

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 206 (2006) 21–54

JOURNAL OF
PURE AND
APPLIED ALGEBRAwww.elsevier.com/locate/jpaa

Twisted representation rings and Dirac induction

Gregory D. Landweber

Mathematics Department, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403-1222, USA

Received 13 April 2004; received in revised form 26 October 2004

Available online 4 June 2005

Dedicated to Eric M. Friedlander on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Abstract

Extending ideas of twisted equivariant K -theory, we construct twisted versions of the representation rings for Lie superalgebras and Lie supergroups, built from projective \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded representations with a given cocycle. We then investigate the pullback and pushforward maps on these representation rings (and their completions) associated to homomorphisms of Lie superalgebras and Lie supergroups. As an application, we consider the Lie supergroup $\Pi(T^*G)$, obtained by taking the cotangent bundle of a compact Lie group and reversing the parity of its fibers. An inclusion $H \hookrightarrow G$ induces a homomorphism from the twisted representation ring of $\Pi(T^*H)$ to the twisted representation ring of $\Pi(T^*G)$, which pulls back via an algebraic version of the Thom isomorphism to give an additive homomorphism from $K_H(\text{pt})$ to $K_G(\text{pt})$ (possibly with twistings). We then show that this homomorphism is in fact Dirac induction, which takes an H -module U to the G -equivariant index of the Dirac operator $\not{D} \otimes U$ on the homogeneous space G/H with values in the homogeneous bundle induced by U .

© 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: primary 19A22; 19L47; secondary 17B10; 22E47

1. Introduction

Given a compact Lie group G or a finite-dimensional, reductive Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the equivariant K -theory $K_G(\text{pt})$ or $K_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{pt})$ is the Grothendieck ring of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional complex representations of G or \mathfrak{g} respectively (see [3,28]). Here we extend this to the supersymmetric or \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded setting, constructing representation

E-mail address: greg@math.uoregon.edu

URL: <http://www.uoregon.edu/~greg/>

0022-4049/\$ - see front matter © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

doi:10.1016/j.jpaa.2005.04.001

rings for Lie supergroups and Lie superalgebras. We build our Grothendieck groups from supermodules, or \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded representations, and in doing so we obtain an involution Π on the isomorphism classes of supermodules, called “parity reversal”, which interchanges the two homogeneous \mathbb{Z}_2 -degree components. One natural way to extend the representation ring of a compact Lie group or Lie algebra is to define super representation rings $SR(\mathfrak{g})$ and $SR(G)$ in which we impose the relation $[\Pi V] = -[V]$ for supermodules V .¹ Furthermore, in analogy to complex K -theory, we can introduce Clifford-algebraic degree shifts, extending the super representation rings to \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded rings $SR^*(\mathfrak{g})$ and $SR^*(G)$.

In this paper, we introduce a twisted version of the super representation ring, built from projective representations with a given cocycle. This is closely related to K -theory with local coefficients developed by Donovan and Karoubi in [9], and more recently to twisted equivariant K -theory used by Freed et al. [11,12]. The twistings we consider correspond to one-dimensional central extensions of our Lie superalgebras or Lie supergroups, and so are classified by elements in the cohomology H^2 . Given homomorphisms of Lie superalgebras or Lie supergroups, we construct the corresponding pullback or restriction maps of the (twisted) super representation rings, showing that SR is a contravariant functor from Lie superalgebras and Lie supergroups to \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded rings. Furthermore, we also obtain a pushforward or induction map in the spirit of Bott’s paper [5], which is an additive group homomorphism acting on the completions \widehat{SR} of the super representation rings with respect to a natural bilinear pairing on SR .

We apply this material to the case where G is a compact (connected) Lie group with Lie subgroup H . If we reverse the parity of the fibers of the cotangent bundles, we obtain Lie supergroups $\Pi(T^*G)$ and $\Pi(T^*H)$, whose underlying even Lie groups are G and H , and whose odd part is given by the coadjoint representations \mathfrak{g}^* and \mathfrak{h}^* , respectively. In Proposition 5, we construct algebraic Thom isomorphisms between the twisted super representation rings

$$\tau_G SR^*(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} {}^b SR^{*+\dim G}(\Pi(T^*G)), \quad \tau_H SR^*(H) \xrightarrow{\cong} {}^b SR^{*+\dim H}(\Pi(T^*H)),$$

where the twisting b corresponds to a choice of Ad-invariant inner product on \mathfrak{g} , and the twistings τ_G and τ_H correspond to the projective cocycles of the spin representation $\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathbb{S}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ of the Clifford algebras $\mathbb{C}l(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathbb{C}l(\mathfrak{h})$, viewed as projective representations of G and H , respectively.

The inclusion $i : H \hookrightarrow G$ of the Lie groups, along with the invariant inner product b on \mathfrak{g} , gives us an inclusion $j : \Pi(T^*H) \hookrightarrow \Pi(T^*G)$ of the corresponding Lie supergroups. We then consider the restriction and induction maps associated to the inclusions i and j . These maps do *not* commute with the Thom isomorphism, but their failure to commute is quite

¹ Another way is to define representation rings $R(\mathfrak{g})$ and $R(G)$ where we identify the classes of V and ΠV . This convention appears in the superalgebra literature in for example [6]. These two sign conventions yield rings with similar additive structures but distinct products, as described by the author in [22]. All our definitions and results in Sections 3–7 hold for the representation rings $R(\mathfrak{g})$ and $R(G)$, but the theorems of Sections 8 and 9 do not.

interesting. For the restriction map, we show in Theorem 8 that $j^* : {}^bSR^{\dim G}(\Pi(T^*G)) \rightarrow {}^bSR^{\dim H}(\Pi(T^*H))$ pulls back via the Thom isomorphisms to the map

$$[V] \in {}^{\tau G}K_G(\text{pt}) \mapsto [i^*V \otimes \mathbb{S}_0] - [i^*V \otimes \mathbb{S}_1] \in {}^{\tau H}K_H(\text{pt}), \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_0 \oplus \mathbb{S}_1$ is the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded spin representation associated to the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{h} in \mathfrak{g} , viewed here as a projective representation of H . We observe that this map (1) is precisely the map considered by Gross et al. [14], which associates to each irreducible G -module a multiplet of irreducible H -modules, as we recall in Theorem 7.

On the other hand, we show in Theorem 12 that the induction map for the Lie supergroups gives an additive group homomorphism $j_* : {}^bSR^{\dim H}(\Pi(T^*H)) \rightarrow {}^bSR^{\dim G}(\Pi(T^*G))$, which pulls back via the Thom isomorphisms to the Dirac induction map:

$$U \in {}^{\tau H - i^* \tau G}K_H(\text{pt}) \mapsto \text{Index}_G \hat{\varphi}_U^{G/H} \in K_G(\text{pt}). \tag{2}$$

When the coset space G/H is a Spin^c manifold, a projective representation U of H with the appropriate cocycle gives rise to a Dirac operator

$$\hat{\varphi}_U^{G/H} : \Gamma(G \times_H (\mathbb{S}_0 \otimes U)) \rightarrow \Gamma(G \times_H (\mathbb{S}_1 \otimes U))$$

with coefficients in the homogeneous vector bundle $G \times_H U$ associated to U . This Dirac operator is elliptic and homogeneous, i.e., it commutes with the G -actions on its domain and codomain, and so we can use Bott’s formula from [5] (which we recall in Theorem 11) for its G -equivariant index. This Dirac induction map (2) is closely related to the holomorphic induction map given by the Borel–Weil–Bott theorem (in fact, it differs only by a ρ -shift, which comes from tensoring with the canonical complex line bundle for G/H), as we discuss at the end of Section 9. In addition, this Dirac induction map, when extended to representation rings of positive energy representations over loop groups, as in [23,31], becomes a vital tool for understanding the Freed–Hopkins–Teleman isomorphism between the Verlinde algebra and the twisted equivariant K -theory ${}^{\tau}K_G(G)$ in [13].

Our discussion begins with some preliminaries regarding associative superalgebras. We then introduce the super representation ring in Section 3, reviewing the relevant results from our paper [22]. Our treatment here differs from that of [22] in several ways. In this paper we consider only the complex case, which allows us to make some significant simplifications, and we develop the theory first for the super representation groups of associative superalgebras. In Section 4 we describe the super representation rings for Lie superalgebras \mathfrak{g} and Lie groups G by passing to the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and convolution algebra $\mathcal{E}(G)$ of distributions, respectively. For Lie supergroups, we consider supermodules carrying compatible actions of both the underlying even Lie groups G_0 and associated Lie superalgebras \mathfrak{g} , by taking supermodules over a quotient of the semi-direct tensor product of $\mathcal{E}(G_0)$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$. In Section 5, we introduce twistings, considering projective representations of groups G and Lie superalgebras \mathfrak{g} classified by $H^3(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(\mathfrak{g})$, respectively. Using our description in terms of associative superalgebras, we define twisted universal enveloping algebras as our basis for constructing the twisted super representation groups. The remainder of the paper is concerned with proving the Thom isomorphism theorem in both its Lie algebra and Lie group variants in Section 6, defining the pullback

and pushforward homomorphisms in Section 7, and then measuring carefully their failure to commute in the case of the Lie supergroups of the form $\Pi(T^*G)$ in the final two sections.

2. Associative superalgebras

In this paper, we work over the complex numbers unless otherwise noted. A super vector space is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$. Let $|v|$ denote the \mathbb{Z}_2 -degree of a homogeneous element $v \in V$. A superalgebra is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$, which satisfies $|ab| = |a| + |b|$ for homogeneous elements $a, b \in A$. Two such elements are said to supercommute if $ab = (-1)^{|a||b|}ba$, and we call the superalgebra supercommutative if any two of its elements supercommute. In this section we consider unital associative superalgebras, with identity element in the even component.

If V is a super vector space, then $\text{End}(V)$ is a unital associative superalgebra. The even component $\text{End}(V)_0$ consists of all maps which preserve the grading on V , and the odd component $\text{End}(V)_1$ consists of all maps which interchange V_0 and V_1 . In general, a homomorphism between two super vector spaces is called even if it preserves the grading (i.e., is \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariant), or odd if it reverses the grading. In this paper, whenever we refer simply to a homomorphism (or isomorphism, endomorphism, etc.), we mean an *even* homomorphism unless otherwise noted. A representation of a unital associative superalgebra A on a super vector space V is an (even) homomorphism $r : A \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$, and we call V an A -supermodule. We then have $|r(a)v| = |a| + |v|$ for homogeneous elements $a \in A$ and $v \in V$.

Given two unital associative superalgebras A and B , their graded tensor product $A \tilde{\otimes} B$ has for its underlying vector space $A \otimes B$, and its multiplication is given by

$$(a_1 \tilde{\otimes} b_1)(a_2 \tilde{\otimes} b_2) := (-1)^{|b_1||a_2|}(a_1 a_2) \tilde{\otimes} (b_1 b_2),$$

for homogeneous elements $a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $b_1, b_2 \in B$. Both A and B inject into their graded tensor product $A \tilde{\otimes} B$ as $A \tilde{\otimes} 1$ and $1 \tilde{\otimes} B$, respectively. The elements of A and B then supercommute with each other in $A \tilde{\otimes} B$. Given an A -supermodule V and a B -supermodule W , their (exterior) tensor product $V \otimes W$ becomes an $A \tilde{\otimes} B$ -supermodule, with action

$$(a \tilde{\otimes} b)(v \otimes w) = (-1)^{|b||v|}a(v) \otimes b(w),$$

for homogeneous elements $a \in A, b \in B, v \in V, w \in W$.

Let $\text{Cl}(n)$ and $\mathbb{C}\text{Cl}(n)$ denote the real and complex Clifford algebras respectively, given by n generators $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ with relations

$$e_i^2 = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad e_i \cdot e_j = -e_j \cdot e_i \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

More generally, given a vector space V with a symmetric bilinear form b , we define the Clifford algebra $\text{Cl}(V, b)$ by

$$\text{Cl}(V, b) := T^*(V)/(v \cdot w + w \cdot v = -2b(v, w) \text{ for } v, w \in V), \quad (3)$$

where the tensor algebra $T^*(V)$ is the free unital associative algebra generated by V . If the bilinear form is clear from the context, we write simply $\text{Cl}(V)$. Since $T^*(V)$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded and the ideal generated by the Clifford relations is contained entirely in even degrees, the Clifford algebra is a superalgebra. We refer to \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded representations of Clifford algebras as Clifford supermodules. We recall that $\text{Cl}(p) \otimes \text{Cl}(q) \cong \text{Cl}(p+q)$. So, the tensor product of a $\text{Cl}(p)$ -supermodule with a $\text{Cl}(q)$ -supermodule is a $\text{Cl}(p+q)$ -supermodule. In general, we have

$$\text{Cl}(V, b_V) \otimes \text{Cl}(W, b_W) \cong \text{Cl}(V \oplus W, b_V \oplus b_W)$$

for vector spaces V and W with symmetric bilinear forms b_V and b_W , respectively, and thus the tensor product of a $\text{Cl}(V)$ -supermodule with a $\text{Cl}(W)$ -supermodule is a $\text{Cl}(V \oplus W)$ -supermodule.

Schur's Lemma. *Let A be a collection of even and odd operators acting irreducibly on a super vector space $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ over an algebraically closed field. The only even A -equivariant endomorphisms of V are scalar multiples of the identity, and there are two possibilities for the odd A -equivariant endomorphisms of V :*

Type M: *There are no nonzero odd endomorphisms of V .*

Type Q: *The odd endomorphisms of V are scalar multiples of a parity reversing involution.*

Given a super vector space $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$, its parity reversal ΠV has the same underlying vector space but the opposite \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading. In other words, we have $(\Pi V)_0 = V_1$ and $(\Pi V)_1 = V_0$. Parity reversal allows us to view an odd homomorphism from V to W as an even homomorphism from V to ΠW or from ΠV to W . In particular, we have $\text{End}(V)_1 \cong \text{Hom}(V, \Pi V)_0$ and $\text{End}(V)_0 \cong \text{Hom}(V, \Pi V)_1$. So, the odd endomorphisms described in Schur's Lemma can be viewed as even homomorphisms from V to its parity reversal ΠV . We can likewise reverse the parity of an A -supermodule: if V is an A -supermodule, then ΠV carries the same A -action on its underlying vector space, but has the opposite \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading. As a consequence of Schur's Lemma, if V is an irreducible A -supermodule which is isomorphic to its own parity reversal, $V \cong \Pi V$, then in fact there exists an even isomorphism $\alpha : V \rightarrow \Pi V$ such that $(\Pi\alpha) \circ \alpha = \text{Id}$.

3. Representation rings

Given a unital associative superalgebra A , the (even) isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional A -supermodules form an abelian semi-group, and we can construct its corresponding Grothendieck group. To define the super representation group, we further consider the action of the parity reversal operator Π .

Definition. Let $F(A)$ be the free abelian group generated by (even) isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional A -supermodules, and let $I(A)$ be the subgroup generated by the classes $[U] - [V] + [W]$ whenever there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0.$$

The *super representation group* of A is

$$SR(A) := F(A)/I_+(A),$$

where $I_+(A)$ is the subgroup generated by both $I(A)$ and self-dual classes $[V]$ for supermodules V isomorphic to their own parity reversals, $V \cong \Pi V$.²

The parity reversal operator Π descends to the super representation group, with $[\Pi V] = -[V]$. If $A = A_0$ is a purely even algebra, then $SR(A_0) \cong K_{A_0}(\text{pt})$, where $K_{A_0}(\text{pt})$ is the conventional representation group, the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional ungraded A_0 -modules. It is instructive to examine this isomorphism more closely. Since A_0 has no odd component, an A_0 -supermodule is just an (ordered) pair of ungraded A_0 -modules (V_0, V_1) . The super representation group is anti-symmetric, with $[(V_0, V_1)] = -[(V_1, V_0)]$. We can view the class of such a pair in $SR(A_0)$ as a formal direct difference, or virtual A_0 -module:

$$[(V_0, V_1)] \in SR(A_0) \mapsto "[V_0 \ominus V_1]" = [V_0] - [V_1] \in K_{A_0}(\text{pt}). \quad (4)$$

In this regard, the super representation group closely resembles the Grothendieck construction.

We can introduce degree shifts into the super representation group by incorporating Clifford algebras via graded tensor products:

Definition. The n -times degree-shifted super representation group is

$$SR^{-n}(A) := SR(A \tilde{\otimes} \text{Cl}(n)),$$

constructed from A -supermodules admitting n supercommuting supersymmetries.

This Clifford-algebraic definition is motivated by [2], where Atiyah, Bott, and Shapiro establish the connection between Clifford algebras and K -theory, proving for the trivial algebra $A = \mathbb{C}$ that

$$SR^{-n}(\mathbb{C}) = SR(\text{Cl}(n)) \cong \tilde{K}(S^n) = K^{-n}(\text{pt}). \quad (5)$$

The twofold periodicity of complex Clifford algebras gives rise to a twofold periodicity of the degree-shifted super representation group by Morita equivalence, as we prove in [22, Section 6]:

Proposition 1. *The super representation groups have twofold periodicity: $SR^{-n}(A) \cong SR^{-n-2}(A)$.*

² Alternatively, the *representation group* of A , as discussed in [6], uses the opposite sign convention, defining $R(A) := F(A)/I_-(A)$, where $I_-(A)$ is generated by both $I(A)$ and anti-dual classes of the form $[V] - [\Pi V]$. Over fields for which Schur's Lemma fails, we define the super representation group more precisely as the quotient of $F(A)$ by the subgroup generated by $I(A)$ and classes $[V]$ for V admitting parity reversing involutions. Without Schur's Lemma, a supermodule may be isomorphic to its parity reversal but not via an involution, giving rise to a 2-torsion subgroup measuring the failure of Schur's Lemma. See [22] for further discussion of this general case.

The two components of the degree-shifted super representation group can be made explicit by constructing their (almost) canonical bases. Since we are dealing with finite-dimensional representations, the class of an A -supermodule in $SR(A)$ decomposes as a sum of classes of irreducible A -modules. Then $SR(A)$ can be described as a free abelian group on a basis of irreducibles. Recalling Schur’s Lemma, the irreducibles come in two flavors: type M with no odd endomorphisms, and type Q which admit an odd involution. In terms of parity reversal, irreducibles M of type M come in $M, \Pi M$ pairs, while irreducibles Q of type Q satisfy $Q \cong \Pi Q$. When we shift degrees, the roles are reversed. If M is a degree 0 irreducible of type M , then $M \oplus \Pi M$ is a degree 1 irreducible of type Q . On the other hand, if Q is a degree 0 irreducible of type Q , then Q admits two distinct $\mathbb{C}l(1)$ actions which are parity reversals of each other, giving a pair of degree 1 irreducibles Q_+, Q_- of type M . The super representation group is generated by only the type M irreducibles, so a basis for $SR^0(A)$ is given by choosing one element from each $[M], [\Pi M]$ pair, while a basis for $SR^1(A)$ is given by choosing one element from each $[Q_+], [Q_-]$ pair.³

We note that if $A = A_0$ has no odd component, then the graded and ungraded tensor products with the Clifford algebra agree: $A_0 \tilde{\otimes} \mathbb{C}l(n) \cong A_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}l(n)$. In this case, it follows that modules over $A_0 \tilde{\otimes} \mathbb{C}l(n)$ decompose as direct sums of modules of the form $V \otimes \mathbb{S}$, where V is an ungraded A_0 -module, and \mathbb{S} is a $\mathbb{C}l(n)$ -supermodule. In terms of representation rings, we find that

$$SR^{-n}(A_0) \cong K_{A_0}(\text{pt}) \otimes SR^{-n}(\mathbb{C}) \cong K_{A_0}(\text{pt}) \otimes K^{-n}(\text{pt}) \cong \begin{cases} K_{A_0}(\text{pt}) & \text{for } n \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{for } n \text{ odd,} \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

recalling the Atiyah–Bott–Shapiro isomorphism (5).

If A is a Hopf superalgebra, then its comultiplication homomorphism $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \tilde{\otimes} A$ gives us a ring structure on the super representation group as follows: Given two A -supermodules V and W , their tensor product $V \otimes W$ is a representation of the graded tensor product $A \tilde{\otimes} A$. Pulling back to A via Δ , we obtain an A -supermodule $\Delta^*(V \otimes W)$ which we call the interior tensor product of V and W . If the diagonal map is clear from the context, then we write simply $V \otimes W$. For the interior tensor product, the parity reversal operator obeys

$$\Pi(V \otimes W) \cong (\Pi V) \otimes W \cong V \otimes (\Pi W).$$

As a consequence, the subgroup $I_+(A)$ in the definition of $SR(A)$ is an ideal in $F(A)$, and thus the super representation group is in fact a ring. The identity element in this ring is the class $I = [\mathbb{C}]$ of the trivial, purely even representation. In terms of the Hopf superalgebra structure, the trivial representation is the counit homomorphism $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and the axioms for a Hopf superalgebra ensure that this product does indeed give a ring structure.⁴

³ In contrast, the representation group $R(A)$ is unchanged under degree shifts, and it has a canonical basis consisting of the classes $[M] = [\Pi M]$ and $[Q]$. This gives us a non-canonical additive isomorphism between $R(A)$ and $SR^*(A)$.

⁴ In contrast, the preferred ring structure for the representation ring $R(A)$, as described for example in [6], is the tensor product, except that the product of two irreducibles of type Q is taken to be *half* their tensor product.

If we start with a Hopf superalgebra A , when we introduce degree shifts, the graded tensor products $A \widetilde{\otimes} \mathbb{C}l(n)$ are not in general Hopf superalgebras, and so the degree-shifted super representation groups $SR^{-n}(A)$ do not necessarily carry individual ring structures. However, if V is an $A \widetilde{\otimes} \mathbb{C}l(n)$ -supermodule and W is an $A \widetilde{\otimes} \mathbb{C}l(m)$ -supermodule, then $V \otimes W$ is a supermodule over

$$(A \widetilde{\otimes} \mathbb{C}l(n)) \widetilde{\otimes} (A \widetilde{\otimes} \mathbb{C}l(m)) \cong (A \widetilde{\otimes} A) \widetilde{\otimes} \mathbb{C}l(n+m).$$

Restricting to the diagonal, the interior tensor product then gives an $A \widetilde{\otimes} \mathbb{C}l(n+m)$ -supermodule, which furthermore satisfies the property $V \otimes W \cong \Pi^{nm}(W \otimes V)$. This induces a product

$$SR^{-n}(A) \otimes SR^{-m}(A) \rightarrow SR^{-n-m}(A)$$

with respect to which the full super representation ring $SR^*(A)$ becomes a supercommutative \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded ring over the degree zero component $SR^0(A) = SR(A)$ (see [22, Section 6.2] for a full discussion).

4. Lie superalgebras and Lie supergroups

4.1. Lie superalgebras

A Lie superalgebra, as defined by Kac in [15], is a super vector space $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ with a bilinear \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded product $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, referred to as a bracket, satisfying

- $[X, Y] = -(-1)^{|X||Y|}[Y, X]$,
- $[X, [Y, Z]] = [[X, Y], Z] + (-1)^{|X||Y|}[Y, [X, Z]]$,

for homogeneous elements $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. In other words, the bracket is super anti-commutative, and the adjoint action $\text{ad}_X : Y \mapsto [X, Y]$ is a super derivation. Alternatively, a Lie superalgebra consists of a conventional even Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_0 and an odd \mathfrak{g}_0 -module \mathfrak{g}_1 , equipped with a \mathfrak{g}_0 -invariant symmetric bilinear form $\mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0$. An associative superalgebra A always admits a Lie superalgebra structure by defining the bracket $[a, b] := ab - (-1)^{|a||b|}ba$ as the super commutator for homogeneous elements $a, b \in A$.

A Lie superalgebra is neither associative nor unital. However, given a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} , we can construct its universal enveloping algebra

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) := T^*(\mathfrak{g}) / (XY - (-1)^{|X||Y|}YX = [X, Y] \text{ for homogeneous } X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}),$$

where the tensor algebra $T^*(\mathfrak{g})$ is the free unital associative algebra generated by \mathfrak{g} . The universal enveloping algebra is then a unital associative algebra which comes equipped with a canonical injection $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$, and we view \mathfrak{g} as a Lie sub-superalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Any Lie superalgebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A$, where A is an associative algebra, factors uniquely through $U(\mathfrak{g})$, and in fact $U(\mathfrak{g})$ can be defined via this universal property. In particular, every representation $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ lifts to an algebra homomorphism $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$, and conversely every algebra homomorphism $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ restricts to a representation

$\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$. Furthermore, the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Hopf superalgebra (see [25]). In particular, the comultiplication homomorphism $\Delta : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \widetilde{\otimes} U(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by

$$\Delta X = X \widetilde{\otimes} 1 + 1 \widetilde{\otimes} X,$$

on the generators $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. This gives a Lie algebra homomorphism $\Delta : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \widetilde{\otimes} U(\mathfrak{g})$, which then extends to $U(\mathfrak{g})$ by the universal property. The counit homomorphism $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given on the generators by $X \mapsto 0$, and it likewise extends to $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Definition. The super representation ring of a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} is the graded ring with components

$$SR^{-n}(\mathfrak{g}) := SR^{-n}(U(\mathfrak{g})) = SR(U(\mathfrak{g}) \widetilde{\otimes} \text{Cl}(n)),$$

constructed using representations of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

When considering degree shifts, each homogeneous component $SR^{-n}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the super representation ring is constructed from \mathfrak{g} -supermodules carrying auxiliary $\text{Cl}(n)$ -actions. In addition, the action of the Clifford generators must commute with the action of the even component \mathfrak{g}_0 and anti-commute with the action of the odd component \mathfrak{g}_1 of the Lie superalgebra. We call such representation spaces \mathfrak{g} -Clifford supermodules.

Here we are considering complex Lie superalgebras and their complex universal enveloping algebras. In some of the sections that follow, we work instead with *real* Lie superalgebras, but ultimately we still want to construct super representation rings in terms of supermodules over a complex associative algebra. In what follows, if \mathfrak{g} is a real Lie superalgebra, then when we take its universal enveloping algebra, we actually mean the complexification $U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

4.2. Lie groups

We can also construct super representation rings of Lie groups in terms of representations of unital associative algebras. Recall that any representation $r : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$ of a *finite* group G lifts to a representation $r : \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ of its complex group algebra by the formula

$$r : \bigoplus_{g \in G} a_g [g] \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} a_g r(g),$$

for complex coefficients $a_g \in \mathbb{C}$ for each $g \in G$. Conversely, given a representation of the complex group algebra, we can reconstruct the representation of the underlying discrete group by taking $r(g) = r([g])$, i.e., by setting the coefficients $a_g = 1$ and $a_h = 0$ for all $h \neq g$. Like the universal enveloping algebra, the complex group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is also a Hopf algebra, with comultiplication homomorphism $[g] \mapsto [g] \otimes [g]$ induced by the diagonal map $\Delta : G \rightarrow G \times G$, and counit homomorphism $\bigoplus_{g \in G} a_g [g] \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} a_g$, summing all the coefficients.

If G is a compact Lie group, then a representation is a continuous homomorphism $r : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$, and instead of lifting to the group algebra, we consider the ring $C^\infty(G)$ of

smooth complex-valued functions on G . The action of a function $f \in C^\infty(G)$ is then given by the integral

$$r : f \in C^\infty(G) \mapsto \int_G f(g) r(g) \, dg \in \text{End}(V), \quad (7)$$

where dg is the bi-invariant Haar measure on G . The product we use on $C^\infty(G)$ is not simply multiplication of functions, but rather the convolution given by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(g) := \int_G f_1(gh^{-1}) f_2(h) \, dh = \int_G f_1(gh) f_2(h^{-1}) \, dh \quad (8)$$

for $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(G)$, which can be viewed as a generalization of the product on the group algebra. We note that $C^\infty(G)$ need not have a unit with respect to this convolution product.

We actually work not directly with $C^\infty(G)$, but rather with a completion $\mathcal{E}(G)$. Using the product (8), any smooth function $f \in C^\infty(G)$ gives a linear convolution operator $f * \bullet : C^\infty(G) \rightarrow C^\infty(G)$ with kernel f . For our completion, we take $\mathcal{E}(G)$ to consist of distributions on G whose corresponding convolutions give operators in $\text{End}(C^\infty(G))$ (see [1]). A representation $r : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$ on a finite-dimensional vector space V is in fact a smooth group homomorphism, so we can view r as an element of $C^\infty(G) \otimes \text{End}(V)$. The action of a distribution $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(G)$ is still given by (7), or more precisely we take $r(\phi) = (\phi * r^{-1})(e) \in \text{End}(V)$. This gives a lift $r : \mathcal{E}(G) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ of our representation which is indeed an algebra homomorphism with respect to convolution.

Conversely, given a representation $r : \mathcal{E}(G) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$, we can recover the underlying representation of G by considering Dirac delta distributions $\delta_g \in \mathcal{E}(G)$ for $g \in G$, defined by the identity

$$\int_G \delta_g(h) f(h) \, dh = f(g)$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(G)$. In terms of the convolution product, we have $\delta_g * f = l_g f$ for $f \in C^\infty(G)$, where the left G -action on $C^\infty(G)$ is given by $(l_g f)(h) = f(g^{-1}h)$. It follows that $\delta_g * \delta_h = \delta_{gh}$, and so we obtain an injective group homomorphism $\delta : G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}(G)$. Pulling back the representation r we obtain the original group representation as $r(g) = r(\delta_g)$.

The completion $\mathcal{E}(G)$ is a unital associative algebra with respect to the convolution product, and its identity element is the Dirac delta distribution δ_e at the identity. It is in fact a Hopf algebra, with comultiplication induced by the diagonal map $\Delta : G \rightarrow G \times G$ and counit given by $\phi \mapsto (\phi * 1)(e)$, where 1 here refers to the constant function with value 1. In other words, the counit homomorphism maps a distribution ϕ to its average over the group $\int_G \phi(g) \, dg$. This Hopf algebra structure allows us to define:

Definition. The super representation ring of a compact Lie group G is the graded ring given by

$$SR^{-n}(G) := SR^{-n}(\mathcal{E}(G)) = SR(\mathcal{E}(G) \widetilde{\otimes} \mathbb{C}l(n)),$$

constructed using representations of the convolution algebra of distributions $\mathcal{E}(G)$.

In other words, we consider representations of G carrying auxiliary $\text{Cl}(n)$ -actions commuting with the action of G , which we call G -Clifford supermodules. We note that if G is a compact Lie group (or $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0$ is a purely even Lie algebra), then $\mathcal{E}(G)$ (respectively $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$) is purely even, and the Clifford component completely decouples from the Lie component as in (6). So, we observe that this super representation ring construction is most interesting when applied in the supersymmetric setting, involving representations of Lie superalgebras, or as we shall discuss below, Lie supergroups.

Remark. Alternatively, we could reprise the above constructions using only representations of groups, rather than superalgebras. To introduce degree shifts, we could consider \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded representations of the group $G \times \text{Cl}(n)^*$, where $\text{Cl}(n)^*$ is the group of invertible elements in the Clifford algebra. Since $\text{Cl}(n)^*$ is dense in $\text{Cl}(n)$, its representations and those of the Clifford algebra are interchangeable, as are representations of the Lie group G and the unital associative algebra $\mathcal{E}(G)$.

4.3. Lie supergroups

A supermanifold can be thought of as an underlying even conventional manifold with odd “fuzz” (see [10] for an excellent exposition or [7] for a thorough treatment of the subject), and is best described in terms of its ring of functions. The functions on a supermanifold form a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded supercommutative ring, where both the even and odd components are modules over the ring of functions on the underlying even conventional manifold. Locally, supermanifolds look like regions of $\mathbb{R}^{p|q} = \mathbb{R}^p \times \Pi\mathbb{R}^q$, where \mathbb{R}^p is the underlying even conventional manifold, and $\Pi\mathbb{R}^q$ is the odd “fuzz”. The functions on the even part \mathbb{R}^p lie in the closure of the polynomial algebra $\text{Sym}^*(\mathbb{R}^p)$. On the other hand, the functions on the odd part $\Pi\mathbb{R}^q$ are anti-commuting polynomials, which instead lie in the exterior algebra $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^q) = \text{Sym}^*(\Pi\mathbb{R}^q)$. Since the exterior algebra is finite-dimensional, there is no need to consider completions. The ring of functions on the supermanifold $\mathbb{R}^{p|q}$ is therefore $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{p|q}) = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^p) \otimes \Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^q)$, with even part $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^p) \otimes \Lambda^{\text{even}}(\mathbb{R}^q)$ and odd part $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^p) \otimes \Lambda^{\text{odd}}(\mathbb{R}^q)$.

An example of a supermanifold is $\Pi(TX)$, the parity reversed tangent bundle of a smooth manifold X , where the fibers are treated as odd vector spaces. Its ring of smooth functions is

$$C^\infty(\Pi(TX)) = \Gamma(\Lambda^*(T^*X)) = \Omega^*(X),$$

the space of differential forms on X . In general, if $E \rightarrow X$ is a smooth real vector bundle, then ΠE is a supermanifold with the ring of functions $C^\infty(\Pi E) = \Gamma(\Lambda^*(E^*))$, i.e., sections of the bundle whose fibers are the exterior algebras of the fibers of the dual bundle E^* , or in other words, anti-commuting polynomials on the fibers of E whose coefficients are functions on the base X .

A Lie supergroup is a supermanifold which carries a smooth \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded group structure (see [7] or [8] for a complete definition). The underlying even conventional manifold of a Lie supergroup is a Lie group, and its odd component is a vector space with no further topological or geometric structure. The space of left-invariant vector fields on a Lie supergroup is a Lie superalgebra. If G is a Lie supergroup, then the inclusion of the identity $e \hookrightarrow G$ induces a

ring homomorphism $C^\infty(G) \rightarrow C^\infty(e) \cong \mathbb{R}$, and we denote this evaluation map by $f \mapsto f(e)$. The tangent space at the identity TG_e is the vector space of all super-derivations $D: C^\infty(G) \rightarrow C^\infty(e) \cong \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$D(fg) = D(f)g(e) + (-1)^{|D||f|} f(e)D(g).$$

Note that these super-derivations include even derivations corresponding to tangent vectors of the underlying even Lie group, as well as odd derivations corresponding to vectors in the directions of the odd “fuzz”. This tangent space TG_e is then a Lie superalgebra.

If G_0 is a Lie group and V is a real representation of G_0 , then we can consider the vector bundle $E = (G_0 \times G_0) \times_{\Delta G_0} V$ over G_0 with fibers V . Then ΠE is a Lie supergroup with Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \Pi V$. Here, the \mathfrak{g}_0 directions correspond to differentiation along the base G_0 , while the ΠV directions correspond to interior contraction along the fibers of $A^*(E^*)$. In particular, for the coadjoint representation $V = \mathfrak{g}_0^*$, we have $E = T^*G_0$, and the Lie superalgebra corresponding to $\Pi(T^*G_0)$ is $\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{g}_0^*$. We will revisit this example in Sections 8 and 9.

Remark. Such bundles can be trivialized by left translation as $E \cong G_0 \times V$. However, when we reverse the parity of the fibers to turn these bundles into Lie supergroups, we find in general that $\Pi E \not\cong G_0 \times \Pi V$. On the other hand, it follows from our discussion in Section 6.2 below that these two Lie supergroups nevertheless possess isomorphic super representation rings.

When G is a Lie supergroup, the terms “ G -module” and “ G -supermodule” refer to representations of the associated Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$, for which the restriction to the even part \mathfrak{g}_0 exponentiates to a representation of the underlying Lie group G_0 . In particular, a G -module carries representations of both the Lie group G_0 and the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$, such that for $g \in G_0$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, their actions satisfy $g \circ X \circ g^{-1} = Ad_g X$. In terms of associative superalgebras, a G -module is a representation of the semi-direct tensor product $\mathcal{E}(G_0) \widehat{\otimes} U(\mathfrak{g})$, with multiplication

$$(\phi \widehat{\otimes} X)(\psi \widehat{\otimes} Y) = (\phi * \psi) \widehat{\otimes} X Ad_\phi Y \quad (9)$$

for $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}(G_0)$ and $X, Y \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. To further reconcile the $\mathcal{E}(G_0)$ -action with the $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ action, we note that there is an algebra homomorphism $\varepsilon: U(\mathfrak{g}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G_0)$ given on the generators $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ by

$$\varepsilon: X \mapsto \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \delta_{\exp(tX)}, \quad (10)$$

identifying the universal enveloping algebra with derivations at the identity (see [1]). We can now define the Lie supergroup version of the convolution algebra as the tensor product over $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$:

$$\mathcal{E}(G) := (\mathcal{E}(G_0) \widehat{\otimes} U(\mathfrak{g})) / (\varepsilon(X) \widehat{\otimes} 1 = 1 \widehat{\otimes} X \text{ for } X \in U(\mathfrak{g}_0)). \quad (11)$$

This algebra does indeed correspond to distributions on the Lie supergroup. For instance, for the purely odd Lie supergroup with $G_0 = 1$ and $\mathfrak{g} = 0 \oplus \Pi V$, it gives the ring of functions $\mathcal{E}(G) \cong A^*(V)$.

Definition. Let G be a Lie supergroup with underlying even Lie group G_0 and associated Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$. Its super representation ring is the graded ring with components

$$SR^{-n}(G) := SR^{-n}(\mathcal{E}(G)) = SR(\mathcal{E}(G) \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}l(n)),$$

constructed from supermodules carrying compatible G_0 - and \mathfrak{g} -actions.

5. Twisted representation rings

5.1. Twistings of Lie groups

Let G be a compact (connected) Lie group. Recall that a projective unitary representation of G on a complex Hilbert space V is a continuous group homomorphism $r : G \rightarrow \text{PU}(V) = \text{U}(V)/\text{U}(1)$. Viewing $\text{U}(V)$ as a principal $\text{U}(1)$ -bundle over $\text{PU}(V)$, pulling back this bundle to G gives us a central extension $\tilde{G} = r^*\text{U}(V)$ of G , and the projective representation r lifts to an actual representation \tilde{r} on \tilde{G} . This gives the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & S^1 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{G} & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \tilde{r} & & \downarrow r & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{U}(1) & \longrightarrow & \text{U}(V) & \longrightarrow & \text{PU}(V) & \longrightarrow & 1. \end{array}$$

The central extension \tilde{G} is called the *cocycle* of the projective representation, and it is classified topologically by its Chern class

$$c_1(\tilde{G}) = c_1(r^*\text{U}(V)) = r^*c_1(\text{U}(V)) \in H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}).$$

However, the Chern class itself does not capture the group structure of this extension. To see the group structure topologically, we must “deloop” the groups and work instead with the corresponding fibration of classifying spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^1 & \longrightarrow & ES^1 & \longrightarrow & BS^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{G} & \longrightarrow & E\tilde{G} & \longrightarrow & B\tilde{G} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G & \longrightarrow & EG & \longrightarrow & BG \end{array} \tag{12}$$

Since S^1 is abelian, we can choose a BS^1 , up to homotopy, which is a topological group and for which the universal fibration $S^1 \rightarrow ES^1 \rightarrow BS^1$ on the top of (12) is a central extension. A circle bundle is determined by a continuous map $f : G \rightarrow BS^1$, and in order to pull back

the group structure on ES^1 to $\tilde{G} = f^*ES^1$, we require that f be a group homomorphism. In that case, “delooping” f gives a map of classifying spaces $Bf : BG \rightarrow BBS^1 = K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$. This map determines the bundle $B\tilde{G}$ on the right side of (12), which is completely characterized up to isomorphism by the class $[Bf] \in [BG, K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)] = H^3(BG; \mathbb{Z})$.

Considering the transgressions for the fibrations along the top, bottom, left and right sides of (12), we obtain the following commutative diagram in cohomology:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(S^1) & \xrightarrow{d_2^{ES^1}} & H^2(BS^1) \\ \downarrow d_2^{\tilde{G}} & & \downarrow d_3^{B\tilde{G}} \\ H^2(G) & \xrightarrow{d_3^{EG}} & H^3(BG). \end{array}$$

Letting θ be the generator of $H^1(S^1; \mathbb{Z})$, and $u = d_2^{ES^1}\theta$ the generator of $H^2(BS^1; \mathbb{Z})$, the characteristic class $[Bf]$ of the bundle $B\tilde{G}$ is the transgression $d_3^{B\tilde{G}}u = d_3^{B\tilde{G}}d_2^{ES^1}\theta$. On the other hand, we can also write this class as $d_3^{EG}c_1(\tilde{G}) = d_3^{EG}d_2^{\tilde{G}}\theta$.

Proposition 2. *A circle bundle $S^1 \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ admits the structure of a group extension precisely when the characteristic class $c_1(\tilde{G})$ is in the kernel of the differential*

$$d_2 : H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow E_2^{1,2}(EG; \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(BG; H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}))$$

in the spectral sequence for the universal fibration $G \rightarrow EG \rightarrow BG$. In addition, the map

$$d_3 : \text{Ker } d_2 \hookrightarrow H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(BG; \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism, and isomorphism classes of group extensions $S^1 \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ correspond to elements of $H^3(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ via the transgressions $d_3 c_1(\tilde{G}) \in H^3(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ of their characteristic classes.

Proof. In [4], Atiyah and Segal discuss such extensions and prove that $\text{Ext}(G, S^1) \cong H^3(BG; \mathbb{Z})$. In summary, they show that $\text{Ext}(G, S^1) \cong H^2(BG; S^1)$ (see [26,27]), and then they use the coefficient sequence $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$ to establish the isomorphism $H^2(BG; S^1) \cong H^3(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ for compact G .

Let us now examine the Serre spectral sequence for the universal fibration $G \rightarrow EG \rightarrow BG$, where EG is contractible and thus its reduced cohomology $\tilde{H}^*(EG)$ is trivial. We first note that $H^1(BG)$ must vanish, which gives us $E_2^{1,1} = 0$. It follows that $H^3(BG)$ survives past the E_2 stage, and to kill it at the E_3 stage, the map d_3 must be an isomorphism from $\text{Ker } d_2 \subset H^2(G)$ to $H^3(BG)$. We therefore obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^3(BG) \xrightarrow{d_3^{-1}} H^2(G) \xrightarrow{d_2} E_2^{1,2} \xrightarrow{d_2} H^4(BG), \tag{13}$$

where the inverse transgression is the looping map $d_3^{-1} : [BG, K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)] \rightarrow [G, K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)]$, induced by the forgetful map taking a central extension to its underlying topological circle bundle. The Chern class of a central extension \tilde{G} is then the image $c_1(\tilde{G}) = d_3^{-1}(d_3^{B\tilde{G}}u)$, and thus $d_2 c_1(\tilde{G})$ vanishes. \square

Example. For the torus $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$, we have $H^2(T^2; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, and so it admits nontrivial circle bundles $S^1 \rightarrow \tilde{T}^2 \rightarrow T^2$. However, for the classifying space we have $H^3(BT^2; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, and thus T^2 does not admit any nontrivial S^1 group extensions. The bundle \tilde{T}^2 therefore does not admit a group structure. In this case, the exact sequence (13) becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow 0 \xrightarrow{d_3^{-1}} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{d_2} \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 4} \xrightarrow{d_2} \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 3}$$

and since d_2 is injective, the obstruction $d_2 c_1(\tilde{T}^2)$ is nonzero.

Definition. Given a Lie group G , a *twisting* τ is a central extension

$$\tau = \{1 \rightarrow S^1 \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1\}$$

The τ -twisted G -equivariant K -theory of a point, ${}^\tau K_G(\text{pt})$, is the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional projective representations of G with cocycle τ .

This twisted K -group depends, up to noncanonical isomorphism, only on the isomorphism class of the twisting, the class $[\tau] = c_1(\tilde{G}) \in H^2(G; \mathbb{Z})$, which by Proposition 2 must satisfy $d_2[\tau] = 0$. Given two cocycles τ_1, τ_2 corresponding to central extensions \tilde{G}_1, \tilde{G}_2 , respectively, their sum $\tau_1 + \tau_2$ corresponds to the tensor product extension $\tilde{G}_1 \otimes \tilde{G}_2$. Taking the tensor product of two projective representations therefore adds their cocycles. In particular, the twisted K -groups are not rings. The twisted equivariant K -theory can then be constructed as the component ${}^\tau K_G(\text{pt}) \cong K_{\tilde{G}}(\text{pt})_1$ of virtual \tilde{G} -modules on which the central S^1 acts by complex multiplication.

Example. Let $G = \text{SO}(n)$ for $n \geq 3$. There are two types of representations of $\text{SO}(n)$ corresponding to the two elements of $H^2(\text{SO}(n); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. The bosonic or integer spin representations are true $\text{SO}(n)$ -modules and comprise ${}^0 K_{\text{SO}(n)}(\text{pt})$. We also have projective representations of $\text{SO}(n)$. In the simplest case, the Lie group isomorphism $\text{PU}(2) = U(2)/U(1) \cong \text{SU}(2)/\{\pm 1\} \cong \text{SO}(3)$ yields a nontrivial projective representation of $\text{SO}(3)$ on \mathbb{C}^2 , whose cocycle gives the nontrivial element $[\tau] \in H^2(\text{SO}(3); \mathbb{Z})$. In general, the fermionic or half-integer spin representations are projective representations of $\text{SO}(n)$ whose cocycle gives the nontrivial element $[\tau] \in H^2(\text{SO}(n); \mathbb{Z})$, and which comprise ${}^\tau K_{\text{SO}(n)}(\text{pt})$. These fermionic representations can be constructed as true representations of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n)$. To realize them as representations of a Lie group, we must lift to the universal cover $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{Spin}(n) \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$. Then $\text{Spin}(n)$ -modules can be characterized as either bosonic or fermionic depending on whether the nontrivial central element $-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \subset \text{Spin}(n)$ acts by $+1$ or -1 , respectively. To phrase this construction in the terms of the preceding paragraph, we must modify this argument slightly to instead consider even and odd representations of the extension $S^1 \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(n) \rightarrow \text{SO}(n)$, which can be constructed as $\text{Spin}^c(n) = \text{Spin}(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^1$.

For twistings by torsion cocycles, the projective representations of the Lie group are nevertheless true representations of the Lie algebra. This is because the projective representations lift to true representations of a finite covering of the Lie group. Such finite covers

are locally indistinguishable from the original Lie group, and so are not detected by the Lie algebra.

5.2. Twistings of Lie algebras

We can also consider projective representations at the Lie algebra level. A projective unitary representation of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} on a complex Hilbert space V is a Lie algebra homomorphism $r : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{pu}_V = \mathfrak{u}_V / \mathfrak{u}_1$, where $\mathfrak{u}_1 = i \mathbb{R} \text{Id}$. We can construct a splitting of the extension $\mathfrak{u}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_V \rightarrow \mathfrak{pu}_V$ by choosing a complement of \mathfrak{u}_1 in \mathfrak{u}_V and identifying it with \mathfrak{pu}_V , giving us an isomorphism $\mathfrak{u}_V \cong \mathfrak{pu}_V \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1$. With respect to this splitting, the bracket is given by

$$[A \oplus a, B \oplus b]_{\mathfrak{u}} = [A, B]_{\mathfrak{pu}} \oplus i\omega(A, B), \quad (14)$$

for $A, B \in \mathfrak{pu}_V$ and $a, b \in \mathfrak{u}_1$. Here, the coefficient of the \mathfrak{u}_1 -term is given by an anti-symmetric bilinear form $\omega : \mathfrak{u}_V \otimes \mathfrak{u}_V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, or in other words an element $\omega \in \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{pu}_V^*)$. As a consequence of the Jacobi identity, the 2-form ω satisfies the cocycle condition

$$\omega(A, [B, C]) = \omega([A, B], C) + \omega(B, [A, C]), \quad (15)$$

or in other words, the form ω is closed, satisfying $d\omega = 0$ with respect to the Lie algebra cohomology differential $d : \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{pu}_V^*) \rightarrow \Lambda^{*+1}(\mathfrak{pu}_V^*)$. Furthermore, if we choose another splitting $\mathfrak{u}_V \cong \mathfrak{pu}_V \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1$, we obtain another cocycle which differs from ω by an exact form. Thus, ω determines a class in the Lie algebra cohomology $H^2(\mathfrak{pu}_V)$ which is independent of the choice of splitting. The cocycle of a projective Lie algebra representation is the pullback $\tau = r^*(\omega)$, determining a class $[\tau] \in H^2(\mathfrak{g})$.

Remark. If V is finite-dimensional, then we have a canonical inclusion $\mathfrak{pu}_V \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{u}_V$ as the traceless elements. This gives us a canonical splitting $\mathfrak{u}_V \cong \mathfrak{pu}_V \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1$ with respect to which the cocycle ω vanishes. Thus, every projective unitary Lie algebra representation on a finite-dimensional vector space lifts trivially to an actual representation. We can also see this from the point of view of Lie algebra cohomology, as $H^2(\mathfrak{pu}_n)$ vanishes. Indeed, the Lie algebra cohomology $H^2(\mathfrak{g})$ vanishes for any finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Given a projective Lie algebra representation $r : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{pu}_V$, we can lift it to a vector space homomorphism $\tilde{r} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_V$, and in light of (14), the cocycle τ is a quantitative measure of the failure of \tilde{r} to be a Lie algebra homomorphism:

$$\tilde{r}(X)\tilde{r}(Y) - \tilde{r}(Y)\tilde{r}(X) = \tilde{r}([X, Y]) + i\tau(X, Y)\text{Id}.$$

As in the Lie group case, we can pull back the extension, giving a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}I & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \tilde{r} & & \downarrow r & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{u}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{u}_V & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{pu}_V & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where the central extension $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}I$ of \mathfrak{g} has Lie algebra bracket

$$[X \oplus xI, Y \oplus yI]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} = [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \tau(X, Y)I, \tag{16}$$

and the lift \tilde{r} takes the central generator I to $i \text{Id}$. Note that the cocycle condition (15) for τ ensures that the Jacobi identity on \mathfrak{g} extends to $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Unlike in the Lie group case, every Lie algebra cohomology 2-cocycle τ gives rise to a central extension $\mathbb{R}I \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ via the bracket (16). In fact, the central u_1 -extensions of \mathfrak{g} are classified up to isomorphism by $H^2(\mathfrak{g})$. If \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of a compact Lie group G , then a Lie algebra extension of \mathfrak{g} given by the cocycle τ must satisfy two conditions in order for it to exponentiate to give a group extension of G . First, the cocycle must be integral, corresponding to a class

$$[\tau] \in H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(G; \mathbb{R}) \cong H^2(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Second, the cocycle must be integrable, satisfying

$$d_2[\tau] = 0 \in A^1(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \text{Sym}^1(\mathfrak{g}^*) \cong E_2^{1,2}(EG)$$

in the Weil algebra for \mathfrak{g} , viewed as a model for the de Rham cohomology of EG .

Example. The two-dimensional abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{t}^2 is the Lie algebra of the torus $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$ which we considered in the previous section. We have $H^2(\mathfrak{t}^2) \cong \mathbb{R}$, and thus \mathfrak{t}^2 does indeed admit a central extension. Letting e, f be a basis for \mathfrak{t}^2 , this extension has brackets $[e, f] = I$ and $[I, e] = [I, f] = 0$. This Lie algebra is the Heisenberg algebra, which has the standard infinite-dimensional representation on the space of polynomials $\mathbb{C}[t]$ (or its L^2 -completion), with action given by $e \mapsto t, f \mapsto -i\partial_t, I \mapsto i\text{Id}$, from quantum mechanics. We note that this extension does *not* exponentiate to give an extension of the Lie group T^2 .

Definition. Given a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and a Lie algebra cohomology 2-cocycle τ representing a class $[\tau] \in H^2(\mathfrak{g})$, the τ -twisted \mathfrak{g} -equivariant K -theory of a point, ${}^\tau K_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{pt})$, is the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional projective representations of \mathfrak{g} with cocycle τ .

As in the Lie group case, the twisted K -group depends, up to noncanonical isomorphism, only on the class $[\tau] \in H^2(\mathfrak{g})$. Taking the tensor product of two projective representations of \mathfrak{g} adds their cocycles, in this case adding them as 2-forms. To introduce degree shifts, we must construct an associative algebra version of these twistings. Given a cocycle $\tau \in H^2(\mathfrak{g})$, let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\tau$ denote the central extension of \mathfrak{g} given by (16). A τ -twisted representation of \mathfrak{g} is then a representation of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\tau$ where I acts by $i \text{Id}$. We thus construct the τ -twisted universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} as the quotient

$$U_\tau(\mathfrak{g}) := U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\tau)/(I = i1). \tag{17}$$

The τ -twisted representations of \mathfrak{g} are clearly representations of $U_\tau(\mathfrak{g})$, and we define:

Definition. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra. Given a 2-cocycle τ representing a class $[\tau] \in H^2(\mathfrak{g})$, the τ -twisted super representation group of \mathfrak{g} is the graded group with components

$${}^\tau SR^{-n}(\mathfrak{g}) := SR^{-n}(U_\tau(\mathfrak{g})) = SR(U_\tau(\mathfrak{g}) \widetilde{\otimes} \mathbb{C}l(n)), \quad (18)$$

constructed from projective representations of \mathfrak{g} with cocycle τ .

Revisiting twistings of Lie groups, consider a twisting $\tau = \{1 \rightarrow S^1 \rightarrow \widetilde{G} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1\}$. The corresponding extension $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}I \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$ of Lie algebras determines a Lie algebra cohomology 2-cocycle, which we also denote by τ . A projective representation of G with cocycle τ is thus a projective representation of \mathfrak{g} with cocycle τ , so we can describe a τ -twisted representation of G as a representation of \widetilde{G}_τ , on which the corresponding $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\tau$ -action satisfies $I = i \text{ Id}$. Recalling the algebra homomorphism $\varepsilon : U(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\widetilde{G}_\tau)$ given by (10), we define the twisted convolution algebra,

$$\mathcal{E}_\tau(G) := \mathcal{E}(\widetilde{G}_\tau) / (\varepsilon(I) = i \, 1), \quad (19)$$

which we use in the following definition:

Definition. Let G be a compact Lie group. Given a 2-cocycle τ representing a class $[\tau] \in H^2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ with $d_2[\tau] = 0$, the τ -twisted super representation group of G is the graded group with components

$${}^\tau SR^{-n}(G) := SR^{-n}(\mathcal{E}_\tau(G)) = SR(\mathcal{E}_\tau(G) \widetilde{\otimes} \mathbb{C}l(n)), \quad (20)$$

constructed from projective representations of G with cocycle τ .

5.3. Twistings of Lie superalgebras and supergroups

The discussion of the previous section works equally well for Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$. An (even) extension $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}I \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\tau \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0$ is once again determined by a 2-cocycle τ . In this case, the cocycle is a supersymmetric 2-form in

$$A^2(\mathfrak{g}_0^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1^*) = A^2(\mathfrak{g}_0^*) \oplus \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}_1^*) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_0^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}_1^*), \quad (21)$$

and it satisfies the supersymmetric version of the cocycle condition (15):

$$\tau(A, [B, C]) = \tau([A, B], C) + (-1)^{|A||B|} \tau(B, [A, C]),$$

for homogeneous elements $A, B, C \in \mathfrak{g}$. Such a cocycle determines an element $[\tau] \in H^2(\mathfrak{g})$ in the Lie superalgebra cohomology. The definitions (17) of the twisted universal enveloping algebra and (18) of the twisted super representation ring then carry over directly to Lie superalgebras.

Example. Let $\mathfrak{g} = 0 \oplus V$ be a purely odd Lie superalgebra. Since the product of two odd elements is even, this \mathfrak{g} is supercommutative. Consequently, every form is closed,

and recalling (21), a projective cocycle is a symmetric bilinear form $b \in \text{Sym}^2(V)$. The extension $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_b$ now satisfies

$$[v, w]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} = [v, w]_{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus b(v, w)I,$$

for $v, w \in V$, and the b -twisted universal enveloping algebra is then

$$U_b(\mathfrak{g}) = T^*(V)/(v \cdot w + w \cdot v = i b(v, w)).$$

Up to replacing the coefficient i on the right side with -2 (which we can do over \mathbb{C} by scaling V by $\sqrt{2i}$), this is the definition (3) of the Clifford algebra $\text{Cl}(V, b)$, which gives us an isomorphism

$${}^bSR^{-n}(0 \oplus V) \cong SR^{-n}(\text{Cl}(V, b)).$$

If b is a *non-degenerate* symmetric bilinear form on V , then ${}^bSR^*(0 \oplus V) \cong SR^{*+\dim V}(0)$.

Let G be a Lie supergroup, with underlying even Lie group G_0 and Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$. A twisting for G is a pair $\tau = (\tau_{G_0}, \tau_{\mathfrak{g}})$, where τ_{G_0} is a cocycle for the Lie group G_0 and $\tau_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a cocycle for the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} . Letting $\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ be the cocycle for \mathfrak{g}_0 induced by τ_{G_0} , we require the compatibility condition $\tau_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = (\tau_{\mathfrak{g}})|_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$. Such a twisting determines extensions \tilde{G}_0 of the Lie group G_0 and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ of the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g}_0 , which are compatible in that the Lie algebra associated to \tilde{G}_0 is indeed the even component of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. These two extensions then combine to give a Lie supergroup central extension \tilde{G}_τ of G . The definitions (19) of the twisted convolution algebra and (20) of the twisted super representation ring then carry over directly to the Lie supergroup case.

6. The Thom isomorphism

In this section, we consider an algebraic Thom isomorphism between the twisted super representation rings of a Lie algebra or compact Lie group and a related Lie superalgebra or Lie supergroup. This serves as an instructive example involving twistings for both an even Lie group and the odd part of a Lie superalgebra. It is also an essential result which we will use in Sections 8 and 9 below.

6.1. Lie algebra version

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra, and let $r : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ be a real finite-dimensional representation. We can then construct the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \text{IV}$, with \mathfrak{g} for the even component and V for the odd component, where the bracket of any two odd elements vanishes. (We write IV here as a reminder that V is to be treated as an odd vector space.) The universal enveloping algebra of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \text{IV}$ is the semi-direct tensor product

$$U(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \text{IV}) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}) \hat{\otimes} A^*(V)$$

with multiplication given by

$$(X \widehat{\otimes} v)(Y \otimes w) = XY \widehat{\otimes} v \wedge w + Y \widehat{\otimes} v \wedge (r_X w) \quad (22)$$

for $X, Y \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $v, w \in A^*(V)$, where we have extended the representation r on V to the exterior algebra $A^*(V)$ as a derivation. Both $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\Pi V) \cong A^*(V)$ inject as algebras into the semi-direct tensor product as $U(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes} 1$ and $1 \widehat{\otimes} A^*(V)$, and the multiplication (22) is defined so that

$$(X \widehat{\otimes} 1)(1 \widehat{\otimes} v) - (1 \widehat{\otimes} v)(X \widehat{\otimes} 1) = 1 \widehat{\otimes} r_X v$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $v \in V$.

We say that a symmetric bilinear form b on V is \mathfrak{g} -invariant if it satisfies the identity

$$(r_X b)(v, w) = b(r_X v, w) + b(v, r_X w) = 0$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $v, w \in V$. Since the odd component ΠV acts trivially on itself in the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi V$, a \mathfrak{g} -invariant form on V is automatically $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi V$ -invariant, and since invariant forms are closed, the form b is a cocycle defining a twisting for $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi V$. Restricting to the odd component we recall from our example at the end of Section 5.3 that $U_b(\Pi V) \cong \text{Cl}(V, b)$. This twisting affects only the odd component of the Lie superalgebra, and thus the twisted universal enveloping algebra for the full Lie superalgebra is

$$U_b(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi V) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes} \text{Cl}(V, b),$$

where the multiplication on the semi-direct tensor product is once again given by (22), but this time taking $v, w \in \text{Cl}(V, b)$, replacing wedge products with Clifford products, and extending r to $\text{Cl}(V, b)$ as a derivation with respect to the Clifford product.

Before stating the Thom isomorphism, we first recall the standard Lie algebra isomorphism $\mathfrak{so}(V) \cong \mathfrak{spin}(V) \subset \text{Cl}(V)$, as described for example in [24].

Lemma 3. *Let V be a finite-dimensional inner product space with orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. The extension of any $A \in \mathfrak{so}(V)$ to the Clifford algebra $\text{Cl}(\mathfrak{g})$ as a derivation satisfying*

$$A(\zeta \eta) = (A\zeta) \eta + \zeta (A\eta)$$

for $\zeta, \eta \in \text{Cl}(V)$ can be quantized as the inner derivation given by bracketing with

$$\widetilde{A} = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \cdot (Ae_i) \in \mathfrak{spin}(V) \subset \text{Cl}(V).$$

Furthermore, the operator $A \mapsto \widetilde{A}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism: $[\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}] = \widetilde{A} \cdot \widetilde{B} - \widetilde{B} \cdot \widetilde{A}$.

Proof. We need only verify that the operators A and $[\widetilde{A}, \cdot]$ agree on the generators $\zeta \in V \subset \text{Cl}(V)$, as the rest follows from the derivation property. Let $\zeta = \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta^i e_i$. Then

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{A} \cdot \xi - \xi \cdot \widetilde{A} &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n (-2b(e_i, \xi) A e_i - (-2)e_i b(A e_i, \xi)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (b(e_i, \xi) A e_i + b(e_i, A \xi) e_i) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi^i A e_i + (A \xi)^i e_i) = A \xi, \end{aligned}$$

where $A \xi = \sum_{i=1}^n (A \xi)^i e_i$. For the Lie algebra property, we have

$$[[\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}], \xi] = [\widetilde{A}, [\widetilde{B}, \xi]] - [\widetilde{B}, [\widetilde{A}, \xi]] = A B \xi - B A \xi = [A, B] \xi$$

by the Jacobi identity. Since the center of $\text{Cl}(V)$ consists of only scalar multiples of the identity, we have $[\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}] = \widetilde{A} \cdot \widetilde{B} - \widetilde{B} \cdot \widetilde{A}$ up to addition by a scalar. In this case, the scalar terms vanish, as

$$\widetilde{A} \cdot \widetilde{B} - \widetilde{B} \cdot \widetilde{A} = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n (A e_i \cdot B e_i + e_i \cdot A B e_i) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \cdot (A B - B A) e_i = [\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B}],$$

using the fact that A is in $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ (and that V is finite-dimensional) to obtain the second equality. \square

Proposition 4 (Thom Isomorphism). *If b is a non-degenerate \mathfrak{g} -invariant symmetric bilinear form on V , then there is an isomorphism of unital associative algebras*

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes} \text{Cl}(V, b) \xrightarrow{\cong} U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{Cl}(\dim V),$$

which induces an additive group isomorphism

$$SR^*(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\cong} {}^b SR^{*+\dim V}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi V)$$

between the super representation ring of \mathfrak{g} and the b -twisted super representation group of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi V$.

Proof. Choosing an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{\dim V}\}$ for V , we can identify $\text{Cl}(V)$ with $\text{Cl}(\dim V)$. So, our goal is to construct an algebra isomorphism

$$f : U(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes} \text{Cl}(V) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{Cl}(V).$$

Since the inner product b is \mathfrak{g} -invariant, the representation r is orthogonal, giving a Lie algebra homomorphism $r : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(V)$. Using the above proposition to quantize this action, we obtain a Lie algebra homomorphism $\tilde{r} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{spin}(V) \subset \text{Cl}(V)$ (see [19,21]), which in turn lifts to the universal enveloping algebra to give a homomorphism $\tilde{r} : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(V)$ of associative (super) algebras. Combining these two algebras, we get a tensor product representation $s : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{Cl}(V)$,

$$s(X) = X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{r}(X) \tag{23}$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, and we clearly have $s(X)s(Y) - s(Y)s(X) = s([X, Y])$. This representation then extends to an algebra homomorphism $s : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{Cl}(V)$. We can now construct f as

$$f : X \widehat{\otimes} v \mapsto s(X)(1 \otimes v) = X \otimes v + 1 \otimes \tilde{r}(X) \cdot v$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $v \in \text{Cl}(V)$.

We observe that both $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\text{Cl}(V)$ inject as algebras into their semi-direct tensor product $U(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes} \text{Cl}(V)$ as $U(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes} 1$ and $1 \widehat{\otimes} \text{Cl}(V)$, respectively. Furthermore, their mixed products satisfy

$$(X \widehat{\otimes} 1)(1 \widehat{\otimes} v) - (1 \widehat{\otimes} v)(X \widehat{\otimes} 1) = 1 \widehat{\otimes} r_X v \quad (24)$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $v \in V$. To verify that f is an algebra homomorphism, we check that it respects (24):

$$\begin{aligned} f(X \widehat{\otimes} 1)f(1 \widehat{\otimes} v) - f(1 \widehat{\otimes} v)f(X \widehat{\otimes} 1) \\ = X \otimes v + 1 \otimes \tilde{r}(X) \cdot v - X \otimes v - 1 \otimes v \cdot \tilde{r}(X) = f(1 \widehat{\otimes} r_X v). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, this map is invertible, with inverse map

$$f^{-1} : U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{Cl}(V) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes} \text{Cl}(V)$$

given by

$$f^{-1} : X \otimes v \mapsto X \widehat{\otimes} v - 1 \widehat{\otimes} \tilde{r}(X) \cdot v.$$

Again, this map f^{-1} is an algebra homomorphism, since we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(X \otimes 1)f^{-1}(1 \otimes v) - f^{-1}(1 \otimes v)f^{-1}(X \otimes 1) \\ = X \widehat{\otimes} v - 1 \widehat{\otimes} \tilde{r}(X) \cdot v + 1 \widehat{\otimes} r_X v - X \widehat{\otimes} v + 1 \widehat{\otimes} v \cdot \tilde{r}(X) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

as we expect since $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes 1$ commutes with $1 \otimes \text{Cl}(V)$ in the standard tensor product. We see that $f \circ f^{-1} = f^{-1} \circ f = \text{Id}$ acting on $X \in \mathfrak{g} \subset U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $v \in \text{Cl}(V)$, and thus these maps are inverses.

Pulling back b -twisted projective representations of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi V$ to \mathfrak{g} -Clifford supermodules via the algebra isomorphism f , we obtain an additive homomorphism of (twisted) super representation groups $f^* : SR^{-\dim V}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow {}^b SR(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi V)$ (see Section 7.1 below for a discussion of pullbacks). In fact, this map is an isomorphism, with inverse $(f^*)^{-1} = (f^{-1})^*$. Adding in degree shifts, we obtain our desired Thom isomorphism $SR^*(\mathfrak{g}) \cong {}^b SR^{*+\dim V}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi V)$. \square

To construct this Thom isomorphism explicitly, we recall that classes in ${}^b SR^{\dim V}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi V)$ correspond to b -twisted projective representations of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi V$ with auxiliary supercommuting $\text{Cl}(\dim V)$ -actions, modulo those admitting odd involutions. More precisely, we actually want a Clifford action of $\text{Cl}(0, \dim V)$ with generators $\{f_1, \dots, f_{\dim V}\}$ each squaring to $+1$, although in the complex case $\text{Cl}(0, \dim V) \cong \text{Cl}(\dim V)$. Given a \mathfrak{g} -module U , we can then construct

$$f^*U = U \otimes \text{Cl}(V),$$

where $\mathbb{C}l(V) = Cl(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is the complex Clifford algebra. The b -twisted $\mathfrak{g} \oplus V$ -action is given by

$$X(u \otimes \omega) = X(u) \otimes \omega + 1 \otimes \tilde{r}(X) \cdot \omega, \tag{25}$$

$$v(u \otimes \omega) = 1 \otimes v \cdot \omega, \tag{26}$$

using the left action of $Cl(V)$ on $\mathbb{C}l(V)$, and the auxiliary $Cl(0, \dim V)$ -action uses the right action

$$f_i(u \otimes \omega) = u \otimes \varepsilon(\omega) \cdot e_i, \tag{27}$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $v \in V$, $u \in U$, $\omega \in \mathbb{C}l(V)$. Here, the f_i are Clifford generators squaring to $+1$, the e_i are the corresponding orthonormal basis of V , and $\varepsilon : \mathbb{C}l(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}l(V)$ is the grading involution.

6.2. Lie group version

In the Lie group/supergroup case, we must consider an additional twisting. Let G be a compact Lie group, and let V be a representation of G . Let E be the vector bundle $E = (G \times G) \times_{\Delta G} V$ over G with fibers V . Then ΠE is a Lie supergroup with underlying even Lie group G and associated Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi V$ as described in Section 4.3.

If V is a finite-dimensional real inner product space, we have the group extension

$$1 \rightarrow S^1 \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(V) \rightarrow \text{SO}(V) \rightarrow 1$$

whose Chern class is the nontrivial element $c_1(\text{Spin}^c(V)) \in H^2(\text{SO}(V); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. In our case, given a G -invariant inner product b on V , then we have a representation $r : G \rightarrow \text{SO}(V)$, which we quantize by pulling back the extension $\text{Spin}^c(V)$ via r :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & S^1 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{G} & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \tilde{r} & & \downarrow r & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & S^1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Spin}^c(V) & \longrightarrow & \text{SO}(V) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

giving a continuous homomorphism $\tilde{r} : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(V) \subset \mathbb{C}l(V)$. Let the twisting τ be the central extension $\tilde{G} = r^* \text{Spin}^c(V)$, which corresponds to a class

$$[\tau] = c_1(\tilde{G}) = c_1(r^* \text{Spin}^c(V)) = r^* c_1(\text{Spin}^c(V)) \in H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}). \tag{28}$$

Exponentiating (25), the even G -component of the b -twisted ΠE -action on $U \otimes \mathbb{C}l(V)$ is given by

$$g(u \otimes \omega) = g(u) \otimes \tilde{r}(g) \cdot \omega \tag{29}$$

for $g \in \tilde{G}$, $u \in U$, and $\omega \in \mathbb{C}l(V)$. The odd components act on $U \otimes \mathbb{C}l(V)$ the same as they do in (26) and (27) above. In order that the action (29) descend to G , we see that U must be a projective representation of G with the opposite cocycle $-\tau$. However, since $[\tau]$ is the pullback of the generator of $H^2(\text{SO}(V); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, we have $[\tau] = -[\tau]$, so we can take

U to be a projective representation with cocycle τ . We now obtain the Lie group/supergroup version of the Thom isomorphism:

Proposition 5. *The map $U \mapsto U \otimes \text{Cl}(V)$, where U is a τ -twisted G -module and the action on the tensor product $U \otimes \text{Cl}(V)$ is given by (26), (27), (29), gives an additive group isomorphism*

$${}^\tau SR^*(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} {}^b SR^{*+\dim V}(\Pi E)$$

from the twisted super representation group of G to the b -twisted super representation group of ΠE .

In terms of twisted equivariant K -theory (with compact supports), we have ${}^b SR^*(\Pi E) \cong K_G^*(V)$, and this isomorphism is precisely the equivariant Thom isomorphism ${}^\tau K_G^*(\text{pt}) \cong K_G^{*+\dim V}(V)$ (see [16]). For even dimensional V , we can remove the degree shift in the Thom isomorphism by composing with the Bott periodicity isomorphism. Doing so gives a Thom class $[\mathbb{S}^V] \in {}^{b+\tau} SR(\Pi E)$ and an Euler class $[\mathbb{S}_0^V] - [\mathbb{S}_1^V] \in {}^\tau SR \cong {}^\tau K_G(\text{pt})$ in terms of the unique irreducible $\text{Cl}(V)$ -supermodule $\mathbb{S}^V = \mathbb{S}_0^V \oplus \mathbb{S}_1^V$. This Euler class plays a significant role in Sections 8 and 9 below.

For an alternative proof we can exponentiate the representation (23) to obtain an algebra homomorphism $s : \mathcal{E}_\tau(\tilde{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\tau(\tilde{G}) \otimes \text{Cl}(V)$ in terms of the twisted convolution algebra $\mathcal{E}_\tau(\tilde{G})$, induced by the group homomorphism $s(g) = g \otimes \tilde{r}(g)$ for $g \in \tilde{G}$. This extends to an algebra isomorphism

$$f : \mathcal{E}_\tau(\tilde{G}) \widehat{\otimes} \text{Cl}(V) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{E}_\tau(\tilde{G}) \otimes \text{Cl}(V),$$

given by

$$f : g \otimes \omega \mapsto r(g)(1 \otimes \omega) = g \otimes \tilde{r}(g) \cdot \omega$$

for $g \in \tilde{G}$ and $\omega \in \text{Cl}(V)$. Here, the multiplication on the semi-direct product $\mathcal{E}_\tau(\tilde{G}) \widehat{\otimes} \text{Cl}(V)$ is

$$(\phi \widehat{\otimes} v)(\psi \widehat{\otimes} w) = (\phi * \psi) \widehat{\otimes} (v \cdot r_\phi w)$$

for $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}_\tau(\tilde{G})$ and $v, w \in \text{Cl}(V)$, which gives us our desired relation $g \circ v \circ g^{-1} = r_g v$.

7. Restriction and induction

7.1. Pullbacks

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be an (even) homomorphism of unital associative superalgebras. If $r : B \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ is a representation of B on a super vector space V , then we can pull it back via f to obtain a representation $f^*r := r \circ f : A \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ of A on V . If the B -action on a B -module V is understood, then we write f^*V for the pulled back A -module. Recalling our definition of the super representation group from Section 3, the pullback gives

us a group homomorphism $f^* : F(B) \rightarrow F(A)$ on the free abelian groups generated by isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional supermodules. We also find that $f^*I(B) \subset I(A)$ for the subgroups generated by classes $[U] - [V] + [W]$ whenever there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$$

(with even maps). Indeed, if we have such an exact sequence of super vector spaces which is B -equivariant, then it is clearly also equivariant with respect to the pulled back A -actions. In addition, since $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an even map, the pullback of supermodules commutes with the parity reversal operator, $f^*\Pi = \Pi f^*$. It follows that f^* takes self-dual supermodules $V \cong \Pi V$ to self-dual supermodules $f^*V \cong \Pi f^*V$, giving us $f^*I_+(B) \subset I_+(A)$, and thus f^* descends to a homomorphism

$$f^* : SR(B) \rightarrow SR(A) \tag{30}$$

of the super representation groups. Incorporating Clifford algebras, we can extend f to a homomorphism $f : A \otimes \tilde{Cl}(n) \rightarrow B \otimes \tilde{Cl}(n)$, and so we likewise obtain a pullback homomorphism of the degree-shifted super representation groups. If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism of Hopf superalgebras, then the pullback (30) is a ring homomorphism, or a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded ring homomorphism including degree shifts. If the homomorphism f is an inclusion, then we call the pullback the restriction.

A homomorphism $f : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ of Lie superalgebras extends via the injection $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ to a Lie superalgebra homomorphism $f : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ and then further extends to a homomorphism $f : U(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ of the universal enveloping algebras. Similarly, a homomorphism $f : H \rightarrow G$ of Lie groups extends to a homomorphism $f : \mathcal{E}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G)$ of the convolution algebras (note that the convolution algebra is covariant as it is dual to the contravariant ring of smooth functions). The analogous statement holds for homomorphisms of Lie supergroups. We can therefore define pullback and restriction maps for the super representation rings of Lie superalgebras and Lie supergroups.

To construct pullbacks and restrictions of twisted super representation rings, we must pull back not only the representation but also the twisting. For groups, recall from Section 5.1 that if $r : G \rightarrow \text{PU}(V)$ is a projective representation of G , then its cocycle is the pullback $\tau = r^*(U(V))$. If $f : H \rightarrow G$ is a Lie group homomorphism, then $f^*r = r \circ f : H \rightarrow \text{PU}(V)$ is a projective representation of H with cocycle $(f^*r)^*(U(V)) = f^*(r^*(U(V))) = f^*\tau$. For Lie superalgebras, a projective representation of \mathfrak{g} is a representation of a central extension $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\tau$ with cocycle τ , such that the central generator I acts by $i \text{Id}$. Given a Lie superalgebra homomorphism $f : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, it lifts to a Lie superalgebra homomorphism $\tilde{f} : \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{f^*\tau} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\tau$ which maps the central generator $I_{\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}}$ to $I_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$. As a consequence, a τ -twisted projective representation of \mathfrak{g} pulls back to a $f^*\tau$ -twisted projective representation of \mathfrak{h} . In terms of the twisted universal enveloping algebra (17), we obtain an associative algebra homomorphism $f : U_{f^*\tau}(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow U_\tau(\mathfrak{g})$. Likewise, a homomorphism $f : H \rightarrow G$ of Lie (super)groups induces an associative algebra homomorphism $f : \mathcal{E}_{f^*\tau}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\tau(G)$ of the twisted convolution algebras defined in (19). Such maps induce pullbacks or restrictions

$$f^* : {}^\tau SR^*(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow {}^{f^*\tau} SR^*(\mathfrak{h}) \quad f^* : {}^\tau SR^*(G) \rightarrow {}^{f^*\tau} SR^*(H).$$

of the twisted super representation groups.

7.2. Pushforwards

If A is a unital associative algebra and V and W are finite-dimensional A -supermodules, we can define a symmetric bilinear pairing

$$\langle\langle V, W \rangle\rangle_A := \text{sdim Hom}_A(V, W) = \dim \text{Hom}_A(V, W)_0 - \dim \text{Hom}_A(V, W)_1,$$

where $\text{sdim } V = \dim V_0 - \dim V_1$ is the superdimension, in which we count both even and odd homomorphisms supersymmetrically. If $V \cong \Pi V$, then we see that $\langle\langle V, W \rangle\rangle_A = 0$ for any W , and thus $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_A$ descends to a pairing on the super representation group $SR(A)$. By Schur's Lemma, if V and W are irreducible A -supermodules, we have

$$\langle\langle V, W \rangle\rangle_A = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } V, W \text{ are type M and } V \cong W, \\ -1 & \text{if } V, W \text{ are type M and } V \cong \Pi W, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Recall that $SR(A)$ is a free abelian group on the (almost) canonical basis given in Section 3, consisting of one class $[M]$ for each pair $M, \Pi M$ of irreducibles of type M. This basis is then orthonormal with respect to the pairing $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_A$.⁵

In the spirit of Bott's paper [5], let $\widehat{SR}(A)$ denote the completion of $SR(A)$ with respect to the pairing $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_A$, by which we mean the additive group of formal, possibly infinite sums

$$\widehat{SR}(A) := \left\{ \sum_i a_i [V_i] \text{ for } a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \quad (31)$$

where $\{[V_i]\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $SR(A)$ consisting of irreducibles. The super representation group $SR(A)$ lies inside its completion $\widehat{SR}(A)$ as elements for which all but finitely many of the coefficients a_i vanish. Note that our pairing extends to a bilinear pairing $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_A : \widehat{SR}(A) \otimes SR(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, but that we cannot in general pair two elements of the completion $\widehat{SR}(A)$. If A is a Hopf algebra, then $SR(A)$ is a unital ring, and the multiplication on $SR(A)$ extends to a bilinear map $\widehat{SR}(A) \otimes SR(A) \rightarrow \widehat{SR}(A)$. However, we do not in general have a product on the completion $\widehat{SR}(A)$. Classes in this completion $\widehat{SR}(A)$ can be represented by infinite-dimensional A -supermodules which satisfy the following finiteness conditions: all their irreducible sub-supermodules must be finite-dimensional, and each finite-dimensional irreducible sub-supermodule appears with finite multiplicity.

Given a superalgebra homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$, we construct a pushforward homomorphism $f_* : \widehat{SR}(A) \rightarrow \widehat{SR}(B)$ which satisfies the Frobenius reciprocity law:

$$\langle\langle [V], f_*[W] \rangle\rangle_A = \langle\langle f_*[V], [W] \rangle\rangle_B \quad (32)$$

for an A -supermodule V and a B -supermodule W . In other words, we construct f_* as the adjoint to the pullback f^* with respect to the pairings $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$. Given a class $[V] \in \widehat{SR}(A)$ in the completion, in terms of a basis $\{[W_i]\}$ for $SR(B)$ of irreducibles, we define

$$f_*[V] := \sum_i \langle\langle [V], f^*[W_i] \rangle\rangle_A [W_i]. \quad (33)$$

⁵ Alternatively, the pairing $\langle\langle V, W \rangle\rangle_A := \dim \text{Hom}_A(V, W)_0 + \dim \text{Hom}_A(V, W)_1$ descends to the representation ring $R(A)$, but the canonical basis for $R(A)$ is not orthonormal, as $\langle\langle Q, Q \rangle\rangle_A = 2$ for an irreducible of type Q.

It follows immediately that this class $f_*[V]$ satisfies the Frobenius reciprocity law (32). We note that this pushforward map is always an additive group homomorphism, *not* a ring homomorphism.

Remark. Our homomorphism (33) of super representation groups is based on the map used by Bott in [5], but it can also be realized explicitly in terms of representations as the pushforward $f_*V = B \otimes_A V$. Indeed, if $f : H \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism of finite groups and V is a finite-dimensional representation of H , then its pushforward $f_*V = \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} V$ is likewise finite-dimensional. In such a case, the representation rings are themselves finite and thus remain unchanged upon completion. The two versions of the pushforward then agree: $[f_*V] = f_*[V]$. In general, the pushforward f_*V is usually infinite-dimensional, even if V is finite-dimensional, although these infinite-dimensional representations do satisfy the finiteness conditions necessary for them to determine classes in the completed representation group. In this paper we prefer to work with finite-dimensional representations, except to mention here the case underlying [5]: If $i : H \hookrightarrow G$ is an inclusion of compact Lie groups, then the pushforward of a finite-dimensional H -module V is

$$i_*V = \mathcal{E}(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{E}(H)} V = (\mathcal{E}(G) \otimes V)^H = \mathcal{E}(G \times_H V),$$

the space of distribution sections of the homogeneous vector bundle on G/H induced by V . We will revisit this geometric interpretation in Section 9.

Introducing degree shifts via Clifford algebras, we obtain \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded pushforward maps. When working with twisted and degree-shifted representations of Lie superalgebras and Lie supergroups, we likewise obtain pushforward homomorphisms

$$f_* : {}^*\widehat{SR}^*(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow {}^*\widehat{SR}^*(\mathfrak{g}), \quad f_* : {}^*\widehat{SR}^*(H) \rightarrow {}^*\widehat{SR}^*(G)$$

of additive groups, induced by Lie superalgebra homomorphisms $f : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ and Lie supergroup homomorphisms $f : H \rightarrow G$, respectively. If the homomorphism f is an inclusion, then we refer to the corresponding pushforward map as the induction map.

8. The Weyl–GKRS formula

Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple Lie algebra, and let \mathfrak{h} be a reductive Lie subalgebra with $\text{rank } \mathfrak{h} = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$. Let $i : \mathfrak{h} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ denote the inclusion of this equal rank subalgebra. Let b be an $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ -invariant inner product on \mathfrak{g} , such as the Killing form, with respect to which \mathfrak{g} decomposes as the direct sum of \mathfrak{h} and its orthogonal complement. The inner product b gives an $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ -invariant inner product on the dual space \mathfrak{g}^* , with respect to which \mathfrak{g}^* decomposes as the direct sum of \mathfrak{h}^* and the dual to the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{h} in \mathfrak{g} . The inner product b then restricts to an $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ -invariant inner product on \mathfrak{h}^* , which we also denote by b . Now, consider the coadjoint Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{g}^*$ and its Lie sub-superalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{h}^*$, with the inclusion denoted by $j : \mathfrak{h} \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{g}^*$.

We can now consider the following diagram of maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 SR(\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{g}}} & {}^b SR^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi \mathfrak{g}^*) \\
 \downarrow i^* & & \downarrow j^* \\
 SR(\mathfrak{h}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{h}}} & {}^b SR^{\dim \mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \Pi \mathfrak{h}^*),
 \end{array} \tag{34}$$

where the horizontal maps are Thom isomorphisms as described in Section 6.1, and the vertical maps are restriction maps as described in Section 7.1. Note that since \mathfrak{h} has maximal rank in \mathfrak{g} , we have $\dim \mathfrak{h} \equiv \dim \mathfrak{g} \pmod{2}$, so the degree shifts on the right-hand side of the diagram agree. (Strictly speaking, the map on the right side is j^* composed with the Bott periodicity isomorphism ${}^b SR^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi \mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow {}^b SR^{\dim \mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi \mathfrak{g}^*)$.) This diagram does *not* commute! In fact, we have

Theorem 6. *The Lie superalgebra restriction map $j^* : {}^b SR^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi \mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow {}^b SR^{\dim \mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \Pi \mathfrak{h}^*)$ pulls back via the Thom isomorphisms to a map $SR(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow SR(\mathfrak{h})$,*

$$SR(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{g}}} {}^b SR^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi \mathfrak{g}^*) \xrightarrow{j^* \circ \text{Bott}} {}^b SR^{\dim \mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \Pi \mathfrak{h}^*) \xrightarrow{(\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{h}})^{-1}} SR(\mathfrak{h}),$$

which is given by

$$[V] \in SR(\mathfrak{g}) \mapsto i^*[V]([\mathbb{S}_0] - [\mathbb{S}_1]) \in SR(\mathfrak{h}), \tag{35}$$

where $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_0 \oplus \mathbb{S}_1$ is the unique irreducible $\mathbb{C}l(\mathfrak{g}^*/\mathfrak{h}^*, b)$ -supermodule.

Proof. We begin with a \mathfrak{g} -supermodule V corresponding to $[V] \in SR(\mathfrak{g})$. Under the Thom isomorphism, it maps to the b -twisted $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi \mathfrak{g}^*$ -Clifford supermodule $\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{g}} V = V \otimes \mathbb{C}l(\mathfrak{g}^*, b)$, with actions (25)–(27). The Clifford algebra is multiplicative, factoring \mathfrak{h} -equivariantly as

$$\mathbb{C}l(\mathfrak{g}^*, b) \cong \mathbb{C}l(\mathfrak{h}^*, b) \tilde{\otimes} \mathbb{C}l(\mathfrak{g}^*/\mathfrak{h}^*, b) \cong \mathbb{C}l(\mathfrak{h}^*, b) \otimes \mathbb{C}l(\mathfrak{g}^*/\mathfrak{h}^*, b), \tag{36}$$

where for the second isomorphism we use the twofold periodicity of complex Clifford algebras to change the graded tensor product into an ungraded one. Since $\mathfrak{g}^*/\mathfrak{h}^*$ is even dimensional, its complex Clifford algebra is $\mathbb{C}l(\mathfrak{g}^*/\mathfrak{h}^*, b) \cong \text{End}(\mathbb{S})$, where $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_0 \oplus \mathbb{S}_1$ is the unique irreducible complex Clifford supermodule up to isomorphism (see [24]). The space of endomorphisms then decomposes as the tensor product $\text{End}(\mathbb{S}) \cong \mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S}^*$ with respect to the left and right actions. Combining this with (36), we obtain

$$\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{g}} V \cong V \otimes \mathbb{C}l(\mathfrak{h}^*, b) \otimes \mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S}^*,$$

where $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\mathbb{C}l(\dim \mathfrak{h})$ act on the $(V \otimes \mathbb{C}l(\mathfrak{h}^*)) \otimes \mathbb{S}$ factors, while only $\mathbb{C}l(\dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim \mathfrak{h})$ acts on the \mathbb{S}^* factor. Applying the Bott periodicity isomorphism eliminates the \mathbb{S}^* factor, and then restricting to $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \Pi \mathfrak{h}^*$, we regroup the terms to obtain:

$$j^* \circ \text{Bott} \circ \text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V) \cong (i^* V \otimes \mathbb{S}) \otimes \mathbb{C}l(\mathfrak{h}^*, b).$$

Undoing the last Thom isomorphism gives us

$$(\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{h}})^{-1} \circ j^* \circ \text{Bott} \circ \text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V) \cong i^* V \otimes \mathbb{S},$$

and finally, since $i^* V \otimes \mathbb{S} = (i^* V \otimes \mathbb{S}_0) \oplus (i^* V \otimes \mathbb{S}_1)$ is an \mathfrak{h} -supermodule, its class in the super representation ring is that of the virtual \mathfrak{h} -module

$$[i^* V \otimes \mathbb{S}_0] - [i^* V \otimes \mathbb{S}_1] = i^*[V]([\mathbb{S}_0] - [\mathbb{S}_1]) \in SR(\mathfrak{h}),$$

given by taking the formal direct difference of its even and odd components as in (4). \square

If V is an irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module, then its image under the map (35) was computed explicitly by Gross et al. [14]. Their formula, given in the following Theorem, reduces to the Weyl character formula when $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{t}$ is a Cartan subalgebra. Here, we assume that we have chosen a common Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, giving us a compatible Weyl groups $W_{\mathfrak{h}} \subset W_{\mathfrak{g}}$, and that we have chosen compatible systems of positive roots for \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{g} .

Theorem 7 (GKRS). *If V_{λ} is an irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight λ , then*

$$[i^* V_{\lambda} \otimes \mathbb{S}_0] - [i^* V_{\lambda} \otimes \mathbb{S}_1] = \sum_{c \in W_{\mathfrak{g}}/W_{\mathfrak{h}}} (-1)^c [U_{c(\lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}) - \rho_{\mathfrak{h}}}] \in K_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{pt}),$$

where U_{μ} denotes the irreducible \mathfrak{h} -module with highest weight μ , the weights $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\rho_{\mathfrak{h}}$ are half the sum of the positive roots of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} , respectively, and the representative c of each coset in $W_{\mathfrak{g}}/W_{\mathfrak{h}}$ is chosen so that the weight $c(\lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}) - \rho_{\mathfrak{h}}$ on the right hand side is dominant.

We also have a Lie group version of Theorem 6. Let G be a (connected) compact semisimple Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and let H , with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} , be an equal rank Lie subgroup of G . Let $i : H \hookrightarrow G$ denote the inclusion. We can then construct their parity reversed cotangent bundles, the Lie supergroups $\Pi(T^*G)$ and $\Pi(T^*H)$ with underlying even Lie groups G and H and associated Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{h}^*$, respectively. Let $j : \Pi(T^*H) \rightarrow \Pi(T^*G)$ denote the inclusion of the Lie supergroups (actually corresponding to inclusions of their underlying even Lie groups and associated Lie superalgebras). As before, we choose an Ad_G^* -invariant inner product b on \mathfrak{g}^* , which restricts to an Ad_H^* -invariant inner product on \mathfrak{h}^* which we also denote by b . This gives us our twistings on the odd components. We also have twistings $\tau_G = Ad^*Spin^c(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ and $\tau_H = Ad^*Spin^c(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ on the even components, constructed as in Section 6.2. The Lie group counterpart of (34) is the non-commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_G SR(G) & \xrightarrow{\text{Thom}_G} & {}^b SR^{\dim G}(\Pi(T^*G)) \\ \downarrow i^* & & \downarrow j^* \\ \tau_H SR(H) & \xrightarrow{\text{Thom}_H} & {}^b SR^{\dim H}(\Pi(T^*H)) \end{array}$$

and the Lie group counterpart of Theorem 6 is then:

Theorem 8. *The Lie supergroup restriction map $j^* : {}^b SR^{\dim G}(\Pi(T^*G)) \rightarrow {}^b SR^{\dim H}(\Pi(T^*H))$ pulls back via the Thom isomorphisms to a map ${}^{\tau_G} SR(G) \rightarrow {}^{\tau_H} SR(H)$,*

$${}^{\tau_G} SR(G) \xrightarrow{\text{Thom}_G} {}^b SR^{\dim G}(\Pi(T^*G)) \xrightarrow{j^* \circ \text{Bott}} {}^b SR^{\dim H}(\Pi(T^*H)) \xrightarrow{(\text{Thom}_H)^{-1}} {}^{\tau_H} SR(H),$$

which is given by

$$[V] \in {}^{\tau_G} SR(G) \mapsto i^*[V]([\mathbb{S}_0] - [\mathbb{S}_1]) \in {}^{\tau_H} SR(H),$$

where $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_0 \oplus \mathbb{S}_1$ is the unique irreducible $\text{Cl}(\mathfrak{g}^*/\mathfrak{h}^*, b)$ -supermodule.

Remark. We note that the class of the cocycle $[\tau_G] \in H^2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ satisfies $2[\tau_G] = 0$. So, if G is simply connected, or if $\pi_1(G)$ has no 2-torsion, then the class $[\tau_G]$ must vanish, and we can deal with actual G -modules rather than projective ones. Also, if $H = T$ is a maximal torus, then $H^3(BT; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, and thus the class $[\tau_T] \in H^2(T; \mathbb{Z})$ vanishes.

9. Dirac induction

We continue to use the notation of the previous section. Replacing the restriction maps in (34) with induction maps, we obtain a non-commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{SR}(\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{g}}} & {}^b \widehat{SR}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{g}^*) \\ \uparrow i_* & & \uparrow j_* \\ \widehat{SR}(\mathfrak{h}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{h}}} & {}^b \widehat{SR}^{\dim \mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{h}^*), \end{array}$$

where the Thom isomorphisms along the top and bottom are the extensions of the Thom isomorphisms on the super representation rings SR to their completions \widehat{SR} .

Theorem 9. *The Lie superalgebra induction map $j_* : {}^b \widehat{SR}^{\dim \mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{h}^*) \rightarrow {}^b \widehat{SR}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{g}^*)$ pulls back via the Thom isomorphisms to a map $\widehat{SR}(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \widehat{SR}(\mathfrak{g})$,*

$$\widehat{SR}(\mathfrak{h}) \xrightarrow{\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{h}}} {}^b \widehat{SR}^{\dim \mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{h}^*) \xrightarrow{j_* \circ \text{Bott}} {}^b \widehat{SR}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{g}^*) \xrightarrow{(\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{g}})^{-1}} \widehat{SR}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

which is given by

$$[U] \in \widehat{SR}(\mathfrak{h}) \mapsto i_*[U \otimes \mathbb{S}_0^*] - i_*[U \otimes \mathbb{S}_1^*] \in \widehat{SR}(\mathfrak{g}), \tag{37}$$

where $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_0 \oplus \mathbb{S}_1$ is the unique irreducible $\text{Cl}(\mathfrak{g}^*/\mathfrak{h}^*, b)$ -supermodule.

Proof. Consider classes $[U] \in \widehat{SR}(\mathfrak{h})$ and $[V] \in \widehat{SR}(\mathfrak{g})$. Applying the Thom isomorphisms, we obtain classes $\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{h}}[U] \in \widehat{SR}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{h}^*)$ and $\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{g}}[V] \in \widehat{SR}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{g}^*)$. Using the pairing $\widehat{SR} \otimes SR \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, the Frobenius reciprocity law (32) gives us

$$\langle j_* \text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{h}}[U], \text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{g}}[V] \rangle_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{g}^*} = \langle \text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{h}}[U], j^* \text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{g}}[V] \rangle_{\mathfrak{h} \oplus \Pi\mathfrak{h}^*}.$$

Since the Thom isomorphisms preserve the pairing, we can perform this computation on the Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} instead of their Lie superalgebra counterparts, and we obtain

$$\langle\langle (\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{g}})^{-1} j_* \text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{h}} [U], [V] \rangle\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle\langle [U], (\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{h}})^{-1} j_* \text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{g}} [V] \rangle\rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}.$$

Finally, applying Theorem 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle (\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{g}})^{-1} j_* \text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{h}} [U], [V] \rangle\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} &= \langle\langle [U], i_* [V] ([\mathbb{S}_0] - [\mathbb{S}_1]) \rangle\rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &= \langle\langle [U] ([\mathbb{S}_0^*] - [\mathbb{S}_1^*]), i_* [V] \rangle\rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &= \langle\langle i_* ([U \otimes \mathbb{S}_0^*] - [U \otimes \mathbb{S}_1^*]), [V] \rangle\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{g}})^{-1} j_* \text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{h}} [U] \in \widehat{SR}(\mathfrak{g})$, it is completely determined by its pairings with the classes $[V_i]$ of irreducibles in $SR(\mathfrak{g})$, and thus $(\text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{g}})^{-1} j_* \text{Thom}_{\mathfrak{h}} [U] = i_* [U \otimes \mathbb{S}_0^*] - i_* [U \otimes \mathbb{S}_1^*]$. \square

We also have a version for Lie groups, which incorporates the twistings τ_G and τ_H discussed in the last section. In the Lie group case we consider the non-commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_G \widehat{SR}(G) & \xrightarrow{\text{Thom}_G} & {}^b \widehat{SR}^{\dim G}(\Pi(T^*G)) \\ \uparrow i_* & & \uparrow j_* \\ \tau_H \widehat{SR}(H) & \xrightarrow{\text{Thom}_H} & {}^b \widehat{SR}^{\dim H}(\Pi(T^*H)) \end{array}$$

and our theorem takes the following form:

Theorem 10. *The Lie supergroup induction map $j_* : {}^b \widehat{SR}^{\dim H}(\Pi(T^*H)) \rightarrow {}^b \widehat{SR}^{\dim G}(\Pi(T^*G))$ pulls back via the Thom isomorphisms to a map $\tau^H \widehat{SR}(H) \rightarrow \tau^G \widehat{SR}(G)$,*

$$\tau^H \widehat{SR}(H) \xrightarrow{\text{Thom}_H} {}^b \widehat{SR}^{\dim H}(\Pi(T^*H)) \xrightarrow{j_* \circ \text{Bott}} {}^b \widehat{SR}^{\dim G}(\Pi(T^*G)) \xrightarrow{(\text{Thom}_G)^{-1}} \tau^G \widehat{SR}(G)$$

which is given by

$$[U] \in \tau^H \widehat{SR}(H) \mapsto i_* [U \otimes \mathbb{S}_0^*] - i_* [U \otimes \mathbb{S}_1^*] \in \tau^G \widehat{SR}(G),$$

where $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_0 \oplus \mathbb{S}_1$ is the unique irreducible $\mathbb{C}l(\mathfrak{g}^*/\mathfrak{h}^*, b)$ -supermodule.

In [5], Bott defines the induction map i_* for Lie group representations, giving a geometric interpretation in terms of the index of homogeneous elliptic operators. Recall the isomorphism $R(H) \rightarrow K_G(G/H)$, which assigns to a finite-dimensional H -module U the homogeneous vector bundle $G \times_H U$ over the coset space G/H . The space of L^2 -sections $\Gamma(G \times_H U)$ is an infinite-dimensional G -module satisfying the finiteness conditions of Section 7.2, whose class in the completed representation ring gives the induced representation:

$$[\Gamma(G \times_H U)] = i_* [U] \in \widehat{SR}(G).$$

Indeed, for each finite-dimensional G -module V , we have the Frobenius reciprocity isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_G(\Gamma(G \times_H U), V) \cong \text{Hom}_H(U, i^* V), \tag{38}$$

corresponding to the defining identity (32) for the induction map. In addition, it follows from the Peter–Weyl theorem that (38) gives a complete description of $\Gamma(G \times_H U)$. In particular, all of its irreducible subrepresentations are finite-dimensional.

Bott’s theorem then says that the index of an elliptic homogeneous differential operator is simply the difference of its domain and codomain, regardless of the operator itself:

Theorem 11 (Bott). *Given H -modules U_1 and U_2 , if $D : \Gamma(G \times_H U_1) \rightarrow \Gamma(G \times_H U_2)$ is an elliptic homogeneous differential operator, then its G -equivariant index is*

$$\text{Index}_G D = [\Gamma(G \times_H U_1)] - [\Gamma(G \times_H U_2)] = i_*([U_1] - [U_2]) \in \widehat{SR}(G),$$

and furthermore, the index is actually a finite element in $SR(G) \subset \widehat{SR}(G)$.

In particular, if G/H is spin, we can consider the Dirac operator $\hat{\varphi}^{G/H}$. The tangent bundle of G/H is $T(G/H) \cong G \times_H (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})$, and it follows that the spin bundle is $S \cong G \times_H \mathbb{S}$, which decomposes into the two half-spin bundles $S = S^+ \oplus S^-$ given by $S^+ \cong G \times_H \mathbb{S}_0$ and $S^- \cong G \times_H \mathbb{S}_1$. Given any finite-dimensional H -module U , we can consider the Dirac operator with values in $G \times_H U$,

$$\hat{\varphi}_U^{G/H} : \Gamma(G \times_H (\mathbb{S}_0^* \otimes U)) \rightarrow \Gamma(G \times_H (\mathbb{S}_1^* \otimes U)). \quad (39)$$

This is an elliptic homogeneous differential operator, so applying Bott’s Theorem we obtain

$$\text{Index}_G \hat{\varphi}_U^{G/H} = i_*[U \otimes \mathbb{S}_0^*] - i_*[U \otimes \mathbb{S}_1^*] \in SR(G). \quad (40)$$

Even if G/H is not spin, when it is Spin^c we can construct Dirac operators of the form (39) for $(\tau_H - i^* \tau_G)$ -twisted projective representations. Comparing the index (40) with Theorem 10, we get

Theorem 12. *The Lie supergroup induction map restricts to an additive homomorphism on the uncompleted representation groups, $j_* : {}^b SR^{\dim H}(\Pi(T^*H)) \rightarrow {}^b SR^{\dim G}(\Pi(T^*G))$, which pulls back via the Thom isomorphisms to*

$$(\text{Thom}_G)^{-1} \circ j_* \circ \text{Thom}_H = \text{Index}_G \hat{\varphi}_\bullet^{G/H} : \tau_H - i^* \tau_G SR(H) \rightarrow SR(G),$$

the Dirac induction map.

This Dirac induction map is related to the holomorphic induction map of the Borel–Weil–Bott theorem, which takes an H -module U to the space of holomorphic sections of $G \times_H U$. In order to apply holomorphic induction, the coset space G/H must be a complex homogeneous space, such as occurs when $H = T$ is a maximal torus in G . In contrast, Dirac induction requires only a Spin^c -structure on G/H , which is a weaker condition. On the other hand, the space of holomorphic sections is just the degree 0 component of the Dolbeault cohomology $H_{\bar{0}}^*(G/H; U)$, which associates a \mathbb{Z} -graded G -module to each H -module U . When considering harmonic spinors, we obtain only a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded G -module consisting of the kernel and cokernel of the Dirac operator $\hat{\varphi}_U^{G/H}$. The G -index (40) then recovers the Euler characteristic of the Dolbeault complex (up to a ρ -shift resulting from tensoring with

the canonical complex line bundle of G/H). Thus, the presence of a complex structure on G/H allows us to extend the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading to a richer \mathbb{Z} -grading.

In analogy to the Borel–Weil–Bott theorem, we can use Theorem 7 to compute the Dirac induction map applied to the class of an irreducible H -module U_μ with highest weight λ . Using the same notation as we developed for Theorem 7, we obtain:

Theorem 13. *If U_μ is an irreducible H -module with highest weight μ , then*

$$(\text{Thom}_G)^{-1} j_* \text{Thom}_H[U_\mu] = \text{Index}_G \hat{\partial}_{U_\mu}^{G/H} = (-1)^c [V_{c(\mu + \rho_H) - \rho_G}],$$

if there exists a Weyl group element $c \in W_G$ such that $c(\mu + \rho_H) - \rho_G$ is dominant, or 0 otherwise.

See [20] for a quick proof based on Theorem 7. This result is a weak version of the Borel–Weil–Bott theorem which holds for all equal rank subgroups $H \subset G$, not just the cases where G/H is complex. While the Borel–Weil–Bott theorem gives us an induced representation in a specific integer degree in the Dolbeault cohomology, the representation given by Dirac induction carries only a \mathbb{Z}_2 -degree given by the sign $(-1)^c$. Nevertheless, this Dirac induction is extremely useful in representation theory, as it allows us to explicitly construct any finite-dimensional G -module as a space of harmonic spinors on G/H .

Remark. In [29,30], Slebarski proved a stronger version of Theorem 13 by computing not just the index, but in fact the kernel and cokernel of the Dirac operator for a 1-parameter family of connections on G/H . For one particular choice of connection, referred to as the “reductive connection” by Slebarski and constructed independently as part of the “cubic” Dirac operator by Alekseev and Meinrenken in [1] and Kostant in [17], we find that the index lies completely in the kernel or the cokernel, giving a vanishing theorem similar to that of the Borel–Weil–Bott theorem. Indeed, Kostant uses his cubic Dirac operator in [18] to give an alternative proof of the Lie algebra cohomology version of the Borel–Weil–Bott theorem.

References

- [1] A. Alekseev, E. Meinrenken, The non-commutative Weil algebra, *Invent. Math.* 139 (1) (2000) 135–172 (MR1728878 (2001j:17022)).
- [2] M.F. Atiyah, R. Bott, A. Shapiro, Clifford modules, *Topology* 3 (Suppl. 1) (1964) 3–38 (MR0167985).
- [3] M.F. Atiyah, G.B. Segal, Equivariant K -theory and completion, *J. Differential Geom.* 3 (1969) 1–18 (MR0259946 (41 #4575)).
- [4] M.F. Atiyah, G.B. Segal, Twisted K -theory, [arXiv:math.KT/0407054](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.KT/0407054).
- [5] R. Bott, The index theorem for homogeneous differential operators. *Differential and Combinatorial Topology (A Symposium in Honor of Marston Morse)*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1965, pp. 167–186, MR0182022 (31 #6246).
- [6] J. Brundan, A. Kleshchev, Hecke–Clifford superalgebras crystals of type $A_{2l}^{(2)}$ and modular branching rules for $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$, *Represent Theory* 5 (2001) 317–403 ((electronic), MR1870595 (2002j:17024)).
- [7] B. DeWitt, *Supermanifolds*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984 (xiv+316 pp., ISBN: 0-521-25850-2, MR0778559 (87b:58007)).

- [8] P. Deligne, J.W. Morgan, Notes on supersymmetry (following Joseph Bernstein), Quantum Fields and Strings: a Course for Mathematicians, vols. 1, 2 (Princeton, NJ, 1996/1997), American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, 1999, pp. 41–97, MR1701597 (2001g:58007).
- [9] P. Donovan, M. Karoubi, Graded Brauer groups and K -theory with local coefficients, Inst. Hautes études Sci. Publ. Math. 38 (1970) 5–25 (MR0282363 (43 #8075)).
- [10] D.S. Freed, Five Lectures on Supersymmetry, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999 (viii+119 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-1953-4 MR1707282).
- [11] D.S. Freed, Twisted K -theory and loop groups, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, vol. III (Beijing, 2002), Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002, pp. 419–430, MR1957550 (2003k:19008) arXiv:math.AT/0206237.
- [12] D. Freed, M. Hopkins, C. Teleman, Twisted Equivariant K -theory with Complex Coefficients, arXiv:math.AT/0206257.
- [13] D. Freed, M. Hopkins, C. Teleman, Twisted K -theory and Loop Group Representations I, arXiv:math.AT/0312155.
- [14] B. Gross, B. Kostant, P. Ramond, S. Sternberg, The Weyl character formula, the half-spin representations, and equal rank subgroups, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95 (15) (1998) 8441–8442 ((electronic), MR1639139 (99f:17007)).
- [15] V.G. Kac, Lie superalgebras, Adv. Math. 26 (1) (1977) 8–96 (MR0486011).
- [16] M. Karoubi, Sur la K -théorie équivariante. (French) Séminaire Heidelberg–Saarbrücken–Strasbourg sur la K -théorie (1967/68), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 136, Springer, Berlin, 1970, 187–253, MR0268253 (42 #3152).
- [17] B. Kostant, A cubic Dirac operator and the emergence of Euler number multiplets of representations for equal rank subgroups, Duke Math. J. 100 (3) (1999) 447–501 (MR1719734 (2001k:22032)).
- [18] B. Kostant, A generalization of the Bott–Borel–Weil theorem and Euler number multiplets of representations, Conférence Moshé Flato 1999 (Dijon), Lett. Math. Phys. 52 (1) (2000) 61–78 (MR1800491 (2001m:22028)).
- [19] B. Kostant, S. Sternberg, Symplectic reduction BRS cohomology, and infinite-dimensional Clifford algebras, Ann. Physics 176 (1) (1987) 49–113 (MR0893479 (88m:58057)).
- [20] G.D. Landweber, Harmonic spinors on homogeneous spaces, Represent. Theory 4 (2000) 466–473 ((electronic), MR1780719 (2001i:22016), arXiv:math.DG/0005056).
- [21] G.D. Landweber, Multiplets of representations and Kostant’s Dirac operator for equal rank loop groups, Duke Math. J. 110 (1) (2001) 121–160 (MR1861090 (2002h:17028), arXiv:math.RT/0005057).
- [22] G.D. Landweber, Representation rings of Lie superalgebras, arXiv:math.RT/0403203.
- [23] G.D. Landweber, Dirac operators on homogeneous loop spaces and elliptic genera, in preparation.
- [24] H.B. Lawson, M.-L. Michelsohn, Spin Geometry, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 38. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989, ISBN: 0-691-08542-0, MR1031992 (91g:53001).
- [25] J.W. Milnor, J.C. Moore, On the structure of Hopf algebras, Ann. Math. 81 (2) (1965) 211–264 (MR0174052 (30 #4259)).
- [26] C.C. Moore, Extensions and low dimensional cohomology theory of locally compact groups, I, II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 113 (1964) 40–63;
C.C. Moore, Extensions and low dimensional cohomology theory of locally compact groups, I, II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 113 (1964) 64–86 (MR0171880 (30 #2106)).
- [27] C.C. Moore, Extensions and low-dimensional cohomology theory of locally compact groups, III, IV, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 221 (1) (1976) 1–33;
C.C. Moore, Extensions and low-dimensional cohomology theory of locally compact groups, III, IV, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 221 (1) (1976) 35–58 (MR0414775, MR0414776 (54 #2867, #2868)).
- [28] G. Segal, Equivariant K -theory, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 34 (1968) 129–151 (MR0234452 (38 #2769)).
- [29] S. Slebarski, The Dirac operator on homogeneous spaces and representations of reductive Lie groups, I, Amer. J. Math. 109 (2) (1987) 283–301 (MR0882424 (89a:22028)).
- [30] S. Slebarski, The Dirac operator on homogeneous spaces and representations of reductive Lie groups, II, Amer. J. Math. 109 (3) (1987) 499–520 (MR0892596 (88g:22015)).
- [31] C. Teleman, Lie algebra cohomology and the fusion rules, Comm. Math. Phys. 173 (2) (1995) 265–311 (MR1355626 (97f:17028)).