

NOTE

On the Degree of L_p Approximation with Positive Linear Operators

J. J. Swetits

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Old Dominion University,
Norfolk, Virginia 23529*

and

D. W. S. 1

View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

Tucson, Arizona 85721

Communicated by András Kroó

Received March 17, 1995; accepted November 28, 1995

The degree of approximation in L_p -spaces by positive linear operators is estimated in terms of the integral modulus of smoothness. It is shown that the conjectured optimal degree of approximation is not attained in the class of functions having a second derivative belonging to L_p . © 1996 Academic Press, Inc.

Let $\{L_n\}$ be a uniformly bounded sequence of positive linear operators from $L_p[a, b]$ into $L_p[c, d]$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $a \leq c < d \leq b$. Let $\lambda_{np} = \max_{i=0, 1, 2} \|L_n(t^i, x) - x^i\|_p$ and assume $\lambda_{np} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The conjectured optimal estimate for the rate of convergence to $f \in L_p[a, b]$ by $\{L_n(f)\}$ is

$$\|f - L_n(f)\|_p \leq C_p (\|f\|_p \lambda_{np} + w_{2,p}(f, \lambda_{np}^{1/2})), \quad (1)$$

where the L_p norm on the left is taken over $[c, d]$, $C_p > 0$ is independent of f and n , and $w_{2,p}$ denotes the second-order modulus of smoothness of f measured in $L_p[a, b]$. The estimate, (1), implies [4, p. 293],

$$\|f - L_n(f)\|_p \leq C_p (\|f\|_p \lambda_{np} + w_{r,p}(f, \lambda_{np}^{1/r})), \quad (2)$$

where $r \geq 3$ is an integer and $w_{r,p}$ is the r th order modulus of smoothness of f measured in $L_p[a, b]$.

Berens and DeVore [1] have shown that (1) is valid for positive linear contraction operators from $L_1[a, b]$ to $L_1[a, b]$. The purpose of this paper is to show that (2) is always valid while (1), in general, is not.

Define the sequence $\{L_n\}$ from $L_p[0, 1]$ to $L_p[0, 1]$ by

$$L_n(f(t), x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } \left|x - \frac{1}{2}\right| > \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{n}{2} \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} f(x+u) du & \text{if } \left|x - \frac{1}{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n}. \end{cases}$$

An easy computation shows that $\lambda_{np} = \frac{1}{3}(1/n)^{2+1/p}$. Choose $f(x) = (x - \frac{1}{2})_+$. Then it is easy to verify that $\|L_n((t - \frac{1}{2})_+, x) - (x - \frac{1}{2})_+\|_p$ is asymptotically equivalent ($n \rightarrow \infty$) to $(1/n)^{1+1/p}$.

If (1) were valid then, since $w_{2,p}((x - \frac{1}{2})_+, \delta) = O(\delta^{1+1/p})(\delta \rightarrow 0^+)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_n((t - \frac{1}{2})_+, x) - (x - \frac{1}{2})_+\|_p &= O(\lambda_{np}^{(1/2)(1+1/p)}) && (n \rightarrow \infty) \\ &= O(n^{-(1+3/2p+1/2p^2)}) && (n \rightarrow \infty) \\ &= O(n^{-1(1+1/p)}) && (n \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

In [2], Berens and DeVore consider quantitative estimates for the degree of L_p approximation by positive linear operators in a multidimensional setting. A consequence of Theorem 3 of [2] for the one-dimensional case is, for any $f \in L_p[a, b]$,

$$\|f - L_n(f)\|_p \leq C_p(\|f\|_p \lambda_{np}^{2p/(2p+1)} + w_{2,p}(f, \lambda_{np}^{2p/(2p+1)})). \quad (3)$$

The example given above can also be used to show that (3) is sharp. In [5] the authors show that (3) can be improved for certain classes of operators.

Let $L_p^{(r)}[a, b]$ denote the linear space of functions which together with their first $r-1$ derivatives, are absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ and are such that the r th derivative is in $L_p[a, b]$. We have

THEOREM. *Let $\{L_n\}$ be a uniformly bounded sequence of positive linear operators from $L_p[a, b]$ into $L_p[c, d]$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $a \leq c < d \leq b$. If $r \geq 3$ is an integer, then, for $f \in L_p[a, b]$,*

$$\|f - L_n(f)\|_p \leq C_p(\|f\|_p \lambda_{np} + w_{r,p}(f, \lambda_{np}^{1/r})),$$

where the L_p norm on the left is taken over $[c, d]$, $C_p > 0$ is independent of f and n , and $w_{r,p}$ is the r th order modulus of smoothness of f measured in $L_p[a, b]$.

Proof. Let $f \in L_p^{(r)}[a, b]$. Then for $t \in [a, b]$ and $x \in [c, d]$,

$$f(t) - f(x) = f'(x)(t-x) + \int_x^t (t-u) f''(u) du.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n((f(t) - f(x)), x)| &\leq \|f'\|_\infty \cdot |L_n((t-x), x)| \\ &\quad + \|f''\|_\infty \cdot L_n((t-x)^2, x). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

By [3, Theorem 3.1], there is a constant, $k_p > 0$, such that, for $j = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$,

$$\|f^{(j)}\|_\infty \leq k_p (\|f\|_p + \|f^{(r)}\|_p). \quad (5)$$

Consequently, by (4) and (5), for $f \in L_p^{(r)}[a, b]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - L_n(f)\|_p &\leq \|f\|_\infty \cdot \|L_n(1, x) - 1\|_p + \|L_n((f(t) - f(x)), x)\|_p \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \cdot \|L_n(1, x) - 1\|_p + \|f'\|_\infty \cdot \|L_n((t-x), x)\|_p \\ &\quad + \|f''\|_\infty \cdot \|L_n((t-x)^2, x)\|_p \\ &\leq k_p (\|f\|_p + \|f^{(r)}\|_p) \lambda_{np}. \end{aligned}$$

An application of Peetre's K -functional [4, p. 300] completes the proof of the theorem.

Remarks. The above theorem, for $p = 1$, is a special case of Theorem 2 of [2]. The example used to show that (3) is sharp appears in [2]. It is used there for a different purpose.

REFERENCES

1. H. Berens and R. DeVore, Quantitative Korovkin theorems for L_p -spaces, in "Proceedings, Symposium on Approximation Theory, University of Texas at Austin, January 1976," pp. 289-298, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
2. H. Berens and R. DeVore, Quantitative Korovkin theorems for positive linear operators on L_p -spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **245** (1978), 349-361.
3. S. Goldberg and A. Meir, Minimum moduli of ordinary differential operators, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **23** (1971), 1-15.
4. H. Johnen, Inequalities connected with the moduli of smoothness, *Math. Vestnick* **9**, No. 24 (1972), 289-303.
5. J. J. Swetits and B. Wood, Quantitative estimates for L_p approximation with positive linear operators, *J. Approx. Theory* **38** (1983), 81-89.