.
- [ŚnSp] P. Śniady, R. Speicher, Continuous family of invariant subspaces for  $R$ -diagonal operators, *Invent. Math.* 146 (2) (2001) 329–363.
- [Sp1] R. Speicher, Combinatorial theory of the free product with amalgamation and operator-valued free probability theory, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (627) (1998) x+88 pp.

- [Sp2] R. Speicher, *Combinatorics of Free Probability Theory*, Free Probability and Operator Spaces, IHP, Paris, 1999.
- [Ta] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras I*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences Vol. 124, *Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry*, Vol. 5, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2000.
- [Voi1] D. Voiculescu, The analogues of entropy and of Fischer's information measure in free probability theory, I, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 155 (1993) 71–92.
- [Voi2] D. Voiculescu, The analogues of entropy and of Fischer's information measure in free probability theory, II, *Invent. Math.* 118 (1994) 411–440.
- [Voi5] D. Voiculescu, The analogues of entropy and of Fischer's information measure in free probability theory, V: non-commutative Hilbert Transforms, *Invent. Math.* 132 (1998) 189–227.
- [Voi6] D. Voiculescu, The analogues of entropy and of Fischer's information measure in free probability theory, VI: liberation and mutual free information, *Adv. Math.* 146 (1999) 101–166.