JOURNAL OF APPROXIMATION THEORY 24, 18-34 (1978)

# Polynomial Interpolation in Several Complex Variables

D. W. MASSER

Department of Mathematics, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, England

Communicated by Oved Shisha Received November 23, 1976

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we shall prove two interpolation theorems about polynomials in several complex variables. Our results will be applied elsewhere to a problem of Diophantine approximation involving Abelian functions. They are presented here separately on account of their possible independent interest.

For a positive integer *n* we denote by  $\mathbb{C}^n$  the complex *n*-space equipped with the Euclidean norm  $|\mathbf{z}|$  defined for  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, ..., z_n)$  by

$$|\mathbf{z}|^2 = |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2.$$

Let  $P(\mathbf{z}) = P(z_1, ..., z_n)$  be a polynomial in  $z_1, ..., z_n$  with complex coefficients. In the first half of this paper we consider the question of determining the general growth of  $P(\mathbf{z})$  from its behaviour on a given set  $\mathscr{S}$ . More precisely, let  $\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S})$  denote the supremum of  $|P(\mathbf{z})|$  on a bounded set  $\mathscr{S}$ , and write  $\mathscr{D}^n$  for the unit polydisc defined by the inequalities

$$|z_1| \leq 1, \ldots, |z_n| \leq 1.$$

We shall obtain fairly good estimates for  $\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{D}^n)$  in terms of  $\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S})$ provided  $\mathscr{S}$  satisfies certain conditions. Our main result (Theorem A) is concerned with finite sets  $\mathscr{S}$ , although to establish this result we shall also have to investigate analogous problems for sets of positive measure.

In Appendix 2 of my thesis [5] I proved the following theorem, in which  $\mathscr{B}$  denotes the unit ball defined by  $|\mathbf{z}| \leq 1$ . Let  $\mathscr{S}$  be a finite subset of  $\mathscr{B}$  containing *m* points with minimum distance between distinct points at least  $\delta \leq 1$ , and suppose  $P(\mathbf{z})$  is of degree at most *d* in each variable. Then there are positive constants  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ , depending only on *n*, such that if

$$n\delta^{2n-2} \geqslant c_1 d \tag{1}$$

7

then the absolute values of the coefficients of P(z) do not exceed

$$(c_2 d/\delta)^{nd} \mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S}). \tag{2}$$

It is not difficult to deduce a similar bound for  $\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{D}^n)$  with, say,  $2c_2$  instead of  $c_2$ .

Now in applying this result for large d it is impossible to avoid a factor of the order  $d^a$  in (2). Theorem A shows that in favourable circumstances we can replace this by a factor of the order  $c_3^a$  for some  $c_3$  independent of d. Although this is only a slight improvement, it represents a best possible dependence on d; for example, the polynomial  $P(z_1,...,z_n) = 2^{nd}z_1^a \dots z_n^d$  satisfies  $\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S}) \leq 1$  for any finite subset  $\mathscr{S}$  of the polydisc  $|z_i| \leq \frac{1}{2}$   $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ . The exact statement of our result is as follows, in which the separation of a finite set  $\mathscr{S}$  is defined (not quite as in [5]) as the minimum distance between distinct points of  $\mathscr{S}$ .

THEOREM A. Let  $\mathscr{S}$  be a finite subset of  $\mathscr{B}$  with cardinality m > 1 and separation  $\delta$  satisfying

$$m\delta^{2n-2} \geqslant 2^{7n}d, \qquad m\delta^{2n} \geqslant n^n\theta^2$$

for some positive integer d and some positive number  $\theta$ . Then for any polynomial  $P(\mathbf{z})$  of degree at most d in each variable we have

$$\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant (2^{10n}/\theta)^{nd} \mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S}).$$

It follows immediately from Cauchy's integral formula (see Lemma 1 below) that the same inequality holds for the absolute values of the coefficients of P(z). Also by taking the maximum value of  $\theta$  in this inequality we see that the factor  $(c_2d/\delta)^{nd}$  in (2) can be replaced by  $(c_4/d^{1/2}\delta)^{nd}$ . Thus if  $\delta$  is of the same order of magnitude as  $d^{-1/2}$  our claims for the improved dependence on d are justified.

The proof of Theorem A will be given in section 4, where we shall also deduce the following corollary.

COROLLARY A. Let  $\mathscr{S}$  be a subset of  $\mathscr{B}$  containing a point within  $2^{-7n}$  $n^{-n/2}d^{-1/2}$  of each point of  $\mathscr{B}$  for some positive integer d. Then for any polynomial  $P(\mathbf{z})$  of degree at most d in each variable we have

$$\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant (2^{13}n)^{n^2d} \mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S}).$$

This yields, in particular, an explicit form of one of the conjectures on p. 123 of [5], according to which there cannot be a zero of P(z) within  $c_5 d^{-1/2}$  of each point of  $\mathcal{B}$  unless P(z) is identically zero. The other conjecture,

relating to the points of  $\mathscr{B}$  with real components, was recently established by Moreau in [7], together with a refinement exactly analogous to our corollary.

In the second half of this paper we apply Theorem A to a special case of the following problem. Let  $\mathscr{S}$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and let  $a(\mathbf{s})$  be complex numbers indexed by points  $\mathbf{s}$  of  $\mathscr{S}$ . We seek the simplest polynomial such that

$$P(\mathbf{s}) = a(\mathbf{s}) \tag{3}$$

for all s. Again let m and  $\delta$  be the cardinality and separation of  $\mathscr{S}$ . The very elementary argument of Lemma 19 of [6] (see also Lemma 2 of Appendix 2 of [4]) shows that there exists a polynomial P(z) of degree at most m - 1 in each variable satisfying (3). Furthermore, if  $\delta \leq 1$  and the points s of  $\mathscr{S}$  satisfy  $|\mathbf{s}| \leq r$  for some  $r \geq 2$ , the coefficients of P(z) can be chosen to have absolute values at most

$$(r/\delta)^{e_6 m} \max |a(\mathbf{s})| \tag{4}$$

for some  $c_6$  depending only on *n*. It is easy to see that the upper bound on the degree is best possible; for example, if  $\mathscr{S}$  lies in the subspace defined by  $z_2 = \ldots = z_n = 0$  then the problem essentially involves only a single complex variable. Similarly the estimate (4) cannot in general be substantially improved, at least with regard to the exponent  $c_6m$ .

However, if  $\mathscr{S}$  is a subset of a certain type of lattice (i.e., a discrete subgroup of rank 2n) in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we shall see (Theorem B below) that in both estimates the number *m* can sometimes be replaced by  $m^{1/n}$ . In fact let K' be a totally real extension of the rational field  $\mathbb{Q}$  of degree *n*, and let *K* be a totally imaginary quadratic extension of K'. We can find *n* embeddings  $\psi_1, ..., \psi_n$  of *K* into  $\mathbb{C}$  which induce distinct embeddings of K' into  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then as  $\alpha$  runs over all integers of *K*, the points in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  of the form

$$L(\alpha) = (\alpha^{\psi_1}, ..., \alpha^{\psi_n})$$

define a lattice  $\Lambda$ . Such lattices occur naturally in the theory of complex multiplication of Abelian varieties (cf. [10]). In section 6 we shall prove the following theorem, where for brevity we denote by  $r\mathcal{S}$  the set of points of the form rs for some fixed  $r \ge 0$  and some s in a set  $\mathcal{S}$ .

THEOREM B. Let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  of the type described above. There exists a positive constant C, depending only on  $\Lambda$ , with the following property. Suppose  $\mathscr{S}$  is a finite subset of  $\Lambda$  contained in  $r\mathscr{B}$  for some  $r \ge 1$ . Then for any complex numbers  $a(\mathbf{s})$  indexed by points  $\mathbf{s}$  of  $\mathscr{S}$ , we can find a polynomial  $P(\mathbf{z})$ , of degree at most  $Cr^2$  in each variable, such that  $P(\mathbf{s}) = a(\mathbf{s})$  for all  $\mathbf{s}$  and

$$\mathfrak{M}(P, r\mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant C^{r^2} \max | a(\mathbf{s}) |$$
.

If  $\mathscr{S}$  is as large as possible it contains  $m \ge c_7 r^{2n}$  points for some positive  $c_7$  independent of r; thus the quantity  $r^2$  occurring above can be of order  $m^{1/n}$ . It is natural to suppose that a similar improvement on the simple estimates of [6] can be obtained for sets  $\mathscr{S}$  which satisfy only a weak distribution condition like that of Theorem A. But at present I cannot find a proof even when  $\mathscr{S}$  is a subset of an arbitrary lattice in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

For applications we shall need a generalization of Theorem B involving not only the values of P(z) on  $\mathscr{S}$  but also those of its derivatives. Since this will be deduced from Theorem B in section 7, we state it as a corollary. For a nonnegative integral vector  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, ..., m_n)$  (i.e., with  $m_1, ..., m_n$  nonnegative integers) we put

$$D^{\mathbf{m}} = (\partial/\partial z_1)^{m_1} \dots (\partial/\partial z_n)^{m_n}$$

and

$$|\mathbf{m}| = m_1 + ... + m_n$$
,  $\mathbf{m}! = m_1!...m_n!$ 

COROLLARY B. Let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  of the type described above. There exists a positive constant C, depending only, on  $\Lambda$ , with the following property. Suppose  $\mathscr{S}$  is a finite subset of  $\Lambda$  contained in  $r\mathscr{B}$  for some  $r \ge 1$ , and k is a positive integer. Then for any complex numbers  $a(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{m})$  indexed by points  $\mathbf{s}$  of  $\mathscr{S}$  and nonnegative integral vectors  $\mathbf{m}$  with  $|\mathbf{m}| < k$  we can find a polynomial  $P(\mathbf{z})$ , of degree at most  $Ckr^2$  in each variable, such that  $D^{\mathbf{m}}P(\mathbf{s}) = a(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{m})$  for all  $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{m}$  and

$$\mathfrak{M}(P, r' \mathscr{D}^n) \leq (Cr'/r)^{Ckr^2} \max | a(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{m})/\mathbf{m}! |$$

for any  $r' \ge r$ .

Note the more general kind of growth inequality appearing in this result.

### 2. AUXILIARY RESULTS ON POLYNOMIALS

We collect here various types of elementary estimates for polynomials which will be useful later on. They can be established by induction on the number *n* of complex variables by means of appropriate arguments with the polynomials  $P(a_1, ..., a_{n-1}, z)$ ,  $P(z_1, ..., z_{n-1}, a)$  for fixed  $a_1, ..., a_{n-1}, a$ . Thus we shall give detailed proofs only for n = 1. In this case we denote the disc  $\mathscr{D}^1$  simply by  $\mathscr{D}$ .

LEMMA 1. The coefficients of a polynomial  $P(\mathbf{z})$  do not exceed  $\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathcal{D}^n)$  in absolute value.

*Proof.* For n = 1 let  $P(z) = p_d z^d + ... + p_0$  for some d; then

$$2\pi i p_r = \int z^{-r-1} P(z) \, dz \quad (0 \leqslant r \leqslant d),$$

where the integral is taken around the unit circle |z| = 1 in the anti-clockwise sense. This gives the lemma for n = 1, and the general statement follows by induction. We could also have used directly the Cauchy integral formula in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

LEMMA 2. If  $P(\mathbf{z})$  is a polynomial of degree at most d in each variable then for any  $r \ge 1$  we have

$$\mathfrak{M}(P, r\mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant r^{nd}\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{D}^n).$$

*Proof.* For n = 1 we consider the reciprocal polynomial  $Q(z) = z^a P(z^{-1})$ . If  $\mathscr{C}$  denotes the boundary |z| = 1 of  $\mathscr{D}$ , then by the maximum modulus principle we have  $\mathfrak{M}(P, r\mathscr{D}) = \mathfrak{M}(P, r\mathscr{C})$ , and the right-hand side of this is just  $r^d\mathfrak{M}(Q, r^{-1}\mathscr{C})$ . This number clearly does not exceed  $r^d\mathfrak{M}(Q, \mathscr{D}) = r^d\mathfrak{M}(Q, \mathscr{C})$ , which in turn is equal to  $r^d\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{C})$  and so at most  $r^d\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{D})$ . The general lemma follows by induction on n. Once again a direct proof is possible using the maximum modulus principle in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (see [5 p. 85]).

LEMMA 3. If  $P(\mathbf{z})$  is a polynomial of degree at most d in each variable which has no zeros in  $\mathcal{D}^n$  then

$$\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant 2^{3nd} \mid P(\mathbf{0}) \mid .$$

*Proof.* (cf. [5, Lemma A7, p. 129]). Suppose at first that n = 1. If P(z) does not vanish on  $\mathscr{D}$  then the function  $\varphi(z) = (P(z))^{-1}$  is analytic on  $\mathscr{D}$ . It follows from the maximum modulus principle that for each integer r with  $0 \le r \le d$  there is a point  $a_r$  with  $|a_r| = r/d$  such that  $|\varphi(a_r)| \ge |\varphi(0)|$ . Hence  $|P(a_r)| \le |P(0)|$ . We now use the Lagrange interpolation formula

$$P(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{d} P(a_r)(z - a_0) \dots (z - a_d)/(a_r - a_0) \dots (a_r - a_d), \qquad (5)$$

where the terms  $z - a_r$ ,  $a_r - a_r$  are omitted in the summand corresponding to  $r (0 \le r \le d)$ . For any s we have

$$|a_r - a_s| \ge ||a_r| - |a_s|| = |r - s|/d,$$

whence

$$\prod_{s\neq r} |a_r-a_s| \geqslant r! (d-r)! d^{-d}.$$

Also if  $|z| \leq 1$  we find that the numerators in (5) satisfy

$$|(z - a_0) \dots (z - a_d)| \leq \prod_{r=1}^d (1 + r/d) = d^{-d}(2d)!/d!.$$

Hence (5) yields

$$\mathfrak{M}(P,\mathscr{D})\leqslant \mid P(0)\mid {\binom{2d}{d}}\sum\limits_{r=0}^{d}{\binom{d}{r}}\leqslant 2^{3d}\mid P(0)\mid.$$

This proves Lemma 3 for n = 1, and the general assertion follows by induction on *n*, since for fixed  $a_1, ..., a_{n-1}$ , a in  $\mathcal{D}$ , the polynomials  $P(a_1, ..., a_{n-1}, z)$ ,  $P(z_1, ..., z_{n-1}, a)$  do not vanish on  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^{n-1}$  respectively. Note that if P(z) has no zeros in a polydisc  $\mathcal{S}$  of radius *r* centred at s, this result implies that  $\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathcal{S}) \leq 2^{3nd} | P(s) |$  independently of *r*.

## 3. Sets of Positive Measure

Let  $\mathscr{S}$  be a subset of  $\mathscr{D}^n$  with positive Lebesgue measure. In this section we obtain some estimates for the growth of a polynomial  $P(\mathbf{z})$  in terms of  $\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S})$ . In the case of a single complex variable such results go back at least to Pólya (see below), and related inequalities for several complex variables occur in work of Bishop [1] (see also [8, p. 133]).

Let  $\mu^n$  denote the usual Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , so that

$$\mu^n(\mathscr{D}^n)=\pi^n, \qquad \mu^n(\mathscr{B})=\pi^n/n!,$$

and write  $\mu = \mu^1$ . Pólya [9] proved the following theorem. If P(z) is a polynomial of degree d in a single complex variable with leading coefficient unity, then for any  $M \ge 0$  the set of points z satisfying  $|P(z)| \le M$  has measure at most  $\pi M^{2/d}$ . We shall deduce the next lemma from this result.

LEMMA 4. Let P(z) be a polynomial of degree at most d in a single complex variable and let  $\mathscr{S}$  be a subset of  $\mathscr{D}$  of positive measure  $\sigma$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{D}) \leqslant 2^{4d} \sigma^{-d/2} \mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S}).$$

*Proof.* After replacing  $\mathscr{S}$  by the subset of  $\mathscr{D}$  on which  $|P(z)| \leq \mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S})$ , we may suppose that  $\mathscr{S}$  is closed. We assume  $P \neq 0$ . Let *a* be any point with |a| = 2 and  $P(a) \neq 0$ , and write

$$Q(z) = P(a + 3z), R(z) = z^d Q(z^{-1})/P(a),$$

so that R(z) has exact degree d and leading coefficient unity. Correspondingly let  $\mathscr{T}$  be the set of points of the form  $\frac{1}{3}(s-a)$  for some s in  $\mathscr{S}$ , and denote by

 $\mathscr{U}$  the set of points of the form  $t^{-1}$  for some t in  $\mathscr{T}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}(Q, \mathscr{T}) = \mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S})$ . Also  $|t| \ge \frac{1}{3}$  for all t in  $\mathscr{T}$ , so that

$$\mathfrak{M}(R, \mathscr{U}) \leq 3^{d} \mathfrak{M}(Q, \mathscr{T}) / |P(a)|.$$
(6)

It follows from Pólya's theorem that  $\mu(\mathscr{U}) \leq \pi M^{2/d}$  where *M* is the righthand side of (6). We proceed to prove that  $\mu(\mathscr{U}) \geq \mu(\mathscr{T})$ .

Since  $\mathscr{S}$ , and therefore  $\mathscr{T}$ , is closed, so are the sections  $\mathscr{T}(r)$  of  $\mathscr{T}$  on which |z| = r. If m(r) is the angular measure of  $\mathscr{T}(r)$ , then m(r) = 0 for  $r < \frac{1}{3}$  and r > 1, and Fubini's theorem for indicator functions (see [11, p. 87]) shows that

$$\mu(\mathscr{T}) = \int_{1/3}^1 m(r) \, dr.$$

The set  $\mathscr{U}$  is also closed, and for  $1 \leq r \leq 3$  the analogous section  $\mathscr{U}(r)$  is simply the magnification of  $\mathscr{T}(r^{-1})$  by the factor  $r^2$ . Thus

$$\mu(\mathscr{U}) = \int_1^3 r^2 m(r^{-1}) \, dr.$$

Changing the variable using Proposition 3 [11, p. 104], we find that

$$\mu(\mathscr{U})=\int_{1/3}^1 r^{-4}m(r)\,dr \geqslant \int_{1/3}^1 m(r)\,dr=\mu(\mathscr{T}).$$

Next it is clear that  $\mu(\mathscr{T}) = \frac{1}{9}\mu(\mathscr{S})$ , and so  $\mu(\mathscr{U}) \ge \frac{1}{9}\sigma$ . Comparison of this with the upper bound for  $\mu(\mathscr{U})$  obtained above yields  $M \ge 3^{-d}\pi^{-d/2}\sigma^{d/2}$ , or

$$|P(a)| \leq 3^{2d} \pi^{d/2} \sigma^{-d/2} \mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S}).$$

Hence this inequality holds for all a with |a| = 2, and Lemma 4 follows on appealing to the maximum modulus principle (and noting that the ancient Egyptian approximation 256/81 for  $\pi$  errs in excess).

Next we generalize this result to several complex variables.

LEMMA 5. Let  $P(\mathbf{z})$  be a polynomial of degree at most d in each variable and let  $\mathscr{S}$  be a subset of  $\mathscr{D}^n$  of positive measure  $\sigma$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant 2^{4n^2d} \sigma^{-nd/2} \mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S}).$$

**Proof.** As usual the proof is by induction on n, the case n = 1 being the previous lemma. Assume the result true with n replaced by n - 1 for some  $n \ge 2$ , and let  $P, d, \mathcal{S}, \sigma$  be as above. As in the proof of Lemma 4, we can assume that  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed. For each z in  $\mathcal{D}$ , let m(z) be the measure of the set

of points  $(a_1, ..., a_{n-1})$  in  $\mathscr{D}^{n-1}$  such that  $(a_1, ..., a_{n-1}, z)$  lies in  $\mathscr{S}$ . Then  $m(z) \leq \pi^{n-1}$  and by Fubini's theorem

$$\sigma = \int_{\mathscr{D}} m(z) \, d\mu.$$

We deduce that the set  $\mathscr{T}$  of z in  $\mathscr{D}$  for which  $m(z) \ge \sigma/2\pi$  has measure  $\tau$  at least  $\sigma/2\pi^{n-1}$ . For we have  $m(z) < \sigma/2\pi$  on the complement  $\mathscr{T}'$  of  $\mathscr{T}$  in  $\mathscr{D}$ , and so

$$\sigma = \int_{\mathscr{F}} m(z) \, d\mu + \int_{\mathscr{F}'} m(z) \, d\mu \leqslant \pi^{n-1} \tau + (\sigma/2\pi) \, \pi.$$

Hence for any t in  $\mathscr{T}$  the polynomial  $Q(z_1,...,z_{n-1}) = P(z_1,...,z_{n-1},t)$  satisfies

$$|Q(z_1,...,z_{n-1})| \leqslant \mathfrak{M}(P,\mathscr{S})$$

on a set in  $\mathcal{D}^{n-1}$  of measure at least  $\sigma/2\pi$ . By our induction hypothesis

$$\mathfrak{M}(Q, \mathscr{D}^{n-1}) \leqslant 2^{4(n-1)^2 d} \left(\sigma/2\pi\right)^{-(n-1)d/2} \mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S}).$$

In other words, for any fixed  $(a_1, ..., a_{n-1})$  in  $\mathscr{D}^{n-1}$  the polynomial  $R(z) = P(a_1, ..., a_{n-1}, z)$  satisfies

$$\mathfrak{M}(R, \mathscr{T}) \leqslant 2^{4(n-1)^2 d} \left( \sigma/2\pi \right)^{-(n-1)d/2} \mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S}).$$

We deduce from Lemma 4 that

$$\mathfrak{M}(R,\mathscr{D})\leqslant 2^{4d}(\sigma/2\pi^{n-1})^{-d/2}\mathfrak{M}(R,\mathscr{T})\leqslant 2^{4n^2d}\sigma^{-nd/2}\mathfrak{M}(P,\mathscr{S}).$$

Thus the same upper bound holds for  $\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{D}^n)$ , and this completes the proof of Lemma 5.

By slightly more elaborate arguments the estimate of this lemma can be improved with respect to its dependence on both n and  $\sigma$ , and indeed best possible results can be obtained (see [12]). We do not go into this now, however, because our applications involve essentially constant values of these parameters.

# 4. PROOF OF THEOREM A AND COROLLARY A

Let  $P(\mathbf{z})$  be a polynomial of total degree D and consider the divisor in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  defined by  $P(\mathbf{z}) = 0$ . We can construct a (2n - 2)-dimensional Hausdorff measure on this divisor which takes multiplicities into account; for  $\mathbf{a}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $r \ge 0$  let us write the corresponding measure in the ball  $|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{a}| \le r$  in the form

$$\pi^{n-1}r^{2n-2}\Theta(\mathbf{a},r)/(n-1)!$$

for some  $\Theta(\mathbf{a}, r)$ . Then it is known that the function  $\Theta(\mathbf{a}, r)$  has the following properties;

- (i)  $\Theta(\mathbf{a}, r)$  is monotone nondecreasing in r
- (ii)  $\Theta(\mathbf{a}) = \lim_{r \to 0} \Theta(\mathbf{a}, r)$  is the order of the zero of  $P(\mathbf{z})$  at  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{a}$
- (iii)  $\lim_{r\to\infty} \Theta(\mathbf{a}, r) = D$  independently of **a**.

For references see Bombieri and Lang [2].

We now prove Theorem A. Let  $\mathscr{S}$  be a finite subset of  $\mathscr{B}$  consisting of m > 1 points  $\mathbf{s}_1, ..., \mathbf{s}_m$  with separation  $\delta \leq 2$  satisfying

$$m\delta^{2n-2} \geqslant 2^{7n}d, \qquad m\delta^{2n} \geqslant n^n\theta^2$$

for some integer  $d \ge 1$  and some real number  $\theta > 0$ . Furthermore let  $P(\mathbf{z})$  be a polynomial of degree at most d in each variable. Consider the balls  $\mathscr{B}_i$  defined by  $|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{s}_i| \le \frac{1}{5}\delta$   $(1 \le i \le m)$ , and suppose exactly  $l \le m$  of these contain a zero of  $P(\mathbf{z})$ , without loss of generality those with  $1 \le i \le l$ . If  $\mathbf{t}_i$  is a zero of  $P(\mathbf{z})$  in  $\mathscr{B}_i$   $(1 \le i \le l)$ , then the balls  $|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{t}_i| \le \frac{1}{5}\delta$  are disjoint and contained in 2\mathscr{B}. We proceed to estimate  $\Theta(\mathbf{0}, 2)$  in two ways. On the one hand, by (i) and (iii) we have, since  $D \le nd$ ,

$$\Theta(\mathbf{0}, 2) \leq nd.$$

On the other hand, from the measure-theoretic definition of the  $\Theta$ -function we have

$$2^{2n-2}\Theta(\mathbf{0},2) \geqslant (\frac{1}{5}\delta)^{2n-2}\sum_{i=1}^{l}\Theta(\mathbf{t}_{i},\frac{1}{5}\delta),$$

and using (i) and (ii) we see that this is at least

$$(rac{1}{5}\delta)^{2n-2}\sum\limits_{i=1}^l arOmega({f t}_i)\geqslant l(rac{1}{5}\delta)^{2n-2}.$$

We conclude that

$$l \leq (10/\delta)^{2n-2}$$
  $nd < \frac{1}{2}m$ .

This means that exactly  $m - l > \frac{1}{2}m$  of the balls  $\mathscr{B}_i$  do not contain a zero of  $P(\mathbf{z})$ . Now the polydisc  $\mathscr{D}_i$  of radius  $\delta/5n^{1/2}$  centred at  $\mathbf{s}_i$  lies completely in  $\mathscr{B}_i$ , whence for each i > l the polynomial  $P(\mathbf{z})$  has no zeros in  $\mathscr{D}_i$ , and so Lemma 3 implies that

$$\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{D}_i) \leqslant 2^{3nd} \mid P(\mathbf{s}_i) \mid \leqslant 2^{3nd} \mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S}) \qquad (l < i \leqslant m).$$

But the total measure of the sets  $\mathcal{D}_i$   $(l < i \leq m)$  is

$$(m-l) \pi^n (\delta/5n^{1/2})^{2n} \ge \frac{1}{2} 5^{-2n} \pi^n \theta^2,$$

and they all lie in 2*B*. Hence the polynomial  $Q(\mathbf{z}) = P(2\mathbf{z})$  satisfies

$$\mathfrak{M}(Q, \mathscr{T}) \leqslant 2^{3nd} \mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S})$$

for a subset  $\mathscr{T}$  of  $\mathscr{D}^n$  of measure at least  $\frac{1}{2}10^{-2n}\pi^n\theta^2$ . Applying Lemma 5, we deduce that

$$\mathfrak{M}(P,2\mathscr{D}^n)=\mathfrak{M}(Q,\mathscr{D}^n)\leqslant 2^{4n^2d}(rac{1}{2}10^{-2n}\pi^n heta^2)^{-nd/2}2^{3nd}\mathfrak{M}(P,\mathscr{S}).$$

Hence

$$\mathfrak{M}(P,\mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant \mathfrak{M}(P,\,2\mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant (2^{10n}/ heta)^{nd} \ \mathfrak{M}(P,\,\mathscr{S})$$

(on noting this time that the Roman approximation  $3\frac{1}{8}$  for  $\pi$  errs in defect). This completes the proof of Theorem A.

To deduce Corollary A we follow [5 p. 127]. Suppose  $\mathscr{S}$  is a subset of  $\mathscr{B}$  containing a point within  $\delta \leq 2^{-7n} n^{-n/2} d^{-1/2}$  of each point of  $\mathscr{B}$ , and let  $P(\mathbf{z})$  be a polynomial of degree at most d in each variable. Select an integer k satisfying

$$(4\delta)^{-1} \leq k \leq (3\delta)^{-1},$$

and consider the points

$$\mathbf{a} = ((\mu_1 + i\nu_1)/k, ..., (\mu_n + i\nu_n)/k)$$

as  $\mu_1$ ,  $\nu_1$ ,...,  $\mu_n$ ,  $\nu_n$  range over all nonnegative integers not exceeding  $k/2n^{1/2}$ . There are

$$m \geq (k/2n^{1/2})^{2n} \geq 2^{-6n}n^{-n}\delta^{-2n}$$

such points, and they all lie in  $2^{-1/2}\mathcal{B}$ . For each **a** let  $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{a})$  be a point of  $\mathscr{S}$  nearest **a**. Since  $k^{-1} - 2\delta \ge \delta$ , the set  $\mathscr{S}'$  of points  $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{a})$  has cardinality m and separation at least  $\delta$ , and it is clearly contained in  $\mathscr{B}$ . Furthermore we have

$$m\delta^{2n-2} \geqslant 2^{-6n}n^{-n}\delta^{-2} \geqslant 2^{7n}d, \qquad m\delta^{2n} \geqslant n^n\theta^2$$

with  $\theta = 2^{-3n} n^{-n}$ . Hence we may apply Theorem A to the polynomial  $P(\mathbf{z})$  on the set  $\mathscr{S}'$ , and we conclude that

$$\mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant 2^{13n^2d} n^{n^2d} \mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S}') \leqslant (2^{13}n)^{n^2d} \mathfrak{M}(P, \mathscr{S})$$

as required.

#### D. W. MASSER

#### 5. LEMMAS ON ALGEBRAIC NUMBERS

We prove Theorem B in the next section. We shall need some elementary facts about algebraic numbers which it is convenient to record separately in this section.

Let K be a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real field K', with

$$[K:\mathbb{Q}]=2[K':\mathbb{Q}]=2n,$$

and choose embeddings  $\psi_1, ..., \psi_n$  of K into  $\mathbb{C}$  that induce distinct embeddings of K' into  $\mathbb{C}$ . Thus the conjugates of any  $\alpha$  in K are given by  $\alpha^{\psi_1}, ..., \alpha^{\psi_n}$  and their complex conjugates. Our first lemma deals with 'arithmetic progressions' in the ring I of integers of K, that is, congruence classes modulo a fixed element of I.

LEMMA 6. Let  $\pi$  be a prime element of K, and let  $\beta_1, ..., \beta_l$  be representatives of the nonzero congruence classes of I modulo  $\pi$ . If  $\mathfrak{A}$  denotes one of these congruence classes then the sets  $\beta_1^{-1}\mathfrak{A}, ..., \beta_l^{-1}\mathfrak{A}$  between them contain all elements of I not divisible by  $\pi$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathfrak{A}$  consists of all elements of I congruent to  $\alpha$  modulo  $\pi$ , so that  $\alpha$  is not divisible by  $\pi$ , and let  $\gamma$  be any element of I not divisible by  $\pi$ . Since the nonzero congruence classes of I form a multiplicative group, there exists  $\beta_i$  with  $\beta_i \gamma$  congruent to  $\alpha$  modulo  $\pi$ , whence  $\gamma$  lies in  $\beta_i^{-1}\mathfrak{A}$ .

LEMMA 7. For any  $\Delta > 0$  there exists a prime element of K all of whose conjugates exceed  $\Delta$  in absolute value.

*Proof.* For a nonzero element  $\alpha$  in I let

$$D(\alpha) = (\log | \alpha^{\psi_1} |, ..., \log | \alpha^{\psi_n} |)$$

be a point of the real space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Because K has no real embeddings, this gives rise to the well-known Dirichlet map associated with K. The image of the group of units of I is a lattice in the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of all  $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ with  $x_1 + ... + x_n = 0$ . It follows by simple geometry that if  $\eta$  is a unit with  $D(\eta)$  nearest to  $D(\alpha)$  we have for all i, j

$$|\log |\pi^{\psi_i}| - \log |\pi^{\psi_j}|| \leqslant c \tag{7}$$

with  $\pi = \alpha \eta^{-1}$  and some constant c depending only on K.

Now there are infinitely many principal prime ideals p in K (see [3, p. 214]), and so we can select one with norm at least  $e^{2cn}\Delta^{2n}$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a generator of

 $\mathfrak{p}$  and let  $\eta$  be a unit with  $D(\eta)$  nearest to  $D(\alpha)$ . Then  $\pi = \alpha \eta^{-1}$  is a prime element of K and we deduce from (7) that for any i

Norm 
$$\pi = |\pi^{\psi_1} \dots \pi^{\psi_n}|^2 \leq e^{2cn} |\pi^{\psi_i}|^{2n}$$
.

Since this norm is no smaller than  $e^{2cn}\Delta^{2n}$ , we find that  $|\pi^{\psi_i}| \ge \Delta$  and this establishes Lemma 7.

### 6. PROOF OF THEOREM B

With the notation of the preceding section, we associate to each  $\alpha$  in K the complex vector

$$L(\alpha) = (\alpha^{\psi_1}, ..., \alpha^{\psi_n}).$$

The image  $\Lambda = L(I)$  of I is then a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , because it is discrete and of rank 2n; in fact for nonzero  $\alpha$  in I we have

$$|L(\alpha)|^{2} = |\alpha^{\psi_{1}}|^{2} + \ldots + |\alpha^{\psi_{n}}|^{2} \ge n |\alpha^{\psi_{1}} \ldots \alpha^{\psi_{n}}|^{2/n} = n(\operatorname{Norm} \alpha)^{1/n} \ge 1.$$

For  $r \ge 0$  denote by  $\Lambda(r)$  the subset of  $\Lambda$  lying in the ball  $r\mathscr{B}$ ; that is, the set of points  $\lambda$  in  $\Lambda$  with  $|\lambda| \le r$ . Thus  $\Lambda(r)$  is the origin if r < 1. The following lemma contains the most important part of the proof of Theorem B.

LEMMA 8. There exists a positive constant c, depending only on  $\Lambda$ , with the following property. For any  $r \ge 1$  there is a polynomial  $P(\mathbf{z})$ , of degree at most  $cr^2$  in each variable, which vanishes at all nonzero points of  $\Lambda(r)$  but satisfies

$$P(\mathbf{0}) = 1, \qquad \mathfrak{M}(P, r \mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant c^{r^2}.$$

**Proof.** We shall denote by  $c_1$ ,... positive constants depending only on  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\pi$  be a prime element of K, to be specified later, and let a be the minimum of the absolute values of its conjugates. Select representatives  $\beta_1$ ,...,  $\beta_l$  of the nonzero congruence classes of I modulo  $\pi$ , and let b be the maximum of the absolute values of all their conjugates.

For brevity we shall say that a point  $\lambda$  of  $\Lambda$  is divisible by  $\pi$  if  $\lambda = L(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha$  divisible by  $\pi$ . For any  $r \ge 1$  consider the set  $\mathscr{S}$  of points of  $\Lambda(2br)$  divisible by  $\pi$ . Since  $|L(\alpha)| \le 2br$  implies

$$|L(\pi^{-1}\alpha)| \leq a^{-1} |L(\alpha)| \leq 2a^{-1}br$$

we see that  $\mathscr{S}$  contains at most  $c_1(a^{-1}br)^{2n}$  points. Hence if  $d \leq c_2(a^{-1}br)^2$  is the greatest integer not exceeding  $c_1^{1/n}(a^{-1}br)^2$ , we can choose the  $(d+1)^n > c_1(a^{-1}br)^{2n}$  coefficients of a polynomial  $Q(\mathbf{z})$  of degree at most d in each variable such that  $Q(\mathbf{z})$  vanishes on  $\mathscr{S}$  but is not identically zero. We now try to apply Theorem A to the polynomial Q(brz) on the subset  $(br)^{-1} \Lambda(br)$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Clearly this set contains  $m \ge c_3(br)^{2n}$  points with separation  $\delta \ge c_4(br)^{-1}$ . It follows that if

$$a \ge c_5 = 2^{7n/2} c_2^{1/2} c_3^{-1/2} c_4^{-(n-1)}$$

then indeed Theorem A is applicable in these circumstances. In view of this, we use Lemma 7 to fix  $\pi$  as a prime element of smallest height such that  $a \ge c_5$  and a > 1. We deduce that

$$\mathfrak{M}(Q, br \mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant c_6^{r^2} \mathfrak{M}(Q, \Lambda(br)).$$

Since Q(z) now has degree at most  $c_7r^2$  in each variable we obtain at once using Lemma 2

$$\mathfrak{M}(Q, 2br\mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant 2^{nd}\mathfrak{M}(Q, br\mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant c_{\mathfrak{s}}^{r^2}\mathfrak{M}(Q, \Lambda(br)).$$

In other words, there exists a point  $-\lambda_0$  in A(br) such that

$$|Q'-\boldsymbol{\lambda}_0| \geqslant c_8^{-r^2}\mathfrak{M}(Q, 2br\mathscr{D}^n);$$

in particular,  $Q(-\lambda_0) \neq 0$  so that  $\lambda_0$  is not divisible by  $\pi$ .

It follows that the polynomial

$$R(\mathbf{z}) = Q(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{\lambda}_0)/Q(-\mathbf{\lambda}_0)$$

satisfies

$$\mathfrak{M}(R, br \mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant c_8^{r^2}$$

and has the same degree as  $Q(\mathbf{z})$ . Furthermore we have  $R(\mathbf{0}) = 1$  and by construction  $R(\mathbf{z})$  vanishes on the set of points of the form  $\lambda_0 + \lambda$  for some  $\lambda$  in  $\Lambda(2br)$  divisible by  $\pi$ . Now write  $\lambda_0 = L(\alpha_0)$  and let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the arithmetic progression consisting of all elements of I congruent to  $\alpha_0$  modulo  $\pi$ . Since  $|\lambda_0| \leq br$ , we find that  $R(\mathbf{z})$  vanishes at all points in  $br\mathcal{B}$  of the set  $L(\mathfrak{A})$ .

Next we put

$$S(z_1,...,z_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{l} R(\beta_i^{\psi_1} z_1,...,\beta_i^{\psi_n} z_n)$$

and deduce from the properties of R(z) the following properties of S(z). It has degree at most  $c_9r^2$  in each variable, and, because  $(\beta_i^{\psi_1}z_1, ..., \beta_i^{\psi_n}z_n)$  lies in  $br\mathscr{D}^n$  whenever  $(z_1, ..., z_n)$  lies in  $r\mathscr{D}^n$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{M}(S, r\mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant (\mathfrak{M}(R, br\mathscr{D}^n))^l \leqslant c_{10}^{r^2}$$

Also  $S(\mathbf{0}) = 1$  and for each *i* the polynomial  $S(\mathbf{z})$  vanishes at all points  $L(\gamma)$  of  $L(\beta_i^{-1}\mathfrak{A})$  with  $(\beta_i^{\psi_1}\gamma^{\psi_1}, ..., \beta_i^{\psi_n}\gamma^{\psi_n})$  in  $br\mathcal{B}(1 \le i \le l)$ . In particular it vanishes

at all points in  $r\mathscr{B}$  of the sets  $L(\beta_1^{-1}\mathfrak{A}), \dots, L(\beta_l^{-1}\mathfrak{A})$ . Hence from Lemma 6 the polynomial  $S(\mathbf{z})$  vanishes on all points of A(r) not divisible by  $\pi$ .

Finally we extend the range of zeros to all nonzero points of  $\Lambda(r)$ . Remembering that the foregoing arguments depend on the parameter r, we rename the polynomial  $S(\mathbf{z})$  as  $S(\mathbf{z}; r)$ . Since a > 1, there exists a greatest integer K with  $a^K \leq r$ , and for each nonnegative integer  $k \leq K$  we put

 $S_k(\mathbf{z}) = S((\pi^{\psi_1})^{-k} z_1, ..., (\pi^{\psi_n})^{-k} z_n; a^{-k}r).$ 

We proceed to verify that the polynomial

$$P(\mathbf{z}) = S_0(\mathbf{z}) \dots S_K(\mathbf{z})$$

satisfies the conditions of Lemma 8. Its degree in each variable does not exceed

$$c_9(r^2 + a^{-2}r^2 + ... + a^{-2K}r^2) < c_9r^2/(1 - a^{-2}) = c_{11}r^2.$$

Furthermore, if  $(z_1, ..., z_n)$  lies in  $r\mathcal{D}^n$  then  $((\pi^{\psi_1})^{-k} z_1, ..., (\pi^{\psi_n})^{-k} z_n)$  lies in  $a^{-k}r\mathcal{D}^n$ , so that

$$\mathfrak{M}(S_k, r\mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant c_{10}^{a^{-2k}r^2}.$$

Thus a similar calculation yields

$$\mathfrak{M}(P, r\mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant c_{12}^{r^2}$$

Also  $P(\mathbf{0}) = 1$ . To verify the assertion about the zeros of  $P(\mathbf{z})$  we note that any nonzero  $\lambda$  in  $\Lambda(r)$  can be written as  $L(\pi^k \alpha)$  for some  $\alpha$  in I not divisible by  $\pi$  and some nonnegative integer k. Since

$$1\leqslant |L(\alpha)|\leqslant a^{-k}r,$$

we must have  $k \leq K$  and consequently  $S(\mathbf{z}; a^{-k}r)$  vanishes at  $L(\alpha)$ . Hence  $S_k(\mathbf{z})$  vanishes at  $\lambda = L(\pi^k \alpha)$  and we conclude that  $P(\mathbf{z})$  also vanishes at  $\lambda$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 8.

The proof of Theorem B is now immediate. Suppose  $\mathscr{S}$  is a subset of  $\Lambda(r)$  for some  $r \ge 1$ , and the  $a(\mathbf{s})$  are complex numbers indexed by points  $\mathbf{s}$  of  $\mathscr{S}$ . We use Lemma 8 to construct a polynomial  $Q(\mathbf{z})$ , of degree at most  $4cr^2$  in each variable, which vanishes at all nonzero points of  $\Lambda(2r)$  but satisfies

$$Q(\mathbf{0}) = 1, \qquad \mathfrak{M}(Q, 2r\mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant c^{4r^2}.$$

Then clearly the sum

$$P(\mathbf{z}) = \sum a(\mathbf{s}) Q(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{s}),$$

taken over all s in  $\mathcal{S}$ , fulfills the conditions of Theorem B.

#### D. W. MASSER

#### 7. PROOF OF COROLLARY B

In this section we shall deduce Corollary B from the following lemma.

LEMMA 9. There exists a positive constant c, depending only on  $\Lambda$ , with the following property. For a positive integer k let  $a(\mathbf{m})$  be complex numbers indexed by non-negative integral vectors  $\mathbf{m}$  with  $|\mathbf{m}| < k$ . Then for any  $r \ge 1$  there is a polynomial  $P(\mathbf{z})$ , of degree at most  $ckr^2$  in each variable, which has a zero of order at least k at all nonzero points of  $\Lambda(r)$  but satisfies  $D^m P(\mathbf{0}) = a(\mathbf{m}) (|\mathbf{m}| < k)$  and

$$\mathfrak{M}(P, r \mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant c^{kr^2} \max |a(\mathbf{m})/\mathbf{m}!|$$

*Proof.* If  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, ..., m_n)$  let  $z^{\mathbf{m}} = z_1^{m_1} \dots z_n^{m_n}$  and form the sum

$$A(\mathbf{z}) = \sum a(\mathbf{m}) \ z^{\mathbf{m}}/\mathbf{m}!$$

taken over all nonnegative integral vectors  $\mathbf{m}$  with  $|\mathbf{m}| < k$ . We use Lemma 8 to construct a polynomial  $Q(\mathbf{z})$  with  $Q(\mathbf{0}) = 1$  which vanishes at all nonzero points of  $\Lambda(\mathbf{r})$ . Then the rational function  $(Q(\mathbf{z}))^{-k} A(\mathbf{z})$  has a Taylor expansion about the origin. If  $R(\mathbf{z})$  denotes the sum of the terms of total degree less than k in this expansion, we claim that the polynomial  $P(\mathbf{z}) = (Q(\mathbf{z}))^k R(\mathbf{z})$  satisfies the conditions of the present lemma. It clearly has a zero of order at least k at all nonzero points of  $\Lambda(\mathbf{r})$ . Also, since

$$(Q(\mathbf{z}))^{-k} A(\mathbf{z}) = R(\mathbf{z}) + w(\mathbf{z})$$

for some power series w(z) with a zero of order at least k at the origin, we have

$$P(\mathbf{z}) = A(\mathbf{z}) - (Q(\mathbf{z}))^k w(\mathbf{z}),$$

and so  $D^{\mathbf{m}}P(\mathbf{0}) = D^{\mathbf{m}}A(\mathbf{0}) = a(\mathbf{m})$  whenever  $|\mathbf{m}| < k$ . We proceed to estimate  $\mathfrak{M}(P, r\mathcal{D}^n)$  by means of majorization techniques.

For two formal power series

$$g(\mathbf{z}) = \sum p(\mathbf{m}) \, z^{\mathbf{m}}, \qquad h(\mathbf{z}) = \sum q(\mathbf{m}) \, z^{\mathbf{m}}$$

with  $q(\mathbf{m})$  real, we write  $g(\mathbf{z}) \ll h(\mathbf{z})$  if  $|p(\mathbf{m})| \ll q(\mathbf{m})$  for all nonnegative integral vectors  $\mathbf{m}$ . If  $h(\mathbf{z})$  converges on  $r\mathcal{D}^n$  these inequalities plainly imply that  $|g(\mathbf{z})| \ll h(r,...,r)$  on  $r\mathcal{D}^n$ .

Now if  $A = \max |a(\mathbf{m})/\mathbf{m}!|$  we have

$$A(\mathbf{rz}) \ll Af_k(\mathbf{rz})$$

where  $f_k(\mathbf{z})$  is the sum  $\sum \mathbf{z}^m$  taken over all non-negative integral vectors **m** 

with  $|\mathbf{m}| < k$ . Further, from Lemma 1 the coefficients of  $Q(\mathbf{rz})$  do not exceed

$$M = \max(1, \mathfrak{M}(Q, r\mathcal{D}^n))$$

in absolute value. This gives

$$Q(r\mathbf{z}) - 1 \ll M(f(\mathbf{z}) - 1)$$

where  $f(\mathbf{z})$  is the sum  $\sum \mathbf{z}^{m}$  taken over all nonnegative integral vectors **m**; that is,

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = (1 - z_1)^{-1} \dots (1 - z_n)^{-1}.$$

It follows that

$$(\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{rz}))^{-k} \ll (1 - M(f(\mathbf{z}) - 1))^{-k} \ll \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} {k+j-1 \choose j} M^j (f(\mathbf{z}) - 1)^j.$$

We immediately obtain a majorizing series for  $(Q(rz))^{-k} A(rz)$ , and by truncating we find that

$$R(\mathbf{rz}) \ll Af_k(\mathbf{rz}) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} {\binom{k+j-1}{j}} M^j(f(\mathbf{z})-1)^j.$$

On specializing to points of  $\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}^n$  we get the estimate

$$\mathfrak{M}(R, \frac{1}{2}r\mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant Af_k(\frac{1}{2}r, ..., \frac{1}{2}r) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k+j-1}{j} M^j(2^n-1)^j.$$

Now

$$f_k(\frac{1}{2}r,...,\frac{1}{2}r) \leqslant (1+\frac{1}{2}r)^{nk} \leqslant 2^{nkr},$$

and the sum over j does not exceed

$$2^{2k}M^k\sum_{j=0}^k\binom{k}{j}(2^n-1)^j\leqslant 2^{3nk}M^k;$$

thus from Lemma 2 we deduce that

$$\mathfrak{M}(R, r\mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant 2^{nk} \mathfrak{M}(R, \frac{1}{2}r\mathscr{D}^n) \leqslant 2^{4nk} 2^{nkr} AM^k \leqslant 2^{5nkr} AM^k.$$

We complete the proof of Lemma 9 by using the estimate of Lemma 8 for the number  $\mathfrak{M}(Q, r\mathcal{D}^n)$  in the definition of M.

Finally Corollary B follows from Lemma 9 just as Theorem B follows from Lemma 8. Let  $r \ge 1$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , and let  $a(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{m})$  be complex numbers indexed by elements  $\mathbf{s}$  of a subset  $\mathscr{S}$  of  $\Lambda(r)$  and non-negative integral vectors  $\mathbf{m}$  with  $|\mathbf{m}| < k$ . We can then construct for each  $\mathbf{s}$  in  $\mathscr{S}$  a polynomial  $P_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{z})$  satisfying  $D^{\mathbf{m}}P_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{0}) = a(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{m})$  with zeros of order at least k at all nonzero points of A(2r), and we take  $P(\mathbf{z}) = \sum P_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{s})$ . This gives  $D^{\mathbf{m}}P(\mathbf{s}) = a(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{m})$  for all  $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{m}$ , and

$$\mathfrak{M}(P, r \mathscr{D}^n) \sim e^{kr^2} \max a(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{m})/\mathbf{m}! \in$$

Since the degree of  $P(\mathbf{z})$  is at most  $ckr^2$ , the more general estimate

 $\mathfrak{M}(P, r' \mathscr{D}^n) \leq (Cr'/r)^{Ckr^2} \max |a(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{m})/\mathbf{m}!|$ 

for  $r' \ge r$  can be obtained by applying Lemma 2 to the polynomial P(rz) on the polydisc  $(r'|r) \mathscr{D}^n$ . This concludes the proof of Corollary B.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. E. BISHOP, Holomorphic completions, analytic continuation, and the interpolation of semi-norms, *Ann. of Math.* **78** (1963), 468–500.
- 2. E. BOMBIERI AND S. LANG, Analytic subgroups on group varieties, *Invent. Math.* 11 (1970), 1-14.
- J. W. S. CASSELS AND A. FRÖHLICH (Eds.), "Algebraic Number Theory," Academic Press, London/New York, 1967.
- 4. S. LANG, Diophantine approximation on Abelian varieties with complex multiplication, *Advances in Math.* 17 (1975), 281–336.
- D. W. MASSER, "Elliptic Functions and Transcendence," Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 437, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- 6. D. W. MASSER, Linear forms in algebraic points of Abelian functions II, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 79 (1976), 55-70.
- M. MOREAU, Zéros de polynômes en plusieurs variables, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 282 (1976), 771–774.
- R. NARASIMHAN, "Several Complex Variables," Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago/ London, 1971.
- G. Pólya, Beitrag zur Verallgemeinerung des Verzerrungssatzes auf mehrfach zusammenhängende Gebiete II, S.-B. Preuss. Akad. (1928), 280–282; "Collected Papers" Vol. I, pp. 352–354, M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1974.
- 10. G. SHIMURA AND Y. TANIYAMA, "Complex Multiplication of Abelian Varieties and Its Applications to Number Theory," Math. Soc. Japan, 1961.
- A. J. WEIR, "Lebesgue Integration and Measure," Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1973.
- 12. D. W. MASSER, On small values of polynomials, Bull. London Math. Soc. 9 (1977), 257-260.