Catalytic activities of hammerhead ribozymes with a triterpenoid linker instead of stem/loop II
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Abstract A minizyme is a hammerhead ribozyme with short oligonucleotide linkers instead of stem/loop II. In a previous study we demonstrated that a minizyme with high-level activity forms a dimeric structure with a common stem II (Amontov and Taira, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 118 (1996) 1624-1628). As a continuation of this study, we recently examined whether a short oligonucleotide linker at stem/loop II could be replaced by a triterpenoid linker and whether the resulting minizymes with bulky hydrophobic groups would form dimeric structures. In contrast to the minizyme with high-level activity that we characterized in the previous study, minizymes that contained a triterpenoid group had low cleavage activities. The nature of the dependence of the activity on the concentration of ribozyme revealed that these minizymes with a triterpenoid group do not form dimeric structures. Thus, the low activities of these structures can be attributed to their failure to form dimers.
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1. Introduction

Hammerhead ribozymes are a class of catalytic RNAs. They were first recognized as RNAs with a sequence motif that consisted of three duplex stems and a conserved 'core' of two non-helical segments that were responsible for the self-cleavage of the satellite RNAs of certain viruses [1]. Thus, natural hammerhead ribozymes are cis-acting ribozymes. Later Uhlenbeck [2] and Haseloff and Gerlach [3] designed trans-acting hammerhead ribozymes with an antisense section (stem I and stem III) and a catalytic domain closed by a hairpin loop [3]. Such structures can cleave oligoribonucleotides at specific sites (most effectively at GUC) [4-8]. This specific endoribonucleolytic activity of trans-acting hammerhead ribozymes makes them attractive as potential therapeutic agents.

Hammerhead ribozymes are among the most extensively studied ribozymes in terms of both reaction mechanism and structure. Mg$^{2+}$ ions play a pivotal role in the cleavage of RNA by hammerhead ribozymes. The mechanism whereby Mg$^{2+}$ ions mediate such cleavage in ribozyme-mediated reactions in general has been examined by numerous authors [9-18]. Another important function of Mg$^{2+}$ ions is their pivotal role in the folding that yields the correct active conformation of the ribozyme [19,20]. Recent crystallographic studies have also shed light on a number of very important details of the tertiary structure of hammerhead ribozymes [21,22].

We showed recently that hammerhead minizymes with short oligonucleotide linkers instead of a stem-loop II region can form homo- or heterodimers that are very active (Fig. 1b) [23]. These minizymes seem to form divalent structures with two catalytic centers, two binding sites and a single, common stem II (Fig. 1b). The activity of a homodimeric minizyme was found to be similar to that of the full-sized ribozyme [23,24]. Such dimeric hammerhead structures have a number of additional advantages, in particular they are very compact divalent structures that (in the case of heterodimers) can be targeted individually to two different cleavage sites. We designed analogous heterodimeric structures in which the sequences of binding sites were different and which could, thus, simultaneously cleave a single substrate at two independent sites [25].

One problem associated with dimeric minizymes is that the stability of the dimeric structures (which can be characterized by the $K_d$ of the dimerization process) depends on a number of factors, such as the concentration of Mg$^{2+}$ ions, interactions with substrates and within both catalytic cores, and the stability of the stem II (formed intermolecularly) of the hammerhead dimers [23-25]. Stem II is not a region that is conserved in hammerhead dimers and, thus, it should be possible to introduce considerable modifications into this region that might enhance the stability of the entire dimeric structure. We are using several different approaches in attempt to stabilize the dimeric structures [25]. One possibility is to introduce hydrophobic groups that would stabilize stem II via strong hydrophobic interactions. In the present study we introduced a triterpenoid group as such a hydrophobic moiety. Such kind of steroid-like group has an important potential advantage: such a group could play the role of messenger to facilitate the delivery of such compounds to cells via the system that normally delivers steroid hormones in the blood stream to the specific steroid receptors of cells.

We report here that, in contrast to the minizyme with high-level activity investigated in previous studies [23,24], hammerheads that contain a triterpenoid group have low cleavage activities. The nature of the dependence of catalytic activity on the concentration of ribozyme revealed that minizymes with a triterpenoid group do not form dimeric structures. It appears, therefore, that dimeric structures are mandatory for the establishment of minizymes with high-level activity.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals

Pyridine and acetonitrile (HPLC grade) were dried over calcium hydride. Nucleoside β-cyanomethylphosphoramidite reagents (A, B, C, U) were obtained from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA). Column chromatography on silica gel was carried out using Wakogel C-200 (Wako, Osaka). Thin-layer chromatography was carried out on
2-Cyanoethylphosphoramidite was synthesized by the scheme shown in Fig. 2A, as described in detail below.

2.3. Synthesis of compound 2 (reduction by LiAIH₄ of glycyrrhetinic acid)

Three grams of LiAlH₄ (LAH) were suspended in 100 ml of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF). To this solution, 100 ml of a solution of 2.0 g of 18β-glycyrrhetinic acid in anhydrous THF were added and the solution was stirred for 15 min at room temperature. Three grams of LiAIH₄ (LAH) were suspended in 100 ml of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF). To this solution, 100 ml of a solution of 2.0 g of 18β-glycyrrhetinic acid in anhydrous THF were added and the solution was stirred for 15 min at room temperature. The excess LAH and the metallic complexes were decomposed by the careful addition of 3 ml of water, 3 ml of 15% sodium hydroxide, and then 9 ml of water. The solution was evaporated to dryness. White crystals of compound 2 were obtained by recrystallization from methanol (1.1 g, 2.41 mmol, 57%). Results of analysis were as follows. \( \delta \text{H-NMR} \delta \) 0.50 (s, 3 H), 0.80 (s, 3 H), 0.82 (s, 3 H), 1.09 (s, 3 H), 1.21 (s, 3 H), 1.37 (s, 3 H), 0.80–2.05 (m, 21 H), 3.22 (dd, 1 H, J = 9.6, 5.7 Hz), 3.47 (d, 1 H, J = 10.8 Hz), 3.54 (d, 1 H, J = 10.8 Hz), 4.28 (brs, 1 H), 5.29 (d, 1 H, J = 4.2 Hz), \( \delta \text{C-NMR} \delta \) (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) 15.56, 18.10, 18.17, 19.37, 25.26, 26.38, 27.04, 27.12, 27.37, 28.35, 28.45, 29.52, 32.27, 33.21, 35.55, 36.34, 38.29, 38.68, 39.75, 39.50, 41.86, 42.52, 46.49, 52.48, 55.75, 66.63, 66.74, 79.06, 126.04, 147.97. HR-FABMS (positive ion) m/z 457.3681 (M+H, 459.3838; calculated for \( \text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{51}\text{O}_{3} \)).

2.4. Synthesis of compound 3 (oxidation of compound 2 by MnO₂)

An aliquot of 1.0 g of compound 2 was dissolved in 200 ml of \( \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \). Seven grams of MnO₂ were added to the solution and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. \( \text{MnO}_2 \) was removed by filtration and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. White crystals of compound 3 were obtained by recrystallization from methanol (553 mg, 1.22 mmol, 51%). Results of analysis were as follows. \( \delta \text{H-NMR} \delta \) 0.50 (s, 3 H), 0.80 (s, 3 H), 0.82 (s, 3 H), 0.97 (s, 3 H), 1.09 (s, 3 H), 1.10 (s, 3 H), 1.35 (s, 3 H), 0.62–2.10 (m, 19 H), 2.30 (s, 1 H), 2.75 (m, 1 H), 3.20 (dd, 1 H, J = 9.8, 5.7 Hz), 3.44 (d, 1 H, J = 11.2 Hz), 3.59 (d, 1 H, J = 11.2 Hz), 5.56 (s, 1 H). \( \delta \text{C-NMR} \delta \) (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) 15.71, 18.10, 18.70, 19.37, 25.26, 26.38, 27.04, 27.12, 27.37, 28.35, 28.45, 29.52, 32.27, 33.21, 35.55, 36.34, 38.29, 38.68, 39.75, 39.50, 41.86, 42.52, 46.49, 52.48, 55.75, 66.63, 66.74, 79.06, 126.04, 147.97. HR-FABMS (positive ion) m/z 457.3681 (M+H, 459.3838; calculated for \( \text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{51}\text{O}_{3} \)).

2.5. Synthesis of compound 4

Compound 3 (295 mg, 0.67 mmol) was dried 3 times by co-evaporation with dry pyridine. The residue was dissolved in 10 ml of dry pyridine, and then 480 mg (1.42 mmol) of 4,4'-dimethoxytrityl chloride was added. The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness, and then the residue was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and water. The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and evaporated to dryness. The volume of a solution of 100 mM EDTA, 9 M urea, 0.1% xylene cyanol, and 0.1% bromophenol blue. Substrates and 5'-cleaved products were separated by electrophoresis on a 20% polyacrylamide/7 M urea denaturing gel and were detected by autoradiography. The extent of cleavage was determined by quantitation of radioactivity in the bands of the substrate and product with a Bio-Image Analyzer (BA2000; Fuji Film, Tokyo).

3. Results and discussion

Hammerhead minizymes with short oligonucleotide linkers instead of a stem-loop II region were found previously to form homo- or heterodimers, some of which were as active as the parental wild-type hammerhead ribozyme (Fig. 1b) [23]. They formed divalent structures with two catalytic centers, two binding sites and one common stem II in which the first base pair (G10.1 :C11.1) was required for retention of maximum activity (Fig. 1b) [23–25, 30–32]. The first G10.1 :C11.1 pair in stem II appears to provide a binding site for a structurally important Mg²⁺ ion [21, 22]. Other parts of the stem II region could be replaced by non-nucleotide linkers, such as polyethyleneglycols [30–32]. In some cases, the possibility was not excluded that ribozymes with polyethyleneglycol linkers instead of a stem-loop II region may form dimeric structures [23]. Therefore, we synthesized another type of ribozyme, with a trimeroid linker instead of the stem-loop II region, and we examined the activities of and the possibility of the formation of dimers by such modified ribozymes. Four such ribozymes, designated Mz-0-Tp-0, Mz-0-Tp-C, Mz-G-Tp-0 and Mz-G-Tp-C (Fig. 2B), were characterized in this study. Only the last variant was expected to retain the first catalytic site of锤head hairpin ribozyme. Among the ribozymes, Mz-G-Tp-C was found to be the most active and to form dimeric structures with high concentrations of ribozyme (0.1–10 μM).

Reactions were stopped by removal of aliquots from the reaction mixture at appropriate intervals and mixing them with an equivalent volume of a solution of 100 mM EDTA, 9 M urea, 0.1% xylene cyanol, and 0.1% bromophenol blue. Substrates and 5'-cleaved products were separated by electrophoresis on a 20% polyacrylamide/7 M urea denaturing gel and were detected by autoradiography. The extent of cleavage was determined by quantitation of radioactivity in the bands of the substrate and product with a Bio-Image Analyzer (BA2000; Fuji Film, Tokyo).

As in our previous study, we used single-turnover conditions to examine the activities and kinetic behaviors of ribo-
zymes that contained a triterpenoid group. The rate constants that can be calculated from results of kinetic experiments under single-turnover conditions provide the most direct characterization of the catalytic competence of an enzyme-substrate complex. In particular, in the case of multimolecular systems, such as the dimeric hammerhead system, with its four independent components (the two catalytic molecules that form the divalent hammerhead structure itself and the two molecules of substrate that interact with this dimeric hammerhead structure), single-turnover conditions not only allow us to draw reliable conclusions about the chemical step of the catalytic cleavage reaction, but, sometimes, they also simplify the complicated kinetic picture that is a consequence of the multimolecular character of the enzyme [23–25]. Conversely, if the active unit of the enzyme is a single molecule, single-turnover conditions can allow us to characterize the monomolecular nature of the enzymatically active unit.

Fig. 1. Structures of a wild-type ribozyme (a) and minizymes with high-level activity (Mz-GC; b). Dimerization of the minizymes, Mz-GC, is also shown. (For clarity, two different kinds of letters are used to denote the same Mz-GC.)

Fig. 2. (A) Scheme for the synthesis of the triterpenoid phosphoramidite. Reagents used in each step were: a, LiAlH₄/THF, 57%; b, MnO₂/CH₂Cl₂, 51%; c, DMTrCl/pyridine, 58%; d, P(N-iPr₂)₂O(CH₂)₂CN, tetrazole. See text for full details. (B) Monomeric structures of four different chimeric ribozymes that contained a triterpenoid group (Tp). The chemical structure of the triterpenoid is shown at the bottom.
We found that, in contrast to the dimeric hammerhead structures investigated in our previous study (Mz-GC and Mz-GCGC) [23], the new variants of hammerheads with a triterpenoid linker did not have high cleavage activity (Fig. 3). We expected that Mz-0-Tp-0 would be inactive because of the absence of the G10.1:G11.1 base pair, which is an important component of the hammerhead catalytic center [28–31]. The low activity of the other two hammerheads (Mz-G-Tp-0 and Mz-0-Tp-C) was also expected for the same reason: a point deletion in the G10.1, C11.1 region. We originally designed these compounds as negative controls. However, we found that Mz-G-Tp-C also had low activity (Fig. 3).

There are several different explanations for the low activity of the Mz-G-Tp-C ribozyme. (1) The monomers might form a dimeric structure analogous to that of Mz-GC (dimers in which each of the two oligonucleotides is involved in formation of half the catalytic core at each site; antiparallel mode of interaction) (Fig. 4a), but the efficiency of the cleavage reaction catalyzed by the corresponding hammerhead dimer is low as a result of the imperfect conformation of the hammerhead catalytic core that is caused by steric hindrance by the bulky hydrophobic linker. (2) The Mz-G-Tp-C ribozyme might not form a dimer. In this case, the low activity of all three structures (Mz-0-Tp-0, Mz-G-Tp-0 and Mz-G-Tp-C) could be attributed to the monomeric ribozyme structure, since we found in our previous study that a number of minizymes with low-level activities were incapable of forming a common stem II because of mismatched base pairs [23]. (3) Bulky triterpenoid linkers have a very strong tendency to develop mutual hydrophobic interaction, and it is quite probable that monomers might form another type of dimer (dimers in which each of the two oligonucleotides is involved in formation of one unit of the catalytic core of the dimer; parallel mode of interaction) (Fig. 4b). In such cases, the hammerhead catalytic cores of the monomers do not participate in the dimerization process and, thus, the conformation of the catalytic core of each dimer most likely remains identical to that of the corresponding monomers. The activity of such dimers is likely to be the same as that of the monomers.

To clarify the situation, we investigated the dependence of activity on the concentration of Mz-G-Tp-C, to examine whether Mz-G-Tp-C could form a dimeric structure (Fig. 3). The results of our analysis are shown in Fig. 5. In the case of the potentially active Mz-G-Tp-C and Mz-G-Tp-0 hammerheads, their cleavage activities under single-turnover conditions remained almost constant over a range of ribozyme concentrations from 100 nM, which is approximately 4–5 times higher than the Km for the ribozyme-substrate Michaelis complex of the ribozyme with the same binding site (the Km was 20 nM [7,26]), to 10 μM, which is a concentration that is significantly higher than the Km for the substrate-hammerhead ribozyme complex and, thus, the activity should be concentration-independent.

Fig. 4. Two possible dimerization processes. (a) The dimerization is driven by the interaction between the two linkers in an antiparallel mode and elements of the catalytic core of both monomers are involved in formation of the dimer. (b) The dimerization is driven only by the interaction between the two linkers in a parallel mode.
cannot exclude, from our kinetic data (Fig. 5), the ‘parallel’ type of the dimeric structure (Fig. 4b), there is no reason to believe that ‘parallel’ type of dimers (Fig. 4b) are significantly more stable than ‘antiparallel’ type of dimers (Fig. 4a). The approximate evaluation of $k_{cat}$ gave a value of about $10^{-3}$ min$^{-1}$. This value is in the same range as that for other minizymes with low activity [23]. Nonetheless, it was surprising to us, that despite the overall low activity of Mz-G-Tp-0, Mz-0-Tp-C and Mz-G-Tp-C, the Mz-0-Tp-C construct was still several-fold more active then the others (Fig. 3).

We failed to design a highly active dimeric hammerhead structure that was stabilized by the interaction of two hydrophobic linkers. It is likely that the hydrophobic triterpenoid group was not able to stabilize the dimeric form of the hammerhead structure. Nevertheless, we cannot exclude the possibility that other hydrophobic linkers, introduced into the stem II region of the dimer with minimal disturbance of the active conformation of the dimeric structure, might yield highly active and stable dimeric hammerhead constructs.
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