

## NOTE

**ON BALANCED CLAW DESIGNS OF  
COMPLETE MULTI-PARTITE GRAPHS**

Kazuhiko USHIO

*Department of Mechanical Engineering, Niihama Technical College, Niihama, Ehime 792, Japan*

Received 26 September 1979

Revised 15 January 1981

In this paper, it is shown that a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a balanced claw design  $BCD(m, n, c, \lambda)$  of a complete  $m$ -partite graph  $\lambda K_m(n, n, \dots, n)$  is  $\lambda(m-1)n \equiv 0 \pmod{2c}$  and  $(m-1)n \geq c$ .

**1. Introduction**

A complete  $m$ -partite graph  $\lambda K_m(n, n, \dots, n)$  ( $m \geq 2$ ) is a multigraph with  $m$  independent sets of  $n$  points each, such that any two points in different subsets are joined exactly  $\lambda$  lines. A complete graph  $\lambda K_m$  with  $m$  points may be regarded as a particular type of complete  $m$ -partite multigraph where  $n = 1$ . A complete bipartite graph  $K(1, c)$  is called a  $c$ -claw ( $c \geq 2$ ). A point of degree  $c$  is called a root and each point of degree one is called a leaf of the  $c$ -claw.

A claw design  $CD(m, n, c, \lambda)$  is a line-disjoint decomposition of  $\lambda K_m(n, n, \dots, n)$  into subgraphs each isomorphic to a  $c$ -claw. The claw design is said to be balanced and is denoted by  $BCD(m, n, c, \lambda)$ , if furthermore each point of  $\lambda K_m(n, n, \dots, n)$  belongs to exactly the same number of  $c$ -claws.

A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a particular type of  $CD(m, n, c, \lambda)$  or  $BCD(m, n, c, \lambda)$  has been obtained, such as,  $CD(m, 1, c, 1)$  [5],  $CD(m, 1, c, \lambda)$  [2],  $CD(n, n, c, 1)$  [3],  $BCD(m, 1, c, \lambda)$  [1] and  $BCD(m, n, c, 1)$  [4].

**2. Balanced Claw Designs**

We use the following labeling scheme for  $\lambda K_m(n, n, \dots, n)$ . Let the points of  $\lambda K_m(n, n, \dots, n)$  be  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{mn}$  and define the length of  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  by  $\min\{|i-j|, mn-|i-j|\}$ . Let  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  be adjacent if and only if the length of  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  is not divisible by  $m$  and let join  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  by exactly  $\lambda$  lines. Then the  $m$  disjoint

independent sets of  $\lambda K_m(n, n, \dots, n)$  with this labeling are

$$V_i = \{v_i, v_{i+m}, v_{i+2m}, \dots, v_{i+(n-1)m}\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

By the *turning of a  $c$ -claw* we mean the simultaneous increasing of all indices of  $c+1$  points of the  $c$ -claw by 1. The indices are reduced modulo  $mn$  to the set of residues  $\{1, 2, \dots, mn\}$ .

**Theorem.** *There exists a  $BCD(m, n, c, \lambda)$  if and only if*

- (i)  $\lambda(m-1)n \equiv 0 \pmod{2c}$ , and
- (ii)  $(m-1)n \geq c$ .

**Proof.** (Necessity) Suppose that there exists a  $BCD(m, n, c, \lambda)$ . Since  $c+1$  points of a  $c$ -claw are all different, we have  $(m-1) \geq c$ . Therefore, condition (ii) is necessary. Let  $b$  be the number of the total  $c$ -claws and let  $r$  be the number of  $c$ -claws such that each point of  $\lambda K_m(n, n, \dots, n)$  belongs to exactly  $r$   $c$ -claws. Then we have obviously

$$\lambda \binom{m}{2} n^2 = bc \quad \text{and} \quad mnr = b(c+1).$$

Therefore, we have

$$b = \lambda m(m-1)n^2/(2c) \quad \text{and} \quad r = \lambda(m-1)n(c+1)/(2c).$$

For a point  $v$ , let  $r_1(v)$  be the number of  $c$ -claws in which  $v$  is a root point and let  $r_2(v)$  be that of  $c$ -claws in which  $v$  is a leaf point. Then we have

$$r_1(v)c + r_2(v) = \lambda(m-1)n$$

and, of course,

$$r_1(v) + r_2(v) = r.$$

From these relations we have

$$r_1(v) = \lambda(m-1)n/(2c) \quad \text{and} \quad r_2(v) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(m-1)n.$$

Thus  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  do not depend on the particular point  $v$ . Since  $b, r, r_1, r_2$  are all integers, we have

$$\lambda(m-1)n \equiv 0 \pmod{2c}.$$

Therefore, condition (i) is also necessary.

(Sufficiency) Proof will be shown by a construction algorithm. For a set of parameters  $m, n, c, \lambda$  satisfying conditions (i) and (ii), we write  $\lambda(m-1)n = 2cs$ . Arrange  $(m-1)n$  points adjacent to a point  $v_m$  in increasing order of indices

repeatedly  $\lambda$  times, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} &v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m-1}, v_{m+1}, \dots, v_{2m-1}, v_{2m+1}, \dots, v_{nm-1}, \\ &\dots \\ &v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m-1}, v_{m+1}, \dots, v_{2m-1}, v_{2m+1}, \dots, v_{nm-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $sc = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(m-1)n$ , consider  $s$   $c$ -claws  $C_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ), where the root point of each  $C_i$  is  $v_{mi}$  and  $c$  leaf points of  $C_1$  are first  $c$  points in the sequence and  $c$  leaf points of  $C_2$  are next  $c$  points in the sequence, and so on. The turnings of these  $C_i$   $m-1$  times yield, with  $C_i$  themselves,  $mns$  line-disjoint  $c$ -claws of  $\lambda K_m(n, n, \dots, n)$ . Since  $mns = \lambda \binom{m}{2} n^2$ , we have a  $\text{BCD}(m, n, c, \lambda)$  with  $r_1 = s$  and  $r_2 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(m-1)n$ . This completes the proof.

**Corollary [1].** *There exists a  $\text{BCD}(m, 1, c, \lambda)$  if and only if*

- (i)  $\lambda(m-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2c}$ , and
- (ii)  $m-1 \geq c$ .

**References**

[1] C. Huang, On the existence of balanced bipartite designs II, *Discrete Math.* 9 (1974) 147–159.  
 [2] M. Tarsi, Decomposition of complete multigraphs into stars, *Discrete Math.* 26 (1979) 273–278.  
 [3] K. Ushio, S. Tazawa and S. Yamamoto, On claw-decomposition of a complete multi-partite graph, *Hiroshima Math. J.* 8 (1978) 207–210.  
 [4] K. Ushio, On balanced claw-decomposition of a complete multipartite graph, *Memoirs of Niigata Technical College* 16 (1980) 29–33.  
 [5] S. Yamamoto, H. Ikeda, S. Shige-eda, K. Ushio and N. Hamada, On claw-decomposition of complete graphs and complete bigraphs, *Hiroshima Math. J.* 5 (1975) 33–42.